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Asian-European Cultural Mediation in the Works of Galsan Tschinag

Even while the Soviet Bloc marginalized the Mongolian People’s Republic for much of the twentieth century, the Mongolians themselves were marginalizing another perceived ethnic and linguistic periphery, the Tuvan and Kazak minority nomads in the extreme west of the country in the steppes beside the Upper Altai mountain range.

It was in this region that Irgit Schynkbajoglu Dschurukuwaa was born to a nomadic Tuvan family of leadership lineage. Shamanic training in the Tuvan oral tradition became his apprenticeship in the poetic arts. By the 1960s the Mongolian state had forcibly resettled many of the Tuvan-speaking minority into urban environments, principally Ulan Bator. Dschurukuwaa in urban exile was required to take on a Mongolian name to go to school. Thus he became “Galsan Tschinag” in place of his indigenous name.

When Tschinag left home to study in a sister socialist country, East Germany, his initial prejudice that every German was a fascist evaporated when he got to know the people. As he began writing novels, poetry and essays in the 1980s it was with European publishers and in German.

Despite the largely positive experience he had had in Leipzig, the writer had come to realize that European attitudes towards his own Tuvan people as a whole were condescending and distorted.¹ A decade before the publication of Edward Said’s groundbreaking book Orientalism² and its impetus for postcolonial studies, Tschinag was already aware of being made the “Other,” of being Orientalized and forced to the margins.³ His literary attempts to bridge the cultural divide evolved into at least three strategies that parallel those used in postcolonial critique.
1. Re-Presenting the Narrative of Empire as Counter-Discourse

Postcolonial theory has posited ways to craft counter-discourse as a way to subvert dominant narratives that negate and distort the indigenous voice. The strategy extends to belletristic works from the very authors whose peoples have earlier been silenced.

In an article dedicated to reversing the poles on Orientalism, Jim Jordan suggests:

…that the use… of elements… [ranges] from the de-exoticisation of the ‘other’ through encoded social criticism to the deliberate undermining of Orientalist attitudes through an ironic play with Orientalist expectations of migrant literature.

In the case of Galsan Tschinag, the counter-discourse comes in German from an Asian nomad whose translations can be read in the languages of former Asian colonizers – English, French, Spanish and Dutch. Orientalist assumptions of Eastern inferiority are upended when readers learn that the author is a chief among his own people; a frequent world traveler; a prizewinner of the Adalbert von Chamisso literary prize and the Bundesverdienstkreuz who produces on average one book a year; and a popular guest on the German television talk-show circuit.

Tschinag’s first works – a trilogy reflecting coming-of-age struggles of a young nomad in a changing world – are heavily autobiographical, introducing readers to daily life in yurts and grazing grounds on the steppes of the Upper Altai. His somewhat circuitous prose is the literary outpouring of a culture that possesses only an oral tradition. Thus his hybrid style presents the word rhythm of the Central Asian steppes in a European vocabulary. Within the “politics of location,” the lifestyle milieu shifts for the reader in form and content to the remote reaches of Western Mongolia. The seemingly exotic descriptions reflect scenarios that are universally human.
Within a nomadic lifestyle in the novel *Dojnaa* (2001), the female title hero leaves her abusive husband, protects her children, and still finds a way to harmonize with the tribal patriarchal structure, illustrating a stone-age culture where oppression of women is no longer supported. Thus the German reader finds an exotic setting where familiar struggles and values reduce the Eurasian societal distance, a strategy seen as cultural mediation where Tschinag dismantles the alienation between author and reader.  

Even within a work that seems at first glance to have no connection between East and West, *Die neun Träume des Dschinghis Khan* (2007), discourse with Europe does appear after 250 pages, in the very last sentence of the book. Here Tschinag counters the imperious assumption that the Asian world must look west for inspiration and tales of accomplishment:

> Denn er, Dschingis Khan, wurde von der anderen Flanke des Erdkörpers aus zum Mann des Jahrtausends verkündet. Und damit… wurden dessen Vaterland und Muttervolk mit einemmal so bekannt, dass alle Wege, die früher mal nach Rom, mal nach Paris und mal nach Beijing geführt haben, nun in die sonnenüberflutete, grasbewachsene Steppe zu führen schienen….  

#2. Using Contrapuntal Techniques to Present a Complex, Multipolar World

One way that the author has mediated from the perceived margins to the center is to insert cultural connections that resonate with European readers. In *Die Rückkehr* (2008), for instance, describing Galsan’s return to the steppes following his years in East Germany, he makes reference not just to Dschingis Khan, but also to Honoré de Balzac, Ludwig van Beethoven and Don Quixote.

Another controversial technique for postcolonial activism is called “Strategic Essentialism,” where a minority group, despite individual variations, distills an essential unitary identity that can be strategically put forward to help tease out the truth. Tschinag, as chieftain and spokesman of his group, uses this to depict Tuvan society in positive terms as round (“Rund ist die Urform des
Lebens“14) and ancient (“Ich komme aus einer… längst vergangenen Zeit”15). The Tuvan, he suggests, lives smoothly, in harmony with the earth and at a natural tempo. Against a view of European life as hectic, angular, urbanized and modern, he portrays a smooth, pastoral and ancient tableau for Eastern nomads. At the same time, however, the warning of Edward Said remains valid: “…the answer to Orientalism is not Occidentalism.”16

The ongoing intent of the author is to contradict Europeans who equate “different” with “inferior,” as he expressed it in a cover letter to Insel Verlag accompanying his manuscript for “Das andere Dasein” (2011):

Dass diese Hirtennomaden aus der unwirtlichen mongolischen Wüstensteppe, meine Helden mit ihrer wurzelhaften Ausdauer und ihrem triebhaften Selbstvertrauen, alles andere sind als träge und stumpf oder gar mongoid, dürfte jeder unvoreingenommene Leser erkennen.17

The title of the work itself, [“The Other Life” or “The Other Existence”], directly addresses polarization, and binary opposites populate the book. A nomadic Central Asian shepherd falls in love with a Hungarian woman named Anni. Racism pours forth from Anni’s grandmother and her generation. But the racist epithets are presented only indirectly in third person, as if holding them at a distance by the scruff of their neck.18 Tschinag thus forces the marginalizing racist discourse itself to the margins in a way designed to mock the act of mocking.

#3. Universalizing the “Other” to Encourage Inclusivity19

In the hyper-nomad’s20 two most recent novels, the narrative action unfolds in Mongolia but in globalized contexts that reference the East-West divide with Central Asian characters and situations at both ends of the spectrum. In Gold und Staub (2012)21 the protagonist is a mirror of the author, so thinly veiled that the character’s name is Dschurukuwaa. The antagonist is a lovely Kazak woman who seeks his assistance in a
scheme to strip-mine the steppes for gold. She serves as proxy for certain ascribed European values – turbo-capitalism and environmental destruction – that the leader of the nomadic peoples rejects. Despite such profound differences, though, the author’s bottom line is to mediate: “… nicht jeder Kampf muss in Feindschaft enden. Wenn man weise genug kämpft, steht man auf derselben Seite.”

The most basic anti-colonial technique is for the native to describe himself rather than to be described by others. For that Tschinag turns to poetry. In his most recent collection, Steppenwind und Weltenwind (2013), Tschinag defines himself with animistic imagery as “a blade of Asiatic steppe grass with legs,” as an “Altai mountain stone covered with skin,” and then speaks to power, demanding from the West his own autonomous existence:

So lasst mich das und dort sein
Was ich bin und wo ich richtig bin.

In the same collection, Galsan Tschinag sums up the ultimate goal of reciprocal respect, not in a world of Occidentalism or Orientalism, but in a mediated, multilateral acceptance of commonality in the midst of fascinating differences:

Die Welt ist ein gemeinsames Fortlaufendes Werk.
Seeing through the process allowed him to declare: “Die ganze Weltgeschichte [ist] unter dem Regiestock von Europäern diktiert und bewußt verfälscht… sie hat das Nomadentum immer als regressiv schlecht gemacht.”


Burney, p. 42.


One critic has compared Tschinag’s early work to that of the Afghan-American, Khaled Hosseini: “While The Kite Runner exposed readers to Afghani culture through its use of potboiler plotting, The Blue Sky does much the same for nomadic Mongolian society, but in a quieter and more sophisticated way. It is a meditative book that shows the seduction of modernity, and the fragility of tradition.” Source: Adam Braver, “Nomads in a Changing World,” Providence Journal (Rhode Island), 10 December 2006, I, 6.


Jacques Attali, L ’homme nomade (Paris: Fayard, 2003), pp. 392-393 warns that any society that closes itself off to nomads, to itinerants and foreigners, will go into decline by losing its sense of novelty and momentum. He posits the term hypernomade (p. 409) for the modern frequent traveler.


Ibid.

Ibid., p. 37.