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The Zelph Story

Kenneth W. Godfrey

When the twenty men who formed the vanguard of Zion's Camp left Kirtland, Ohio, on 1 May 1834, they could not know that one of their most lasting and intriguing contributions to Latter-day Saint history would take place, not on a Missouri battlefield but rather on top of a large mound in Illinois.¹ This elevation, located about one mile south of modern Valley City, has been called Naples-Russell Mound Number 8, Pike County. According to historian Stanley B. Kimball, this mound is a "typical prehistoric Middle Woodland mortuary complex of the Hopewell culture."² There, on 3 June 1834, members of Zion's Camp located a few bones, including a broken femur and an arrowhead, approximately a foot below the earth's surface, and these remains became the catalyst for revelation to Joseph Smith regarding the skeleton's identity. Subsequently, the information recorded by several of the camp's members would be used by historians, geographers, and other scholars as evidence that Book of Mormon events, especially those reported in its closing chapters, took place in the north-eastern part of the United States.³ Because this account is cited so frequently, usually as it is given in the History of the Church, it seems useful to examine closely the primary sources reporting the details of this extraordinary event.

The day after the finding of Zelph, the Prophet Joseph Smith, "on the banks of the Mississippi River," wrote a letter to his wife, Emma. While he does not mention Zelph by name, Joseph describes the setting in general:

The whole of our journey, in the midst of so large a company of social honest and sincere men, wandering over the plains of the Nephites, recounting occasionaly the history of the Book of Mormon, roving over the mounds of that once beloved people of the Lord, picking up their skulls & their bones, as a proof of its divine authenticity, and gazing upon a country the fertility, the splendour and the goodness so indescribable, all serves to pass away time unnoticed.⁴
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Naples-Russell Mound Number 8, Pike County, Illinois, where Zelph was found

Photograph, taken March 1989, courtesy of Donald Q. Cannon
Obviously, Joseph and his companions were inspired and elated as they moved closer to their land of promise in Missouri. The territory they were in was vast, rich, and unsettled. The ghostly mounds of former inhabitants, however, reminded Joseph and his camp that the land had once been occupied. As they went, they naturally talked about the Book of Mormon. Joseph called the land “the plains of the Nephites.” They believed that the mounds had belonged to “that once beloved people,” and they interpreted the mere fact that skulls and bones were readily found as evidence of the divine authenticity of the book. Evidently they were most impressed by the evidence that a prior civilization had been destroyed from off the face of this land, for the Book of Mormon similarly reports the destruction of a large group of people on this continent. Simple confirmation of the fact that destructions had taken place was evidence enough for these adventurers that the Book of Mormon was true.

Beyond enjoying this general confirmation of their faith, the members of Zion’s Camp received more specific information about one skeleton in particular. Although Joseph did not mention this particular episode in his letter to Emma, seven others in the camp made records about the finding of Zelph’s bones and what Joseph said about them. These records are generally consistent with one another, but they leave a number of details in doubt. Who was Zelph? Was he a Nephite or a Lamanite? When did he die? What army was he in? As will be seen, the answers to these questions cannot be given with certainty from the complex historical sources that resulted from this event. While this means that Book of Mormon scholars must remain tentative in drawing implications from this notable incident, it does not diminish the fact that Joseph was moved by the spirit of revelation to speak about Zelph and his noble past in connection with Book of Mormon peoples or their descendants.

FINDING ZELPH

The seven accounts written or dictated by members of the expedition will be discussed in the apparent order in which they were written. The data they furnish is summarized in a chart as Appendix 1. In quoting from these diaries and journals, minor improvements in some spelling and punctuation have been made to enhance readability.5

Reuben McBride’s account is shorter and less detailed than the others, but it may have been the first one recorded, possibly having been written on the day the find occurred, although in no
case are we completely sure when the information was put down in writing. McBride writes:

Tuesday 3 [June 1834] visited the mounds. A skeleton was dug up [by] Joseph, said his name was Zelph a great warrior under the Prophet Omandagus. An arrow was found in his Ribs—[page break; top of next page begins with different, lighter ink, indicated here by italic; it is partly illegible, but appears to read:] His name was Zelph a warrior under the Prophet Omandagus Zelph a white Laman[ite] Crossed the Missipi River on the 4 [th of June] 2 days in Crossing June 6 resumed our jorney [illegible] at Salt River Staid 12 days From Salt River to Richmond Ray County [illegible] that [illegible] the [illegible] [June] 19 on fishing River [June] 20 went 5 miles meeting held counsel June 24 Colera [illegible] [June] 23 Arived at Rush Creek Rush Creek Mo Clay Co Choler [June] 24. 

—which he said he suposed ocaisoned his death Said he was killed in battle. Said he was a man of God and the curse was taken off or in part he was a white Lamanite was known from the atlantic to the Rocky Mountains [bold type indicates words added interlinearly].

June, the 4 came to the Missipi River were 2 days in Crossing being very high one mile wide On the 7th arrived at Salt River. Staid their 12 days to recrute and reorganise. Some came from Michigan and joined the company.⁶

According to Reuben McBride, then, Zelph was a great warrior under Omandagus, a man of God, and a white Lamanite known from the Atlantic to the Rocky Mountains, and the arrow that killed him was found with his remains.⁷ Joseph Smith is credited with uncovering the bones, but little more is said.

A puzzling thing about this diary is the way that it duplicates itself. The first entry for Tuesday, 3 June, appears at the bottom of page 3 in dark ink. The top of page 4 repeats in light ink the information that Zelph was a warrior under the Prophet Omandagus and adds that Zelph was “a white Lamanite.” The light ink continues on page 4 to give information about events up to 24 June and then at the bottom of the page the dark ink returns midsentence to the events of 3 June. The account then reports again the events from 4 June to 24 June on page 5. Finally, the information that Zelph “was a man of God” and “was known from the atlantic to the Rocky Mountains” was added above the line, apparently sometime later as an afterthought. This seems to indicate that McBride made entries in his diary about the Zelph incident on perhaps as many as four separate occasions. The information about his name, his status
Zelph

as a warrior under Omandagus, and his being a white Lamanite appears to be present in the earliest entries. The information surmised about his death, the removal of the curse, and his widespread reputation seems to have been added about three weeks later.

Another member of Zion’s Camp, twenty-two-year-old Moses Martin, also kept a diary of his experiences while traveling through Pike County. He reported the incident in the following terms:

This being in the Co of Pike, here we discovered a large quantity of large mounds. Being filed with curiosity we excavated the top of one so[m?]e 2 feete when we came to the bones of an extraordinary large person or human being, the thigh bones being 2 inches longer from one Socket to the other than of the Prophet whi who is upwards of 6 feete high which would have constuted some 8 or 9 feete high. In the trunk of this skeleton near the vitals we found a large stone arrow which I suppose brought him to his end. Soon after this Joseph had a vision and the Lord shewed him that this man was once a mighty Prophet and many other things concerning his people. Thus we found those mounds to have be deposits for the dead which had falen no doubt in some great Battles. In addition to this we found many large fortifications which als[o] denotes civilisation and an innumerable population which has falen by wars and comotion and the Banks of this Beautiful River became the deposit of many hundred thousands whose graves and fortifications have are overgrown with the sturdy oak 4 feete in diameter.8

It appears that Moses Martin was present when the digging occurred, since he reports vividly the party’s curiosity that led them to excavate and find the bones. It seems likely that this diary entry was made while the party was still in Pike County, shortly after the event. It is interesting to note that while Martin is impressed with the size of the skeleton and with Joseph’s vision of the unnamed prophet, he says nothing about his being killed in battle, about his ancestry, his name, his being a white Lamanite, or his having served under a prophet chief named Omandagus or Onandagus. Instead, in the Martin account, the deceased man was “a mighty prophet.” No details are given about who did the excavating.

Wilford Woodruff, who five years later would be called to the Quorum of the Twelve Apostles, recorded in his journal the following account of what transpired:

While on our travels we visited many of the mounds which were flung up by the ancient inhabitants of this continent, probably by the Nephites & Lamanites. We visited one of those Mounds and several of the brethren dug into it and took from it the bones of a man. Brother Joseph had a vision respecting the person he said he was a white Lamanite, the curse was taken from him or at least in part, he was
killed in battle with an arrow, the arrow was found among his ribs, one of his thigh bones was broken, this was done by a stone flung from a sling in battle years before his death, his name was Zelph. Some of his bones were brought into the camp and the thigh bone which was broken was put into my waggon and I carried it to Missouri. Zelph was a large thick set man and a man of God, he was a warrior under the great prophet that was known from the hill Cumorah to the Rocky mountains. The above knowledge Joseph received in a vision.⁹

Sometime later (date unknown), Woodruff added interlinearly in the same paragraph the following information:

> considered to be 300 feet above the level of the Illinois river, three persons dug into the mound & found a body, Elder Milton Holmes took the arrow out of the back bones that killed Zelph & brought it with some of the bones into the camp, I visited the same mound with Jesse J. Smith. Who the other persons were that dug into the mound & found the body I am undecided.

Apparently at the same time, he also added the word “Onandagus” after the words “great prophet,” and inserted the words “on East sea” after “Cumorah.”

Woodruff writes that the Prophet “Onandagus” was known “from the hill Cumorah on East sea to the Rocky mountains.” This is the earliest source for this geographical data. (In Reuben McBride’s account it is Zelph who was widely known.) Woodruff also reports that the information about the skeleton came through a vision given to the Prophet Joseph. Two other accounts of this incident were later penned by Woodruff, but their wording is essentially identical to the one above except for omitting the information added interlinearly (see appendix 1).

From the last few lines of the interlinear addition, it seems that Wilford Woodruff visited the mound only after the original group had made the find and that he remained “undecided” about who dug and found the body. While the point is not entirely clear, it appears that he was not with the original party. Thus his value as a witness to the events on the mound is somewhat diminished. He almost certainly was a party to discussions that took place away from the mound, however, since the thigh bone was carried in his own wagon.

The longest and most detailed near-contemporaneous account was written by Levi Hancock, later one of the Presidents of the Seventy. Like Wilford Woodruff, he was not with the group that discovered the remains of Zelph, but he saw the bones and the arrowpoint they brought back to camp. Hancock wrote the following account in his journal:
On the way to Illinois River where we camped on the west side in the morning, many went to see the big mound about a mile below the crossing. I did not go on it but saw some bones that was brought with a broken arrow, they was layed down by our camp Joseph addressed himself to Sylvester Smith, “This is what I told you and now I want to tell you that you may know what I meant; this land was called the land of desolation and Onendagus was the king and a good man was he, there in that mound did he bury his dead and did not dig holes as the people do now but they brought there dirt and covered them until you see they have raised it to be about one hundred feet high, the last man buried was Zelf, he was a white Lamanite who fought with the people of Onendagus for freedom, when he was young he was a great warrior and had his thigh broken and never was set, it knitted together as you see on the side, he fought after it got strength until he lost every tooth in his head save one when the Lord said he had done enough and suffered him to be killed by that arrow you took from his breast.” These words he said as the camp was moving off[†] the ground; as near as I could learn he had told them something about the mound and got them to go and see for themselves. I then remembered what he had said a few days before while passing many mounds on our way that was left of us; said he, “there are the bodies of wicked men who have died and are angry at us; if they can take the advantage of us they will, for if we live they will have no hope.” I could not comprehend it but supposed it was all right.10

Hancock reports different information than do the earlier accounts when he tells us the land was named Desolation and Onendagus was a king and a good man, but he says nothing about his being a prophet. However, he does inform us that Zelph lost all his teeth but one, and he implies that Zelph was relatively aged at death. His account makes no mention of the Hill Cumorah or of Onendagus’s wide fame, but it agrees that Zelph was a white Lamanite. Hancock’s is the only source to report any specific circumstances surrounding Joseph Smith’s statements about the skeleton, and this information may be significant: Joseph spoke about it to Sylvester Smith. This is not a trivial point, for Sylvester Smith was a troublemaker in the camp who “rebbled against the order of the camp,” eventually apostatizing.11 We do not know to what extent others heard Joseph speak about Zelph or whether some of their information was filtered through Sylvester Smith. The possibility seems small, however, that Sylvester Smith had much influence on the story, since no other account mentions him. Joseph’s addressing him specifically may have been intended as a warning of evil influences and threats from “the bodies of the wicked men” buried in these mounds who were “angry” at the camp as they marched through “desolation.” Such threats surrounding the group required their fullest obedience and alertness in order to escape.
An account by Heber C. Kimball of the discovery of Zelpth was published in the *Times and Seasons* in 1845 under the title, “Extracts from H. C. Kimball’s Journal.” An identical account, except for a lack of editing, is found in the autobiography Kimball dictated to James Sloan after the Saints arrived in the Salt Lake Valley. The version in the autobiography goes as follows:

On Tuesday the 3rd, we went up, several of us, with Joseph Smith Jr. to the top of a mound on the bank of the Illinois river, which was several hundred feet above the river, and from the summit of which we had a pleasant view of the surrounding country: we could overlook the tops of the trees on to the meadow or prairie on each side the river as far as our eyes could extend, which was one of the most pleasant scenes I ever beheld. On the top of this mound there was the appearance of three altars, which had been built of stone, one above another, according to the ancient order; and the ground was strewn over with human bones. This caused in us very peculiar feelings, to see the bones of our fellow creatures scattered in this manner, who had been slain in ages past. We felt prompted to dig down into the mound, and sending for a shovel and hoe, we proceeded to move away the earth. At about one foot deep we discovered the skeleton of a man, almost entire; and between two of his ribs we found an Indian arrow, which had evidently been the cause of his death. We took the leg and thigh bones and carried them along with us to Clay county. All four appeared sound. Elder B. Young has yet the arrow in his possession. It is a common thing to find bones thus drenching upon the earth in this country.

The same day, we pursued our journey. While on our way we felt anxious to know who the person was who had been killed by that arrow. It was made known to Joseph that he had been an officer who fell in battle, in the last destruction among the Lamanites, and his name was Zelpth. This caused us to rejoice much, to think that God was so mindful of us as to show these things to his servant. Brother Joseph had enquired of the Lord and it was made known in a vision.12

Only Heber C. Kimball says that Zelpth was killed in “the last destruction among the Lamanites,” and the meaning of this phrase is unclear. “Last” may refer to the final destruction of the Nephites fifteen hundred years earlier, or it may have reference to the last battle of Zelpth’s people, whoever they were. The battle was “among the Lamanites,” which may mean between the Nephites and the Lamanites but may also refer to a battle of Lamanites against other Lamanites, if we assume that the Lamanites may have had prophets among them. Kimball’s account is also unique in that he says he went with Joseph Smith to the top of the mound and relates that they felt prompted to dig down into the mound, but first they had to send for a shovel and hoe. The discovery was made after digging about one foot.13 The other early accounts do not say
that Joseph was present when the bones were dug up; rather they state or imply that he was not involved until some time later. According to Kimball, it was later in the day while continuing on the journey westward that the Prophet made the identification of the person whose bones they had found. This is consistent with Hancock’s statement that Joseph spoke “as the camp was moving off the ground.” Kimball states further that this was made known by means of a vision to Joseph after he had inquired of the Lord.

Kimball’s account has a different tone from the earlier ones, especially those of Martin and Hancock. Instead of mentioning any concerns about death, destruction, evil influences, the angry dead, or thousands of graves, Kimball paints an idyllic scene, pleasantly overlooking meadow and tree tops, and he recalls their “peculiar feelings” as feelings of sympathy for these “fellow creatures” whose bones had been scattered in ages past. His depiction is in keeping with the joyous spirit reflected in Joseph’s letter to Emma.

Kimball also speaks of locating certain remains that had “the appearance” of three altars, a detail mentioned in no other primary source. The passage of time may have dimmed Kimball’s memory on this point. His account appears to be a later recollection, written possibly around 1843. (See the connection with the work of Willard Richards discussed below.) Moreover, Kimball’s account makes no explicit reference to the Nephites, and he sees the value of Joseph’s vision primarily not in what it revealed about the ancient inhabitants of that region, but in how it showed that “God was so mindful of” the camp and especially of his “servant, Brother Joseph.”

George A. Smith, another member of Zion’s Camp who became an Apostle, included the following information in a history prepared in 1857: “Monday, 2 June 1834: Some of us visited a mound on a bluff about 300 feet high and dug up some bones, which excited deep interest among the brethren. The President and many others visited the mound on the following morning.” According to this brief version, Joseph’s visit to the mound came on the morning after the discovery of the skeleton.

Sometime after arriving in the Salt Lake Valley, probably during the 1850s, Wilford Woodruff began writing his autobiography. An examination of the manuscript in his own hand, now in the Church Archives, reveals that when he came to that part of his life when the bones of Zelph were found he added information not found in his journal account. Woodruff’s autobiographical account is as follows:
During our travels we visited many mounds thrown up by the Ancient inhabitants of Nephites and Lamanites, this morning we went unto a high mound near the river Joseph & many of the Brethren went up this mound was very high from the top of it—we could overlook the tops of the trees as far as our vision could extend and the scenery was truly delightful. On the top of the mound were stones which presented the Appearance of three Alters having been erected one above the other according to the Ancient order of things & human bones were strun upon the ground. We had taken a shovel along with us Brother Joseph wished us to dig into the mound we dug into it about one foot & came upon the skeleton of a man almost entire and an Arrow was found sticking in his back bone Elder Milton Holmes picked it out & brought it into camp with one of his leg bones which had been broken He put the leg bones in my waggon & I carried it to Clay County Missouri. Brother Joseph feeling anxious to learn something Governing the man, prayed to the Lord & the Lord gave him a vision in open day while lying in his waggon, this mound & his history was placed before him. His name was Zelph, He was a white Lamanite the curse had been taken off from him because of his faith and righteousness He had embraced the gospel, he was a short stout thick set man, He had been a great warrior, Had joined the Nephites & fought for them under the direction of the great Onandagus who held sway & command over the Armies of the Nephites from the Hill Cumorah & Eastern sea to the rocky mountains though the Book of Mormon does not speak of him, He was a great warrior leader & great prophet Zelph had his thigh bone broken from the sling of a stone while in battle in the yr of his youth He was killed with the Arrow sticking in his back bone the vision of the great prophet at the time that Zelph was killed was opened to the prophet Joseph & there [word unclear] were heaped upon the earth & that great Mound of near 300 Feet High placed over them. I felt impressed to bury Zelph’s thigh bone in Temple Block at Jackson County Missouri but I did not have an opportunity and I brought it to Clay County near the house owned by Colonel Arthur & occupied by Lyman Wight.

At the end of the account, written in a different hand and probably at a later date, are the words, “The arrow head is now in possession of his wife Emma Woodruff.”

In this account, written upwards of twenty years after the event, Joseph Smith is described as lying on his back in his wagon when he received the vision in “open day” regarding not only Zelph but the mound and its history. Zelph is here described as a “short, stout, thick set” man, in contrast to the extremely tall man in the Moses Martin account. According to Woodruff, Zelph had joined the Nephites and fought for them under the direction of the Prophet Onandagus, who “held sway” from the Hill Cumorah and eastern sea to the Rocky Mountains. Zelph’s thigh bone was broken by a stone while in a battle in his youth, and he was killed by the arrow found in his back. We are led to believe that the thigh bone was buried near the Clay County house owned by a Colonel Arthur and
that Emma Woodruff had possession of the arrow, not Brigham Young or Burr Riggs, as in other accounts. This might indicate that President Young did not attach any particular significance to either the arrow or the remains in which it was found. Finally, in this account, Zelph was clearly associated with the Nephites, as was Onandagus.

On 22 February 1893, James E. Talmage reported a visit with Wilford Woodruff during which President Woodruff showed him "a sacred relic then in his possession in the shape of an Indian arrow head." This arrow was said to have been the cause of the death of the white Lamanite, Zelph. According to Talmage's account the arrow point had come into Wilford Woodruff's possession through Zina Young Card, a daughter of Brigham Young, who had formerly had possession of it. At the suggestion of George F. Gibbs, his secretary, President Woodruff then dictated the following account of the finding of Zelph:

While traveling with Zion's camp, through the State of Ohio, we came to a very high mound, to the top of which we climbed by means of steps over which grass had grown. The steps were very wide, probably about twelve feet. We found the top of the mound to be quite level and to cover a great deal of ground. After overlooking the surrounding country, and descending half way down we were halted by command of the Prophet Joseph. We had taken a shovel with us to the top of the mound, thinking we might have some use for it, and after halting, the Prophet, speaking to the man who had the shovel, told him to throw up the dirt at a certain place to which he pointed, After removing a little more than six inches of soil the skeleton of a man was discovered, from a joint in whose backbone the Prophet drew a flint arrow head which had been the means of taking his life.

The Lord showed the Prophet Joseph that this was the skeleton of a white Lamanite named Zelph, and that he fought under a great chieftain named Onandagus, whose dominion covered an immense body of country. The book of Mormon does not mention the name of this Indian Chief, Onandagus.16

In this account, dictated fifty-nine years after the event, Woodruff says that he accompanied the Prophet onto the hill, which was not the case according to his earlier accounts; he also adds details that are absent from his journal and from the other primary sources. He tells us they took the hoe and the shovel with them, while others said they had to send for them after they arrived on top of the hill. He also states that they were halfway down the hill before they began digging and that they commenced at the request of the Prophet himself. President Woodruff also tells us that they found ancient steps which they used to make their way up the hill. According to James L. Bradley, who has visited the mound many times, there are no steps leading to the top, or altars. However, the
writer recently climbed the eastern side of the mound and found in certain places indentations that might be described as steps. Understandably, some details had faded in the memory of President Woodruff and other points had been logically added over the space of many intervening years. Still several basic parts of the story are recognizable in this late recollection.

My purpose in scrutinizing these accounts closely is not in any way to discredit the diligent writers of these diary and journal entries. Given the circumstances under which these records were kept and the independent viewpoint of most of the authors, it is remarkable that they agree in as many respects as they do. There can be no doubt that the men of Zion’s Camp were deeply impressed by the discovery on 3 June 1834 of the bones of a man Joseph Smith called Zelpf. Nevertheless, the accounts give varying and sometimes conflicting details. Interestingly, the earlier accounts do not expressly identify Zelpf with the Nephites, as do the later accounts. Perhaps this is because Joseph’s statements to his brethren were not as clear to them at the time they were made as they seemed in retrospect or as we might be inclined to assume today. It also appears that some information couched in somewhat speculative terms in the earlier accounts later came to be understood with greater certainty and specificity. For these reasons, close and cautious examination of these historical records is necessary.

THE ZELPH STORY AND THE HISTORY OF THE CHURCH

The foregoing examination of the primary sources for the Zelpf story has laid the foundation for a comparison of them with the section on Zelpf in the History of the Church, the most familiar source on this subject. The story is related in this history as if Joseph Smith himself were telling it, but that is not actually the case, of course. How then did the story reach its present form in this history?

In 1842, Willard Richards, as Church Historian, was assigned the task of compiling a large number of documents and producing a history of the Church from them. He worked on this material between 21 December 1842 and 27 March 1843. He himself had not joined the Church until 1836, but he would easily have learned from associates that Joseph Smith had kept no record of the march of Zion’s Camp. Therefore, Richards presumably had to rely on the writings or recollections of Heber C. Kimball, Wilford Woodruff, and perhaps others for his information. The McBride and Martin accounts in particular, and perhaps also the Hancock record, may have been unknown to him, as the writers were not prominent Church figures. It is possible that Richards consulted with Joseph
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Smith, for the Prophet was overseeing the preparation of the history.

Blending the sources available to him, and perhaps using oral accounts from some of the members of Zion’s Camp, but writing as if he were Joseph Smith, historian Richards drafted the story of Zelph as it appears in the “Manuscript History of the Church, Book A-1” (words in italic are in the handwriting of Wilmer Benson):

Tuesday the 3rd During our travels we visited several of the mounds which had been thrown up by the ancient inhabitants of this country, Nephites, Lamanites &c. and this morning I went up on a high mound near the river, accompanied by several the brethren. From this mound we could overlook the tops of the trees and view the prairie on each side of the river as far as our vision could extend and the scenery was truly delightful.

On the top of the mound were stones which presented the appearance of three altars having been erected, one above the other, according to ancient order and the remains of human bones were strewn over the surface of the ground. The brethren procured a shovel and hoe, and removing the earth to the depth of about one foot discovered the skeleton of a man, almost entire, and between his ribs the stone point of was a Lamanitish arrow, which evidently produced his death. Elder Burr Riggs Brigham–Young retained the Arrow, and the brethren carried some pieces of the skeleton to Clay County. The contemplation of the scenery around before us produced peculiar sensations in our bosoms and subsequently the vision of the past being open to my understanding by the Spirit of the Almighty, I discovered that the person whose Skeleton we had seen was before us was a white Lamanite, a large thick set man and a man of God. His name was Zelph. He was a warrior and chieftain under the great prophet Onandagus who was known from the hill Cumorah or eastern Sea, to the Rocky Mountains, His name was Zelph. The curse was taken from Zelph him, or at least, in part. one of his thigh bones was broken by a stone flung from a sling, while in battle, years before his death. He was killed in battle, by the arrow found among his ribs, during a last great struggle with the Lamanites and Nephites: Elder Woodruff carried the thigh bone to Clay county.17

It is apparent that a number of details were not settled in Richards’s mind as he drafted and revised this statement. A close study of the primary accounts enables us to see how Richards probably came to write what he did. Since this was only one small incident in a long narrative, he understandably did not go to great lengths to check for detailed consistency in what he wrote. At any rate, he introduced minor differences or discrepancies into the story. For example, Wilford Woodruff’s “inhabitants of this continent” became “the inhabitants of this country” (others would later say “county”), and Woodruff’s statement that mounds in the area had been built “probably by the Nephites and Lamanites” became
an implied certainty when Richards left out the word "probably." The mere "arrow" of the three earliest accounts became an "Indian Arrow" (as in Kimball), and finally a "Lamanitish Arrow." The phrase "known from the Atlantic to the Rocky Mountains," as in the McBride diary, became "known from the Hill Cumorah" (stricken out) or "eastern sea to the Rocky Mountains," similar to words penned by Wilford Woodruff. The statement that the battle in which Zelph was killed occurred "among the Lamanites" (as in Kimball) became "with the Lamanites." We cannot tell whether these changes were true to Joseph Smith's original intent, for they give the account an air of greater precision than may have been originally present.

A second copy of the same material exists, known as "Manuscript History of the Church, Book A-2," apparently written entirely in the hand of Wilmer Benson. It differs from the Richards version in a dozen details of spelling, punctuation, and phrasing, but only two differences are substantive. Where Richards describes Zelph as "a man of God," Benson puts "a son of God," and Richards's "a great struggle with the Lamanites" reads in Benson, "the last great struggle with the Lamanites." The second manuscript was written as a back-up in case the first was lost or destroyed.

Following the martyrdom of the Prophet, the *Times and Seasons* published serially the "History of Joseph Smith." When the story of the finding of Zelph appeared in the 1 January 1846 issue, it read as follows:

We encamped on the bank of the river until Tuesday the 3rd during our travels we visited several of the mounds which had been thrown up by the ancient inhabitants of this county, Nephites, Lamanites, &c., and this morning I went up on a high mound, near the river, accompanied by the brethren. From this mound we could overlook the tops of the trees and view the prairie on each side of the river as far as our vision could extend, and the scenery was truly delightful.

On the top of the mound were stones which presented the appearance of three alters having been erected one above the other, according to ancient order; and human bones were strewn over the surface of the ground. The brethren procured a shovel and hoe, and removing the earth to the depth of about one foot discovered [the] skeleton of a man, almost entire, and between his ribs was a Lamanitish arrow, which evidently produced his death, Elder Brigham Young retained the arrow and the brethren carried some pieces of the skeleton to Clay county. The contemplation of the scenery before us produced peculiar sensations in our bosoms; and the visions of the past being opened to my understanding by the spirit of the Almighty I discovered that the person whose skeleton was before us, was a white Lamanite, a large thick set man, and a man of God. He was a warrior and chieftain under the great prophet
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Omandagus, who was known from the hill Cumorah, or Eastern sea, to the Rocky Mountains. His name was Zelph. The curse was taken from him, or at least, in part; one of his thigh bones was broken, by a stone flung from a sling, while in battle years before his death. He was killed in battle, by the arrow found among his ribs, during the last great struggle of the Lamanites and Nephites.18

Most of the words crossed out in the Richards manuscript are, for some unknown reason, included in this publication, along with the point that the prophet’s name was Omandagus. Brigham Young, not Burr Riggs, is still said to have retained the arrow, and the reference to the Hill Cumorah, from the unemended Wilford Woodruff journal, is still included in the narrative. Further, the concluding sentence in the Times and Seasons account reads, “during the last great struggle of the Lamanites and Nephites,” whereas both the Richards and Benson manuscripts had crossed off “and Nephites.”

The story of Zelph appeared again in June 1888 in the Historical Record, a periodical edited and published by Andrew Jenson, the Church’s primary historical writer at that time. In this account, Burr Riggs is said to have retained the arrow, and the Hill Cumorah is still mentioned, as are the Nephites.19 The 1904 first edition of the B. H. Roberts edited, seven-volume History of the Church repeats the account as Richards had left it. In 1948, after Joseph Fielding Smith had become Church Historian, explicit references to the Hill Cumorah and the Nephites were reintroduced.20 That phrasing has continued to the present in all reprintings.

When Reorganized LDS Church historian Heman C. Smith, in 1922, wrote his “History of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints 1805–1890,” he followed the 1845 Times and Seasons account with only minor editing. However, he did not give Zelph’s name.21

It is obvious, then, that historians in both the LDS and RLDS churches have written the accounts so that the reader believes he is reading the Prophet Joseph Smith’s own words. While, as Dean Jesse has shown, this was an accepted practice among nineteenth-century historians,22 it has misled many readers by conveying the impression that Joseph Smith personally recorded far more about the events in which he participated than was the case. Moreover, the official historians would be faulted by modern scholars both for shaping and modifying the published narratives to agree with particular sources without considering their factual merits and for ignoring several of the earliest sources. I shall not attempt to reconstruct what Joseph Smith may have said to members of Zion’s
Camp regarding Zelph on 3 and 4 June 1834. His exact words are beyond our historical grasp. But it seems to me we are warranted in drawing certain conclusions from the available evidence.

**THE SIGNIFICANCE OF ZELPH**

It seems obvious that discussion and argumentation about historical and geographical questions in LDS circles has put more weight than is justified on the detailed phrasing of the account of Zelph as it is published in the *History of the Church*. Joseph Smith himself did not write much about the incident. He alluded to it only once, in his letter to Emma of 4 June 1834, when he identified the terrain over which the camp had marched as the “plains of the Nephites.” Evidently these plains were in some respect associated with, or comparable to, the battlefields of the Nephites, but beyond that it is unclear what Joseph meant by this expression.

An examination of the original accounts of the events of 3 June 1834 yields the following general descriptions: The extant accounts vary widely in length (from 40 to about 375 words) and in the range of information they cover. Where they report statements or observations about the same particular point, unanimity is rare; noticeably different “facts” are reported about the same events. Only Hancock’s account makes it perfectly clear that he was not a firsthand witness to the primary happenings. All the others leave it unclear whether the writers saw or heard for themselves some or all of what they report or whether their information came by hearsay. In no case are we certain how much time elapsed before the journal accounts were recorded.

The experience of historians and the experiments of psychologists have established that precise recall of details about a happening fades notoriously rapidly. Differences in the reported facts among the basic sources may owe in part to delays in recording. Certainly Wilford Woodruff’s recollections fifty-nine years after the event were markedly inaccurate, and Heber C. Kimball’s story, which he seems to have put on the record at least a decade after the Zelph affair, contains phrasings only uncertainly supported by other accounts. The earlier accounts are more tentative, including words such as “supposed” (McBride), “suppose” and “no doubt” (Martin), “probably” and “undecided” (Woodruff). The later accounts are more specific and certain. The chart in the appendix at the end of this essay shows the points of agreement and difference in these sources.

While it is impossible with the available evidence to reconstruct the exact process by which the narrative as it appears in the
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*History of the Church* was constructed by historical writers, some points are apparent. Practically all elements of the story incorporated into the account by Willard Richards are found in just two sources, Woodruff and Kimball. Kimball’s diaries begin with his British mission in 1837, and the journal from which the account of the finding of Zelph is taken was dictated from memory to Robert B. Thompson in 1840. It is probable that Joseph Smith read what his clerks, Willard Richards and Wilmer Benson, wrote about this event for the *History of the Church*. Their manuscript accounts cross out all reference to “the Nephites” and to the “hill Cumorah.” We cannot know on present evidence, however, whether the crossing out was at Joseph’s instance or with his approval.

That members of Zion’s Camp dug up a skeleton near the Illinois River in early June 1834 is certain. Equally sure is that Joseph Smith made statements about the deceased person and his historical setting. It is unclear which statements attributed to him derived from his vision, as opposed to being implied or surmised either by him or by others. Nothing in the diaries suggests that the mound itself was discovered by revelation. Moses Martin indicates that the men dug because they were “filled with curiosity.” Levi Hancock simply reports that “many went to see the big mound about a mile below the crossing.” Heber C. Kimball seems to say they went to the mound to get “a pleasant view of the surrounding country.”

Most sources agree that Zelph was a white Lamanite who fought under a leader named Onandagus (variously spelled). Beyond that, what Joseph said to his men is not entirely clear, judging by the variations in the available sources. Therefore, those who try to support a particular historical or geographical point of view about the Book of Mormon by citing the Zelph story are on inconclusive grounds.

The date of the man Zelph remains unclear. Expressions such as “great struggles among the Lamanites,” if accurately reported, could refer to a period long after the close of the Book of Mormon narrative as well as to the fourth century A.D. None of the sources before the Willard Richards composition, however, actually say that Zelph died in battle *with* the Nephites, only that he died “in battle” when the otherwise unidentified people of Onandagus were engaged in great wars “among the Lamanites.” Archaeological research in mounds near the one where the bones of Zelph were discovered indicates that the mounds and the artifacts found within them belong to the Middle Woodland Period, dated somewhere between perhaps 100 B.C. and A.D. 500. However, the Zelph skeleton came from a shallow burial near the top of the mound.
Who knows whether it was intrusive, buried there more recently than the period of the main mound construction? Apostle John A. Widtsoe once wrote, "Zelph probably dated from a later time when the Nephites and Lamanites had been somewhat dispersed and had wandered over the country."24 Thus, it is unclear when Zelph himself lived.

Zelph was identified as a "Lamanite," a label agreed on by all the accounts. This term might refer to the ethnic and cultural category spoken of in the Book of Mormon as actors in the destruction of the Nephites, or it might refer more generally to a descendant of the earlier Lamanites and could have been considered in 1834 as the equivalent of "Indian" (see, for example, D&C 3:18, 20; 10:48; 28:8; 32:2). Nothing in this study can settle the question of Zelph's specific ethnic identity.

Exactly what Joseph Smith believed at different times in his life concerning Book of Mormon geography in general is also indeterminable. Only a few clues remain. For example, while the Church was headquartered in Nauvoo, Joseph read a best-selling book of his day by John Lloyd Stephens, *Incidents of Travel in Central America, Chiapas, and Yucatan*, which John Bernhisel had sent to him from the East. In a letter dated 16 November 1841, the Prophet thanked Bernhisel and wrote of the book that "of all histories that had been written pertaining to the antiquities of this country it is the most correct" and that it "supports the testimony of the Book of Mormon."25 Ten months later, the *Times and Seasons* printed an enthusiastic review of the Stephens volume. John Taylor was the editor, although Joseph Smith had shortly before announced his own editorial responsibility for the newspaper. The unnamed writer of the review (probably Wilford Woodruff) stated that "we have just learned . . . the city of Zarahemla . . . stood upon this land" (Guatemala, whose ruins Stephens was reporting). Still, other data seem to reflect a different view and make it uncertain just what geographical conception, if any single one, prevailed among the early Church leaders. Evidently Joseph Smith's views on this matter were open to further knowledge. Thus in 1834, when Zelph was found, Joseph believed that the portion of America over which they had just traveled was "the plains of the Nephites" and that their bones were "proof" of the Book of Mormon's authenticity. By 1842 he evidently believed that the events in most of Nephite history took place in Central America. While it is possible to reconcile these two views—for example by believing that the bulk of Nephite history occurred in Central America while only certain battles or excursions took place in Illinois—it is likely that the thinking of the early Church leaders regarding Book of Mormon geography was subject
to modification, indicating that they themselves did not see the issue as settled. When the committee preparing the 1921 edition of the Book of Mormon (composed of George F. Richards, Orson F. Whitney, James E. Talmage, Anthony W. Ivins, Joseph Fielding Smith, and Melvin J. Ballard) met “to give certain brethren an opportunity to state their views regarding the geography of the Book of Mormon,” none of the scholars who spoke to the group used the Zelph story as evidence for their position. That was probably because the quartet who made presentations—Joel Ricks, Willard Young, B. H. Roberts, and President Ivins—generally argued that the Nephite-Lamanite civilization spoken of in the scripture was centered in South or Central America. A quarter of a century later, however, Joseph Fielding Smith used the Zelph story to support his view that the Hill Cumorah in the state of New York “is the exact hill spoken of in the Book of Mormon.” The debate about Zelph’s relation to Book of Mormon geography will likely continue since the facts in hand do not allow for a decisive settlement of the matter.

Daniel J. Boorstin has reminded historians that they are both discoverers and creators, always trying to reduce or remove ambiguity. The successful historian leads his readers to take—or mistake—his accounts for what really occurred and was recorded. The historian’s labor is limited by the reliability of “the remains of the past as clues to what was really there.” In the case of Zelph, the clues are sketchy and in some cases inconsistent. In such circumstances, it is the historian’s responsibility not only to gather and present the evidence but to advise caution in drawing conclusions.
## APPENDIX

**The Various Sources and Some Variations in Content Used to Reconstruct the Story of the Finding of Zelph**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day of personal visit</td>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>&quot;we visited&quot; but implied not with the large group</td>
<td>&quot;many went; I did not go&quot;</td>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>2nd, &quot;some of us visited&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day Joseph visited</td>
<td>3rd, morning, with several others</td>
<td>3rd, &quot;with Joseph&quot;</td>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>3rd, with many others</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple mounds</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Who built mounds</td>
<td>probably Nephites and Lamanites</td>
<td></td>
<td>ancient Inhab. of this country</td>
<td>ancient Inhab. of this country</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortifications near</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land once called</td>
<td>Land of desolation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mound height</td>
<td>300 ft. above river</td>
<td>about 100 ft.</td>
<td>&quot;high,&quot; over-looking prairie</td>
<td>several hundred ft. above river over-looking prairie</td>
<td>very high, 300 ft.</td>
<td>on a bluff about 300 ft. high</td>
<td>very high</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bones seen on surface</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altars seen</td>
<td>stones presented appearance of three altars</td>
<td>appearance of three altars</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>three altars</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steps up mound</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motive in digging</td>
<td>curiosity</td>
<td></td>
<td>felt prompted</td>
<td>Joseph told them to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Note: Same as HC A1, 1842-43 where indicated.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dog at whose insistence</th>
<th>Who dug</th>
<th>tools sent for</th>
<th>Where dug on mound</th>
<th>Dug how deep</th>
<th>Remains found</th>
<th>Name of remains found</th>
<th>Was Zeph a warrior</th>
<th>Was Zeph a captain</th>
<th>Was Zeph godly</th>
<th>Who was Zeph fighting under</th>
<th>Was Zeph a prophet</th>
<th>Was Ommandagus a chief/king</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Joseph</td>
<td></td>
<td>top</td>
<td>about two feet</td>
<td>a skeleton</td>
<td>Zeph</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Omandagus</td>
<td>a mighty prophet</td>
<td>king and a good man</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>about one foot</td>
<td>the bones of a man</td>
<td>Zeph</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>chieftain</td>
<td>a man</td>
<td>Onandagus</td>
<td>a prophet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>one foot</td>
<td>some bones brought back and a broken arrow</td>
<td>Zeph</td>
<td>when young, great warrior</td>
<td>officer</td>
<td>of God</td>
<td>Onendages, Onendagus Onendagus</td>
<td>great prophet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>one foot</td>
<td>skeleton and arrow</td>
<td>Zeph</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>chief</td>
<td>a man of God</td>
<td>Onandagus</td>
<td>great prophet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>almost entire skeleton, arrow</td>
<td>Zeph</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Onandagus</td>
<td>warrior and prophet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>skeleton</td>
<td>Zeph</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Onandagus</td>
<td>prophet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>some bones</td>
<td>Zeph</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Onandagus</td>
<td>great warrior, leader, and prophet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>skeleton of a man</td>
<td>Zeph</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Onandagus</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Zeph</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Onandagus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Presence:
- brethren
- we or us
- had shovel
- halfway down
- little more than six in.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Omandagus known from</strong></td>
<td>Atlantic to Rocky Mountains</td>
<td>Hill Cumorah on the East sea to the Rocky Mountains</td>
<td>eastern sea to the Rocky Mountains</td>
<td>Hill Cumorah to Rocky Mountains</td>
<td>Hill Cumorah to Rocky Mountains</td>
<td>Hill Cumorah or eastern sea to Rocky Mountains</td>
<td>Hill Cumorah or eastern sea to Rocky Mountains</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cause of Zelph's death**
- Arrow
- Large stone arrow
- Arrow
- Evidently a stone point, a "Lamanitish arrow"
- "Indian arrow"
- Lamanitish arrow
- Arrow
- Flint arrowhead

**Where was arrow point?**
- Ribs
- In trunk near vitals
- Among his ribs, out of backbones
- Breast
- Between his ribs, among his ribs
- Between two ribs
- Between ribs
- Backbone
- A joint in backbone

**Cause of death**
- During a great struggle with the Lamanites
- In the last destruction among the Lamanites
- Last great struggle of Nepthites and Lamanites
- A last struggle with the Nepthites and Lamanites

**Who removed arrow**
- Prophet

**Zelph's unusual size**
- Estimate 8 or 9 feet high
- Large, thickset
- Large, thickset
- Short, stout, thickset

**Aged**
- Clearly implied
- Implied

**Prior broken thigh**
- Yes
- Yes
- Yes
- Yes

**A white Lamanite**
- Yes
- Yes
- Yes
- Yes

**Curse removed**
- Yes, "or in part"
- Yes, "at least in part"
- Yes, "or at least in part"
- Yes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Where indicated</th>
<th>Same as HC AL 183 AD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lord inspired Joseph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>When Joseph explained about</th>
<th>How Joseph learned about</th>
<th>How mounds were built</th>
<th>Mound's purpose</th>
<th>How many battles, deaths</th>
<th>Who kept the bones</th>
<th>Who kept arrow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>soon after</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Smith brought dirt and covered dead bodies.
- We buried the dead.
- We kept the bones.

Elder Woodruff - Emma Woodruff
Burrough Young - Brigham Young
NOTES

1 Roger D. Launius, Zion's Camp (Independence, Mo.: Herald Publishing House, 1984), 50.
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