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"Has the Lord Turned Bankrupt?"
The Attempted Sale of the Nauvoo Temple, 1846-1850

Richard Bennett

If Joseph Smith had lived we should not have been here at this time. We should have been in some other country. We can’t stay in this house but a little while. We have got to build another house. It will be a larger house than this, and a more glorious one. And we shall build a great many houses, we shall come back here and we shall go to Kirtland, and build houses all over the continent of North America.¹

On 4 April 1999 Gordon B. Hinckley, President of The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, electrified millions of his faithful followers worldwide when he announced, quite unexpectedly, the decision to rebuild the Nauvoo Temple.² His announcement amounted to a statement on resurrection, to raise from the dead, as it were, the short-lived Mormon jewel that once so proudly overlooked the Mississippi River. Five years in the building, the Nauvoo Temple was the very symbol of their developing faith, a monument to the teachings of their revered prophet-leader, Joseph Smith, Jr. Unfortunately, the storied building was abandoned as the Mormons were forced to leave their City of Joseph at the point of gun and cannon and headed west in 1846. Soon afterward, the structure fell victim to fire and tornado. Today, with the new temple recently completed and dedicated, it may be timely and appropriate to review the temple’s short-lived history.³

The purpose of this article is to examine the fascinating history of the Nauvoo Temple from late 1845, as it neared completion, until its eventual destruction three years later, and to focus on those efforts to sell it to interested buyers. This study will attempt to answer the following specific questions: 1) Why did Mormons leaders consider selling the temple? 2) Who were the most interested buyers? 3) What was the nature of the negotiations? 4) What factors prevented the sale from occurring? 5) Did the Latter-day Saints ever consider coming back? And finally, 6) Is there any truth to the accusation that Brigham Young ordered its eventual destruction? The fact is, had the Latter-day Saints been successful in their intentions to sell the temple to the Roman Catholic Church or other serious buyers, the Latter-day Saints would not be now enjoying their new temple edifice in the quiet river town of Nauvoo, Illinois.
“Holiness to the Lord”—The Nauvoo Temple

Nothing spoke to the expected permanency of Nauvoo as headquarters for The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints as did the construction of the Nauvoo Temple. Joseph Smith, revered as prophet, seer and revelator by his people, had declared in January 1841 that it was the Lord’s intent for those thousands then assembling in Nauvoo “to build a house unto me,” not for Sunday worship but for such uniquely Mormon practices as baptisms for the dead, eternal marriage, and other sacred temple ordinances. Even the site for the temple met heaven’s approval. Thus the Latter-day Saints, who had built a similar, though smaller, edifice in Kirtland, Ohio, some few years before, looked upon the building of the Nauvoo Temple as divine directive, a benediction upon their past performances, a statement that they were yet Zion’s people.

Although some construction had begun on the temple in the fall of 1840, the cornerstones were not laid until 6 April 1841. Made possible from the sacrifices of men, women, and even children of time, talent and tithes in the form of china, glassware, clocks and watches, clothing, furniture, household goods, and donated as well as enlisted labor, this remarkable structure, 128 feet by 88 feet and with a tower and spire rising 158-1/2 feet into the air, gradually towered above the city. Built of native gray limestone, the temple showed several distinct features including large decorative moonstones at the base of each of its 30 pilasters (or buttresses) and unusual sun and star stones further up the sides of each column. The tower featured a belfry and observatory, topped with a cupola and a golden statue of an angel flying in a horizontal position.

As one of the workers boasted: “The temple is a stone building. The quality of stone [is] of a superior quality, viz., limestone and polished in the nicest manner by some of the best English workman. . . . Not the sound of any tool was heard on the walls. Every stone was fitted to its place previous to its ascent up on the building.”

The appearance of the temple was as commanding as the city was ordinary. E. V. Carter, a visitor to Nauvoo in later 1845 and a major in the United States Army, gave the following description. “Spent three hours on a visit to . . . Nauvoo, which is not built compact and has a dilapidated appearance,” he confided to his journal. He continued,

There is a want of sprightliness or a dull heavy expression in their physiognomy with a lackluster eye but the most prominent curiosity is the temple which is certainly an imposing edifice which is for the most part completed. The body of the building is composed of stone and
is 128' by 80' and three stories high. On each end are six pilasters and nine on each side with a crescent carved in the stone base of each and surmounted with carved work in the midst of which is carved a human face representing the sun. The inside of the temple is unfinished, but about 150 men are constantly employed in the work. The inscription in front is carved in relief and reads "Commenced 1841 by the Latter-day Saints. Holiness to the Lord." It is said that the Mormons intend to remove next spring but they are prosecuting the work of completing their temple and have commenced the construction of a strong stone wall around the same all of which does not look much like emigrating en masse to California or anywhere else.9

For reasons well-documented elsewhere, the Latter-day Saints, now bereft of their prophet-leader who had been killed the year before, understood that for their survival and that of their religion, the only solution was to leave, to seek asylum somewhere in the West "where none would come to hurt or make afraid."10 Brigham Young, president of the Quorum of the Twelve Apostles and generally accepted interim Mormon leader, recognized more clearly than most the inevitability of exodus and bent his efforts to prepare his people to that end. Consequently, even before the temple was finished, he began to dedicate various parts of the building and to conduct special "endowment" sessions for his people.11

Such endowments, considered the crowning blessing of the temple, occupied the attention of Church leaders from mid-December 1845 until 8 February 1846 and constituted the major reason for delaying their departure. "The main and only cause of our tarrying so long," he later wrote, "was to give the brethren those blessings in the temple for which they had labored so diligently and faithfully to build, and as soon as it was prepared we labored incessantly almost night and day to wait on them until a few days previous to our departure. During the short space in which we had the privilege of working in the temple we gave the endowments to about 8,000 of the Saints."12

"The Catholics Should Get This Temple"

Brigham Young recognized that deteriorating economics were a major impediment to their leaving Nauvoo. Despite every good intention and promise of those better off to help on the poor and the widowed, the question remained: Just where would the money come from to transport thousands of people into the wilderness? While many clung to the hope that
they would be able to sell their properties and improvements, would not potential buyers, aware that the Saints were abandoning the city, bide their time until a city, wasted and deserted, would be sold off at bargain prices?

And what of the temple itself? Built at an estimated cost of $800,000, the Nauvoo Temple was the most expensive building the Church had ever erected. However, Church leaders knew well that they could only expect to recover a fraction of its cost in any proposed sale. Nevertheless, their hope was that, although properties were declining in value in direct relation to their talk and travel west, the temple, because of its size, imposing appearance, and quality craftsmanship, should realize a sum of at least $200,000.13

In fact, Brigham Young's directive was not only to sell the Nauvoo Temple but also the smaller Kirtland Temple (completed in 1836) back in Ohio; the proceeds from such a double sale would serve the following purposes: 1) Fund the cost of exodus. This included the construction by the Church of thousands of wagons and the outfitting of teams to be loaned out to those families unable to provide for themselves. 2) Charter steamboats in the spring "to convey a freight of the [sick] and poor to the highest navigable point on the Missouri River if it can be, to Council Bluffs." 3) Pay off the debt owed to hundreds of temple laborers, estimated at $2,500.16 4) Pay off various legal expenses incurred by Joseph Smith, Orrin Porter Rockwell, and others over the past few years. 5) Pay off legitimate land and mortgage debts owed to Nauvoo property landowners.16

The first serious overtures to sell the temple were directed at the Roman Catholic Church. Many Quincy Catholics had shown kindness and humanitarian goodwill toward the Latter-day Saints during the Mormon refuge in Quincy, Illinois just six years before. History will record that it was not the Catholics that drove the Saints out of Missouri in the winter of 1838-39. It will also show that it was the citizens of Quincy, many of whom were of the Catholic faith, who saved the Saints from starvation and the Church from desolation during one of its most difficult hours.17 Willard Richards, a prominent Mormon apostle in Nauvoo, spoke highly of his fellow religionists during Joseph Smith's 1844 campaign for the presidency of the United States:

The Mormons and the Catholics . . . are the only two who have not persecuted each other and others in these United States, and the only two who have suffered from the cruel hand of mobocracy for their religion under the name of foreigners—and to stay this growing evil and establish Jeffersonian Democracy! . . . Help us to elect this man [Joseph Smith] and we will help you to secure those privileges which belong to you, and break every yoke.18
At the suggestion of Catholic Judge James H. Ralston of Quincy, proffered sometime in October 1845, Brigham Young dispatched his lawyer, Almon W. Babbitt, to Cincinnati with a letter for Bishop John Purcell of that city and “all other Authorities of the Catholic Church” extending a formal invitation to come and visit Nauvoo. Bishop Purcell referred Babbitt to his counterpart, Bishop William Quarter of Chicago, who recorded in his journal:

Towards the close of this month, the Bishop was waited on by a gentleman of the name of Babbitt from Nauvoo who represented himself as the agent of the Mormons to [this] city and authorized to make sale of their property to the Catholics. The Bishop wrote to Rev. Mistres Hamilton of Springfield and Tucker of Quincy to go to Nauvoo and see what arrangements could be made regarding the leasing, if not purchasing, of the Mormon Temple.

Reverend Hilary Tucker, a native of Perryville, Missouri, had become Quincy’s first English-speaking priest back in 1839. He and his close friend, Reverend George Hamilton, had raised over $2,000 to build the first English-speaking Roman Catholic Church in Quincy, a brick edifice that they christened St. Lawrence, later St. Peter’s. Hamilton then left Quincy for Upper Alton and eventually moved to Springfield in 1845. Bishop Quarter could not have sent a pair of Catholic priests who knew the territory—and the Mormons—any better than these two men did.

Tucker and Hamilton left Quincy, traveled upriver and stopped at Warsaw before their arrival in the Mormon capital on Tuesday, 9 December 1845. They immediately met with Brigham Young and other Church leaders in the home of Willard Richards. That evening in the temple, Brigham Young and the Twelve “finished a letter to the Catholics giving our proposal to sell.” The following morning, at 11:15, the two priests were given a private tour of the building before being “admitted into the upper room of the temple accompanied by Joseph L. Heywood who gave the gentlemen an introduction to those present.” The fact that Brigham Young invited them into the temple and interrupted his busy last-minute preparations for the next day’s beginning of endowment work in the temple is ample evidence to show their lively intent to sell. Orson Hyde then read aloud their proposal after which Brigham Young said, “we wished to realize from the sale of our property sufficient to take all our poor with us in a comfortable manner.”

Reverend Tucker then replied that they had been sent by the Bishop
of Chicago and that they were interested in whatever prospects the temple might hold as a Catholic college. Young replied that there were forty-eight rooms in the temple, all of which could serve either as classrooms or administrative space. While doubting that the two main rooms, with their very high ceilings, would suit as lecture halls, Tucker seemed very impressed with the building over all. Hamilton also expressed an interest in other Nauvoo properties, “one for a school and one for a church,” since many Catholics were “coming from Europe and Nauvoo might be a fine gathering spot.” However, they might prefer a lease to a sale, to which Young replied that he would much rather sell than lease their properties. “Our objective is to get means to assist away the poor—there are hundreds of families who are poor [and are] looking to us and we want to get means to sustain the poor, who have been robbed of their all.”

Reverend Tucker thought it best to publish the Mormon offer in various Catholic newspapers. He also deemed it “advisable” for his superiors to send “a competent committee to ascertain the value” of the properties in Nauvoo, not only the temple. Although they thought the sum, (presumably $200,000) to be raised “was large and the time very short” to conclude an agreement, both felt cautiously optimistic that a deal could be struck and that they would have “a decided answer” by Christmas. Just before the meeting closed, Young, perhaps sensing how difficult a task it might be to raise that kind of money, surprised everyone by amending his offer saying that if the Catholics “agreed to our propositions we will lease them the temple for . . . from five to thirty-five years at a reasonable price, the rent to be paid in finishing the unfinished parts of the temple, the wall around the temple block, the block west of the temple, and keeping the temple in repair.” Clearly he was almost as concerned with keeping the temple from falling into enemy hands as he was with an immediate infusion of cash. And perhaps there was a hint in his tone that someday, God willing, the Saints might return. At any rate, at the end of their seventy-five minute meeting, Reverend Tucker gave “much encouragement that an arrangement would speedily be entered into. Both gentlemen seem highly pleased with the temple and the City and appear to feel sanguine that the Catholics should get this temple and vicinity.”

To the bitter disappointment of Mormon leaders, however, almost a month later on 7 January 1846, they received a letter from Reverend Tucker “informing us that the bishop could not raise enough money to purchase our property, but would either purchase or rent one of our public buildings. . . . A council was taken into consideration, the result of the deliberation was that they would not answer the letter and that the Catholics might go to hell their own way.”

His hopes dashed, Young persisted in the business at hand: to bless
his people, prepare them for exodus, and continue to explore other options for raising money. Faced with enemy resolutions that demanded the complete surrender of the Mormon people and/or their total departure from the state by 1 May 1846 on pain of mob violence, and aware that Governor Thomas Ford was himself convinced that the only real solution to the Mormon problem was for them to leave, Young instructed his people to prepare for exodus. Nauvoo soon was transformed into a bustling wagon-making factory with as many as 2,000 wagons under construction.33

To salvage the most out of a rapidly deteriorating situation, he enlisted the services of three very talented individuals—Almon W. Babbitt, Joseph L. Heywood, and John S. Fullmer—to act as land sale commissioners, or “trustees,” for the Church with power of attorney to sell off both Church and private properties at the very best possible price. “The last day we were in Nauvoo,” Young later confided, “Awe went into the temple, clothed ourselves, and handed it over to the Lord. I appointed the Trustees myself—Babbitt for lawyer, Fullmer for bulldog, and growl, and Heywood to settle debts—a perfect wall between us and the mobs.”31

No more important task devolved upon their shoulders than to continue to make every reasonable effort to sell at any decent rate the very structure the Saints back in Nauvoo were working so hard to complete. “For myself, I am chosen together with two of the Brethren as ‘Trustee-in-Trust’ to dispose of all Church property and to use it in assisting the poor to go the West,” Fullmer confided in an April 1846 letter. “We shall about finish the temple by the first of May, when it will be dedicated to the Most High God, after which we think we will sell it. We expect to get $200,000 for it (about one quarter of its cost).”32

This decision to sell the temple was not publicly made known until the building was finally completed and formally dedicated on 1 May 1846. Prudence seemed to dictate this course. Not known was precisely how the Saints, who had sacrificed so much to build the structure, would respond to leadership attempts to sell it. However, such fears evaporated during conference deliberations during the time the temple was dedicated. “All things are not known at once,” Orson Hyde of the Twelve remarked to the Saints, hundreds of whom had been working on the temple for months, if not years.

This Temple was built for a certain purpose. That is gained. Will we now sell? A vote was taken last fall not to sell it, but a key that will not open a door and shut it again is not a good one. So if we have to sell the Temple to remove the poor, the people that make us do it must pay the bill and meet the consequences. All who are in favor of selling this
House if it meets with the Council of the Twelve manifest it by raising the right hand. It was a unanimous vote, save one.\textsuperscript{33}

Although some 2,500 Latter-day Saints had already left the city by this time, the great majority of Nauvoo's citizens, still numbering over 10,000, were waiting for the spring and promoting whatever sales they could realize from their personal properties and improvements. At the dedication, Lorenzo Young, Brigham Young's younger brother, corroborated the above account when he "spoke of the selling of the temple. Said it was his feeling to sell it and appropriate the avails to the removal of the poor. A vote was taken to know if the Church here was willing it should be sold, provided it should be sanctioned by the brethren in the West." The motion was "carried with one dissenting voice."\textsuperscript{34}

"For the Salvation of the City"

A careful study of the early backroom discussions of the Twelve that led to Babbitt's mission to Bishop Quarter and the appointment of the Trustees suggests that there were considerations at play other than merely financial ones. Rationalizing with the very profane notion of selling off what faithful Latter-day Saints truly believed was God's modern tabernacle, many only resignedly agreed to the proposition, perhaps in the hope that they would someday return. Wrote Apostle John Taylor in September 1845 of the plan:

\textit{[W]e considered that we had a perfect right so to do, that we had been driven from and despoiled of our property long enough, and that we should be justified in taking a course of that kind, that the City and temple would be more likely to be preserved in safety by wealthy and influential men purchasing property and settling here, than by apostates and half-hearted Mormons having charge of affairs during our absence, and that if we should return we should again inherit our places, and if we do not inherit them in Time, we and our children will inherit them hereafter.}\textsuperscript{35}

The "apostates and half-hearted Mormons" Taylor was referring to surely included the colorful and charismatic James J. Strang. Strang had converted to Mormonism just months before Joseph Smith's death and then proclaimed a secret letter of his prophetic appointment, allegedly signed by
Joseph himself. Dubbed the "Voree Prophet," Strang was by late 1845 well into his newly chosen career of persuading many Latter-day Saints that he was Joseph Smith's only legitimate successor. Expert with pen and press, he lost little time publishing revelations and laying claim to church leadership from his home base in Spring Prairie, Wisconsin, which he renamed "Voree." Strang sensed not merely a vacuum of leadership but also an intense longing among many for a new prophet-leader. As one of his followers stated: "A Church without a Prophet, is not the Church for me; It has no head to lead it, in it I would not be." 35

Acting quickly to fill that void just as the Church was heading west, Strang formally claimed total authority, criticized Young's plan of exodus, excommunicated Brigham Young, established a new ruling First Presidency, found new scripture, and initiated a very ambitious, far-reaching missionary campaign among scattered Mormon branches. Although his movement eventually faltered in his later Beaver Island settlement in northern Lake Michigan, as of early 1846, with the temple nearing completion, his claim to leadership was attracting a couple thousand followers including Joseph Smith's brother and Church Patriarch, William Smith, Apostle John E. Page, and William Marks, former Nauvoo stake president. 36 If not "worth the skin of a flea," as Brigham Young once described him, Strang, at least for a very brief moment, was proving himself to be a formidable contender. As one notable historian put it, "by the summer [of 1846] Strang was in a position to carry the fight for control of the Church to the eastern branches. . . . Had Brigham Young's western migration come to grief, Strang might have succeeded." 37

Nothing would have validated more his claim to succession than possessing, if not owning, the Nauvoo Temple. And nothing more intensified Brigham Young's efforts to sell it than Strang's maneuvering for it. As early as February 1846, Strang was making overtures and exploring possibilities. At a meeting in the temple on 1 February, just days before the Twelve left Nauvoo, Moses Smith, an erstwhile emissary of Strang's, "set forth the doctrine and claims of James J. Strang." As one observer described the day, it was quite the showdown.

Moses then arose and read some of Strang's productions and warned the people to flee to Voree, Strang's new city in Wisconsin where he promised them peace and safety. . . . After he had done, Brother Brigham said he would make no comment but simply ask the people if they had heard the voice of the Good Shepherd in what had been advanced and when "No" resounded all over the house it was proposed that Moses Smith be cut off from the Church
which was carried unanimously. Strang and Aaron Smith [were] also cut off. Many have been deluded by Strangism and one of them a president of the Seventies. 39

All the way west, the Twelve kept a wary eye on Strang’s movements, and with good reason, for Strang did have ambitions for Nauvoo. “Should it please God to forgive the iniquity of the people and save Nauvoo from impending ruin,” he wrote in a letter to Emma Smith, Joseph Smith’s widow, in February 1846,

... possibly I might make it my residence at some future day. In such an event I should be greatly pleased to have you for a neighbor and think you would not have reason to complain of quite such a state of things as has existed there since the death of your husband...

Now sister, I do not know your intentions, nor feel disposed to be inquisition in your affairs, but if you intend to remain in Nauvoo, you cannot well imagine how much I should rejoice in your full and hearty cooperation in my efforts for the regulation and salvation of the city... I am led to believe that from your influence and inclination you would be able to accomplish much. 40

Part of his plans for the “salvation of the city” included the temple. To what extent he believed Emma would be his ally in seizing the building is an intriguing historical question. The record shows that Emma did indeed visit with Strang on several occasions but that she was never enamored with his teachings. 41

However, certainly one of Strang’s newest lieutenants, former Mormon apostle and unpredictable disciple, William Smith, did try to seize the temple. By mid-March, word had it “that an agent of William Smith’s had demanded the keys of the temple... [that] the guards expected an attack on the temple from the Strangites and Smithites, [that] William Smith had stated that he would be giving endowments in the temple within two weeks.” 42

There is no question that many of the Voree Prophet’s followers looked upon the efforts to sell the temple as ample evidence to show that Brigham Young was leading the Church astray. “Now I ask who could obtain a revelation or commandment to the Church to abandon the temple, the Nauvoo House, and the Holy City which God had appointed for a place of refuge and for the deliverance of his people,” one of Strang’s new Philadelphia converts asked in July 1846. “Was not the Lord able to protect
them from the mob and to fulfill his part of the covenant?"²³

To what extent Strang himself led the charge to capture the Nauvoo Temple when he had already decided on relocating to Wisconsin and had already proclaimed a revelation to build a temple there, is an important query. Nevertheless, William Smith continued his efforts to discredit the attempted sale of both temples. "I still notice that the apostates in Nauvoo are trying every act and tactic possible to sell the Church property," he wrote his file leader in August 1846. "In the last [copy of the Hancock] Eagle the notice is repeated ‘Nauvoo Temple for sale; Kirtland Temple for sale.’ How it looks to barter the Lord’s property. Perhaps you had best notice a little of this in the [Voree] Herald and in your Kirtland conference . . . and make some remarks."²⁴

As if on cue, the very next issue of the Herald ran a very pointed article explaining Strang’s claim to the property.

As James J. Strang is the successor in the Presidency and nobody else holds that office or pretends to hold it, there can be no difficulty as to the title, whatever there may be as to the possession. The Trustees are not a presidency and therefore cannot set up any pretense to title to the temple, or any other Church property. All we ask of the Brighamites is that they will not burn the temple down and lay it to the mob. We will risk the legal right.⁴⁵

William Smith never succeeded in his designs, due in part to Strang’s ambitions northward on the one hand and on the other, to the work of the Nauvoo Trustees. Nonetheless for as long as this particular threat existed, and certainly until a new First Presidency was reinstated to bolster legal claim to Church properties, the Church of Jesus Christ Latter-day Saints was vigilant in countering legal arguments and in ensuring that the temple end up in the legal custody of those who, if not necessarily friendly to the interests of the Church, were not antagonistic to it.⁵⁶

“Let the Owls and the Bats Revel”

Meanwhile, as the vanguard companies of Latter-day Saints trudged their way west across Iowa, the Trustees continued to do all in their power to find new buyers. In April 1846 Orson Hyde, the Mormon apostle charged with remaining back in Nauvoo, wrote of “a conditional offer for the temple, and it is believed that we can get $200,000 from a rich old bachelor, a Catholic. . . . He will not buy other property unless he can buy the temple.”⁵⁷ The individual referred to was likely a Mr. Paulding of New
Orleans who, along with his nephew, Charles Mulliken of St. Louis, was indeed interested in the site. Upon hearing this news, a buoyant Young replied from Garden Grove, Iowa:

We have previously written you counseling to sell the temple for $200,000. When this is accomplished we want a voice in the disposition of the funds and we don’t want any of it disposed of till we are consulted on the subject. . . . We shall want in our camp $25,000 at least and we expect you will consider us very benevolent if we claim no more than 1/8.48

To what extent the Paulding/Mulliken interest can be traced to the Tucker/Hamilton negotiations is not yet known. However, Joseph Heywood, one of the Trustees, traveled to St. Louis in October 1846 to pursue further the fragile negotiations. “They have not given up the idea of purchasing,” he noted. However, by this time the so-called “Nauvoo War” had broken out with local Hancock County militia, or mobs as the Latter-day Saints described them, forcing out what few Mormons were still in the city. Such despicable action, which claimed several lives, transformed the city into an armed occupation zone, drove down real estate values even further than before, and gave temporary possession of the temple to the mobs. It was all enough for the Trustees to sound the alarm: “If we could effect a sale of the temple at a price which would meet with the approbation of the Council and thus be enabled to wind up the affairs of Nauvoo, we should be very happy. For Nauvoo seems, to a Saint, like the Abomination of Desolation.”49

Yet even after the conflict, chances boded well for a sale. “We feel confident that we shall soon be able to sell the temple,” Heywood and Fullmer both confided in October, “as the mob with McAuley in command who has held it as their barracks with cannon in the porch talk of vacating it next week as we believe they are of the opinion that an armed force will be no longer needed to regulate affairs in and about the city.”50

The Paulding/Mulliken negotiation apparently came close to succeeding. As late as November, Mulliken had written yet another letter asking for a list of all Nauvoo properties and the lowest possible prices and indicated that “they would give a decided answer whether they would buy or not and make propositions.”51 The major impediment to this latest attempt at selling may not have been the Battle of Nauvoo so much as an attachment placed on the sale of the temple which some chose to view as a defect in the title.52

Responding to the deteriorating Nauvoo conditions, Brigham
Young directed his Trustees to reduce their asking price yet again, “to sell the Church property at Nauvoo without delay . . . at wholesale, and get $125,000 and do as [they] pleased with the property of the Church at Kirtland, Ohio.” And so the matter dragged on throughout the winter of 1846-47 with a nub of interest here and a nibble there, but without any firm offer from anyone. By March 1847 the Trustees were reduced to praying for a miracle. “We still entertain a hope that when the spring shall advance,” a weary Heywood wrote, “the Lord will put it into the heart of some one to purchase the temple that we may get through with our mission here.”

Another opportunity to sell occurred during the winter, though at the greatly reduced price of $100,000, less undisclosed encumbrances. More likely out of desperation than desire, Babbitt made a secret visit to the East Coast to meet with Mr. Horace Hotchkiss and partners, and his agent, Isaac Galland who were the original owners of several hundred acres in the Nauvoo lowlands west of the temple. Back in 1839, as the Mormon need for land dramatically increased, Joseph Smith bought nearly 500 acres in Nauvoo from Hotchkiss. The cost of the land, however, was high enough that the Church could barely pay the interest charges. Unfortunately, Galland proved himself untrustworthy in conveying interest payments from the Church to Hotchkiss. Furthermore, Galland had sold a great deal of property of his own to unsuspecting Latter-day Saints for which he actually held an invalid claim. Hundreds of families lost everything because of his deception. Whether it was on account of such crooked land deals and exorbitant prices, or because of other reasons, Joseph Smith was forced to declare bankruptcy in 1842. To recover their interests, Hotchkiss was now attempting to foreclose on what he believed were unpaid debts. Galland had even sworn out an attachment which he tried to levy upon the sale of the temple for the sum of $25,000, not because they had any ownership interests in the temple but because they sought payment from the sale of the temple. In their negotiations with Babbitt, Hotchkiss offered to buy the temple and other unnamed Nauvoo properties for $100,000 less the undisclosed cost of lost revenues.

Apparently disgusted at what he felt had been Galland’s dishonorable, if not illegal, actions in the past, as well as Hotchkiss’s present audacity to interfere with a possible temple sale, Babbitt echoed Brigham Young’s distrust and disdain for the whole matter. In the end, he would not sell a crown jewel for bad debts. Totally exasperated, Young remarked: “I believe that Nauvoo will have a longer tail to wind up than Kirtland had, which had no end.” When Heywood and Fullmer heard of Babbitt’s failure to close the deal, they responded incredulously. “You can judge of our feelings when it seemed as if there was a reasonable prospect of a sale, and those prospects were blighted by the inattention and darkness of mind of one in whom we
had right to confide.” 57 However, when Babbitt later made a full disclosure of the matter to the Twelve, they were not disappointed. “His explanations of the same were accepted,” and commendations extended. 46

Emma Smith’s actions proved yet another complication and obstacle to the Trustees. Motivated to collect as much of the Smith estate as possible for reasons that included paying off family bills and overdue property taxes, she and her new husband, Lewis Bidamon (who had more than a passing interest in such things), made out a quit claim deed to her lawyers of other properties Joseph Smith had owned in Nauvoo. In October 1841, on the advice of several other church leaders, Joseph Smith had transferred some 230 city lots in Nauvoo, amounting to almost 300 acres, from himself and Emma to himself as trustee-in-trust for the Church. Such action, so they believed, would protect the interests of the Church and absolve the Smith family of legal responsibility for payment defaults and other related problems. They believed Church business should be kept separate from family and personal interests. However, the state of Illinois had passed a little-known law in 1835 that prevented religious organizations from holding more than ten acres of property, a law, evidence suggests, the Church was not aware of in 1841. 61

Destined to be tied up in the courts for years as to which of Joseph Smith’s properties belonged to the Church and which were owned by the family, the whole matter became a legal roadblock to any further attempted sales. 62 “This conveyance operates as a complete estoppel of our selling lands in the city,” lawyer Babbitt complained. 63 While it is certain that Hotchkiss had no claim whatsoever to the temple block, to what extent Emma and her lawyers tried to put an attachment on the attempted sale of the temple is difficult to determine; however, they did prevent the Trustees from selling other properties. 64 Never an admirer of Emma, Babbitt, whom Emma equally detested, noted that she “has joined the Methodist Church” and that the Methodists, “are laying plans to get in possession of the temple and other properties of the Church through Emma…. The effect of Emma’s operation will operate strongly against the Trustees closing out the business.” 65

Finally, an exasperated Brigham Young, in reducing the asking price yet again, this time to $100,000, could no longer hide his utter disappointment and frustration. “Has the Lord turned bankrupt,” he asked in an April 1847 letter to his Trustees.

Or are his children so needy that they are obliged to sell their father’s house for a morsel of bread? And if they should sell how much bread would they get after they had paid some millions of unjust debts, mortgages, canceled
claims, demands, attachments, fines, forfeitures, stripes, imprisonments, massacres, lawsuits, judgments and the whole et ceteras that united mobocracy could bring against you, before you could get one dollar removed from the vault to a place of safety. We leave you to answer this question and advise you according to your request to repair forthwith to this place [Winter Quarters, at Council Bluffs] with all your surplus funds . . . and tools, records, papers, and movable effects belonging to the Church and let nothing be lost . . . and you will learn that it will be much more for the content of the Saints to build two temples than to sell one . . . And if we get no other reward for past labors, we have left monuments which will memorialize the diligence of the Saints forever, and greater glory than safes of gold.66

In his final letter to the Trustees in late 1847, six months after the discovery of the Salt Lake Valley as a new mountain home for the Saints, Brigham Young directed them to abandon the city, “let the dead bury their dead,” and “gather to this place in season to start with the spring emigration, leaving the temple of the Lord in the care of the Lord into whose hands we committed it before we left, and let the owls and the bats revel in the habitation of the Saints in Nauvoo until the times of the Gentiles [are] fulfilled.”67 Joining the main body of the Church assembling at the Missouri River near Council Bluffs, a very happy and relieved Heywood, Fullmer, and Babbitt received the blessings and approbations of their leaders, for it should be noted that although the temple was never sold to serious buyers for anything near a decent price, many other Nauvoo properties did sell. These included the Seventy’s Hall and Parley P. Pratt’s home, both of which the Roman Catholic Church eventually purchased, the Masonic Hall, the Arsenal, and many private properties, albeit at less than desired prices.68 At a meeting of 22 January 1848, “it was resolved unanimously that we have the utmost confidence in the faithful discharge of their duties as Trustees-in-Trust in Nauvoo and we tender to them our thanks, and pray our Father in Heaven to bless them and prosper them in all things pertaining to the building up of His Kingdom on the earth.”69

In retrospect, any real attempt at selling the temple was the product of wishful thinking. Finding serious buyers for a building trapped in a sea of rapidly declining real estate values proved an impossible task. An asking price of $200,000, or even $100,000, was simply too much money, even if conditions had not deteriorated. Reverend Tucker had barely been able to raise $2,000 for his Quincy church house just a few years prior; what made anyone think that a figure 100 times that amount was feasible? More to the
point, the temple took its value from its place as the center of Mormon community and worship. Destroy this context and who really would want to come to a deserted city miles removed from any large Illinois community? That the temple did not sell for anything near its value was not the fault of potential buyers or frustrated trustees; rather, it fell victim to the regrettable circumstances that led to persecution and to the Mormon decision to abandon what they had constructed.20

"The Glory of Mormonism is Gone"

With the Trustees now gone and their work accepted, one might suppose that the temple would have fallen into disuse and disrepair. Though vacant, it was found to be in good condition by the summer of 1848. "I visited the Temple and went over it from the bottom to the top," a visiting Wilford Woodruff, one of the authorities who had helped in dedicating it two years before, recorded. "[It] was in a much better state of preservation than I expected to find it."71 Starting in October of that same year, the Methodist Home Mission Society was to have begun renting out the building for the sum of $400 per year, in an arrangement that unfortunately never came to pass. 72

Early in the morning of 9 October, citizens of Nauvoo were alarmed to discover the temple in flames. "It was a sight too full of mournful sublimity," as one reporter described the awful scene.

The mass of material which had been gathered there by the labor of many years afforded a rare opportunity for this element to play off some of its wildest sports. Although the morning was tolerably dark, still when the flames shot upwards, the spire, the streets and the houses for nearly a mile distant were lighted up, so as to render even the smallest object discernible. The glare of the vast torch, pointing skyward indescribably contrasted with the universal gloom and darkness around it.73

Luvera Ellen Preece was living across the Mississippi River in Montrose, Iowa during time of the fire. She witnessed the burning of the temple first. She confided in her journal that the light in the sky from the fire was so great, she could see to pick a common pin from the floor in her bedroom.74

Quickly condemning it as the work of a crazed arson, the Warsaw Signal, a long-time bitter critic of the Mormons, said that it was "no doubt the work of some nefarious incendiary. This edifice was the wonder of
Illinois.... As a work of art and a memorial of Mormon delusions, it should have stood for ages.... None but the most depraved heart could have applied the torch to effect its destruction." The Iowa Sentinel followed in the same regretful tone: "However much the religion of the Mormons may be condemned, every good citizen will condemn this act of the incendiary as one of the greatest barbarian." And reported the Methodists: "You have heard that the great Mormon temple is burned. The glory of Mormonism is gone."

The man long thought to be responsible for torching the temple was Joseph B. Agnew of Pontoosuc, Illinois, who, along with Thomas C. Sharp of Carthage and Squire McCauley of Appanoose, feared that so long as the temple stood, it would, magnet-like, attract the Mormons back to Nauvoo. "The reason for our burning it," he admitted years after the fact was that there was continual reports in circulation that the Mormons were coming back to Nauvoo and we were afraid that they might take it into their hearts to do so and as we had had all the trouble with them we wanted... we determined the destruction of their temple and by so doing they would not be able to ever again try to come back.... We pledged ourselves to destroy the temple if it cost our lives.

While the historical evidence is reasonably convincing that Agnew was the guilty party, it would be historically inaccurate to conclude that he was definitely guilty of the crime. The evidence is simply inconclusive. An argument has once more been made that he was merely acting as a secret agent of Brigham Young. Frustrated by the inability to affect a sale, and increasingly uneasy with the possibility of others obtaining the Temple, Young, so the argument goes, simply ordered the deed himself. However, compelling evidence has not yet been produced to back up such an accusation. Was Young disappointed that the temple never sold? Certainly. Was he worried that it would fall into others' hands? Not if it was sold or leased to responsible parties. And was he disappointed that it was destroyed? Without question, but in his mind God allowed it because of what had happened to it. "The temple at Nauvoo passed into the hands of the enemy," he said in 1853 "who polluted it to that extent the Lord not only ceased to occupy it, but he loathed to have it called by his name, and permitted the wrath of its possessors to purify it by fire, as a token of what will speedily fall on them and their habitations, unless they repent."

Young had been interested in its preservation in hopes that the Latter-day Saints might yet return, as John Taylor also indicated (see above).
In 1848 Young related to his colleagues a dream he had of repairing the temple and then said, "We want it preserved. The Lord can preserve it in the hands of our enemies as well as in our hands... We shall never go back to possess [it] until the laws of right take place. The Sheriff will sell it to some religious body who will preserve it." "Rent it," he said, and let them "keep it in repairs." In fact, Brigham Young did not want to destroy it for the very reason that the Saints might somehow, some day, return to it.

The following spring, a group of French Icarians, a communist society under the leadership of Etienne Cabet, arrived in Nauvoo in March 1849 following a failed settlement attempt in northeast Texas two years before. They purchased the temple lot and the burned out stone walls (they still stood some 60 feet high) from Darwin Chase for $4,000. Intent on maintaining the original walls, Cabet and some 300 of his somewhat less enthusiastic followers went to work to build a "monster boarding house," or community center. "The basement story was to be laid off into kitchens, the first floor to be converted into a dining room large enough to seat 1,000 people, and the remainder of the building to be fitted up for school rooms, offices, and sleeping apartments for 500 people."

A doomed effort from the start, considering the weakened state of the temple walls, and one which Cabet's own disgruntled followers knew was foolhardy, their reconstruction efforts soon met with disaster. "The 27th of June [1850] eleven men were at work on the interior of the building," remembered Emile Vallet, himself one of the workers.

At 3 o'clock p.m. a distant report of thunder announced the approach of a storm. We continued to work. Suddenly a furious wind began to blow; four of the masons fearing the non-solidity of the walls, left to seek shelter elsewhere. Seven of us remained, taking refuge in the tool room on the south side. If there is a Providence it was on our side, for hardly had we taken our position that the tornado began to tear small rocks from the top of the walls and flew in every direction. Before we had decided whether we should stay or run, one of us that was watching explained: "Friends, we are lost, the north wall is caving in." The fall of that wall was heard three miles away. We looked at one another. All alive, but as white as sheets. The wind was terrific, the rain was blinding us. The cloud was touching the ground. The most severe storm I ever witnessed in Nauvoo... The storm lasted three hours. The fall of that wall ended the rebuilding of the temple.
Before their settlement failed in 1859, the Icarians hauled away many stones from the temple ruins and erected several small buildings on the temple lot, including a schoolhouse which later became a hotel, later still a sanitarium and eventually a Catholic parochial school and priest residence. As the years passed, the Nauvoo Temple Block was occupied by saloons and pool halls, slaughter houses and hotels, grocery and drug stores, private homes and telephone exchanges. What few temple stones remained became easy prey for treasure hunters and visitors. According to one account, some of them eventually became part of Nauvoo's St. Peter and Paul's Roman Catholic Church—an almost fitting end considering how close the two churches once came to concluding an agreement.

Bringing the story full circle, in 1937 Wilford C. Wood, representing a somewhat reluctant Heber J. Grant, president of The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints during the hard days of the Depression, reacquired the original property from the Bank of Nauvoo (that had earlier foreclosed on the property from an embarrassed estate) for the grand sum of $900.

 Had the Nauvoo Temple been sold for a decent price, the Mormon exodus would unquestionably have been greatly facilitated. Many would have had to suffer far less than they did. Some lives may have been saved. One even wonders if the enlistment of the Mormon Battalion that served in the Mexican War, a pursuit Church leaders tried so hard to arrange because of its payment to the soldiers, would have been necessary. And since it was soldiers of the Mormon Battalion that discovered gold at Sutter’s Fort, what might have happened to American history? And had the temple been sold to the Roman Catholic Church or to another buyer, would the original structure now stand?

These are but idle speculations on what might have been. One can only wonder on these things as a new chapter in modern Mormon and Illinois history now rises once again on the banks of the Mississippi River.

---
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