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FOOD AND HABITAT RELATIONSHIPS OF CLAASSENIA SABULOSA (PLECOPTERA: PERLIDAE) IN THE UPPER COLORADO RIVER, COLORADO

Richard A. Thorp1,2, Jeremy B. Monroe3, Emily C. Thorp4, Todd Wellnitz5,6, and N. LeRoy Poff3

ABSTRACT.—Streambed surveys were conducted along the upper Colorado River, Colorado, to describe the distributions of Claassenia sabulosa larvae in relation to current speed and to determine their diets. We also addressed diel feeding periodicity by sampling during both day and night. Claassenia sabulosa was more abundant in riffle habitats than in runs. A positive relationship existed between C. sabulosa abundance and stream current, with larval size increasing with current speed. Chironomidae, Baetidae, and Simulidae collectively accounted for 93% of the prey found in stonefly guts; however, these categories were not consumed equally by all C. sabulosa. Smaller C. sabulosa primarily ate chironomids, and larger individuals consumed more baetids. Only a slight difference existed in the percentage of empty guts between night- and day-collected stoneflies, and ranges of prey per gut at night were higher than those in the day, suggesting that these stoneflies may forage more intensively at night.
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Stoneflies of the family Perlidae are prominent members of stream macroinvertebrate communities of North America (Sheldon 1985). Although the ecological aspects of a few members of this important group are relatively well known, for many species this information is still lacking (DeWalt and Stewart 1995). To date, studies on perlid larval ecology have focused on 2 aspects: foraging behaviors and habitat relationships. These works describe perlid larvae as predominantly predators on a variety of invertebrate prey (Peckarsky and Penton 1985, Fenninella and Stewart 1986, Allan et al. 1987, Allan and Flecker 1988, Fuller and Hynes 1987, Soluk 1990, Scrimgeour and Culp 1994, Duvall and Williams 2000, Stewart and Stark 2002) and have shown that their distributions across streambeds can be influenced by abiotic factors such as current velocity (Sheldon 1980, Feltmate et al. 1986) and substrate composition (Feltmate et al. 1986, Fuller and Rand 1990, Helešić 2001).

The stonefly Claassenia sabulosa (Claassen, 1931) is a relatively large invertebrate predator found in stream communities throughout the southern Rocky Mountains (Baumann et al. 1977, Alexander and Stewart 1996). Within these lotic habitats, larvae are commonly collected from streambed substrata of riffles (Richardson and Gaufin 1971). Chironomidae, Baetidae, and Simulidae are common prey for C. sabulosa (Richardson and Gaufin 1971, Allan 1982). The relative importance of each of these families varies both seasonally and with larval ontogeny (Fuller and Stewart 1977, 1979). Despite the trophic importance of these abundant stoneflies in western streams, few studies have identified their prey beyond the taxonomic level of order. Further, we know of no published reports relating the streambed distributions of C. sabulosa to microhabitat variables such as current speed.

In this study we examined a population of larval C. sabulosa within the upper Colorado River to address 3 questions. First, what prey do these predators consume and do ontogenetic feeding shifts occur? Second, do C. sabulosa larvae exhibit diel changes in foraging rates? And finally, are the benthic distributions of these animals related to current speed?
STUDY AREA

This study was conducted along a 50-m reach of the Upper Colorado River near Granby, Colorado, at an elevation of ca. 2420 m ASL. Streamflow along our study reach was regulated via a bottom-release dam located 7 km upstream at Lake Granby. Average streamflow for the study reach was 8 m³·s⁻¹, with peak discharge typically occurring during the month of June (1992–2001, USGS gaging station #09034250). Our study reach was approximately 15 m wide and 50 meters long and consisted of a series of alternating run and riffle habitats. The streambed was composed of a matrix of large- to medium-sized cobbles and boulders over a layer of mixed gravels and sand. The canopy of our study reach was open, and the dominant riparian vegetation comprised various cottonwoods, willows, grasses, and forbs.

METHODS

To collect dietary and distributional data for C. sabulosa, we surveyed our study reach using 8 separate linear transects positioned perpendicular to the direction of streamflow. The length of each transect depended on stream width and ranged from 8 to 13 m. To incorporate channel unit variability, both riffles and runs were studied. We distinguished between riffles and runs using depth and water surface characteristics; shallow areas with water surface turbulence were designated as riffle habitat, whereas runs were relatively deep and had little surface turbulence. Using these criteria, we arbitrarily selected a total of 4 riffles and 4 runs for study within our reach. From these 4 riffle and 4 run sections, we randomly selected 2 transects from each group to be sampled during the night and 2 to be sampled during the day. Night transect samples were collected between 0000 hours and 0300 hours using headlamps to aid vision. Day samples were collected between 1200 hours and 1500 hours. Both night and day survey data were collected on 8–10 August 2001.

Along each of the 8 transects described above, we sampled 1-m² plots at 1-m intervals along the transect tape. We sampled 22 plots during the day and 23 plots at night. From the day riffle and run transects, 12 and 10 plots were sampled, respectively. The 4 night transects contained 12 riffle plots and 11 run plots. To define the margins of each plot, we tied boundary ropes to rebar spaced 1 m apart and embedded within the streambed and the transect tape. Within each plot we measured current speed at 60% of the water column depth using an average of 5 equally spaced points with a Schiltknecht MiniWater 2 microprobe probe (8-mm-diameter propeller, 7-sec reading). After current speed was measured, we disturbed the streambed within each plot by kicking for 1 minute. Dislodged invertebrates and associated debris were quickly removed from the kickseine surface with a paintbrush or by hand and immediately preserved in a 10% formalin solution for sorting and processing at a later time.

All C. sabulosa interocular distances were measured to the nearest 0.1 mm using a dissecting microscope equipped with an optical stage micrometer. After measurement the foregut of each larva was removed using methods described in Hynes (1941) and in Richardson and Gaufin (1971), and the prey items within each were identified to the family level.

Data Analysis

We plotted C. sabulosa abundance and head capsule width separately against the mean current speed collected from each transect plot. Abundances of C. sabulosa in riffle versus run habitats, numbers of prey items found in larval guts in the day versus the night, and numbers of C. sabulosa larvae collected during the day versus night were each compared using Wilcoxon Rank Sum Tests because these data failed to meet the statistical assumptions of normality (SAS Institute, Inc. 2001).

RESULTS

From the 45 plots sampled along the 8 transects, 135 C. sabulosa larvae were collected and 220 prey items were dissected from their guts (Table 1). Of these prey items, insects from the families Baetidae, Chironomidae, and Simulidae collectively accounted for 93% of the total identified prey. The remaining 7% was composed of individuals from Hydropsychidae, Lepidostomatidae, Limnephilidae, Perlidae, Heptageniidae, and Ephemerellidae, and pupae of Trichoptera.
Common food items were not consistent across the different sizes of *C. sabulosa* larvae. Chironomidae were frequently dissected from the guts of smaller *C. sabulosa*, but showed no trend \( r = -0.11, P = 0.5 \) of changing importance in the diets of individuals across a range of body sizes (Fig. 1). Conversely, the number of baetid mayflies consumed by *C. sabulosa* larvae showed a significant \( r = 0.49, P = 0.001 \) positive association with *C. sabulosa* head capsule width (Fig. 1). We collected a total of 88 *C. sabulosa* from day plots, compared to only 47 at night; and the median number of *C. sabulosa* larvae collected from day plots \((3.5 \text{ larvae} \cdot \text{m}^{-2})\) was significantly greater than the number collected from night plots \((2 \text{ larvae} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}; P = 0.03; \text{Fig. 2})\). The median number of prey items in the guts of *C. sabulosa* from our night samples was the same as for the day samples, but ranges differed considerably (Table 2). In all, 45% of *C. sabulosa* larvae collected from day transect plots had empty guts, while 43% of stoneflies from night samples were empty.

The average current speed based on 5 measurements within our study plots ranged from 0.03 to 0.79 m s\(^{-1}\). Current speed within riffle plots \((\bar{x} = 0.46 \text{ m s}^{-1}, s_x = 0.22 \text{ m s}^{-1})\) was almost twice that measured in run plots \((\bar{x} = 0.27 \text{ m s}^{-1}, s_x = 0.13 \text{ m s}^{-1})\), and the average abundance of *C. sabulosa* larvae was greater in riffle plots \((P = 0.001; \text{Table 3})\). Irrespective of larval size, *C. sabulosa* showed a positive relationship with the average current speed of study plots \((r = 0.30, P = 0.04; \text{Fig. 3})\). An even stronger positive relationship existed between *C. sabulosa* head capsule and current speed \((r = 0.25, P = 0.003; \text{Fig. 4})\).

**DISCUSSION**

Our diet analyses closely parallel the findings of Fuller and Stewart (1977), who found

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxonomic group</th>
<th>Total in guts</th>
<th>Percent of total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EPHEMEROPTERA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baetidae</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ephemerellidae</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heptageniidae</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLECOPTERA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perlidae</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRICHOPTERA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydropsychidae</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepidostomatidae</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limnephilidae</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unidentified pupa</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIPTERA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chironomidae</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulidae</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
that in the Gunnison River, Colorado, during August, both small (head capsule width <2.5 mm) and large (head capsule width >2.5 mm) *C. sabulosa* fed mostly on chironomids. However, in a similar study from the Dolores River, Colorado, Fuller and Stewart (1979) found that both small and large *C. sabulosa* fed mainly on various mayflies during August. These investigators suggested that the discrepancies in the diets of these 2 stonefly populations were likely due to a difference in the available prey in each river.

To our knowledge, this study represents the 1st report of pupal and case-making Trichoptera in guts of the stonefly *C. sabulosa*. Although occurrence of such prey in our samples was rare, it is nevertheless novel and notable. We did not find any associated case fragments with larval remains, and these prey were completely intact, which may indicate that these prey were first pulled from their protective cases before being engulfed. The occurrence of sessile trichopteran pupae in *C. sabulosa* guts should be expected, since perlims are very mobile predators (Feltmate and Williams, 1991) and would be predicted to encounter sessile prey more often than mobile prey (Taylor et al. 1978). Also notable, the perlid stoneflies that we dissected from guts were positively identified as *C. sabulosa* and represent the 1st published report of cannibalism in this stonefly species.

We found little difference between the percent of empty *C. sabulosa* guts in day versus night plots, and the median number of prey in stoneflies was identical. The ranges of prey eaten during the 2 periods differed greatly, which suggests that some individuals may have foraged more intensively at night as suggested by Johnson (1983) for the western perlid *Hesperoperla pacifica* in an Idaho River and Johnson (1981) for 3 eastern perlids in a New York stream. Because our effectiveness in sampling at night was probably reduced by our limited ability to visually locate and capture all *C.*

### Table 2. Percentage of empty guts, median number of prey items per *Claassenia sabulosa* gut, and associated ranges from night (n = 47) versus day plots (n = 88).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Habitat</th>
<th>Empty guts</th>
<th>Prey items per stonefly</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Night plots</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day plots</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Abundance of *Claassenia sabulosa* collected from riffle (n = 24) and run (n = 21) plots, including mean current speeds. Abundances of *C. sabulosa* in riffles and runs (P = 0.001) were compared with a Wilcoxon rank sum test. The median number of stoneflies collected from plots in riffles and runs are listed with associated standard deviations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Habitat</th>
<th>Mean current speed (m s⁻¹)</th>
<th><em>C. sabulosa</em> per m²</th>
<th>s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Riffle plots</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run plots</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Abundance of *Claassenia sabulosa* (n = 135) larvae compared to average current speeds for 45 survey plots (r = 0.30, P = 0.04).

Fig. 4. Head capsule width of *Claassenia sabulosa* larvae (n = 135) compared to average current speeds for 45 survey plots (r = 0.25, P = 0.003).
sabulosa that were seined, we may have underestimated the extent of nocturnal feeding by this stonefly.

Within our study reach, current speed was weakly positively correlated with C. sabulosa abundance, and the size of C. sabulosa larvae increased with current speed. A plausible explanation for these observations is that habitats with fast and slow current speeds are partitioned between the different sizes of larvae and that an ontogenetic shift in habitat use may occur in this species. The prey differences that exist across current speeds would presumably contribute to such a shift in habitat use, as might different densities of fish predators. Indeed, Baetis mayflies are also positively correlated with current speed in this system (Monroe 2002), and brown trout are found primarily in slower-moving runs. Although this stonefly has been previously described as abundant in riffle areas (Richardson and Gaufin 1971, Fuller and Stewart 1977), no previous published studies document the relation of abundance to current speed.
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