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Stratified waveguide grating coupler for normal fiber incidence

Bin Wang, Jianhua Jiang, Diana M. Chambers,* Jingbo Cai, and Gregory P. Nordin
Nano and Micro Devices Center, University of Alabama in Huntsville, Huntsville, Alabama 35899
Received September 3, 2004

We propose a new stratified waveguide grating coupler (SWGC) to couple light from a fiber at normal incidence into a planar waveguide. SWGCs are designed to operate in the strong coupling regime without intermediate optics between the fiber and the waveguide. Two-dimensional finite-difference time-domain simulation in conjunction with microgenetic algorithm optimization shows that ~72% coupling efficiency is possible for fiber (core size of 8.3 μm and Δ=0.36%) to slab waveguide (1.2-μm core and Δ=3.1%) coupling. We show that the phase-matching and Bragg conditions are simultaneously satisfied through the fundamental leaky mode. © 2005 Optical Society of America

High-efficiency normal-incidence fiber coupling to small-core (<2-μm) waveguides is attractive for planar light-wave circuits based on waveguide material systems with a large refractive-index contrast (3–60%) between the core and the cladding materials. We recently illustrated through microgenetic algorithm (μGA) optimization and finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) analysis that slanted grating couplers can achieve up to 80% coupling efficiency for direct coupling of light from a fiber at normal incidence to a small-core waveguide. However, the deep slanted etching required to fabricate such structures is difficult to realize with conventional reactive ion etching and ion beam milling techniques. As an alternative, we propose the use of stratified waveguide grating couplers (SWGCs), which can be fabricated layer by layer with standard microfabrication processes. In our previous work on stratified gratings for nonwaveguide applications, we demonstrated that microfabricated stratified gratings can yield high diffraction efficiency similar to volume gratings. In this Letter we investigate their use for high-efficiency coupling to waveguides.

The basic geometry of a SWGC is shown in Fig. 1. In this particular example the SWGC consists of three binary grating layers embedded in the waveguide upper cladding with the bottommost layer situated on top of the waveguide core. Since the layers in the SWGC are fabricated sequentially, the binary grating layers can be laterally shifted relative to one another to create a stratified grating structure analogous to a volume grating with slanted fringes. This allows an element to be designed with high diffraction efficiency into the first order for any arbitrary angle of incidence, including normal incidence. The refractive-index difference between the grating material and the cladding can be quite large (0.3–2.0) depending on the choice of materials. This high index contrast significantly strengthens the coupling effect, such that short grating lengths (10–20 μm) comparable with the mode field diameter of a fiber are sufficient for high-efficiency coupling.

Since SWGCs operate in the strong coupling regime in which traditional perturbation analysis methods are not accurate, we use the two-dimensional (2D) finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method with Berenger’s perfectly matched layer boundary conditions to accurately simulate SWGC behavior. As shown in Fig. 1, a number of parameters need to be optimized to maximize the coupling efficiency of a SWGC. These include grating period A; grating thicknesses t1, t2, and t3; fill factors (i.e., grating ridge width divided by grating period) f1, f2, and f3; homogeneous layer thicknesses d1 and d2; and lateral shift of the middle and top layers relative to the bottom layer s1 and s2. The x coordinate of the center of the fiber Fc relative to the left edge of the bottom grating must also be optimized to properly position the incident optical field with respect to the grating. This involves a balance between outcoupling the incident light if the fiber is positioned too far to the left and not intersecting enough of the incident light if the fiber is positioned too far to the right. To rapidly search the large parameter-encompassed space by the above variables, we apply the same design tool as in Ref. 2, which employs a parallel μGA as the global optimization method and 2D FDTD as the rigorous electromagnetic computational core.

As shown in Fig. 1, we consider a single-mode slab waveguide with a core thickness of 1.2 μm (n1 = 1.5073) embedded in a cladding with n2 = 1.460 (refractive-index contrast of Δ = 3.1%). We assume a grating ridge material of TiO2 with a refractive index n3 of 2.3. The fiber is simulated as a 2D slab waveguide with the following parameters: 8.3-μm core, ncore = 1.470, nclad = 1.4647, and Δ = 0.36%. The entire structure is simulated with a FDTD region of 40 μm × 8 μm. The Yee cell size is 18 nm in both the x and y directions. The fundamental mode of the fiber is launched at the top of the simulation region toward

![Fig. 1. SWGC geometry. Parameters defined in Table 1.](image-url)
the grating coupler and is coupled toward the right in the single-mode slab waveguide. The central wavelength in free space is \( \lambda_0 = 1.55 \, \mu m \), and only TE polarization (electric field out of the plane) is considered here. We assume a grating with 22 periods.

The parameters for our \( \mu \) GA-optimized SWGC result are listed in Table 1, along with the range of parameter values searched in the \( \mu \) GA optimization. Figure 2 shows the optimized SWGC geometry superimposed on the magnitude-squared time-averaged electric field. Note the excellent coupling of the field into the mode supported by the waveguide. Also note that there is some scattering loss at the boundary between the grating region and the output slab waveguide. As a further observation, the centers of the high-index regions in the three grating regions are positioned at an angle of \( \theta = 33.78^\circ \) with respect to the surface normal, which is representative of a slanted fringe in a volume holographic grating. To determine the fraction of the incident light that is coupled into the waveguide and lost in other directions, line monitors are defined as shown in Fig. 1. The corresponding power ratios (i.e., power detected on a given monitor to the power launched) are 76.18% for power directed to the right; 4.62% for power directed to the left; and 12.26% and 6.93% for power transmitted to the monitor to the power launched) are 76.18% for power directed to the right; 4.62% for power directed to the left; and 12.26% and 6.93% for power transmitted and reflected by the SWGC, respectively. A mode overlap integral shows that the efficiency with which power is directed from the fiber into the mode supported by the waveguide is 71.6%.

To understand how the SWGC operates, the effective index of the two lowest-order leaky modes of the SWGC region are determined with rigorous coupled-wave analysis.\(^2\) At \( \lambda = 1.55 \, \mu m \) the two lowest-order leaky modes are the fundamental mode with \( \gamma_0 = \beta_0 + i \alpha_0 = 6.1329 + i 0.4732 \) and an effective index of 1.5128 and a higher-order mode with \( \gamma_1 = \beta_1 + i \alpha_1 = 5.9780 + i 0.2243 \) and an effective index of 1.4747. Here \( \gamma \) is the complex propagation constant, and \( \beta \) and \( \alpha \) are the real and imaginary parts of \( \gamma \), respectively. Imaginary part \( \alpha \) is known as the radiation factor of the leaky mode, which is responsible for the leakage of energy into the diffraction orders of the grating.\(^9\) The presence of the multilayer grating increases the effective waveguide thickness sufficiently to allow a higher-order leaky mode to exist in addition to the fundamental leaky mode. The slab waveguide (i.e., the region without the SWGC) supports a single mode with an effective index of 1.4797, which is very close to the effective index of the higher-order leaky mode (1.4747).

Equipped with accurate mode effective-index information, we can now investigate the phase-matching condition of the SWGC. For normal incidence the \( x \) component of the incident \( k \) vector is zero and the well-known phase-matching condition of a grating coupler can be expressed simply as \( n = q \lambda / \Lambda \) \((q = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots)\) in which \( n \) is the effective index of the mode, \( \Lambda \) is the grating period, \( q \) is the diffraction order of the grating, and \( \lambda \) is the wavelength in free space. With a \( \mu \) GA-optimized grating period of \( \Lambda = 1.025 \, \mu m \) it is straightforward to show that the phase-matching condition of the SWGC can be satisfied with the fundamental leaky mode through the +1 diffraction order of the grating. Since there is a discontinuity in the effective index (or phase velocity) at the grating boundary between the fundamental leaky mode and the slab waveguide mode, both scattering loss and reflection can take place at the boundary. It is interesting to note that, although the effective index of the higher-order leaky mode and the slab waveguide are similar to each other, the \( \mu \) GA optimization selects the fundamental leaky mode instead. If the phase-matching condition was met through the higher-order leaky mode, scattering loss and reflection at the boundary could be minimized. One reason for this outcome is the difference in radiation factor \( \alpha \) between the fundamental leaky \((\alpha = 0.4732)\) and higher-order leaky modes \((\alpha = 0.2243)\). The larger \( \alpha \) of the fundamental leaky mode ensures high-efficiency coupling within a shorter coupling length that is close to incidence beam size.\(^9\) Another important reason is that the Bragg condition is satisfied with respect to the fundamental leaky mode, which is critical to the performance of SWGCs.

As shown in Fig. 3, a \( k \)-vector diagram is a useful aid in understanding the operation of SWGCs. For convenience, all the \( k \) vectors in the figure are nor-

![Fig. 2. Magnitude-squared time-averaged electric field.](image-url)
normalized by $k_0$ in free space. As a first-order approximation, the stratified grating of the SWGC can be treated as a homogeneous layer with an average refractive index $n_{av}$ defined as the volume average between the two materials forming the grating ridge shape,\(^{10}\)

$$n_{av} = \frac{\left( \sum_{i=3}^{\text{air}} [n_{i}^2 f_i + n_{j}^2 (1-f_i)] t_i + \sum_{m=2}^{\text{air}} n_{m}^2 d_m \right)}{\left( \sum_{i=3}^{\text{air}} t_i + \sum_{m=2}^{\text{air}} d_m \right)^{1/2}}, \tag{1}$$

in which all the symbols have the same definition as in Fig. 1. The solid circle with a radius of 1.635 in Fig. 3 denotes the average refractive index of the grating layers. The incident $k$ vector is $K_{inc}$ and the dashed slanted line refers to the orientation of a slanted fringe at 33.78° relative to the $k_2$ axis. The two dashed vertical lines, $L_1$ and $L_2$, at $k_z = 1.4747$ and 1.5128 correspond to the effective indices of the slab waveguide mode and the fundamental leaky mode, respectively. The first diffraction order $K_{final}$ which is the vector sum of grating vector $K_G$ and $K_{inc}$ is found to terminate at interception point C between the solid circle and $L_2$, which means that the Bragg diffraction condition is satisfied simultaneously with the phase-matching condition. Bragg diffraction tends to suppress all but the +1 diffraction order and therefore enforces unidirectional coupling into the waveguide.

Further 2D FDTD simulation of the above structure shows that the SWGC design has a relatively broad spectral response and a reasonable lateral fiber alignment tolerance. Over the 1.52–1.57-μm wavelength range there is at most an additional 1.5-dB coupling loss compared with the loss at 1.55 μm. A lateral shift of ±3 μm results in less than 1 dB of additional coupling loss.

In summary, SWGCs offer a potential method for coupling TE-polarized light with high efficiency from a fiber at normal incidence into a small-core waveguide. An important next step in evaluating the properties of SWGCs is to extend the 2D results presented in this Letter to a three-dimensional analysis of SWGCs. We expect that the grating ridge will need to be semicircular to focus the light in the lateral waveguide dimension into a single-channel waveguide.
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