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Music Recommendation and Query-by-Content Using Self-Organizing Maps

Kyle B. Dickerson and Dan Ventura
Computer Science Department
Brigham Young University
kyle_dickerson@byu.edu, ventura@cs.byu.edu

Abstract—The ever-increasing density of computer storage devices has allowed the average user to store enormous quantities of multimedia content, and a large amount of this content is usually music. Current search techniques for musical content rely on meta-data tags which describe artist, album, year, genre, etc. Query-by-content systems allow users to search based upon the acoustical content of the songs. Recent systems have mainly depended upon textual representations of the queries and targets in order to apply common string-matching algorithms. However, these methods lose much of the information content of the song and limit the ways in which a user may search. We have created a music recommendation system that uses Self-Organizing Maps to find similarities between songs while preserving more of the original acoustical content. We build on the design of the recommendation system to create a musical query-by-content system. We discuss the weaknesses of the naïve solution and then implement a quasi-supervised design and discuss some preliminary results.

I. INTRODUCTION

The ability to purchase music in digital formats has caused a dramatic increase in the music collections of even casual computer users. Many personal libraries contain thousands of songs which the user needs to search through when looking for a particular song. Current search techniques typically rely on meta-data tags which describe artist, album, year, genre, or similar information. These tags must be created by a human and attached to each file—an error-prone process which is, at best, inconvenient.

Much work has been done to create systems which try to automatically tag a song with genre information [1]. Having accurate and automatically generated meta-data is helpful, but only if the user can remember the information stored in the tags. If, however, the user can only remember the tune of the song it is necessary to search by content rather than by meta-data. Systems that perform this type of search, which rely on information retrieved from audio files, are generally referred to as Music Information Retrieval (MIR) systems. Unfortunately, no system yet exists that searches audio by content and which is accurate, fast, robust, and intuitive.

Any MIR system will require a method for determining the similarity of songs. In fact, the system is heavily dependent on this distance function. Many current systems first transcribe the audio content to a text representation and then use common string-matching techniques as the distance function [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]. This process, however, is difficult to perform accurately and reduces the content-rich music to a simple text string.

Instead, one could in principle extract various acoustic features from the audio using signal processing techniques with the distance function dependent upon which musical features are used. Determining which features to extract is a difficult problem. Whether or not a set of features is useful depends upon the context in which they will be used [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13].

Once a good feature set is found, it is still necessary to determine a suitable distance function. The choice of distance function has also been heavily studied, resulting in varying levels of success [14], [15], [16], [17], [18]. Rather than attempt to design a specific distance function, we will use a Self-Organizing Map (SOM) to create a lower dimensional space, allowing us to use simpler distance metrics.

Any set of features can be used to train a SOM. SOMs create a new n-dimensional space (usually two) from any higher dimensionality, creating a map, while preserving as much similarity among training data as possible. A single SOM trained on song data can be used to perform music recommendation based on similarity. We present a basic recommendation system and use it to drive the development of a query-by-content system. We then present the preliminary results of our work on the query-by-content system.

II. SELF-ORGANIZING MAPS

The Self-Organizing Map is an unsupervised learning algorithm which creates an n-dimensional space (usually two) while attempting to preserve as much of the intrinsic similarity in the training data as possible [19]. The algorithm begins by initializing a grid (map) of random feature vectors. These feature vectors are the same size as the data feature vectors. This grid may be considered to wrap around both horizontally and vertically, creating a toroid, to prevent unusual edge effects. For each training datum, the closest matching grid location is found, and a neighborhood around the matching location is updated to become more like that datum. Over time the size of the neighborhood shrinks and the influence of the update decreases (see Figure 1). In effect, these neighborhood alterations create smooth interpolations between data points across the map, a desirable property which allows us to train on a subset of the available data and still get a useful map.
We discuss our work. Musical query-by-content systems in the next section before presenting the current state-of-the-art in this area. We present the current state-of-the-art in user interest in.

One of the first such systems was presented by Feiten and G"unzel [20]. Harford [21] uses a SOM to perform melody retrieval. Dittenbach, Merkl, and Rauber [22] introduce a growing hierarchical SOM which Rauber, Pampalk, and Merkl [23] use to create a musical archive based upon sound similarity. As far as we have been able to determine, no work has been done in applying SOMs to a musical query-by-content system. However, an image query-by-content system was created by Laaksonen, Koskela and Oja [24]. In this system the user does not input a free-form query but rather selects images from presented sets as the system locates the area of the SOM the user is interested in.

Our work will use a SOM to power a musical query-by-content system. We present the current state-of-the-art in musical query-by-content systems in the next section before we discuss our work.

III. MUSICAL QUERY-BY-CONTENT SYSTEMS

The musical query-by-content field has almost exclusively been comprised of systems relying on monophonic queries which then use a form of melody extraction to represent the query textually before applying common string matching algorithms to find a match in a stored set of representations of the target songs (Figure 2). One early system utilizing melody extraction uses only pitch change to represent the queries and songs [2]. Another system, presented by Kosugi et al. [3], uses beats instead of notes and relies on a MIDI format for stored songs. A number of similar string-matching based systems have been presented by Pauws [4], Raju, Sundaram, and Rao [5], and Birmingham, Dannenberg, and Pardo [6].

The textual representation of the query is a considerable constraint in all of the above systems. Music is a very rich medium—songs often contain concurrent parts and a person may remember any single part while forgetting the others. Having a strong, unique bass with a vocal track accompanied by instrumentals is not uncommon, but by reducing the song to a single text representation much of that information is lost. Perhaps a person can remember how the bass sounded, but not the vocal or instrumentals. Current systems would be unable to help them find the correct song. There is one system which allows more comprehensive searching by extracting features directly from the MP3 encoding format which are segmented into a set of “phases” to which queries are matched [26]. This work, however, is limited to only songs in MP3 format.

We propose to use a SOM to power a musical query-by-content system, thereby allowing us to retain more of the original audio content. By retaining more content in the target songs, we hope to allow users to search using a broader range of query types—for example, humming, whistling, or singing.

IV. MUSICAL RECOMMENDATION USING A SELF-ORGANIZING MAP

We have created a proof-of-concept, SOM-based music recommendation system similar to those mentioned above. Our system generates a 128x128 map using a randomly chosen 25-second segment from 20% of the 881 songs available in our personal music library (Figure 3). Before creating the SOM the audio is preprocessed to extract feature vectors. The selection of features is an important aspect of any machine learning algorithm, and when using audio signals, the task is even more difficult because we must also decide what size windows to extract the features from. For simplicity, rather than attempt to determine a most effective set of features and parameters, we choose features and parameters that are common in many MIR systems. The features we use include the power spectrum, strongest beat, beat sum, Mel-Frequency Cepstral Coefficients, and Linear Predictive Coding. The features were extracted for every 5-second window, with a 50% overlap of segments. This preprocessing work therefore excludes any system we create from being a real-time system without many hours of prior computation. Our goal, however, is to show that a query-by-content system can be built using SOMs and not, necessarily,
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Once the SOM is trained, each of the 881 songs is com-
pletely mapped into it from start to end (one location for each
5 seconds of audio), creating a path within the map for each
song. These paths act as unique descriptors for each song
and are stored for later reference. We calculate the distance
between two songs as the average Euclidean distance between
the unique path descriptors. More advanced techniques could
be used; however our proof-of-concept results are fairly good
even using this simple algorithm. We assume that a more
thorough algorithm that attempts segmentation and alignment
matching would be more effective.

To test the recommendation system, we select various songs
and subjectively decide if the top five recommendations seem
similar. The system performs well with some types of songs,
such as classical and rock, while doing poorly with others,
such as dance club type music. For example, when we choose
Bach - Brandenburg Concerto No. 2, Allegro Moderato we receive the results shown in Figure 4. Each of these songs has
a common classical, orchestral sound, so, in our opinion, the
recommender system does a fairly good job. The pieces from
The Magic Flute, however, contain vocals while the others do
not.

When we use a more contemporary piece as the seed song
the system still performs well. Figure 5 shows the recommenda-
tions for the song Dashboard Confessional - Hands Down. These songs feature vocals over a strong rock sound. Be Like
That, despite having the best rank, is probably the least like
the others, having a slower tempo and softer feel.

A real test of our recommendation system is if two different
versions of the same song appear similar to each other. We have recordings of the song You Raise Me Up as performed
by the group Celtic Woman as well as by the solo artist Josh
Groban. The recommendations for these songs are a little
bit more unusual and may not be considered very helpful
as recommendations. They are, however, consistent between
the two pieces. So while the recommendations may be less
intuitive, they are at least not arbitrary and do contain strong
similarities to the seed songs. The top five recommendations
for the Celtic Woman and Josh Groban versions are presented
in Figures 6 and 7, respectively.

The top three songs in each list all feature strong vocals over
light instrumentals, which is consistent with the seed songs.
The songs from Mannheim Steamroller, however, are not really
what we would call music. They come from one of the artist’s
Halloween CDs and are simply spooky sounds to be played
as sound effects. It is interesting that neither list contains the
other song, yet they contain the same set of similar songs. It
is not until the sixtieth song in the Josh Groban list that the
Celtic Woman version appears. The Josh Groban version does
not appear within the first 100 results of the Celtic Woman
list. This effect may be due to the two songs having different
length introductions and one may be trailing the other on their
paths, a problem which could be overcome by an alignment
mechanism. A contributing factor is that the area of the map
in which the songs’ paths mainly land is the same area in
which several other songs are mapped to as well. This failure
to differentiate well could potentially be addressed by selecting
different features in the preprocessing stage.

1) Haydn - Divertimento No. 1 in B flat major
2) Mozart - The Magic Flute: Aria of the Queen of Night
3) Bach - Keyboard Concerto No. 4 - Larghetto
4) Pachelbel - Canon in D major
5) Mozart - The Magic Flute: Zorastro’s Aria

Fig. 4. Top 5 recommendations for Bach - Brandenburg Concerto No. 2, Allegro Moderato. All songs are orchestra pieces representing good matches to the seed song.

1) 3 Doors Down - Be Like That
2) Evanescence - Bring Me To Life
3) Linkin Park - Pushing Me Away
4) Matchbox Twenty - All I Need
5) Lifehouse - First Time

Fig. 5. Top 5 recommendations for Dashboard Confessional - Hands Down. Recommended songs reflect the prominent vocals and the strong rock background of the seed.

1) Harry Potter - Double Trouble
2) Phantom of the Opera - Angel of Music
3) Trans-Siberian Orchestra - God Rest Ye Merry Gentlemen
4) Mannheim Steamroller - Enchanted Forest IV
5) Mozart - The Marriage of Figaro: Duettino

Fig. 6. Top 5 recommendations for Celtic Woman - You Raise Me Up. These songs contain soft music mainly featuring vocals over light instrumentals. Compare with Figure 7.

1) Harry Potter - Double Trouble
2) Phantom of the Opera - Angel of Music
3) Trans-Siberian Orchestra - God Rest Ye Merry Gentlemen
4) Mannheim Steamroller - Enchanted Forest IV
5) Mannheim Steamroller - Enchanted Forest III

Fig. 7. Top 5 recommendations for Josh Groban - You Raise Me Up. Compare with Figure 6.
1) Bizet - Carmen Suite No. 1  
2) Harry Potter - Double Trouble  
3) Phantom of the Opera - Angel of Music  
4) Creedence Clearwater Revival - Susie Q  
5) Schubert - Symphony No. 5 in B flat major

Fig. 8. Top 5 recommendations for Sean Paul - We Be Burnin'. These are unusual recommendations which are unlike the seed song. This is probably a result of the training set not containing songs representative of the seed song.

Our system fails completely when we seed the search with the song Sean Paul - We Be Burnin’, a popular dance club type of music. The top five results for this song are presented in Figure 8.

In our opinion none of these songs are perceptually similar to the seed song. Carmen Suite is a traditional march piece, which does preserve the quick tempo and strong beat of the seed song, but contains no vocals. Double Trouble and Angel of Music both contain little instrumental and do not have a strong beat. Susie Q is closer to the seed song with a strong rock beat, instrumentals, and vocals. The Schubert piece, however, is a classical symphony song mainly featuring the violin section.

We believe the reason for the poor recommendations is that none of the songs from this album were selected in the 20% used to train the map; therefore the map failed to develop a neighborhood representative of this style of music. We did notice, however, that for ten of the eighteen songs on the album the song Double Trouble appeared within the top 3 results. This suggests that we may be seeing a similar effect as above—a crowded space requiring more discrimination. If information regarding each song’s genre is known, then a stratified selection approach could be used to drive the creation of representative neighborhoods to prevent this problem.

V. MUSICAL QUERY-BY-CONTENT USING SELF-ORGANIZING MAPS

Because SOMs preserve similarity information while performing dimensionality reduction, they are well suited to powering a query-by-content system. In designing this system we hope to avoid imposing a single query style upon the user. We would like our system to be robust enough to allow the user to query in any way they choose, such as singing, humming, whistling, etc.

A. Naïve Single-SOM Querying

The simplest and most obvious solution for querying a song library would be to train a SOM on the songs and then treat queries the same way, as if performing music recommendation as above. This is easily done by using a query as the seed for our recommendation system (Figure 9). However, this approach results in a degenerate solution in which the queries all map to a single area of the SOM which represents songs that have no instrumentation. This is an expected result because the query will not be similar to any of the songs based upon the musical content (other than the one theme expressed in the query). The queries tested were whistling queries and as such were unlikely to match themes occurring from guitars, singing, pianos, etc. The quasi-supervised approach allows us to compare songs and queries on a single SOM while avoiding this problem.

B. Quasi-Supervised SOM Training

Traditionally SOM training is unsupervised—the feature vectors themselves determine the resulting map. In quasi-supervised training, we extend the feature vectors of the target songs with the feature vectors of matching sample queries (Figure 10). This will create a single interpolated map linking queries and targets. As before, the entire song library is then mapped into the SOM using only the set of features representing songs. Queries are then be matched to songs by mapping the queries into the SOM using the set of feature vectors representing queries and the location paths can be directly compared just as we did in section IV for the recommendation system.

C. Quasi-Supervised SOM Preliminary Results

Our query collection system plays an audio clip to the user and then, while listening to the clip again, the user records a query to match that clip. We allow the user to listen to the sample while querying in order to elicit more accurate queries, helping to minimize errors in the dataset.

Our preliminary dataset consists of 71 matched song-clip and query pairs. Each clip is 20 seconds. We trained a small 32x32 SOM with 20% of the dataset (14 matched pairs). We altered the preprocessing to extract only the MFCC values for every 10ms. This resulted in 55,874 unique feature vectors in...
our training set. The results are promising, but clearly indicate that adjustment of parameters, including feature selection, is going to be important. To evaluate the performance of our SOM we used four metrics: percent correct, percent in top five results, percent in top ten results, and average position of correct match in the results list. If the possible set of results were simply randomly ordered then we would expect to see \((\frac{\text{NumItems}}{10} \times 100)\)% correct, \((\frac{\text{NumItems}}{10} \times 100)\)% within the top 5, \((\frac{\text{NumItems}}{10} \times 100)\)% within the top ten, and an average position of \(\frac{\text{NumItems}}{2}\). Our results are summarized in Table I.

The training set resulted in 14.3% correct (2/14), 50.0% within the top five results (7/14), 78.6% within the top ten results (11/14), and the average position of the matching result was 6.1. The testing set resulted in 1.8% correct (1/57), 10.5% within the top five results (6/57), 22.8% within the top ten results (13/57) and the average position of the matching result was 25.9. These results are not yet convincing; however, they are encouraging. This was a preliminary test, with a very small SOM, but it does show that there is improvement over a random ordering of songs. The trained SOM is completely saturated; that is, every location has multiple feature vectors mapping to it. A larger SOM should perform better by allowing further separation of feature vectors, improving the discriminating power of the SOM and increasing the distances between dissimilar points in the map.

VI. CONCLUSION

Musical query-by-content systems help users search through large song libraries to find specific songs based on the acoustic content rather than on meta-data such as artist, title, genre, and lyrics. We have created a simple music recommendation system using Self-Organizing Maps to show that SOMs can be used successfully in musical applications. Based on our subjective analysis the recommendation system we present as proof-of-concept produces acceptable results. Many improvements could be made to the initial algorithm to increase the accuracy. Important improvements would be to implement an alignment mechanism and adjust the features extracted during preprocessing.

Our goal is to use SOMs as the basis of a query-by-content system. Current query-by-content systems mainly rely upon creating a textual representation of songs and queries and using string-matching algorithms to find matches. In order to preserve more of the latent acoustic information and allow various query types we use a SOM to power such a system. The preliminary results of the SOM-based approach are encouraging, though much work is still to be done. To help drive our future work we are currently obtaining sample queries matched to song clips from a set of several individuals. With this data we will be able to more extensively test our design as we continue to tune the parameters and improve feature selection.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training Set</th>
<th>Test Set</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expected</td>
<td>Trained SOM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Correct</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Within Top 5</td>
<td>35.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Within Top 10</td>
<td>71.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Position of Match</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I

Preliminary Results. OUR PRELIMINARY TEST USING A VERY SIMPLE 32X32 SOM YIELDED ENCOURAGING RESULTS. THE RESULTS ARE BETTER THAN THE EXPECTED VALUES OF RANDOMLY GENERATED SONG ORDERINGS.


