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A practice that was eventually condemned by the church because of its Jewish affinities—being found, for example, in the Testaments of Abraham and Job and in the writings of Philo—the prayer circle has a long and complex history in Christian practice. This practice was considered one of the “mysteries” and therefore was protected from all who weren’t initiated. For the initiated participants, this was a very sacred practice, which demanded unity between all those involved. The prayer circle, generally referred to as a “dance,” often included hymns, prayers for the living and the dead, and gestures that would prepare the participants for heavenly visitations.
The nature of the early Christian prayer circle may be described by letting the oldest documents speak for themselves, beginning with the latest and moving backwards to the earliest. The rite was depicted for the last time in a document read to the assembled churchmen of the Second Council of Nicaea in AD 787 and condemned by them to the flames. Their objection was to parts of the text that proclaimed the gnostic doctrine of the total immateriality of Christ; on the subject of the prayer circle, which was strange to them, they preserved a discreet silence (see sidebar on facing page). Actually that part of it was an excerpt taken from a much older writing, the Acts of John, being the earliest apocryphal Christian Acta, dating at least to the early third century.

In reading this and other accounts of the prayer circles, we seem to enter, as Max Pulver expressed...
Tarasius, the most holy Patriarch, said: Let us view the document as a whole as contrary to the Gospel.

The Holy Synod said: Aye, sir: and it says that the human nature was only an appearance. . . .

Constantine the most holy bishop of Constantia in Cyprus said: This book is the basis of their unauthorized assemblies.

Tarasius the m. h. Patriarch said: These things are simply ridiculous.

Theodore the most God-beloved Bishop of Catana said: Yes, but this book has been undermining the authority [lit. wrenching the vestments] of the Holy Church of God!

Euthymius the most holy Bishop of Sardis said: Their false sects [parasyntagai] had to have this book to back them up [lit. as witnesses].

The Entire Synod declared: All heresy depends on this book.

Tarasius the most honorable Bishop said: Alas, how many heretical books support their false teachings!

Gregory the most holy bishop of Neocaesarea said: But this book is worthy of all vile infection [miasma] and a disgrace.

Then he began a hymn, saying,

“Praise (glory, doxa) to thee, Father,” and we standing in the circle, followed him with the Amen.

“Glory to thee Logos, glory to thee grace (charis, love). Amen.

Glory to thee spirit, glory to thee Holy One; praise to thy glory. Amen (or be praised [doxa-sou] with glory. Amen).

We praise thee Father; we thank thee Light in which there is no darkness. Amen.

And while we (all) give thanks, I say (explain):

I wish to be saved and I wish to save. Amen.

I wish to be delivered, and I wish to deliver. Amen.

I wish to bear wounds (titrōskō) and I wish to inflict them. Amen.
I wish to be born and I wish to bring forth (bear). Amen.
I wish to eat and I wish to be eaten. Amen.
I want to hear and I want to be heard. Amen.
I want to comprehend (know), being all intelligence (nous). Amen.
I want to be washed, and I want to wash. Amen.
Charis (grace) (leads) dances in the chorus: I wish to pipe (Play the flute)—dance all of you! Amen.
And after having led us in other things in the circle (chorus), beloved, the Lord went out. And we went forth like lost wanderers or like people in a dream, fleeing our several ways.3
Augustine in his 237th Epistle quotes a slightly different version, calling it “a hymn . . . commonly found in the apocryphal writings,” which he gets from the Priscillians, who believed it to be “the hymn of the Lord which he recited in secret to his disciples, the holy apostles, according as is written in the Gospel: After he recited a hymn, he ascended the mountain” (see Matthew 26:30; Mark 14:26). Its absence from the New Testament, which was Augustine’s argument for rejecting it as spurious, was explained by the sectaries by quoting Tobit 12:7: “The ordinances of the King it is well to conceal, though it is praiseworthy to reveal the works of God.”
Conventional Christianity, following Augustine, has always denied that there was any significant teaching of Christ not included in the New Testament, for to admit such would be to admit serious gaps in their own knowledge. Yet Augustine labors to show line by line that the hymn is not heretical (as the Bishops of Nicaea found it 350 years later) but that each statement can be duplicated somewhere in the scriptures.4 The further back we go the more prominent becomes the rite in the church.
The actual performance of such a rite is described in a very old text attributed to Clement of Rome and preserved in a seventh-century Syriac translation entitled “The Testament of Our Lord Jesus Christ as delivered orally by him to us the apostles after his resurrection following his death.”5 In celebrating the sacrificial death of the Lord (Pulver calls his study “The Round Dance and the Crucifixion”), the bishop would

A sort of antiphonal follows with the people in the ring responding to the words of the bishop. Then the bishop begins the prayer proper, the people repeating these same things, praying. He thanks God for the plan of salvation, by which “thou hast fulfilled thy purposes by preparing a holy people, hast stretched forth thy hands in suffering, that they
who have faith in thee might be freed from such suffering and from the corruption of death."

The identical idea is expressed in the prayer circle so fully described by Bishop Cyril of Jerusalem (ca. AD 350) which we have discussed elsewhere:

O strange and paradoxical thing! We did not die in reality . . . after having been actually crucified. Rather it was an imitation by a token. . . . O love of men overflowing! Christ really received the nails in his blameless hands and feet and suffered pain; while I, without any pain or struggle, by his sharing of suffering the pain enjoy the fruits of salvation!

Also in a long passage in the Acts of John:

You who dance, consider what I do, for yours is this passion of Man which I am to suffer. For you could by no means have understood what you suffer unless to you as Logos I had been sent by the Father. . . . If you knew how to suffer you would be able not to suffer. Learn how to suffer and you shall be able not to suffer.

Plainly the rite is intimately involved with the suffering of the crucifixion.

The Syriac prayer ends: “Grant, therefore, O God, that all those be united with thee who participate in these sacred ordinances,” And the people say Amen. Bishop: “Give us unity of mind in the Holy Ghost, and heal our spirits . . . that we may live in thee throughout all eternity!” Then certain ordinances are explained to those in the circle: “It is he who gave Adam . . . a garment and the promise that after death he might live again and return to heaven.” It is explained how Christ by the crucifixion reversed the blows of death, “according to the Plan of the Eternal Father laid down before the foundations of the earth.”

Still older are some documents designated as the Gospel of Bartholomew, belonging to that growing corpus of very early writings believed to contain instructions and teachings given to the apostles in secret by the Lord after his resurrection. On one occasion when the apostles were met together, “Bartholomew . . . said to Peter, Andrew, and John, ‘Let us ask [Mary] the favored one how she conceived the Lord and bore him.’ ” This was an embarrassing question, and no one was willing to approach Mary on the subject. “And Bartholomew said to Peter, ‘You are the President and my teacher, you go and ask her!’ ” But Peter says Bartholomew himself should ask, and after much hesitation he approaches Mary on behalf of the other apostles, and she agrees to enlighten them.

They form a prayer circle, “and Mary, standing before them, raised her hands to heaven” and began to call upon the Father in an unknown language, a number of versions of which are given.

When she finished the prayer, she said, “Let us sit on the ground [or stand quietly, kathismen, at the prepared place, edaphos—since it is plain that they remain standing]; come Peter, you are in charge. Stand at my right hand and place your left hand under my forearm; and you, Andrew, you do the same thing on my left side.”

John and Bartholomew are instructed to support or catch Mary if she faints, “lest my bones fail me when I start to speak.” This mutual support in the circle is necessary where some may be caught away in the Spirit and pass out.

In a variant version, when the brethren are met together on the Mount of Olives, “Peter said to Mary, ‘Blessed one, please ask the Lord to tell us about the things that are in heaven.’ ” But Mary reminds Peter that as Adam has precedence over Eve, so it is his business to take the lead in such things. Having taken position in the circle, Mary begins to speak:

When I was in the temple of God [a number of early sources report that Mary served in the temple, like Samuel, as a child] . . . there appeared to me one day a manifestation like an angel of unfamiliar aspect . . . . And suddenly the veil of the temple was rent and there was a great earthquake and I fell on my face unable to bear the sight of him. But he stretched forth his hand and raised me up, and I looked up to heaven and a dewy cloud came and [lacuna] moistened me from head to foot; and he wiped me off with his stole (robe, shawl) and said to me, “Greetings, thou favored one, chosen vessel!” and he grasped my right hand. And there was bread in abundance and he set it out on the altar of the temple [cf. the shewbread], and
he ate first and then gave to me. And he put forth his hand from his garment and there was wine in abundance, and he drank first and then gave to me, and I beheld and saw a full cup and bread. And he said to me, "In three years' time I shall send to you my Logos and you will bear a son, and through him all the creation will be saved. . . . Peace to thee, my beloved, forever and ever." And suddenly he was gone from me, and the temple was as it was before.

At this point the Lord himself appeared and commanded Mary to utter no more of this mystery," while "the apostles were sore afraid that the Lord would be angry with them." The sacramental episode is close to the holy wedding in the temple described in the Story of Joseph and Asenath, giving some indication of the great age and wide ramifications of the motif. The account continues with Jesus giving the apostles further instructions in the ordinances, but the text is badly damaged. In one version Andrew accuses Mary of teaching false doctrine (an authentic human touch is the occasional reference in the early documents to a slight but uncomfortable tension between Mary and some of the apostles), but Peter reminds him that the Lord confided in Mary more than in any other, while Mary, upset, weeps and says, "Peter, do you think I am making all this up?"

In the book of 2 Jeu, considered by Carl Schmidt to be the most instructive of all early Christian texts, the apostles and their wives all form a circle around the Lord, who says he will lead them through all the secret ordinances that shall give them eternal progression. Then "all the apostles, clothed in their garments, . . . placing foot to foot, made a circle facing the four directions of the cosmos," and Jesus standing at the altar proceeded to instruct them in all the signs and ordinances in which the Sons of Light must be perfect.

Snatched at the last moment from the rising waters of the Aswan Dam in 1966 was the Kasr al-Wazz fragment, where we read,

We made a circle and surrounded him and he said, "I am in your midst in the manner of these little children." When we finished the hymn they all said Amen. Then he said other things and each time they must all answer Amen.

"Gather to me, O holy members of my body, and when I recite the hymn, you say Amen!"

The Acts of John describes the circle as being in motion, a sort of dance, and earlier texts than the Nicaean version add a cosmic touch to the formula:

"I would pipe: Dance all of you. I would mourn: mourn all of you!"
One Ogdoad sings praises with us. Amen. The number 12 dances on high. Amen. All that which is above participates in the circle. Amen." [Or—(alternate version)] "He that danceth not knoweth not what is being done. Amen . . . ."
"Now if you follow my dance See yourself in Me who am speaking, and when you have seen what I do, keep silence about my mysteries."

It is doubtless to this rite that Clement of Alexandria refers in the second century when he writes, "Come to our mysteries and you shall dance with the angels around the Unbegotten and Eternal one and only true God, while Logos of God sings along with us . . . the great High Priest of God, who prays for men and instructs them."

Clergymen of every denomination have vied in fervor in condemning all dancing as of the devil, yet strangely the only passages they can find to
use from early Christian writings never condemn it outright. The favorites are Augustine’s dictum: “Melius est enim arare quam saltare” (“It is better to plow than to dance”), and Chrysostom’s, “Where there is dancing, there is the devil also,” but the churchmen who quote it never finish what Chrysostom has to say, as he continues, “God gave us feet . . . not to cavort shamefully . . . but that we may some day join in the dance of the angels!” To which angelic dancing the great Basil also refers as part of the Christian tradition: “What is more blessed than to imitate the dance of the angels here on earth?” Ritual dancing was condemned by the fathers not because it was new, but because it was old in the church—it smacked of the old Jewish heritage. Both Augustine and Chrysostom condemn the old Jewish dancing as part of the Sabbath rejoicing.

Were it not for a violent prejudice against dancing, the long debates of the scholars as to whether the participants in the prayer circle really danced or not would be pointless, since the earliest texts clearly say they did dance. But what kind of a dance? In the classic work on the Therapeutae, Philo, writing at the time of Christ, tells how men and women in the circle, following the lead of an exarchos or choral instructor, would chant hymns with antiphonal responses in a manner resembling both the “rapt enthusiasm” and the circular motion of ancient choric dances, “hands and feet keeping time in accompaniment.” The Therapeutae were an Essene group related both to the Egyptian communities of desert sectaries and to the people of the Dead Sea Scrolls—one could hardly accuse them of frivolity.

The Greek and Russian Orthodox churches still preserve the ring dance around the altar in that most conservative of rites, the wedding ceremony, when bride, groom, and priest all join hands and circle the altar three times; Hans Leisegang connects this definitely with the old prayer circle.
the coronation of the Byzantine emperor, everyone danced around the emperor’s table three times. The most common representations of ritual dancing in early Christian art show pious damsels dancing around the throne of King David. And the Jewish apocryphal writings often depict a situation best described at the opening of the Book of Mormon, where Lehi sees God on his throne “surrounded with numberless concourses of angels in the attitude of singing and praising their God” (1 Nephi 1:8). Surrounding concourses are concentric circles, and the singing and praising are never static; it is a dynamic picture with everything in motion, as Lehi sees it, and as the cosmic pattern of the thing requires. The prayer circle is often called the chorus of the apostles, and it is the meaning of chorus which can be a choir, but is originally a ring dance, as Pulver designates it in the title of his study. The prayer was a song such as Paul prayed and sang in the darkness of a prison: “About midnight they prayed a hymn to God” (see Acts 16:25). And if they sang in chorus, would they not dance? Philo says that the true initiate during the rites moves “in the circuit of heaven, and is borne around in a circle with the dances of the planets and stars in accordance with the laws of perfect music”—the music of the spheres.

The most puzzling reference to the dance is also the oldest one, that in Matthew 11:16–17: “[This generation] . . . is like unto children sitting in the markets, and calling unto their fellows, and saying, We have piped unto you, and ye have not danced; we have mourned unto you, and ye have not lamented.” It was taking liberties with this strange passage “as a pretext” that the early sectaries justified the dancing in their prayer circles, according to L. Gougaud. In the text read at Nicaea the Lord says to the circle, “Amen! When grace comes I want to pipe and you all dance.” But in a circle where they are already singing, the dancing is only to be expected in view of old Jewish customs—and this episode takes place in the upper room at the Last Supper, the Passover. Why should that playful game be introduced on that most solemn of occasions? In Matthew 11:7, Jesus is speaking about John the Baptist’s followers and begins, “concerning John, What went ye out into the wilderness to see?” This is a challenge to the desert sectaries. They were out
there, as the *Community Rule* so clearly tells us, to “prepare the way” (see Matthew 11:10). He speaks of John’s great mission as the herald of a dispensation, an “Elias, which was for to come” (Matthew 11:14), and then addresses the initiates: “He that hath ears to hear, let him hear” (v. 15), describing the present generation as those rejecting John’s message (v. 12)—they would accept neither John nor the Lord (vv. 18–19): they refused to dance to their playing, nor would they mourn with them for the sins of the world (vv. 16–17). The knowledge is properly guarded—“he that hath ears to hear, let him hear” (v. 15), a hint to the initiated that it is meant only for them. In the *Acts of John*, the Lord says, “Grace is dancing. I would pipe: Dance all of you. I would mourn: mourn all of you!” The connection with Matthew is undeniable, and again the limitation of the real meaning to the inner circle: “He that does not move in the circle knows not what is happening. Amen.” An important clue is the likening to little children in Matthew 11:16. The Kasr al-Wazz fragment says, “We made a circle and surrounded him and he said, ‘I am in your midst in the manner of these little children,’ he added, ‘Gather to me, O holy members of my body, and when I recite the hymn, you say Amen.’”

In both the *Acts of John* and the *Apocryphon of John*, Jesus appears at the same time as a grown man and a little child; and in a famous infancy account when he and John embrace as small children, they fuse into one. Is it a mere coincidence that he repeatedly speaks of the little children and the dancing when declaring unity with John? The central act of the prayer circle was prayer, and it was “as he was praying in a certain place, when he ceased, one of his disciples said unto him, Lord, teach us to pray, as John also taught his disciples” (Luke 11:1, emphasis added). Again in close comparison with John, he teaches them the Lord’s prayer. Joachim Jeremias, in a study of that prayer, notes the significant fact that in it Christ addresses the Father as *Abba*. And that, Jeremias observes, “was something new,” using an Aramaic word “used by a small child when addressing his father. . . . Jesus’ contemporaries,” Jeremias writes, “never addressed God as Abba”—that was little child’s talk, addressing God as a real, intimate father, as a trusting little child would. Little children do not stand on their dignity when they are happy; their singing and dancing is spontaneous. Some of that spontaneity and simplicity carries over into the later cult of the Christ child, but in the early Christian
texts it is the clue to an authentic situation. In the Testament of the Twelve Apostles, the Lord, appearing to the people after the resurrection just before producing bread and wine miraculously for the administering of the sacrament, has a conversation with a little child.41 In exactly the same situation in the Book of Mormon the resurrected Lord blesses the little children “one by one,” but he begins his discourse to the Nephites by telling them three times that no one can approach him except as a little child (see 3 Nephi 9:22, 11:37–38). The prayer circle is the nearest approach to the Lord that men make on earth—and they can approach him only “as little children.”

The prayer spoken in the circle differs every time; it is not strictly prescribed. The one leading the prayer expresses himself as the Spirit moves him, and the others either repeat each line after him (which would not be necessary if they all knew it by heart) or add an Amen at the end of each phrase, which is the equivalent of reciting the prayer for oneself. The most significant example of this freedom of composition is certainly the Lord’s Prayer. “Originally,” wrote Jeremias, “the doxology, ‘For thine is the kingdom, and the power, and the glory, for ever,’ was absent,” yet it is found in the oldest church order, the “Teaching of the Twelve Apostles.” Has someone taken liberties with the sacred canon, then? No, “the absence of the doxology from the original text,” Jeremias explains, “does not mean that Jesus intended his prayer to be recited without a word of praise at the end. But in the very earliest times, the doxology had no fixed form and its precise wording was left to those who prayed.” Only “later on . . . it was felt necessary to establish the doxology in a fixed form,”49 which explains why the prayer has different forms in Matthew 6:13 and Luke 11:4. Also, the older Aramaic form of the prayer required forgive “our debts,” which the Greek of Luke changes to forgive “our sins.”50 This vindicates both the inclusion of the doxology in the Lord’s prayer in 3 Nephi 13:9–13 and the reading there of “debts” instead of “sins.”

Almost all accounts mention the introduction of the prayer as being in a strange language, a triple formula of words resembling each other. Thus in I Žeu after they form the circle, Jesus begins a hymn which appears to be meaningless, a speaking in tongues, a glossolalia.41 In the Pistis Sophia also, the Lord, having formed the apostles and their wives in a circle around him and “taking the place of Adam at the altar, called upon the Father three times in an unknown tongue.”42 Elsewhere the text explains how while they stood “all in white, each with the cipher of the name of the Father in his hand,” Jesus prayed in a strange language, beginning with the words Iaō, aōi, òia! which, we are told, meant “Hear me Father, the Father of all fatherhood, boundless light!” According to our source, “This is the interpretation: Iota [Ι], because everything came out of (began with) it; Alpha [Α] because everything will return to it; Omega [Ω] because everything is process (lit. the fulfilling of all fulfilling).”43

In another version, when the Lord “ordered the Twelve to make a prayer circle and join him in a triple Amen and hymn to the Father and Creator of all treasure,” he began by saying “iē, iē, iē, [calling upon the Father] . . . to create beings to be the Lords of every treasure, and as such to bear the name of their Father Jeu, who has replenished the treasures with countless spirits and degrees of glory.”44 When Abraham, according to an old and highly respected source, “rebuilt the altar of Adam in order to bring a sacrifice to the Eternal One,” as he had been instructed by an angel, he raised his voice in prayer, saying: “El, El, El! El Jáael! [the last meaning Jehovah] . . . receive the words of my prayer! Receive the sacrifice which I have made at thy command! Have mercy, show me, teach me, give to thy servant the light and knowledge thou hast promised to send him!”45 Abraham was following the example of Adam, who prayed to God for three days, repeating three times the prayer: “May the words of my mouth be heard! God, do not withdraw thyself from my supplication! . . . Then an angel of the Lord came with a book, and comforted Adam and taught him.”46 When Adam and Eve found themselves cut off from the glory of the Lord, according to the intriguing Combat of Adam, they stood with upstretched hands calling upon the Lord, as “Adam began to pray in a language which is unintelligible to us.”47 The so-called Coptic Gnostic Work purports to give us Adam’s words on the occasion as being composed of the elements lō-i-a and i-oy-ēl, meaning “God is with us forever and ever,” and “through the power of revelation.”48 The Jewish traditions indicate that the story is no gnostic invention, though of course mysterious names and cryptograms are the stuff on which human vanity feeds, and every ambitious sectary would come up
with his own words and interpretations. Yet, though none of these writings may be taken as binding or authentic, taken all together they contain common elements which go back as far as the church of the apostles. When Mary asks the Lord, “Tell me your highest name!” “He, standing in the midst of a cloud of light, said, ‘He, Elohe, Elohe, Elohe; Eran, Eran, Eran; Rafon, Rafon, Rafon; Raqon, Raqon, Raqon,’” etc. Such mysteries are just the sort of thing unqualified persons love to play around with, and various gnostic groups took fullest advantage of them. But again, the Jews are way ahead of them, as we see in the huge catalogues of mysterious angelic names in such works as 3 Enoch.

What Henri Leclercq calls “that magnificent gesture” of raising both hands high above the head with which those in the prayer circle began their prayer was, as he notes, a natural gesture both of supplication and submission. It was specifically a conscious imitation of the crucifixion, and that brings to mind the significant detail, mentioned by the synoptic writers, that the Lord on the cross called upon the Father in a strange tongue: those who were standing by, though Aramaic was supposed to be their native tongue, disagreed as to the meaning (see Mark 15:33–36), and indeed the manuscripts give many variant readings of an utterance which the writers of the Gospels left untranslated, plainly because there was some doubt as to the meaning. It recalls the cry of distress of David in Psalm 54:2: “Hear my prayer, O God; give ear to the words of my mouth,” and in Psalm 55:1–4: “Give ear to my prayer, O God. . . . Attend unto me, and hear me. . . . My heart is sore pained within me: and the terrors of death are fallen upon me.”

Friedrich Preisigke, studying the same gesture among the Egyptians (it is none other than the famous *ka*-gesture, 𓊁𓆤), notes that it represents submission (the “hands up” position of one surrendering on the battlefield) while at the same time calling the attention of heaven to an offering one has brought in supplication. He also points out that the early Christians used the same gesture in anticipation of a visitation from heaven, to which they added the idea of the upraised arms of the Savior on the cross. We have already mentioned the prayers of Adam and Abraham calling upon God in a strange tongue in the midst of darkness and distress. Abraham, says the Zohar, received no message until he built an altar and brought an offering, “for there is no stirring above until there is a stirring below . . . we do not say grace over an empty table”—or altar. Enoch was another who as he prayed “stretched forth his arms, and his heart swelled wide as eternity,” and to comfort him God sent him the vision of Noah’s salvation (see Moses 7:41–67). Noah also cried out in his distress, “calling upon Enoch three times and saying, Hear me! hear me! hear me!” Let us also recall that when Mary led the prayer circle of the apostles “she raised her hands to heaven, and began to call upon the Father in an unknown tongue.”

Suffering is an important theme of the ancient prayer circle. The rite is always related to the crucifixion, according to Pulver, which was anticipated by it in the upper room, for “the core of the Lord’s Supper is the idea of sacrifice.” In the rites “the believer must incur the same sufferings as his god, and therefore he must mourn with him”—hence the peculiar passage in Matthew 11:16–17. Ignatius’s Letter to the Romans shows that “real suffering . . . alone enables one to become a disciple, to learn and gain experience. . . . For Ignatius, the believer must
repeat the destiny of his God, he must become an imitator of God, mimētēs tou Theou.” 59 This is done ritually as is plainly stated by Cyril of Jerusalem and the author of the Testament of Jesus Christ, cited above: “and thou hast stretched forth thy hands in suffering, that they might be freed from such suffering” by an act of imitation. 60

The clearest expression of the idea is given in that archetype and model of all initiates and suppliants, Adam. As he and Eve were sacrificing on an altar “with arms upraised,” an angel came down to accept the sacrifice, but Satan intervened and smote Adam in the side with the sacrificial weapon. Adam fell upon the altar and would have died were it not that God intervened and healed him on the spot, declaring that what Adam had suffered so far was acceptable to him as a true sacrifice, being in the similitude of his own offering: “Even so will I be wounded!” 61 The prayer asks for light and knowledge as well as other aid, and the answer is a teaching situation. Thus the angels who came down in answer to Adam’s threefold appeal, “May the words of my mouth be heard!” etc., came with a book, and comforted Adam and taught him. 62 Or, in another version, when Adam and Eve prayed at their altar three messengers were sent down to instruct them. 63 The Lord himself appears to teach Abraham as he is studying the heavens, according to Clement, 64 and the valuable Testament of Abraham begins with his receiving instruction at an altar on a holy mountain, surrounded “by men whom I will show you, how they will form a circle around you, being on the mountain of the altars.”

The Lord gave him the three bands “on the day when he decided to show me mercy,” healing him of the afflictions of the flesh, and placing the item before him saying: “Arise, gird up thy loins like a man! I shall ask you certain questions, and you shall give me certain answers!” 71 When Job tied them on, all sickness left him and his body became strong and his mind at ease. 72 “And the Lord spoke to me in power, showing me things past and future.” 73 He tells the girls that they will have nothing to fear in this life from the adversary, because these things they wear are a “power and a protection (phylaktērion) of the Lord.” 74 Then he tells them to arise and gird themselves to prepare for heavenly visitants. 75

Thus it was that when one of the three daughters . . . arose and clothed herself (periezōsen—showing that this was a garment and more than a string) according to her father’s instructions, she received another heart and no longer
thought about earthly things. And she began to utter words (apephthenxato)—make a clear and important statement) in the angelic sounds (phône), and sent up a hymn to God using the manner of praising of the angels. And as she recited the hymns, she let the spirit be marked (kecharagmenon) on her garment.76

Here the "string" or chord is definitely called a garment—stolê. The next girl girded herself likewise and recited the hymn of the creation of the heavens speaking “in the dialect of the Archons,” making her a true Muse.77 The third girl “chanted verses in the dialect of those on high . . . and she spoke in the dialect of the Cherubim,” her words being preserved as The Prayers of Amaltheias-Keras—a most significant name.78

In the opening lines of the Testament, Job tells his three daughters and seven sons to form a circle around him (the second son is called Choros). “Make a circle around me (perikyklôsate me)—he repeats the word) and I will demonstrate (hypodeixô, a very explicit word) to you the things which the Lord did with me (epoiesen met' emou, i.e., which we did together. It does not mean what he did to or for me!). For I am your father Job who was faithful in all things (en pasei hypomonei) and you are of the chosen and honored lineage (genos) of the seed of Jacob; i.e., he gives them a patriarchal blessing—his Testament.79

Then Job recounts an adventure quite like that of Moses in the first chapter of the Book of Moses, after which Job suddenly appears as the humiliated king who regains his glory, the “Job who ruled over all of Egypt,” no less!80 He shows his royal visitors his real throne, which is in heaven,81 and they become upset and angry about his illusive “eternal hope to understand heavenly matters?”82 In the hereafter, “If you do not understand the only kingdom,” which he assures them is the kingdom of light, they being conducted by him through all the ordinances and thereby learning to progress in the hereafter.”83

At Mary’s request on behalf of the apostles the Lord specifies the progressive order of “all ordinances (mystēria), all knowledge (instructions—sooun), seals (spthagidês), tokens (psephoi), supplications (or forms of address—epikal-esthai), degrees (or positions—topoi).”84 And in the Acts of John he tells those in the circle, “What you do not know, I myself will teach you.”85 The
whole situation centers around the Last Supper and belongs to the church from the beginning.93

In a Bartholomew text, the Lord takes the Twelve up into the mountain and standing in their midst gives them certain signs and tokens and then departs.94 The gnostics exploit and distort this situation in their usual way: Thus when an angel comes to rescue Norea in response to her prayer, he says, “I am El-El-Eth . . . who stands before the Holy Ghost (obviously a Hebrew source—the Shekhina). I have been sent to converse with you and to save you from the Adversary. I will instruct you concerning what you should know.”95

Indeed, in various accounts Satan tries to get in on the act. We have seen how he smote Adam, interrupting his lessons at the altar. And when Abraham prayed at his altar, “Have mercy, show me, teach me, give to thy servant light and knowledge thou hast promised to send him!” Satan promptly appears on the scene with an insolent “Here I am!” And as he began to teach Abraham, a true messenger from God arrived and cast Satan out and proceeded with the proper instructions.96 In 2 Jeu the Lord warns the men and women in the circle that the ordinances in question are very secret, because Satan wants them distorted and misrepresented, as they surely will be if they go abroad in the world.97 Divulging those very things, it will be recalled, was the sin for which the Watchers in Enoch’s day were destroyed.98 According to Rabbi Eleazer, Abraham built three altars in order to instruct his children and fortify them against apostasy.99

As to the teacher, sometimes it is Jaoel or Jehovah as “the heavenly choirmaster,” and sometimes it is Michael or Gabriel. As often as not it is three Sent Ones.100 But of course all the knowledge is sent down from God. “Abraham . . . would utter prayers on certain occasions while sacrificing, thus invoking the ‘One God.’”101 This was the beginning of Jewish liturgy. Clement, however, takes it back a step farther: “Adam finding he needed help, solicited divine assistance with prayers and sacrifices. . . . That was the beginning of the ordinances of God.”102 According to the Moslem commentators, all creatures form in circles around God to be taught, suggesting the gathering of all the beasts at life-giving water holes in the desert.103 Leisegang finds that throughout the ancient world the prayer circle is for the instruction of initiates.104 We may even go beyond his range to the medicine circles of Indians all over America.105 Among the Plains Indians, as described by Hyemeyohsts Storm,

the people all sit quietly together and learn the four harmonies of balance. Each of the people can now perceive the others, and they realize that they are all Teachers. They put their arms around each other and care for each other. Then they begin to dance towards the Flowering Tree together in a Great Circle.106
The “four harmonies” mentioned in the last quotation appear throughout the world in the ring dance. The number of those forming the circle is, among the pagans, almost always sixteen, as Leisegang shows; with the Christian circle it is twelve, combining the three levels and the four cardinal points. In the Jewish 3 Enoch the three levels of the twelve produce rings of thirty-six. In 1 Jeu, “At every station (or step, topos) there are twelve springs of reason . . . and in each every father has three faces, so that the fathers that encircle Sethus have 36 faces . . . At every level (taxis) there is a treasure containing 12 heads . . . and in each topos there are always three Watchers to instruct.” As might be expected, the number 360 is constantly mentioned and pedants and mystics had a field day shuffling and rearranging their cosmic circles, as did mathematicians and astronomers—our circles still have 360 degrees. If the gnostic can tell us in a typical text that “the nous of the universe has 12 faces and the prayer of each one is directed solely towards him,” while in the midst stands an altar upon which is the Only Begotten Word, that is not so far from the impeccably orthodox Ignatius of Antioch, for whom the dance of twelve “is in imitation of God.”

Monuments of great age and imposing majesty in many parts of the world suggest the prevalence of the main ideas. Thus when Heliodorus went far up the Nile to Meroë, describing conditions during the Persian occupation of the fourth century BC, he saw a council of holy men sitting in a circle of twelve with three altars in their midst. As an eyewitness to the operation and as a personal friend
to the emperor, Eusebius was able to describe the arrangement of Constantine’s tomb and the mystique behind it. “He built a martyrium in memory of the 12 Apostles in the city bearing his name.” It was a golden superdome, open to the sky and utterly dazzling. A ring of twelve columns with relics of an apostle deposited at the foot of each represented the holy chorus. Then Constantine had a happy afterthought: He had twelve reliquaries in honor and memory of the sacred chorus of the apostles placed in the circle of the rotunda, each at the foot of a column; and in the center of this he put his own casket . . . so that, as he explained it, by a clever calculation any honor shown to an apostle would be automatically focused—as if by a burning glass, on the object in the center—the remains of the emperor. Thus that smart man characteristically “utilized the intercession of the apostles to his own advantage.”112

The plan was carried out in the still-surviving mausoleum of Constantine’s daughter Constantia, with its twelve double columns in a circle around the sarcophagus or altar,113 and from the same period in the Tomb of Diocletian at Split and many other imposing monuments dedicated to harnessing the power of the heavens through the prayer circle. There is a definite cosmic connection here. “What is eternal . . . is circular, and what is circular is eternal,” write Giorgio de Santillana and Hertha von Dechend, quoting Aristotle with the comment, “That was the mature conclusion of human thought over millennia. It was . . . an obsession with circularity.”114 While Plato bids us behold “immortal souls standing outside of heaven (as) the revolution of the spheres carries them round, and they behold all things beyond,”115 First Clement, among the oldest and most esteemed of Christian writings, declares that “the sun and the moon and the chorus of stars according to his decree in harmony and without any deviation circle in their appointed orbits.”116 The life of the soul is related to the motions of the heavenly bodies in the Twelfth Thanksgiving Hymn of the Dead Sea Scrolls, and the remarkable tenth column of the Community Rule is an ecstatic song with instrumental accompaniment and dance in the temple attuned to the circling of the spheres and the revolutions of the times, seasons, and festivals. It begins:

At the beginning of the rule of light in its circling, at the gathering to the appointed place, at the beginning of the watches of darkness, when its treasury is opened and poured out upon the earth, and in its revolving and drawing together from its source of [or for the sake of] light, when the outpouring of the light shines forth from the holy abode[,] . . . I will sing what I learn and all my harping is for the glory of El, and all the sound of my harp shall be attuned to his holiness while the flute of my lips shall strictly conform to [lit. be laid to the line of] his instruction . . . . I will prescribe the limits from which I will not depart . . . . I will gladly receive what he teaches me . . . . As soon as my hand and feet are stretched forth I will call upon (abarekh) his name at the beginning both of the going out and the coming in.117

Here the singer compares his solitary song to the strict discipline and instruction of the prayer circle in the temple, for example, “I will make the heave-offering with my lips” (line 6), that being a temple ordinance.

With the fall, according to a Hebrew Enoch fragment, Adam tried his best to behold again the glory of the Shekhina, but had to settle in his fallen state for “the circle of the sun which all behold in glory as the sign of the Shekhina with 6000 prophets circling around it.”118 In the various ascension texts we are taken again and again through the various levels of concentric rings, “the order [taxis] of holy angels in their ring-dances [chorostasian, lit. standing properly in a ring].” Isaiah is instructed in his ascension not to worship at any of the six central thrones at any of the chorostasias or singing praise-circles, circles he must pass on the way up, since all the others are simply focusing their praise on
“him who sitteth in the Seventh Heaven.” Such a mounting up is described by Philo:

The soul . . . is borne ever higher to the ether and the circuit of heaven, and is carried around with the dances of the planets and fixed stars in accordance with the laws of perfect music, reaching out after . . . the patterns of the originals of things of the senses which it saw here (on earth, while) longing to see the Great King himself. Philo is attempting to combine Jewish lore with the mysteries of Egypt. Pulver notes that the eight-circle is commoner than the twelve and “occurs also in early Christianity whenever it discloses an Egyptian influence.” Certainly what is purportedly the first and oldest shrine in Egypt, the Abaton, tomb of Osiris and first place of settlement with its great ring of 365 altars and its three levels, etc., suggests the circle of 365 aeons that marks the place of the Adam of light with its three sides or directions, and even more does the arrangement of the ideal temple in the newly published Temple Scroll from the Qumran Cave 1. Plutarch explains certain mysteries on the authority of the Egyptians in a combination of earthly and heavenly geography that is typically Egyptian: The worlds are so ordered that “one always touches the other in a circle, moving as it were in a stately ring-dance,” which takes place surprisingly within a triangle, “the foundation and common altar of all these worlds, which is called the Plain of Truth, in which lie the designs, moulds, ideas, and permanent examples or samples of all things that ever were or shall be.” Some have suggested that the three-cornered plain in question is the Nile Delta, and it is not surprising that Plutarch’s image of things was Christianized by an Egyptian, Clement of Alexandria: “That which Christ brings forth (is) transformed into an Ogdoad [eight gods of creation] . . . and through three names is liberated as a triad. . . . When you bear the image of the terrestrial world then you also bear the image of the celestial.”

It is because each prayer circle is a faithful reproduction of the celestial pattern that impulses can be transmitted from one to the other by all who are in a receptive state; the thoughts of those in the circle are concentrated as in a burning-glass, or, since the thing most emphasized as the indispensable requirement of the circle is the absolute purity of mind, concentration of thought devoid of any reservations or distractions, and since the communication is beamed from one Treasury of Light to others, the analogy of the laser is quite striking. The three who were sent to teach Adam and Eve the order of prayer gave them the pattern “after the manner of what is done above in the Treasury of Light.” If that sounds too gnostic, the same image meets us in the above-mentioned tenth column of the Community Rule. In the Book of Adam, Adam is endowed with the image and likeness of the Lords (above), while Eve is the Queen of this world. . . . I (God) provided [sent] the three visitors (génies) for their protection, and taught them the holy mysteries . . . and the prayers which they must recite . . . and I told them further, “I have provided for you this earth, in a dwelling-place fit for eternity. And then sitting near them I taught them the manner of calling upon the Lords to bless them.”

According to the Hasidic teaching, “the order of prayer is in accordance with the emanation of the Worlds,” since through prayer we become “attached . . . to Him Who is blessed” and rules the worlds. In orthodox Judaism “the Talmud represents the Beth Din or Tribunal of Heaven, as a circle, in the centre of which, God is seated,” and the earthly Sanhedrin as a reflection of it. The sympathetic vibration makes the individual also a microcosm responding to the cosmic forms, as we see in the Odes of Solomon, which echo the Dead Sea Scrolls with the ecstatic declaration, “The Lord is the Crown upon my head, I will not be shaken. Even though the universe is
As I strike the chords of the lyre the Spirit of the Lord speaks in my members.” 133

In forming the prayer circle one excludes the outer world, as families holding the Passover feast form closed circles with their backs all turned on the outer world, or as the true initiates form the inner or “esoteric” circle, leaving all the rest to the outer or “exoteric” world. The Lord explains this to the apostles, telling them of higher prayer circles as he takes each by the hand and introduces him into “the First Mystery,” explaining, “That is why I said to you that you were chosen out of the world.” 134

It was from such a circle in heaven that God at the creation of this earth chose those who would be his rulers in it, according to 1 Jeu, the Apocalypse of Abraham, and the Book of Abraham 3:23: “And God . . . stood in the midst of them, and he said: These I will make my rulers; for he stood among those that were spirits.” 135

The special object of Leisegang’s study, an alabaster Orphic bowl depicting a prayer circle, bears an inscription beginning with “an invocation of the celestial force which moves the outermost sphere, encompassing all the other spheres of heaven”; 136 the third line reads, “because thou movest in a circle,” and “exhorts the readers to invoke the divine cosmic power, the sun which rules the infinite cosmic space over the heaven of fixed stars . . . [carrying] the reader’s thoughts back to the primordial age before the birth of the cosmos.” 137 For the rites in the circle “take place in the supercelestial space beyond the starry heavens.” 138 Leisegang concludes that the many pagan versions of the thing “all bear witness to the mysteries, to the diverse yet always interrelated forms of the original Orphic-Dionysian cult . . . that extended deep into the Christian world.” 139 His final word is that “all these rites were in some way related, though today the nature of the connection can only be surmised.” 140 They go much farther back than the Orphic-Dionysian tradition, however, since the old Babylonian hymn of creation, the Enuma Elish, tells how at the creation God drew “the universal figure,” the quartered circle Θ, which is repeated at every level of existence, 141 with the idea that whatever is done on one level or world is done in heaven also. 142

The only proper place for such activities is the temple, since that edifice is expressly designed for taking one’s bearings on the universe in every sense. “The temple is the center from which light goes forth, and which at the same time draws everything to itself and brings all things together.” 143 Its ordinances are those prescribed after the heavenly pattern (see Hebrews 8:5). We have written extensively elsewhere on the “hierocentric” layout of ancient temples, cities, camps, and other ritual complexes—of their universality and antiquity there can be no doubt. 144 Nor is there any shortage of early writings to tell us what they signified to their builders.

In 3 Enoch, the Rabbi Ishmael mounting up to heaven must pass through six hekaloth, “chamber within chamber,” the Halls being arranged in concentric circles. The word hekal usually means simply “temple” (it is the Arabic word for shrine or temple), but in the Enoch literature it regularly refers to the chambers or rooms of the temple representing various steps of initiation. 145 “Arriving at the entrance of the seventh hekal,” Rabbi Ishmael reports, in the opening lines of his epic:

I stood still in prayer before the Holy One, blessed be He, and, lifting up my eyes on high (i.e., toward the Divine Majesty), I said: “Lord of the Universe, I pray thee that the merit of Aaron . . . who received the crown of priesthood from [in the presence of] Thy Glory on the mount of Sinai be valid for me in this hour” [no unclean thing can take this step otherwise].

One thinks of Moses also “clothed upon with glory” on the mountain (Moses 7:3; 1:2, 9). Rabbi Ishmael having reached the door to the presence of God must become a crowned king and a priest before he can enter. He asks for this because, like others who
make this supreme prayer, he seeks to be delivered from his lower condition, that Satan (Qafsiel) “may not get power over me nor throw me down from the heavens”146—that is, even as they were, for on meeting Adam in the dark and dreary world, Satan boasts and taunts him, that he has caused him to be cast out of paradise even as Adam had caused his expulsion from heaven at the time of the creation.147 In short, Ishmael utters the classic prayer of Adam, Moses, Abraham, and others and receives the proper reply when God immediately sends “Metatron, his Servant the angel, the Prince of the Presence” to instruct him and bring him farther on the way.

“Forthwith the Holy One . . . sent to me Metatron, his Servant the angel, the Prince (sar) of the Presence,” who came joyfully to Ishmael, grasped him firmly by the right hand in the sight of all, and said, “‘Enter in peace before the high and exalted King and behold [comprehend] the picture [likeness] of the Merkabah.’” The use of special words (hitsaqel, “comprehend” for “see,” demuth, “likeness” or “picture” instead of simply saying God, and Merkabah [that elaborate circumlocution]) all save the writer from further obligation to say just what it was Rabbi Ishmael saw—since it cannot be described to those mortals who have seen nothing like it. The same caution is expressed in Lehi’s report that “he was carried away in a vision, even that he saw the heavens open, and he thought he saw God sitting upon his throne” (1 Nephi 1:8). Rabbi Ishmael also reports, like Enoch (and he is reporting
all this to explain what it was that *Enoch* experienced, that God had given him a throne “similar to the Throne of Glory” [cf. Moses 7:59]. And He spread over me [before me, on my account—*ali*] a curtain [veil] of splendour and brilliant appearance, of beauty, grace and mercy, similar to the curtain of the Throne of Glory; and on it were fixed all kinds of lights in the universe.”

“The Curtain,” comments Odeberg on this, “regularly represents the recording of the Divine decrees with regard to the world, the secrets of the world’s creation and sustenance, etc., in short, the innermost Divine Secrets”—the secrets, that is, of this earth and of all other “lights in the universe.” We pointed out in the *Message of the Joseph Smith Papyri* that ancient temple veils represented the point or act of transition between man’s sublunary life and the vast open reaches of the immensity of space beyond, into which one passes by passing through that veil. They were cosmic veils, appropriately adorned, as Rabbi Ishmael reports, with astronomical marks and emblems.
Such a veil was discovered in a cemetery of Astana in central Asia by Sir Aurel Stein and has been hailed by de Santillana and von Dechend as done “in true archaic spirit (which means that only hints are given, and the spectator has to work out for himself the significance of the details).”151 It dates from the seventh century, was found in position suspended from pegs on a north wall; it was found near the body of a Chinese man dressed in mixed style, including Sassanid Persian. “Near the head lay also the crown-shaped paper hat.” An accompanying document says “that several sutras were copied and recited by monks” at the funeral of the man’s wife; she was buried on 8 December 667, her husband in 689.152 A mixture of cultures is apparent—the Buddhist sutras, Sassanian art, and Chinese elements (the Chinese having moved in quite recently, AD 640), and the ritual with which the parties are so much concerned may have been somewhat eclectic, even with influences from Nestorian Christianity. In the veil in question, what first catches the eye are the signs of the square and the compass, boldly drawn as they are held up in the right and left hands respectively of the lady and her husband. To quote the official description: “Silk . . . perhaps originally white. Subject the legendary Emperor Fu-hsi with his consort Nü-wa facing each other” about three-quarters life-size. “The bodies rise from a continuous flounce-like short white skirt, . . . their two inner arms stretched stiffly and horizontally towards each other, . . . the hand of each appearing under the opposite armpit of the other shows that they are embracing. . . . Fu-hsi holds in his uplifted left hand a mason’s square; . . . Nü-wa holds in her right hand a pair of compasses. . . . From below issue two intertwined serpentine bodies which coil around each other”—the well-known caduceus of life and death, signifying that one saves oneself in saving others is a simple but one indispensable assistant on the occasion.153 Let us recollect that in the creation hymn of the Community Rule the singer promises to gauge all his doings and mark the course of his ring dance to the music of the spheres with the plumb bob and line.154 Among the constellations on the Astana veil is the Great Bear, indicating the center of the universe, the omphalos or umbilicus mundi, the navel of the cosmos.155 Thus square, compass, and polestar designate the veil as the cosmic gate, curtain, or barrier to worlds beyond.

Rabbi Ishmael recited his prayer just before passing through to the throne which was behind a curtain, and he also informs us that God “made for me a garment of glory,”156 bearing the same markings as the veil and having the same cosmic significance, which reminds one of the close affinity between robe and veil in the very early Christian Hymn of the Pearl157 and also recalls how the bishop leading the prayer circle in the Syriac Testament of Our Lord “stands with upraised hands and offers a prayer at the veil,” after which he proceeds “to make the sacrifice, the veil of the gate being drawn aside.”158 Augustine’s version of the Priscillian prayer circle ends with the apparently incongruous statement, “I am the Gate for whoever knocks on me,” which Augustine explains in terms of Psalm 24:7, referring to the veil of the temple.159

The fullest expression of that altruism by which one saves oneself in saving others is a simple but ingenious device employed in the prayer circle; it was the “diptych,” a sort of loose-leaf notebook or folded parchment placed on the altar during the prayer. It contained the names of persons whom the people in the circle wished to remember. The diptychs are among the oldest treasures preserved in the oldest churches. The name means “folded double,” though the documents could be folded triple or quadruple as well if the list of names was very long.160 The prayer for the people on the list was never part of the later mass but was always a
litany, a special appeal for certain persons: “By litanies one intercedes for certain classes of persons.” The original diptychs were the consular diptychs, carried around by top Roman officials—the mark of the busy pagan executive in high office. According to Leclercq, when bishops became important figures in city politics, high government officials would present them with diptychs “as flattering presents.” As notebooks they were convenient and practical—just the thing for keeping and handling important lists of names, and to such a use the Christians gladly put them. “In the place of the diptychs properly so designated [those used in government business] there were substituted at an early time notebooks or leaves of parchment which one would place on the altar during the celebration of the Mass. . . . Gradually that practice [the reading of the names (out loud)] was given up, and the priest merely referred to all the faithful whose names were written down on the diptychs or the leaves taking the place of diptychs.” The names in the diptych show “by this meeting of individuals the close bond of communion and love which united all the members of the church.” The practice of laying names on the altar is of unknown origin though it is very old and, it is agreed, may well go back to the days of the apostles. Confusion with the old Roman pagan custom of reading off the names of donors from such lists caused it to be repeatedly denounced by the early fathers in the West; but the problem never arose in the East since donor lists were unknown there until Constantine introduced them from Rome. “The laying of a small tablet containing the names is to this day the practice in the Western Syrian rite.”

At first the list of names was read aloud before being placed on the altar, but as that took up too much time (one of the surviving lists has over 350 names) the reading was phased out; “the list could be placed on the altar without any vocal reading of the names.” The common practice of scratching one’s name on the altar to assure inclusion in the prayers forever after may go back to old Jewish practice, for in Enoch when the ministering angels utter the prayer (the Qaddish) “all the explicit names that are graven with a flaming style on the Throne of Glory fly off. . . . And they surround and compass the Holy One . . . on the four sides of the place of His Shekhina.”

Since the purpose of the prayer circle was to achieve total unity of minds and hearts, “keeping in mind the absent ones,” it was natural to include the dead as well as the living in remembrance. One prayed for himself “and also for all my relatives (consanguinitate vel familiari-tate) and for all the Saints of the Church of God, as well as for those who died in the faith, who are recorded in my Book of Remembrance.” “We pray for ourselves, our brothers and sisters . . . and for those who have paid their due to death, whose names we have written down or whose names appear on the holy altar, and all who stand in the circle whose faith and devotion are known to thee.” But in the earliest times the lists of the living and the dead were kept strictly separate “in two separate books.” For the work for the dead was something special and apart. “We remember the dead,” wrote Epiphanius in the fourth century, “(1) by performing ritual prayers, (2) by carrying
out certain ordinances, and (3) by making certain special arrangements (oikonomias)."177 In the Clementine Recognitions when Clement asks Peter, “Shall those be wholly deprived of the kingdom of heaven who died before Christ’s coming?” he receives a cautious answer: “You force me, Clement, to make public things that are not to be discussed. But I see no objection to telling you as much as we are allowed to.” He tells him of the spirits of the dead “retained in good and happy places” but refuses to explain how they are to be redeemed.178 Likewise when Mary asks the Lord on behalf of the apostles how “a good man who has completed all the ordinances” may save an undeserving relative who has died, she is told that the good man must repeat all the same ordinances again while naming “the soul of such-and-such a person, on whom I am thinking my heart (mind),” whom he thus mentally accompanies through “the proper number of circles (kykloi) in the transformations (metaboliai), as he becomes baptized and sealed with the signs (psēphoi) of the kingdom . . . and so advances.”179 What these circles are the reader may decide for himself. “We remember not only the saints,” writes the Areopagite, “but our parents and friends, rejoicing in their condition and praying that we too may finish this life worthily. We all join together in this.”180

The refrigerium referred to by the Areopagite means those “good and happy places” spoken of by Peter and Alma. The Greek name for it is anapausis, a place where you rest for a time, and the famous Stowe Missal says the members pray for all who are in the anapausis, “from Adam down to the present day, whose names are known to God . . . and also for us (the living) sinners.”181

Lists beginning with Adam smack of genealogy, and we have already noted one person who prayed for all those “even including the faithful dead who are recorded in my Book of Remembrance.”182 In the fierce contentions between churches, from the second century (the Age of Heresy) on, in which each sought to establish its priority in authority and doctrine, the lists of bishops were brought forth as the strongest proofs of rival claims tracing the line of each church down from Adam, Abel, Seth, etc., thus combining the idea of dispensation with that of genealogy. The idea of keeping such bishop lists was inspired in the first place, Stegmüller maintains, by the general practice of keeping family records and genealogies among the Romans and Greeks; indeed it may go back to what Theodor Mommsen called “the genealogical mania” of the Hellenistic world.183 In one of the earliest of all orthodox Christian writings, the Pastor of Hermas, when the angel asks the writer if he knows the names of the elect, he replies, “I cannot keep them in my memory; give me a book and I will write them down.”184 In his Confessions, Augustine requests, “Whoever reads this, please remember my mother and father at the altar,” for which purpose he gives their full names.185

Augustine makes a sharp “distinction between the martyrs to whom one prays and the living for whom one prays.”186 Typically Roman, Innocent I condemned the old Gallic and Celtic practices of praying “for all the faithful of this place as well as our kinsmen and servants in this place” and limited the prayer to the official dead and recognized saints of the Roman church though the order was not enforced outside of Italy until Charlemagne cracked down.187 In the Eastern churches the lists and the prayers were always separate; it is specifically for the living, Chrysostom says, “that we pray standing with upraised hands.”188 As Cyril of Jerusalem explains it, “In the circle we pray for those who are sick and afflicted; in short, we pray for whoever is in need of help.”189 Cyril does not mention the list of names on the altar in this account, but he does elsewhere, referring to this very custom and specifying separate lists for the living and the dead.190 In the Eastern churches “they prayed mentally for the living,” while the memento for the dead was something else, requiring, of course, the actual speaking of their names at some time. The prayer uttered for those whose names were on the altar was not a fixed formula, to judge by one old rubric giving instructions: “He (the leader) joins hands and prays for a while (no set limit); then he proceeds with his hands stretched out (extensis, extended): and all those standing in the circle join in.”191

The physicist Fritjof Capra in his “Reflections on the Cosmic Dance”192 calls attention to that “system of archetypal symbols, the so-called hexagrams, formed of trigrams which were “considered to represent all possible cosmic and human situations” in the religious philosophy of the Far East. To convey their message “the eight trigrams [are] grouped around a circle to the ‘natural order,’” the circle among other things “associated with the cardinal points and with the seasons of the year.” These rings, based on multiples of six and eight,
he compares with the latest schemes and formulas of advanced physics for interpreting the universe. Not only is the basic circle of eight hexagrams in the *I Ching* (Book of Changes) “vaguely similar” to the way in which “the eight mesons . . . fall into a neat hexagonal pattern known as the ‘meson octet,’” but also the great ring dance, “the sixty-four hexagrams, . . . the cosmic arch-types on which the use of the *I Ching* as an oracle book is based,” presents “perhaps the closest analogy to the S-matrix theory in Eastern thought,” both being as near as the mind of man can get to explaining reality and matter.193

The various patterns and designs produced by ancient Oriental religion and modern Western science do look a lot alike, and this is no accident, according to Capra, because they both represent the same reality, though why that should be so, and exactly what the reality is, and how the two systems of thought are related is beyond human comprehension at present and may remain so forever. What bids us take both systems seriously, however, is that each is not only perfectly consistent within itself, but that without any collusion both turn up the same series of answers. So there must be something behind it. This reminds us of Leisegang’s discovery that “all these rites were in some way related, though today the nature of the connection can only be surmised.”194

The many ring dances to which he refers were also cosmic circles and must somehow fit into the same picture.

Yet one closes Capra’s book, and a lot of others, with a feeling of disappointment. Somehow this Mahayana (Great Vehicle) fails to get off the ground. What is wrong?

In giving us a picture of the entire universe, including ourselves, both the Eastern sages and modern physics, covering the same ground in different ways, seem to leave out something very important. They give us the stage without the play. Granted it is a magnificent stage, a universal stage with self-operating scene shifts providing constant display of ever-changing light, color, and sound, filling the beholder with genuine religious awe. Still the more we see of it the more restless and disturbed we become. We are taken on a tour of the studio, but that is all. The sets are overpowering; they include the most dazzling space-science spectacles, but our tour group becomes restive. Where are the actors, where is the show, what is the play? What is supposed to be going on here? The cosmic dance of particles whose nature we can never hope to grasp is not ultimately satisfying, even after we are

---

The Chinese five-element system sought to arrange the powers of the cosmos in a balanced pattern of the four directions and the later eight trigrams.
convinced that that is all there is. “The divine lila [dance of creation] is a rhythmic, dynamic play,” Capra tells us.195 Yet “ultimately there is nothing to explain,” and “as long as we try to explain things we are bound by Karma.” What you have seen is the whole show, for “every part contains all the others. . . . Every particle consists of all other particles.”196

The “bootstrap principle” would quiet all complaints with its neat circular argument; for example, one hadron (particle) produces other hadrons and they produce it—don’t ask how, because the process cannot be grasped in terms of anything in our own experience. So the only solution is to stop worrying or looking for explanations; you must settle for that because that is all there is. Learn to live with it: “Don’t expect more and you will not be disappointed”; that is the sum and substance of the wisdom of the East.

The whole thing rests in the end not on reason or experience, we are repeatedly told; nothing can be described or defined, but all depends on feeling and intuition. But if that is so, must we not have respect for our own deep-seated feelings in the matter? The fact is that we cannot escape that haunting discontent; there is surely more to the play than the properties. The prayer circles, Christian and Jewish, give us assurance of that.

The old Christian prayer circle does not pretend, as the Orientals do, to embrace the whole universe and to sum up all knowledge; it is merely a timid knocking at the door in the hopes of being let into what goes on in the real world. Capra completely ignores the Near Eastern and old European schemes and patterns in his survey, and they are quite as rich and ingenious and probably more ancient than their Far Eastern derivatives. The Jewish and Christian systems are late and confused as we get them; they wander in an apocalyptic mist that cannot distinguish between revelation and speculation, but the dominant idea is that there is more, much more, going on than we have yet dreamed of, but that it is all on the other side of the door. The Oriental shuts his eyes in mystic resignation and with infinite humility makes sure that we are aware of his quiet omniscience. He knows all there is to know, and that is the message.

It is Joseph Smith’s prayer circle that puts it all together. Not only did he produce an awesome mass of purportedly ancient writings of perfect inner consistency, but at every point where his contribution is tested—and since he affects to give us concrete historical material as well as theology and

In 1701 a Jesuit missionary in China sent Leibniz (a German mathematician and philosopher) this printed diagram of the 64 hexagrams arranged in two forms: the circle of heaven and the square of earth. They were thought to have been arranged by the First Sovereign Fu-Hsi.
cosmology it can be tested at countless points—it is found to agree with other ancient records, most of which are now coming to light for the first time. The prayer circle is one example of that; we may not discuss his version too freely, but we have seen enough of the early Christian prayer circle to justify some important conclusions:

1. It always appears as a solemn ordinance, a guarded secret and a “mystery” for initiates only. This does not express a desire to mystify but the complete concentration and unity of the participants that requires the shutting out of the trivial and distractions of the external world.

2. It always takes place in a special setting—the temple. Even in Christian churches of later time there is a conscious attempt to reproduce as nearly as possible the original temple situation.

3. The words and gestures do not always make sense to outsiders—only “he who has ears to hear” may hear, and only “he who joins in the circle knows what is going on.” This is because the prayer circles are integral parts of a longer series of ordinances that proceed and follow them; taken out of that context they necessarily seem puzzling.

4. Though private prayer circles would seem to be out of the question (quackery, magic, and witchcraft made use of them), the members of the circle are never those of a special social rank, family, guild, or profession—they are ordinary men and women of the church, with a high priest presiding (see sidebar on pages 89–94, “Coptic Liturgical Text,” with commentary beginning on page 90).
IN THE CAIRO MUSEUM, written on a huge shard of red pottery, is an ancient Coptic liturgical text which provides a remarkable link between ancient Egyptian and early Christian beliefs. It is a Christian “Book of Breathings” with the name of Osiris (representing the initiate) replaced by that of Adam, as if the “Egyptian Endowment” were organically linked to the Christian. Equally instructive is the predominance of the prayer circle in the text and the cosmic significance given it. As its modern editor, L. Saint-Paul Girard, notes, it has eight main divisions.1

A. Calling upon God
  Line 1. (The Tau-Rho sign).2
  Hail El! Fatheriel,3 who giveth
  2. strength (comfort?), who gives replies [antiphonei] to the angels!4
  3. Hail Adonai (My Lord), Hail Eloi (My God), Hail
  4. Abrasax! Hail Iothael!5 Hail
  5. Mistrael (for Mizrael) who has looked upon
  the face of the Father6
  6. in the power of Iao!7 KHOK.8

B. Solemn adjurations; Adam as the type of initiate
  I adjure you (i.e., put you under covenant).9

Commentary for this sidebar begins on page 90.

Notes to “The Early Christian Prayer Circle”
7. Rahmani, Testamentum Domini Nostri, 38, 40–42.
17. The Son uttered on the cross, namely:
Eloi, Eloi, A-
18. *hlebaks atōnē*17 That is to say, God, my
God, why (djou) hast thou forsaken me?

F. *The hymn*
19. Holy, Holy, Holy! Hail David the father
(ancestor)
20. of Christ! He who sings praises (psalms)
in the Church of the First-born (pl.) of heaven,
Hail
21. David, theopa [tor?] (ancestor of the
Lord), of the joyful ten-stringed lyre18 who sings
22. within (the veil of) the altar19
23. the joyful one (either David or the altar).
Hail Hormiosiel, who sings within the veil

G. *Prayer circle*
24. of the Father20 They repeat after him,
those who are at the entrances (gates,
doors) and those who are upon the towers
(i.e., the watchmen at the gates). And when they
hear what he says, namely the tribes (or gates?)
who
25. are within the Twelve Worlds, they joy-
26. fully
27. repeat it after him;21 Holy, Holy, One (or
Jesus) Holy Father.22 Amen,
and earth!

19. 2 Jeu 66–67 (53g), in Schmidt, *Gnostische Schriften in koptischer Sprache*, 114–17, quotation from p. 114; cf. trans., 204. Both 1 and 2 Jeu contain sketches showing various arrangements of prayer circles. Other texts, e.g., the *Gospel of Bartholomew* and *Pistis Sophia*, p. 358, make it clear that the facing in four directions denotes standing in a circle.

20. Kasr al-Wazz fragment, p. ii–end, from photographs kindly lent to the author by Professor G. A. Hughes at the University of Chicago at the time of their discovery in 1966.
21. Pulver, “Jesus’ Round Dance and Crucifixion,” 186, notes that mourning here denotes that the initiate is expected to suffer after the manner of the leader. The word for “mourn” in Matthew 11:17 is *kopaiomai*, literally, to inflict wounds upon oneself.
28. Philo, *On the Contemplative Life* xi. The passage as rendered by F. H. Colson in the Loeb Classical Library edition (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1967), Philo series, 9:165–69, reads: “After the supper . . . they rise up all together and standing in the middle of the refectory [cf. Qumran!] form themselves first into two choirs [choroi, circles], one of men and one of women, the leader and precentor [exarchos] . . . being the most honored amongst them. . . . Then they sing hymns to God . . . sometimes chanting together, sometimes . . . antiphonally. . . . Then . . . they mix and both together become a single choir, a copy of the choir set up of old beside the Red Sea.” This is the way Augustine and Chrysostom describe the Sabbath dancing of the Jews (see preceding note), but Philo being himself a Jew found nothing shocking in it.
30. Constantine Porphyrogetus, *De Caeremoniis Aulae Byz-
2. The earliest signs of the cross were formed by a Greek chi (ἐ) with the vertical shaft of a Greek rho (ρ) or iota (ι) through the middle, or by a rho with a horizontal bar below the loop. They were interchangeable and are found in varying combinations, being closely associated also with the “Crux Ansata,” the famous Egyptian ankh or life symbol: ⲟ. For many examples, see Henri Leclercq, “Chrisme,” in DACL 3:1481–534. The classic Latin cross does not appear in the West until the fourth century and like the others seems to have come from Egypt, Leclercq, “Chrisme,” 1485–89, and Leclercq is puzzled “that the Christians adopted a sign which ran a serious risk of being misunderstood,” ibid., 1483. Not to worry: these symbols had conveyed for centuries the very ideas which the Christians wished them to represent in a new context, just as they borrowed current alphabets and other symbols of general acceptance to convey their own peculiar ideas. The symbol prefacing this note is both the monogram of Christ and the earliest symbol of the crucifixion; as such, it also designates the victory of light over darkness as represented in the performance of the mysteries.


4. Girard alters eb-i phonè nenankeləs (“who gives a voice to the angels”) to ef [an]tiphonei nenangelos, “whose voice replies to the angels,” because he cannot imagine the meaning of the former. Girard, “Fragment de liturgie,” 66 n. 2. The first suggests the creation hymn, the second the exchange of expressions at the conclusion of the rites (lines 24–27 below).

5. The names of Adonai, Eloï, and Abrasax are the most common found on those carved gnostic gems called “Abraxas” or “Abrasax.” Henri Leclercq, “Anges,” in DACL 1:2087–88. Such gems representing “the world of Alexandria and the Egyptian-Greek magical papyri” consist of “stones which figure in superstition as well.” Reiss, “Abrasax,” in Pauly-Wissowa, Realencyclopaedie der classischen antinae (On the Ritual of the Byzantine Court) 1.65, in PG 112:658; 1.83, in PG 112:689.

34. IQS 8:12–16.
35. See above, notes 20 and 21.
41. Pulver, “Jesus’ Round Dance and Crucifixion,” 175.
44. 1 Jew, in Schmidt, Gnostische Schriften in koptischer Sprache, 326, 370.
52. Psalm 54:2: ’Elohim sh’mâ ’r-philiat ha’azînâh lmrê-pî.
53. Friedrich Preisigke, Vom göttlichem Fluidum nach ägyptischer Ansichtung (Berlin: de Gruyter, 1920), 61 n. 3; 42.
55. 1 Enoch 65:2.
56. See above, note 17.
KHOK occurs in lines 29 and 32 as KOK. It introduces a new phase or change of scene and indicates that at this point certain actions take place. Our text, in the manner of a prompting sheet, contains only words recited, without describing acts or rites performed but only the point at which they take place. The Coptic word KOK is the common word for “disrobe” and related concepts, and may indicate changes in costume.

9. **Ti-ôrk erô-tn**, the erô- indicating “the person adjoined,” here in the plural, while the n- is the thing sworn by; see W. F. Crum, *Coptic Dictionary* (Oxford: Clarendon, 1939), 529. To adjure is to place another under solemn obligation by entering a covenant with him.


11. Tiôbe e- as here means to set a mark or stamp upon, to impress upon, to leave a mark on. For vitals the original has t-tôt, meaning size, age, form, which Girard emends to têlot, meaning “Kidney, also other internal organs” (possibly from the root têlodj, bend, be interlaced). It is the Hebrew kliyot, “the reins, kidneys, inward parts.” Crum, *Coptic Dictionary*, 813.

12. P-hêt, heart mind, thought reason; cf. the Greek, stêthos, the breast as the receptacle of principles of thought, and Hebrew lêb, the heart “as the seat of the various feelings, affections and emotions . . . and of the moral sentiments.” Benjamin Davies, ed., *A Compendious and Complete Hebrew and Chaldee Lexicon to the Old Testament* (Boston: Bradley, 1875), 315.

64. *Recognitiones Clementinae* (Clementine Recognitions) 1.32–33, in PG 1:226–27.
65. *Apocalypse of Abraham* 12; cf. OTP 1:695.
13. The verb for covenant is here sh(e)p tōre, vb. intr., “grasp the hand, be surety for, undertake”; Crum, *Coptic Dictionary*, 425; with the object mmof as here it means “be surety for.” *Hn n-tčidj m-pējōt* Girard renders “entre les mains de son Pere,” i.e., “in his embrace.”

14. Tahof erat. can mean either “set up,” “establish,” “cause to stand,” or “meet with,” “reach another.”

15. The Coptic word pīles Girard reads as Greek pithos, vessel, though he finds the idea “bizarre.” Early Christian and Jewish writers, however, speak of the living body (which is the subject of this passage) as a vessel (angeion). Barnabas calls the living body “the blessed vessel” (to kalon skeulos), Barnabas, *Epistula Catholica* (Catholic Epistle) 21, in *PG* 2:727–82. On the other hand, pithos is an alternative spelling for peithos, a Greek equivalent for pithanos, “obedient,” “receptive,” a fit epithet for an initiate.

16. Girard makes no attempt to translate sousa, but since this is a cry for help, one thinks of the Greek imperative sōze (mid. sōzou, aorist sōson) or aorist mid. sōsai, meaning “to rescue.” Some maintain that the name of Abrasax is derived from Habros and Sao, “gentle Savior” or “le magnifique sauveur.” Leclercq, “Abrasax,” 129.

17. Is the unfamiliar Aramaic subject of mystical speculation or just confusion? Girard restores it to eļema sabaktani. The trouble seems to be the scribe’s insistence on reading the last three syllables as the familiar Adonai (atōnē).

18. Girard alters thea to theo and borrows the pat- from the next word to get theopator, “l’ancêtre du Christ,” an epithet of David in Byzantine liturgy. Pa.ti. tēt’harašē is divided into [pa] ti-kithara [nn] raše tamět nkap, the harp of joy of ten strings. The ten-stringed harp is a cosmic concept, ten being the perfect number of the Pythagoreans.


20. Harmosiel is the exalted angel who sounds the trumpet and shares with Mizrael the privilege of beholding the Lord behind the veil. The Priscillianists were accused of worshipping him.


22. Is per hakios for the Greek formula Heis Pater Hagios, though Is is the common writing for Jesus, and such an identity is monophysite, making Jesus identical with the Father. As it is, Girard must insert another hagios to make a proper trishagion.

23. Girard: “Salut, o douze petits enfants qui protegez le corps du soleil.” Though this can also be read
“minor servants,” the reference to the little children in our prayer circle situation recommends the former. Also the preposition mmof would justify “screen from him the body of the Sun.” Walter Till, *Koptische Grammatik* (Leipzig: VEB Verlag Enzyklopädie, 1970), #258. See the following note.

24. The imagery of the closing passage belongs to the
25. The twelve water jugs and reference to the watering of vegetation recall the peculiar arrangements of the prayer circles in 1 and 2 Jeu. According to *Pistis Sophia*, p. 84, the earth must be shielded from the rays of the sun by veils or curtains lest all life be consumed. Today, the filtering of the sun’s rays by layers of atmosphere of various particles is held to be essential to sorting out life-giving rays from deadly ones and thus making vegetation and other life possible upon the earth.

26. *P-tḥom* means either garden or authority; both are appropriate, the garden as the sanctified inheritance of the Saints, the authority being that with which the exalted “Holy Ones of the Father” are invested. The original text, however, has *p-šom*, which also makes sense, since it means “summertime,” i.e., the “Summertime of the Just” when the Saints receive their celestial inheritance, e.g., the Shepherd of Hermes.


125. Plutarch, *De Defectu Oraculorum* 22.

126. 1.e., the so-called Pyramidologists. A hypocephalus like that of Facsimile 2 of the Book of Abraham depicts the geography of Egypt as a reflection of that heaven, with the Delta represented by its nome standards; see Hugh Nibley, *One Eternal Round* (Salt Lake City: Deseret Book and FARMS, 2010), 196, fig. 17.


133. *Odes of Solomon* 3 and 6.


156. IQS 10.

157. Another veil was found by Stein, sloppily executed by an artist to whom the details were a puzzle. His constellations are unrecognizable save for the Great Bear, which is identical on both veils. Stein, *Innermost Asia* 2:708.

158. This is made perfectly clear in Odeberg, *3 Enoch*, chs. 10 and 12.


160. See above, notes 5 and 6.


180. Anonymous (attributed to Origen), Commentarius in Job (Commentary on Job) 3, in PG 17:217.
182. See above, note 171.
184. Hermac Pastor (Shepherd of Hermas), Visio (Vision) 2, in PG 2:895.
188. Chrysostom, Commentary on Matthew 48, in PG 58:491.
193. Capra, Tao of Physics, 270.