Non-target Incidental Memory and Associated Factors

Sean Peterson
Erin D. Bigler

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/fhssconference_studentpub

Part of the Psychology Commons

The Annual Mary Lou Fulton Mentored Research Conference showcases some of the best student research from the College of Family, Home, and Social Sciences. The mentored learning program encourages undergraduate students to participate in hands-on and practical research under the direction of a faculty member. Students create these posters as an aide in presenting the results of their research to the public, faculty, and their peers.
“Do I Need to Know This for the Test?:
Non-target Incidental Memory (NIM) and Associated Factors

Sean Peterson
Psychology Department, Brigham Young University

INTRODUCTION

The problem – teaching to the test: Educators do not want to have students learn only what is on a test. A solution might be found in non-target incidental memory (NIM), or learning that happens unintentionally. NIM allows learning for material that is not explicitly pointed out (as for a test). When some material is pointed out especially (e.g. with a phrase: “This is going to be on the test”), are the surrounding concepts forgotten or learned?

METHODS

Participants: Participants consisted of BYU undergraduate students, whose ages ranged from 18-31 and included 33 freshmen, 27 sophomores, 25 juniors, and 13 seniors, recruited from friends of the author and through the BYU Sona System. Tests were administered individually and anonymously through an untimed online survey (Figure 1).

Scoring: An indexed incidental memory score (IMS) was calculated for each subject based on the number of words recalled on the lists not specifically memorized. For example, if a subject chose to memorize list B, the sum of scores of the words remembered on list A, C, and D became the IMS for that subject.

RESULTS

- NIM was found as highly significant effect of IMS with a large effect size ($t(97) = 5.884, p<.001; d=1.19$).
- Between-subjects ANOVA demonstrated no significant effect of sex ($F(1,97)=.28, p=.60$), year in school ($F(3,97)=.22, p=.87$), or on the interaction between sex and year in school ($F(3,97)=.90, p=.45$).

DISCUSSION

NIM – peripheral memory: NIM has a powerful effect: the mind is incapable of wholly blocking out juxtaposed material; rather, it functions similarly to the eye so that there is one area of main focus but also a wider range of peripheral vision that is automatically processed as well. If surrounding material is helpful, overall learning improves.

NIM is not like explicit memory: Explicit memory shows differences in sex and education level. Although this study used only pilot data, it may be tentatively concluded that NIM does not develop like explicit memory.

Teaching to the test: Highlighting key concepts to be learned for examination is not necessarily harmful to students’ learning. Students who study material specifically for a test will demonstrate NIM and show increased overall learning as long as the surrounding material contains supportive and related content.
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Figure 1. A sample test for NIM.

Figure 2. All groups demonstrated comparable amounts of NIM. Error bars indicate one standard deviation.