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THE MORMON PSYCHOTHERAPISTS: AN ADDENDUM
Genevieve De Hoyos,* CSW, Ph.D.
Arturo De Hoyos,* Ph.D.

In an earlier article, (AMCAP Journal, July, 1982, pp. 21-28) we analyzed the contents of the AMCAP Journal and identified the extent to which Mormon therapists are trying to integrate their professional training and the gospel. In so doing, we found that the issue is not so much whether or not to integrate but rather how that integration can be reconciled with professionalism.

In the conclusion of our previous article, and in order to provide a frame of reference both for the theoretical justification and the methodological procedure in reconciling the gospel and professional knowledge and training, we suggested the need to consider the existence of three levels of therapy, each reflecting a different value or moral orientation:

#### THREE TYPES OF THERAPY AND THEIR IMPLIED VALUE ORIENTATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of Therapy</th>
<th>Terrestrial</th>
<th>Celestial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Encourages fulfillment of individual, self-centered needs</td>
<td>Ignores social needs and values</td>
<td>Implied Value-orientations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional approach</td>
<td>Clients encouraged to view problems in terms of family evaluation and a personal relationship to God</td>
<td>Implied Value-orientations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrestrial</td>
<td>Celestial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Happiness</td>
<td>Joy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pride</td>
<td>Consequent sacrifice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus on physical needs</td>
<td>Focus on spiritual needs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge</td>
<td>Intelligibility or light and truth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End justifies means</td>
<td>Golden rule</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploitation of others</td>
<td>Dependence on God</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conflict</td>
<td>Mercy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selfishness</td>
<td>Charity or love</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual, relative morality</td>
<td>Morality of eternal principles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfair competition</td>
<td>Cooperation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual freedom</td>
<td>Obeying spirit of the law</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Obeying letter of the law</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thus each progressive level of therapy simply introduces a wider frame of reference within which problems can be solved: from the self-centered individual, to the secular temporal society, to spiritual eternal relationships.

Our religious clients, when aware of our own religiosity, often move on their own from one level to another simply by wondering aloud: "Why would God allow this to happen to me?" But sometimes the celestial frame of reference can only be reached if we bring it up. Although the senior author has many times spontaneously moved from the terrestrial level to the celestial level in interviews, the appropriateness of the therapist introducing the move was dramatically called to her attention in an interview a short time ago.

One day, a former client called frantically. Her husband had been asked by their bishop to shave his beard. He had resisted and statements had been made around the possibility of losing not only his Church calling but his temple recommend. Coming from a painful, inactive background though active herself, the young wife was very upset. However, she felt pressured to join her husband in rebelling against the bishop’s demand. The three of us met.

For some time, the husband vented his negative feelings, blaming the bishop for not warning him that his call (working with the youth) would demand shaving his beard. Feeling my acceptance, he eventually admitted that the bishop was only following an area directive that men working with the youth should not have beards. He realized that his early experiences with an autocratic, arbitrary father had led him to argue and dispute. He admitted that the bishop had actually been very kind and accepting. Now the next move was his. He was to make a decision and go discuss this decision with the stake president, whom he did not know well.

I had participated in many such exchanges during the sixties and seventies, and at first I was not worried as, in most cases, active members have too great an investment in the Church and the gospel to jeopardize it for the sake of growing hair. But I soon realized that accepting his feelings and then looking at alternatives and their consequences was not helping him solve the problem. His decision was to face the stake president and challenge him to prove to him the need for his shaving his beard. We had reached an impasse.

Troubled, I quickly prayed for help. An idea came to my mind: with this client, the terrestrial approach would not work. I had to help him look at the problem in terms of his relationship to the Lord. I asked him, "Do you believe that we are tested while here on earth?" and was greatly relieved when he answered that he certainly did. Together we went to D&C 98:12-15 where the Lord warns us that He would test us in everything, even unto death. We read from D&C 132 and talked about sacrifices in obedience (verse 50), the rewards the obedient receive (verses 49-50, 53, 55) and the loss suffered by those who cannot accept specific personal commandments (verse 54). He was touched, and he related an event during his mission when he was greatly blessed for having “blindly” obeyed. Suddenly he saw

*Sister De Hoyos is Associate Professor of Social Work and Brother De Hoyos is Associate Professor of Sociology, Brigham Young University.
the situation as an opportunity given to him to declare his faith in God, and he agreed that by shaving he would greatly upgrade his relationship to our Father in Heaven, solve his problem with his ward and stake leaders, and make his wife happy again. He saw the whole event as a test and an opportunity for him to exercise faith and gain spiritual strength.

This experience, coming soon after the completion of our earlier article, helped us to devise a simple schema to visualize the possibility we all have to widen our clients’ frame of reference:

![Focus on self diagram]

There are some therapists who help the client reject his social obligations and thus attempt to find adjustment in a self-centered approach to satisfy individual needs. Most of us, however, have been trained to help clients move from that telestial fruitless orientation to a socially responsible terrestrial level. Mormon therapists can help even more by moving the focus to an even higher level.

To achieve this, Mormon therapists can use, besides their traditional skills, love, respect, and gentle persuasion. This does not imply imposition of values. Teaching higher principles needs no apology. At any rate, whenever a therapist follows a persuasion he believes to be true (be it Behaviorism, Gestalt, T.A., or the Gospel) he naturally starts teaching that persuasion. Thus, choosing to teach the Gospel is no different in procedure from choosing to teach any other ideology.

Combining the best methods with the best value orientation can only result in the best outcome.
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Broderick would choose the competent therapist every time. The secret is not to choose competence or spirituality, but to combine the two.

As therapists we must know what we are doing and be good at our craft. Elder Packer told the story this morning about the clock repairman who could hear things others couldn’t hear and who knew what needed to be done. The analogy suggested that as therapists we must have knowledge and skills and do our work with competence.

One element in the understanding we must develop, it seems to me, is an ability to look at our Mormon culture and social system with an objectivity which will allow us to hear those revealing sounds that others do not hear; to be able to comprehend the strains and stresses under which we as Mormons operate so that we can help people understand and deal with them effectively without finding it necessary to abandon the Church or reject its teachings. It is my prayer that we might work to thus improve our skills and become more effective tools in the Lord’s hands to carry out His purposes.
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So, I leaned over, cupped my hands over my nose, and caught the blood. My hands filled up, and it started dripping. I kept thinking the prayer would never come to an end. It finally did. The person (once again avoiding the word “friend”) took me to the kitchen and got the bleeding stopped. I felt embarrassed and helpless. I should be able to take care of myself. I felt angry at myself for not knowing what to do.

Interpretation: The actual event, whether it happened as recorded or not, is not as important as the subjective meaning of the event. Here we see a person, perceived as being incapable, needing someone else to take care of her. She must not get too close to others because the dirt (blood) might rub off on them. From her point of view, she doesn’t belong in the church because of her inferiority. She needs others to take care of her but they risk being tainted by her.

Summary of ER’s: These ER’s were collected for the specific purpose of understanding Janice’s reluctance to attend church. They also demonstrate her overall outlook on life. While she was making progress in other parts of her life, Janice still resisted church attendance. It was clearly understood and, most importantly, by Janice that she resisted going to church because she would be an outsider, one who was not good enough to worship there.

Conclusion
Early recollections represent an important contribution for counselors. They can be obtained quite easily and they provide a wealth of therapeutic information. By using ER’s, the counselor is quickly able to develop rapport with the client. They help to focus on probable reasons for certain behaviors. ER’s also help the counselor to formulate counseling objectives (Kopp & Dinkmeyer, 1975).

The purpose of this paper has been to acquaint the reader with the use of early recollections in counseling. Hopefully, it has sparked an interest in one additional technique that can be used successfully in a counseling relationship.
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