



Faculty Publications

2019-11-19

The IES-R remains a core outcome measure for PTSD in critical illness survivorship research

Megan M. Hosey
Johns Hopkins School of Medicine

O. Joseph Bienvenu
Johns Hopkins School of Medicine

Victor D. Dinglas
Johns Hopkins School of Medicine

Alison E. Turnbull
Johns Hopkins School of Medicine

Ann M. Parker
Johns Hopkins School of Medicine

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/facpub>
See next page for additional authors



Part of the [Psychology Commons](#)

Original Publication Citation

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-019-2595-2>

BYU ScholarsArchive Citation

Hosey, Megan M.; Bienvenu, O. Joseph; Dinglas, Victor D.; Turnbull, Alison E.; Parker, Ann M.; Hopkins, Ramona O.; Neufeld, Karin J.; and Needham, Dale M., "The IES-R remains a core outcome measure for PTSD in critical illness survivorship research" (2019). *Faculty Publications*. 6297.
<https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/facpub/6297>

This Peer-Reviewed Article is brought to you for free and open access by BYU ScholarsArchive. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of BYU ScholarsArchive. For more information, please contact ellen_amatangelo@byu.edu.

Authors

Megan M. Hosey, O. Joseph Bienvu, Victor D. Dinglas, Alison E. Turnbull, Ann M. Parker, Ramona O. Hopkins, Karin J. Neufeld, and Dale M. Needham

LETTER

Open Access



The IES-R remains a core outcome measure for PTSD in critical illness survivorship research

Megan M. Hosey^{1,2}, O. Joseph Bienvenu^{2,3}, Victor D. Dinglas^{2,4}, Alison E. Turnbull^{2,5,6}, Ann M. Parker^{2,4}, Ramona O. Hopkins^{7,8}, Karin J. Neufeld^{2,3} and Dale M. Needham^{1,2,5*}

This comment refers to the article available at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-019-2595-2>.

To the Editor:

In response to Dr. Umberger's comments [1] on the Impact of Event Scale-Revised (IES-R) [2] and the abbreviated 6-item IES (IES-6) [3], we offer guidance about assessing post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms as part of the existing National Institutes of Health-funded core outcome measurement set (COMS) for clinical research in acute respiratory failure (ARF) survivors [4].

An originator of the IES-R is no longer distributing the IES-R because PTSD diagnostic criteria have been revised in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th Edition (DSM-5) [1]. Compared to DSM-IV, the DSM-5 separated the avoidance and numbing criteria and increased the number of associated symptoms from 17 to 20 [5]. However, this revision does not fundamentally change the phenotype of PTSD, and the IES-R/IES-6 continues to have utility in screening for PTSD symptoms [3, 5].

With respect to the above mentioned COMS, existing research has been highly heterogeneous in assessing PTSD, thus limiting advances in the field [4]. A 77-member international modified Delphi expert panel evaluated commonly used PTSD measures

based on many criteria, including available psychometric evidence in ARF survivors, with a clear consensus recommendation to use the IES-R [4]. Diagnostic criteria for psychiatric disorders change frequently, without fundamental changes to the phenotype. Hence, without rigorous new research on PTSD screening in ARF survivors and another international consensus process, we do not endorse a unilateral change to the PTSD symptom measure recommended within the existing COMS (more information on the COMS is available at www.improveLTO.com).

In our communication with an originator of the IES-R regarding the above issues, his responses were "I consider it [IES-R] out of copyright ..." and "There are enough [IES-R] copies floating around that you can more or less do whatever you want." (Daniel S. Weiss, September 4, 2019) As evidence supporting the latter comment, we provide exemplar websites and publications that share the IES-R instrument (Table 1).

We hope that this information may provide helpful clarification in screening for PTSD symptoms in ARF survivors, a task that is essential for improving survivorship outcomes.

* Correspondence: Dale.needham@jhmi.edu

¹Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, 600 North Wolfe Street, Phipps 179, Baltimore, MD 21287, USA

²Outcomes After Critical Illness and Surgery (OACIS) Group, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



Table 1 Information on the Impact of Events Scale-Revised (IES-R) and IES-6 items and scoring

Instrument	Source	Access information
IES-R	Publication	Weiss DS, Marmar CR. The impact of event scale – revised. In <i>Assessing Psychological Trauma and PTSD</i> . 1997, 399–411. (page 408, Chapter 13*)
	Douglas Mental Health Institute and McGill University	http://www.info-trauma.org/flash/media-e/diagnosisToolkit.pdf (page 6 of pdf*)
	New York University Rory Meyers College of Nursing	https://consultgeri.org/try-this/general-assessment/issue-19.pdf (page 2 of pdf*)
	Publication	Beck JG, et al. The impact of event scale-revised: psychometric properties in a sample of motor vehicle survivors. <i>Journal of Anxiety Disorders</i> , 2008;22 (2) 187–198.
IES-6-item	Publication	Hosey et al. Screening for posttraumatic stress disorder in ARDS survivors: validation of the Impact of Event Scale-6 (IES-6). <i>Critical Care</i> , 2019; 23 (1), 1–7. https://ccforum.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13054-019-2553-z

*Recommended approach for scoring IES-R based on existing IES-R psychometric publication in acute respiratory failure survivors (Bienvenu OJ, et al. Posttraumatic stress disorder in survivors of acute lung injury: evaluating the Impact of Event Scale-Revised. *Chest*, 2013; 144 (1), 24–31.) and Dr. Daniel S. Weiss (personal communication June 20, 2014):

- To obtain the three subscale scores (avoidance, hyperarousal, intrusion): calculate the mean of the subscale items
- To obtain a total score: calculate the mean of all non-missing items in the instrument
- IES-6-item is scored as mean value for these six items from IES-R

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed expertise to this letter. DMN is the corresponding author. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (R24 HL111895).

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

All authors consent to publication.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, 600 North Wolfe Street, Phipps 179, Baltimore, MD 21287, USA.

²Outcomes After Critical Illness and Surgery (OACIS) Group, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA. ³Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA.

⁴Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA. ⁵Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA.

⁶Department of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, USA. ⁷Neuroscience Center and Psychology Department, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT, USA. ⁸Department of

Medicine, Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Intermountain Health Care, Murray, UT, USA.

Received: 18 September 2019 Accepted: 1 October 2019

Published online: 19 November 2019

References

1. Umberger R. What is the best core measure after critical illness when the IES-R is no longer accessible for new researchers? *Crit Care*. 2019;23(1):313. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-019-2595-2>.
2. Bienvenu OJ, Williams JB, Yang A, Hopkins RO, Needham DM. Posttraumatic stress disorder in survivors of acute lung injury: evaluating the Impact of Event Scale-Revised. *Chest*. 2013;144(1):24–31.

3. Hosey MM, Leoutsakos J-MS, Li X, et al. Screening for posttraumatic stress disorder in ARDS survivors: validation of the impact of event Scale-6 (IES-6). *Crit Care Lond Engl*. 2019;23(1):276. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-019-2553-z>.
4. Needham DM, Sepulveda KA, Dinglas VD, et al. Core outcome measures for clinical research in acute respiratory failure survivors. An International Modified Delphi Consensus Study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2017;196(10):73–449X (Linking):1122–30. <https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201702-0372OC>.
5. Bienvenu OJ, Hopkins RO, Needham DM. Response to: diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, fifth edition, and the impact of events scale-revised. *Chest*. 2013;144(6):1974. <https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.13-1691>.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.