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The *Goodness of God* and His Children as a Fundamental Theological Concept in the Book of Mormon

Noel B. Reynolds
Working paper, October 12, 2020

Abstract

The phrase *goodness of God* does occur occasionally in the Hebrew Bible, but has not been considered by Old Testament scholars to be an independent principle in Israelite theology. Rather, it has been interpreted as just another way of talking about God’s acts of *hesed* or loving kindness for his covenant people and is usually interpreted in the context of the covenants Israel received through *Abraham and Moses*. The Book of Mormon clearly echoes that Old Testament pattern, but also presents two additional conceptual frameworks that are explained in terms of the goodness of God. It advances an explicit divine *plan of redemption* or *salvation* that existed before Abraham—even before the creation of the earth—which had as its purpose making eternal life possible for God’s human children universally—not just the descendants of Abraham. And it also teaches *the gospel or doctrine of Christ* that provides the path individuals must walk to take full advantage of that plan—as they become good like God and qualify to

---

1 I am grateful to Carlisle G. Packard for insights he shared in a private conversation that inspired me to take this topic on as a research project.
enter his presence and receive eternal life. The *goodness of God* is frequently invoked by the Nephite prophets as a basic theological concept which can explain why God advanced his plan of salvation for men before the world was and why he is completely reliable in blessing and protecting those who have entered the covenant path by embracing his gospel and striving to endure to the end. They also used the phrase in the Old Testament pattern to explain the acts of God in delivering, blessing, and preserving his covenant people. And some usages seem to invoke all three of these contexts simultaneously, demonstrating the comfortable integration of each of these perspectives in Nephite theological understanding.

Readers of the Book of Mormon do not have to wait long to be introduced to *the goodness of God* as a foundational concept. In the second sentence of the book, Nephi refers to his “great knowledge of the goodness . . . of God” as a
reason for writing it.² In a 2016 article,³ Matthew L. Bowen shared his discovery that Nephi had demarcated his writings in the small plates as a single rhetorical unit with an inclusio by referring to the goodness of God at the beginning and again at the end.⁴ Nephi invokes different versions of the same phrase another six times in his writings. Benjamin uses it five times in his final sermon, and it occurs another twelve times in the writings of Jacob, Alma, Helaman, and Mormon.

While some Old Testament scholars have identified the goodness of God as one dimension of his hesed, the covenant love that he displays to the Israelites

---

² In the same sentence, Nephi has described his own parents as “goodly.” While this English term has attracted some commentary in scholarly discourse, perhaps the most obvious candidate for a Hebrew equivalent would be tob (to be or do good—the same root used for goodness in the Hebrew Bible) as applied to Moses in Exodus 2:2 where it is taken to signal “quality or nobility in human character.” See Andrew Bowling’s article on tob: “793 יָטָב (tôb) in R. Laird Harris, Gleason L. Archer, Jr., and Bruce K. Waltke (editors), Theological Wordbook of the Old Testament (TWOT), Moody Bible Institute, 1980, 346.


⁴ Cf. 1 Nephi 1:1 and 2 Nephi 33:14. Nephi’s familiarity with and inclination to use this common biblical rhetorical figure is on full display in Second Nephi where he used it repeatedly to demarcate the principal thirteen sections of that book that anchor its overall chiastic structure. See Noel B. Reynolds, “Chiastic Structuring of Large Texts: Second Nephi as a Case Study,” in Chiasmus: The State of the Art, edited by John W. Welch and Donald W. Parry, BYU Studies, 2020, 177–192.
when delivering them from their enemies and blessing them in their times of need, the phrase has received limited focused attention from Old Testament theologians and none that I have been able to find from Book of Mormon scholars. This paper will show that Book of Mormon prophets used the phrase in the same Old Testament way as an explanation for the blessings given to his covenant people but also in other ways as an explanation for his plan of salvation, the creation of the earth, the atonement performed by Jesus Christ, and the provision of a way (the gospel) for all men and women to become good like him and return to his presence. Had you asked Lehi and Nephi about the meaning of the goodness of God before they received their great visions, they may have given the standard Israelite definition. After those visions, they use the phrase in all these other ways. Those visions had expanded their perspective to see that the Lord loves all people equally and that Christ was coming to conquer both sin and death and to reveal his gospel through which all his creations might come unto him, becoming good themselves in the process, that they might receive eternal life.

The goodness of God in the Old Testament and in the Book of Mormon

Like Nephi’s writings in the small plates, the Book of Mormon itself also concludes with a focus on the goodness of God. At some point in the final decline
of the Nephite nation, Mormon wrote to his son Moroni expressing his continual prayer “unto God the Father . . . that he through his infinite goodness and his grace” would “keep [Moroni] through the endurance of faith on his name to the end” (Moroni 8:3). In the final verse, Moroni warns his readers that at the last day, they will meet “the great Jehovah, the Eternal Judge of both quick and dead” (Moroni 10:34), who will judge them according to whether or not they have used this mortal life to become good like him.\(^5\) He uses good seven times in his final summary statement of the ways in which the Lord helps those who will accept his guidance to become good, even “holy without spot.”\(^6\)

The same shift from the initial focus on the goodness of God to the potential goodness of men is foreshadowed by Nephi in his opening chapter when he announces as his thesis that he will show his readers “that the tender mercies of the Lord is over all them whom he hath chosen because of their faith to make them mighty, even unto the power of deliverance” (1 Nephi 1:20).\(^7\) While this thesis is

\(^5\) Cf. Moroni 7:48 where Moroni expresses the hope that true followers of Christ “may become the sons of God” and that “when he shall appear, we shall be like him” and “be purified as he is pure.”

\(^6\) Cf. Moroni 10:1, 6, 18, 25, 30, and 33.

\(^7\) All quotations from the Book of Mormon are taken from the Yale critical text. Royal Skousen (editor), *The Book of Mormon: The Earliest Text*, Yale University Press, 2009. Italics have sometimes been added for emphasis to ensure that readers will understand which words in a passage are important for the argument of the paper.
supported throughout Nephi’s first book in the traditional Israelite way by reporting six accounts of God’s powerful intervention to save the covenant faithful from threatened destruction, his second book makes it clear that he is ultimately referring to the deliverance of all men and women from death and the covenant faithful (those who become good) from sin and the captivity of the devil.

This opening chapter provides even more clues about this theme, which will in turn guide the reader through the entire book. The story of the opening chapter focuses on Lehi, who had been deeply troubled by the prophecies of some contemporaries who were warning the people that Jerusalem and its inhabitants would soon be destroyed and taken captive because of their wickedness. As he cried to the Lord “with all his heart, in behalf of his people,” he was shown a theophany of “God sitting upon his throne” and was given to know that the calamitous prophecies that he had heard would in fact be fulfilled. Lehi’s startling and even joyful response to this negative news shows that he had also been given the big picture on God’s relationship to his human creations and now understood these pending destructions in terms of God’s “goodness and mercy,” as he exclaimed:

8 See the rhetorical analysis of First Nephi that shows the detailed and structured way in which Nephi defends his thesis in Noel B. Reynolds, “Nephi’s Outline,” BYU Studies 20, (no. 2, 1980, 1–18.
Great and marvelous are thy works, O Lord God Almighty. Thy throne is high in the heavens, and thy power and goodness and mercy is over all the inhabitants of the earth. And because thou art merciful, thou will not suffer those who come unto thee that they shall perish. (1 Nephi 1:5–14)

In this paper, I will also explore the possibility that power, goodness, and mercy are used here to describe eternal attributes of God which in turn explain his decision to create this earth and its human population and to prepare a plan of salvation. That plan includes the atonement of Jesus Christ and his gospel as the way by which men and women can, with the benefit of his covenantal mercy, receive a forgiveness of their sins, come unto him, and attain goodness as well. In so doing, they may be delivered from the devil’s captivity and dwell in the presence of God eternally.

The goodness of God in the Old Testament

While both mercy and goodness do have principal equivalent terms in the Hebrew Bible (rahamim, tub), both have also been used as translations for the more complex and theologically prominent Hebrew term hesed.⁹ For example,

⁹ See my forthcoming paper “Biblical Hesed and Nephite Covenant Culture,” BYU Studies Quarterly (2020), for a detailed discussion of the term. Daniel Belnap first called the attention of LDS readers to Old Testament hesed in his essay “‘How Excellent is thy
mercy is the most frequently used translation for hesed in the King James Version of the Old Testament. And most linguistic studies of God’s goodness (tub) in the Hebrew Bible have concluded that it is just one of the many dimensions of Yahweh’s hesed as demonstrated in his care for his covenant people as he blesses them with peace, land, or delivers them from their enemies: “For the Lord is good (tub), for his steadfast love (hesed) endures for ever” (Jeremiah 33:11, RSV).\(^{10}\) As Stachowiak points out, “all the biblical texts refer to God’s goodness, either directly or by implication.” But that goodness is focused on the people of the covenant: “Yahweh is the good, kind and benign one who shows his favour and benevolence to his people and does this by virtue of their election and of the covenant.”\(^{11}\)

While “the idea of essential goodness underlies” all the different shades of meaning of good in the Old Testament, “the Hebrew word hesed expresses goodness in a more concrete form, in the sense of divine favour and God’s loyal


readiness to give help, particularly in connection with the covenant made on Mount Sinai.”¹² The Psalms contain numerous passages praising the goodness of God, the proofs of which are the various examples of his fulfilling his responsibilities to bless and deliver his covenant people as part of his hesed. Only occasionally do these express a more universal view—as in Psalm 100:1–5, where “all the earth is called upon to praise God for his goodness.”¹³

**God’s hesed for his covenant people**

Scholarly opinion about the necessity of a prior relationship of obligation for hesed to be in effect between men and God or just between men has been mixed. When Nelson Glueck wrote his seminal work on hesed, he argued that the Lord’s hesed was always grounded in a pre-existing covenant or other relationship of obligation.¹⁴ It could not be equated to God’s goodness generally or with spontaneous acts of kindness or friendship that were not so grounded:

¹² Ibid.

¹³ Ibid., 324.

God’s goodness, which is mentioned in these passages in connection with His *hesed*, in no way influences the established meaning of *hesed* and does not lead to a meaning of *hesed* as favor, as one might expect. For the pious, it was an act of Yahweh’s grace that he had entered into a covenant with them and showed them *hesed* in accordance with his promise. His *hesed*-deeds were miracles to them.\(^{15}\) While the *hesed* relationship between Yahweh and his people was regarded as having originated through his goodness, *hesed* itself remained the mutual relationship of rights and duties which Yahweh had obligated himself to show.\(^{16}\) In this sense only is *hesed* to be understood. . . . The reason for Yahweh’s . . . demonstrating all his power for the sake of his people throughout the course of history, must not be sought in his favor, grace, or goodness. . . . He stood by the people of his covenant, faithfully executing the *hesed* to which they were entitled by virtue of that relationship. . . . *Hesed* is best translated in these stereotyped passages as “covenantal loyalty”\(^{17}\) or “faithful assistance.”\(^{18}\)

---

\(^{15}\) Psalms 138:2, 8; 25:10; 119:41, 76; 103:17–18.

\(^{16}\) Psalms 106:7; 107:8, 15, 21, 31; 136:4; 4:4; 17:7; 31:22.

\(^{17}\) Psalms 100:5.

\(^{18}\) Glueck, 81.
Glueck here makes it clear that even though God’s goodness can be seen as manifest in particular acts of *hesed*, he believes the Israelites understood the origins of their covenant with the Lord as a product of his pre-existing goodness, a distinction that has not been generally acknowledged in the literature. As he clarifies further, relating to God’s goodness and grace:

*Hesed* is not identical with God’s favor. However, since the relationship between God and His people was established by the grace of its election, *hesed* is based upon the grace of God . . . . It could be held that the origin of the God-people (man) relationship stems from God’s favor; and that the structuring of these relationships emanates from His ethical will . . ..

Eichrodt interpreted the earlier prophets differently. Rather than seeing their use of *hesed* transforming into an ethical doctrine, he saw it as “a unique exaltation of the God with whom nothing on earth can be compared,” and in this way providing “an expression adequate both to the all-surpassing greatness and to the goodness of God.”

In her first monograph on *hesed*, Katherine Sakenfeld supported the claims of scholars who did not find biblical usage of the term that restrictive, pointing to

---

19 Glueck, p. 87.

evidence from the Peshitta. “The Peshitta normally uses twybt’ (‘goodness, kindness; a favor or benefit’) as the translational equivalent of hesed.”

However, Emanuel Tov has since demonstrated that the translational evidence for this less restrictive interpretation is late, dating to the Common Era. And in his exhaustive application of modern linguistic methodologies to this question, Gordon Clark came down solidly in support of Glueck’s older view:

The methodology adopted in the present study has shown that a deep, enduring, personal commitment to each other is an essential feature of situations in which one human party extends רָּחֲב [hesed] to another. This is a mutual, bilateral commitment, unlike the unilateral commitment proposed by . . . Sakenfeld.

Glueck was thus vindicated in his general conclusion that the hesed of God, while it is not to be identified with his grace, is still based


upon the latter, insofar as the relationship between God and people, structured by Him as a covenantal relationship, was effected by electing Israel through an act of grace.\textsuperscript{24}

The seminal texts in Exodus 33:19 and 34:4–7, when read together, also seem to identify God’s \textit{goodness} (\textit{tub}) with elements of his \textit{hesed}:

And he [the Lord] said, ‘I will make all \textit{my goodness} pass before you . . .

The Lord, the Lord, a God \textit{merciful} and \textit{gracious}, slow to anger, and abounding in \textit{steadfast love} (\textit{hesed}) and \textit{faithfulness} (\textit{emeth}), keeping \textit{steadfast love} (\textit{hesed}) for thousands” (RSV).\textsuperscript{25}

Dictionary treatments and linguistic studies of \textit{hesed} and \textit{tob} (to be or do good) and its derivatives tend to be consistent with one another in this approach. But they sometimes articulate some uneasiness about passages where \textit{tub} would seem to signal that the \textit{goodness} of the Lord may predate the establishment of his covenants with Israel. While these passages do undergird God’s \textit{hesed} toward his covenant people, they may also apply universally to his attitudes toward all

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{24} Glueck, \textit{Hesed in the Bible}, p. 102.
\end{itemize}
\end{footnotesize}
mankind.\textsuperscript{26} Glueck saw a similar universal ethic implicit in some of the minor prophets and wisdom literature.\textsuperscript{27} As will be shown below, that distinction appears to be more pronounced and intentional in numerous Book of Mormon passages.

\textbf{My work and my glory}

Three decades ago in a festschrift honoring Hugh W. Nibley, I argued that there is strong and extensive evidence that the version of Genesis available to the Nephite prophets in the plates of brass must have been practically identical to the revised early chapters attached to Genesis in the Joseph Smith Translation.\textsuperscript{28} One key passage in establishing that connection is Moses 1:39: “For this is my work and my glory to bring to pass the immortality and eternal life of man.”\textsuperscript{29} This straightforward statement of God’s boundless good intentions toward his human...

\textsuperscript{26} See, \textit{e.g.}, the summaries of studies by Felix Asensio and Hans Joachim Stoebe in Gerald A. LaRue, “Recent Studies in Hesed,” in \textit{Hesed in the Bible}, pp. 10–17.

\textsuperscript{27} Glueck, pp. 56–66.


\textsuperscript{29} In other Moses passages the same concept is restated in the same terms (Moses 5:11; 6:59; 7:45).
children has no parallel in the current Old Testament, but does inform the teachings of the Nephite prophets repeatedly. The language describing the possibilities of eternal life for men begins in 2 Nephi 2, the chapter that reminds us most strongly of the Moses texts, and is echoed thirty times by Nephi and every major writer of the book.\textsuperscript{30} The companion concept of immortality or immortal glory shows up three times in Moses, twice in conjunction with eternal life (Moses 1:39; 6:59, 61).\textsuperscript{31}

Modern scholars do find a few possible allusions in the Old Testament to Yahweh’s concern for the immortality or even eternal life of all people, but these are not even noticed by most readers.\textsuperscript{32} But the Lord’s eternal focus on these possibilities for all mankind as articulated repeatedly in the Nephite teachings testifies to his goodness preexisting his covenant with Abraham or others, and therefore preexisting his covenant hesed.


\textsuperscript{31} “The Brass Plates Version,” 2019, 75–76.

\textsuperscript{32} One good example can be found in Edmond Jacob, \textit{Theology of the Old Testament}, translated by Arthur W. Heathcote and Philip J. Alcock, New York, Harper and Brothers, 1958, pages 107 and 148–149.
Book of Mormon expansions of the Old Testament discourse about the goodness of God

What may only have been implicit in the Hebrew Bible as a background for the covenant God gave to Abraham is made explicit and prominent in the discourse of the Nephite prophets. While they also use God’s goodness to explain the faithfulness, justice, mercy and deliverances of God in his dealings with his covenant people, the Israelites, they also invoke their visionary understanding of that goodness to explain God’s love and salvation proffered to all mankind. The visions given to Lehi, Nephi, and possibly others expanded their grasp of God’s goodness in two directions. They were given firstly an understanding of “the great plans of the eternal God,” which preceded Abraham and even the existence of the earth and provided salvation for all peoples in all times and all places: “salvation through the atonement which was prepared from the foundation of the world for all mankind which ever was, ever since the fall of Adam, or which is or which ever shall be, even unto the end of the world” (Mosiah 4:7). They refer explicitly to “the plan of salvation/ redemption” by one or another of its labels thirty times, and implicitly much more.\(^{33}\) Secondly, they also marveled at “the great goodness of

our God’ who had prepared a way—the gospel of Jesus Christ—by which every man or woman as an individual could repent and qualify to return to his divine presence.34 These two key theological concepts were revealed to Lehi and Nephi in their first visions, dramatically expanding their traditional Israelite understanding of the ways in which God relates to humankind.

In a separate paper I have shown how the earliest visions given to Lehi and Nephi that launched the Nephite dispensation educated them in these two additional time frames and perspectives, equipping them with vastly expanded understandings of the goodness of God and its importance for all humankind.35 They were introduced into the divine council and its eternal perspective which allowed them to grasp God’s plan of redemption that was established before the creation of this world. And they were taught the gospel of Jesus Christ and the way it provides whereby individuals can become the Lord’s children by covenant and walk the straight and narrow path that will lead them back to him—making them good in the process. Finally, they saw Israel’s covenant with the Lord as a way of showing all the world how the Lord could establish a covenant relationship with people who would obey him and how he would discipline and bless them

34 Jacob repeatedly exclaims in this manner as he presents the first comprehensive account of the plan of salvation in 2 Nephi 9–10. The quote is from 9:10.

through cycles of obedience and rebellion. By standing all three of these basic conceptions of God’s relationships to humankind, the Nephite prophets clearly demonstrated their reliance on *the goodness of God* as a foundational theological concept.

The three time frames featured in these visions include eternity, the history of humans on this earth, and the lifetimes of individuals. The visions given to Lehi and Nephi also provided a visualization for each of these. Eternity was assumed in the openings of heaven and the induction of new prophets into the divine council where God sits enthroned. God’s relationship with the peoples of the earth in history and prophecy provides a salvation history that promises the possibility of covenant relationships between God and peoples of the earth, whether organized as tribes or churches. And the final reality that salvation does require making and keeping a prescribed covenant with the Lord by every individual that will be saved demonstrates how both larger time frames focus on the lives lived by individuals.

Depending on the time-frame perspective assumed in any scriptural passage, readers would be led to think in terms of the appropriate verbalization, whether it be the plan of salvation, the Abrahamic covenant, or the gospel of Jesus Christ. And Lehi’s great vision provided a visualization to illustrate each of those
verbalizations—the divine council, the allegory of the olive tree, and the image of the straight and narrow path leading to the tree of life. These relationships can be illustrated conveniently in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME FRAME</th>
<th>VISUALIZATION</th>
<th>VERBALIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Eternity</td>
<td>Divine council</td>
<td>Plan of salvation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Salvation history</td>
<td>Olive tree allegory</td>
<td>Abrahamic covenant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Individual lifetimes</td>
<td>Tree of life vision</td>
<td>Gospel of Jesus Christ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Nephite prophets clearly believed that the Lord had blessed Abraham and his descendants with a covenant relationship that would tie them to him with the expectations of hesed being shown on all sides. They were able to accommodate that vision to their revelation of the gospel of Jesus Christ and to the plan of redemption, which would be extended not only to the descendants of Abraham, but to all men and women throughout the earth as the means by which they could escape the captivity of the devil and receive eternal life.

LDS literature tends to feature a multiplicity of strategies for explaining the

36 Nephi includes the allegory of the olive tree and the gospel of Jesus Christ in the list of sixteen things Lehi taught his family after receiving the great vision. See 1 Nephi 10:2–15.
differences and the connections between the gospel, the plan of salvation, and the Abrahamic covenant. Lehi’s vision would appear to resolve that confusion by assigning each of these to its own time frame—all of which are both real and essential aspects of the relationship between God and his human creations. The eternal perspective of the plan of salvation provides the background or context that gives meaning to the Abrahamic covenant and to the gospel. While the Abrahamic covenant makes clear that God’s covenant people have responsibilities for the material welfare and spiritual support of one another, the gospel also makes it clear that salvation finally depends on each individual’s level of commitment to the Lord and determination to endure to the end in keeping a covenant made personally. But there is no conceptual conflict or dissonance between the three concepts. Rather they are fully integrated with one another, and the focus of any discourse is determined by the time frame that provides its context. This would explain why the Nephite prophets could shift so easily and even seamlessly between teaching and prophesying about the gospel, the Abrahamic covenant, and the plan of salvation.\footnote{I have explored these connections at length in “Understanding the Abrahamic Covenant through the Book of Mormon,”\textit{BYU Studies Quarterly} 57, no. 3 (2018), 39–74 and in “Covenant Language in Biblical Religions and the Book of Mormon,”\textit{BYU Studies Quarterly}, 2020.}

In so doing, they expanded the explanatory power of the Old Testament
concept of the goodness of God far beyond what is explicit anywhere in the text of the Hebrew Bible. For the Nephites, the goodness of God provides the explanatory background for their very existence, for the existence of the earth and its peoples, for the nature of the probationary state in which men find themselves, and for the efforts of the Lord and his servants to bring all men and women to repentance that they might become holy like him and return to his presence. As Moroni was taught by Jesus Christ directly:

A But he that believeth these things which I have spoken,
B him will I visit with the manifestations of my Spirit.
C And he shall know and bear record;
B* for because of my Spirit
A* he shall know that these things are true,

A for it persuadeth men to do good.
B And whatsoever thing persuadeth men to do good is of me,
B* for good cometh of none save it be of me.
A* I am the same that leadeth men to all good. (Ether 4:11–12)
By contrast, the Old Testament is usually understood to tell the story of Abraham and his descendants, to whom God gave a covenant that if they would obey him in all things, he would prosper and protect them, delivering them from their enemies and even provoking them to repentance when they strayed. While it is sometimes interpreted to promise salvation universally, that is not explicit or self-evident in the text itself. The Nephites saw themselves as descendants and beneficiaries of the Abrahamic covenant, but with this major interpretive expansion derived from their founding visions in which they had learned of the coming of Jesus Christ and his teachings as explained above. They recognized that the salvation history based in the Abrahamic covenant (1) was itself based in God’s universal plan of salvation for all men, and (2) that it would have effect in the lives of individuals only as they embraced the gospel of Jesus Christ. As Nephi bluntly stated:

For behold, I say unto you:

A As many of the Gentiles as will repent are the covenant people of faith;

38 Christian and Jewish interpretations of the Abrahamic covenant are compared with the Nephite interpretation in Noel B. Reynolds, “All Kindreds shall be Blessed: Nephite, Jewish, and Christian Interpretations of the Abrahamic Covenant,” in Donald W. Parry, Gaye Strathearn, and Shon D. Hopkin (editors), Seek Ye Words of Wisdom: Studies of the Book of Mormon, Bible, and Temple in Honor of Stephen D. Ricks, Interpreter, 115–140.

39 See Reynolds, “Understanding the Abrahamic Covenant.”
B and as many of the Jews as will not repent shall be cast off.

A* For the Lord covenanteth with none save it be with them that repent
(Ballast) and believe in his Son, which is the Holy One of Israel.  

Nephite understandings of hesed and the goodness of God

The goodness of God experienced by covenant keepers

Eight of the 25 references to the goodness of God in the Book of Mormon can readily be understood as explanations for the blessings God gives to his covenant people when they are obedient—with the Nephite clarification that the covenant at issue is the gospel covenant they have made as individuals to repent and endure to the end in obedience to Jesus Christ. Benjamin refers directly to that covenant when he reminds his hearers that they “have known of his goodness and tasted of his love” when they received a remission of their sins, “which causeth such exceeding great joy in [their] souls” (Mosiah 4:11).

The same understanding is evoked when Mormon speaks of tasting and knowing “of the goodness of Jesus” (Mormon 1:15) in his youth and when he prays that “through his infinite goodness and grace” the Lord “will keep [his son

---

40 2 Nephi 30:2.
Moroni] through the endurance of faith on his name to the end” (Moroni 8:3).

Mormon sounds just like an Old Testament prophet when he comments as editor:

“We can see that the Lord in his great infinite goodness doth bless and prosper those who put their trust in him” (Helaman 12:1). In similar Old Testament style, three additional passages link the goodness of God to his deliverance of his faithful people from captivity or from destruction in perilous conflicts: “When they thought of the immediate goodness of God and his power in delivering Alma and his brethren out of the hands of the Lamanites and of bondage, they did raise their voices and gave thanks to God” (Mosiah 25:10. Cf. Alma 57:25 and 36).

*God’s pre-covenant goodness*

The other references to the goodness of God either explicitly or implicitly appeal to the broader perspective given to Lehi and Nephi in their early visions rather than to the Old Testament perspective as defined by the Abrahamic covenant. Lehi had responded to those first visions by declaring his new covenant.

---

universalistic understanding that “thy power and goodness and mercy is over all the inhabitants of the earth,” all of whom are promised that if they will come unto him (through his covenants), “they shall not perish” (1 Nephi 1:14). Lehi later cites “the creation of the earth” twice as context for his understanding of God’s “infinite goodness” in bringing his people “into this precious land of promise” (2 Nephi 1:10). Nephi refers to that same expanded vision when he praises “the great goodness of the Lord in shewing me his great and marvelous works” (2 Nephi 4:17). Jacob is in the middle of his explanation of the universal plan of salvation when he exclaims, “O how great the goodness of our God who prepareth a way for our escape” (2 Nephi 9:10).42

Benjamin also saw God’s salvation applying to all “the children of men” because of “the atonement which hath been prepared from the foundation of the world” (Mosiah 4:6).43


43 When the Book of Mormon prophets date things “from the foundation of the world,” they may be getting this phrase and concept from the version of Genesis in their plates of brass. See Noel B. Reynolds and Jeffrey D. Lindsay, “‘Strong Like Unto Moses’: The Case for Ancient Roots in the Book of Moses Based on Book of Mormon Usage of Related Content Apparently from the Brass Plates,” working paper, May 23, 2020.
I say unto you that

if ye have come to a knowledge of *the goodness of God*

and his matchless power

and his wisdom

and his patience

and his long-suffering towards the children of men,

a and also the atonement which hath been prepared from the

foundation of the world,

b that thereby salvation might come to him

c that should put his trust in the Lord

d and should be diligent in keeping his

commandments

c* and continue in the faith, even unto the end of his life

—I mean the life of the mortal body—

I say that

b* this is the man that receiveth salvation

a* through the atonement which was prepared from the foundation of

the world

i *for all mankind* which ever was, ever since the fall of Adam,

ii or which is
ii* or which ever shall be,

i* even unto the end of the world.

a And this is the means whereby salvation cometh.

b And there is none other salvation save this which hath been spoken of;

b* neither is there any conditions whereby man can be saved

a* except the conditions which I have told you. (Mosiah 4:6–8)

Benjamin is clearly saying that the atonement was prepared from the beginning as the means by which salvation could be made available “for all mankind,” from Adam to the end of the world. The plan of salvation preceded the creation and the covenant of Abraham.

It may be that when the Nephite prophets describe the goodness of God as “great” or “infinite” they are often referring to its pre-covenantal reality. Benjamin refers directly to “the infinite goodness of God” as made manifest in the Nephite visions and “their great views of that which is to come” (Mosiah 5:3)—without any trace of a reference to the Abrahamic covenant. Alma explained the plan of redemption to the apostate people of Ammonihah “according to the supreme goodness of God” (Alma 12:32). In the course of their 25 references to the goodness of God, the Nephite writers refer four times to his goodness as “infinite,” which also
seems to signal their broader perspective. In the same sense, it is called “great” three times. It is also described as “supreme” and “exceeding,” which would seem to have the same expansive implications as “infinite.”

**The invitation to experience the goodness of God personally**

Less explicit, but more likely based in the expanded vision of Lehi and Nephi than in the traditional perspective are prophetic appeals to recognize God’s goodness in its fullness as motivation to enter into or return to his covenant. Both Lehi and Nephi appear to be referring to those visions as the source of their “knowledge of the goodness . . . of God” (1 Nephi 1:1, 1:14, and 5:4). In his final address to his people, Benjamin urges them to “remember and always retain in remembrance the greatness of God and your own nothingness and his goodness and long-suffering [hesed?] towards you unworthy creatures, and humble yourselves even in the depths of humility” (Mosiah 4:11. Cf. v. 5). After Alma was converted in a visionary experience in which he saw the same heavenly things Lehi had been shown centuries previously (cf. 1 Nephi 1:8 and Alma 36:22), his father assembled the priests to hear Alma’s words “that the eyes of the people might be opened, to see and know of the goodness and glory of God” (Mosiah 27:22).

---

44I have already indicated above that Mormon may be an exception. He twice seems to use *infinite goodness of God* in the Old Testament covenantal pattern (Moroni 8:3 and Helaman 12:1).
Drawing on the great vision of the tree of life where Lehi and Nephi had been shown how the Lord’s straight and narrow path leads people to the opportunity “to partake of the fruit” of the tree of life which is “most sweet above all,” Nephi uniquely characterizes the process of accepting and following the gospel of Jesus Christ as “partaking of the goodness of God.” In preparation for his foundational presentation of the doctrine or gospel of Christ, Nephi asks rhetorically: “Hath the Lord commanded any that they should not partake of his goodness?” He then answers his own question by assuring his readers that the Lord “inviteth them all to come unto him and partake of his goodness” (2 Nephi 26:28, 31). For he inviteth them all to come unto him and partake of his goodness. And he denieth none that come unto him, black and white, bond and free, male and female; and he remembereth the heathen. And all are alike unto God, both Jew and Gentile. (2 Nephi 26:33)

After presenting the gospel as taught to him by the Father and the Son in vision, he looks to his readers in the distant future and warns those who will not accept his message and “partake of the goodness of God,” that “these words shall condemn you at the last day” (2 Nephi 33:14). His brother Jacob then takes up the pen and begins

45 See 1 Nephi 8:10–18, 24–35.

his brief record by linking Nephi’s phrase to the gospel of Christ explicitly:

“Wherefore we labored diligently among our people that we might persuade them to come unto Christ and *partake of the goodness of God*” (Jacob 1:7).

Alma later invokes the same image and connects it to the same gospel message:

“Come and be baptized unto repentance, that ye also may be *partakers of the fruit of the tree of life*” (Alma 5:62. Cf. verses 34–35). Expanding the same image for his wayward son Corianton, Alma tells him, “I would that ye should come unto Christ, which is the Holy One of Israel, and *partake of his salvation* and the power of his redemption; whosoever will come may come and *partake of the waters of life* freely” (Alma 42:27).47

Closely related to the metaphor of “partaking,” Nephi and Lehi also spoke of *knowing* the goodness of God (1 Nephi 1:1 and 5:4), and later prophets fashioned their own variations on this phrasing, including Mormon who spoke of his own experience at age fifteen when he “was visited of the Lord and *tasted and knew of the goodness of Jesus*” (Mormon 1:15). Here Mormon may be echoing his own record of Benjamin who used the verbs of tasting, remembering, and knowing.48

And again I say unto you, . . that as ye have come to the *knowledge of the glory*
of God or if ye have known of his goodness and have tasted of his love and have received a remission of your sins, which causeth such exceeding great joy in your souls, even so I would that ye should remember and always retain in remembrance the greatness of God and your own nothingness and his goodness and long-suffering towards you unworthy creatures. (Mosiah 5:11)

One reference speaks of the goodness of God as being “immediate” (Mosiah 25:10). As the Oxford English Dictionary warns us, we should not assume that this reference is to time.49 Recognizing that the modern Book of Mormon translation is in Early Modern English, we should understand immediate to mean “unmediated.”50 Recipients experience and feel God’s goodness directly and without secondary intervention. This passage refers to the experience in which Alma’s people were miraculously delivered in one day from the Lamanites. Others speak of knowing, 

49 The general definition given for immediate as an adjective: “Said of a person or thing in its relation to another. That has no intermediary or intervening member, medium, or agent; that is in actual contact or direct personal relation.” OED, s.v. “Immediate.”

50 With the collaboration of Stanford Carmack, Royal Skousen has determined that the “words, phrases, expressions, grammatical forms, and syntactic patterns” of the original Book of Mormon “are archaic English” and conform well with Early Modern English (approx. 1450–1720). See Royal Skousen, The History of the Text of the Book of Mormon: Part Three, The Nature of the Original Language, The Foundation for Ancient Research and Mormon Studies and Brigham Young University Studies, Provo, Utah, 2018, p. 3. Carmack has kindly provided me with the following mid-seventeenth century example: “Upon a serious perpension of the irresistible pressure or weight of this plain and genuine inference, I once resolved to supersede all other Arguments of the Creation of the World out of nothing, by the sole and immediate Goodnesse, Wisdome, and Power of the Supreme, because most perfect Being.” Walter Charleton, The Darkness of atheism dispelled by the light of nature: a physico-theologicall treatise, London, 1652, pp. 39–40.
partaking of, or tasting his goodness as they experience the remission of sins. In these 25 mentions of God’s goodness, we can recognize that it is frequently characterized as personally relevant to each person—that every human being is invited to partake of his goodness by repenting and taking up the covenant path the gospel describes for them as individuals, receiving the Holy Ghost in their lives.

The power and goodness and mercy of God

*Goodness* is not the only eternal attribute of God that the Nephite prophets invoked to explain his plan of salvation. Nephi also referred to the Lord’s knowledge and power: “But the Lord *knoweth all things* from the beginning. Wherefore he *prepareth a way* to accomplish all his works among the children of men. For behold, he hath *all power* unto the fulfilling of all his words” (1 Nephi 9:6). In the very earliest mention of the goodness of God chronologically, Lehi also cites his *power* and *mercy*. While *mercy* is one of the English terms translators invoke most frequently for *hesed*, it also is the standard translation for *rahamim* for which it seems most adequate.\(^5\) In either case, God’s mercy is connected to his covenantal *hesed*.

---

\(^5\) Horacio Simian-Yofre sees *rahamim* as “a fundamental element of Yahweh’s nature” and resists the tendency of translators to equate it with *hesed*, with which it sometimes occurs in a parallel structure. He sees this parallelism suggesting that the combination be treated “as a compound of complementary expressions: *hesed* expresses the fundamental goodness of God, *rhm* the special favor shown by God in the situation of sin and affliction” (cf. Isa. 54:8: ‘Because of [my] everlasting goodness I have had compassion on you’). See his dictionary article on mercy in the Hebrew Bible: “[רַחֲמִים *rhm,*]” *TDOT* 13:437–452 at 452. This seems to contradict the view of Glueck and others who saw God’s mercy as one of the chief elements or manifestations
But the divine power that Lehi refers to is clearly needed in this and other passages to explain God’s ability to make and execute his plan of salvation including the creation of the world and the defeat of death and the devil through his resurrection the resurrection. The divine mercy of God enables him to forgive sins before bringing his repentant children to a final judgment with its consequent rewards of eternal life or eternal punishment.

Benjamin later echoed this same connection, citing the “wisdom and power and justice and mercy of him who created all things in heaven and in earth, who is God above all” (Mosiah 5:15). And God also wields his power throughout salvation history to bring new peoples such as the Gentiles into covenant relationships with him. Nephi was shown in vision how the future Gentile nations would be “delivered by the power of God out of the hands of all other nations” and “lifted up by the power of God above all other nations upon the face of the land” (1 Nephi 13:19, 30). His divine purpose would be to “bring forth unto them in mine own power much of my gospel” (1 Nephi 13:34). Those who would choose to receive that gospel and “seek to bring forth my Zion at that day,” would be blessed with “the gift and the power of the Holy Ghost. And if they endure unto the end, they shall be lifted up at the last day and shall be saved in the everlasting kingdom of the Lamb” (1 Nephi 13:37).

of his hesed, but is more in line with the Nephite view that God’s mercy was also evident as one dimension of his pre-Abraham goodness.
However, the vast majority of over 300 mentions of the power of God in the Book of Mormon occur in texts describing how God can bless, protect, or deliver his covenant people after they have received the gospel. God’s dependable hesed is clearly a function of both his goodness, his mercy, his knowledge, and his power. Nephi’s thesis as quoted above states this clearly: “But behold, I Nephi will shew unto you that the tender mercies of the Lord is over all them whom he hath chosen because of their faith to make them mighty, even unto the power of deliverance” (1 Nephi 1:20b). While most of the references to the power of God do refer to his deliverance of his people from captivity and other major dangers, many others are explicitly spiritual and refer to their being rescued from the powers of the devil. In his closing sentences, Moroni makes explicit this principal blessing that comes through the power of God:

And if by the grace of God ye are perfect in Christ, ye can in no wise deny the power of God. And again, if ye by the grace of God are perfect in Christ and deny not his power, then are ye sanctified in Christ by the grace of God through the shedding of the blood of Christ, which is in the covenant of the Father, unto the remission of your sins, that ye become holy, without spot. (Moroni 10:32–33)

Knowledge of the goodness of God
It should also be noted that eight of the 25 references to the goodness of God reviewed above explicitly mention it as something that is “known” or “remembered.”52 These recognitions of God’s infinite power and goodness motivated people repeatedly to praise God and to enter into the covenant relationship proffered by his gospel. Those who chose to do so and obeyed his commandments as they walked day by day up that covenant path could testify that they were blessed, protected, and guided from all evil by his power which was often described as “the power of the Holy Ghost.”53 In every case, as Nephi explains, it is “coming to the knowledge of the true Messiah, their Lord and their Redeemer” which is an essential first step.54

Nephi makes this point emphatically by using some form of know or knowledge six times in the same summary statement to Laman and Lemuel—in the exchange that occurred immediately after Nephi’s reception of the great vision:

A And at that day shall the remnant of our seed know that they are of the


54 1 Nephi 10:14. The essential role of knowledge in this process for those who will enter a covenant relationship with the Lord is documented in Reynolds, “Understanding the Abrahamic Covenant,” pp. 69–71.
house of Israel and that they are the covenant people of the Lord.

B  And then shall they know and come to the knowledge of their forefathers

C  and also to the knowledge of the gospel of their Redeemer,

B*  Wherefore they shall come to the knowledge of their Redeemer

and the very points of his doctrine,

A*  that they may know how to come unto him and be saved. (1 Nephi 15:14)

The chiastic structure of Nephi’s explanation to his brothers starts off with two elements that refer to the understanding of the Abrahamic covenant (A and B), which they already recognize, before linking and transitioning to and focusing on (C) the prophesied “gospel of their Redeemer” and (B*) “the very points of his doctrine” that will become the means by which (A*) all future peoples “may know how to come unto him and be saved”—the message that they will repeatedly reject.

*The goodness—and the depravity—of the people*

The Book of Mormon also repeatedly emphasizes the high contrast between the goodness of God and the potential goodness of men on the one hand and the
wickedness of so many men on the other. Mormon’s selections of stories from Nephite history feature this theme throughout. And then Moroni emphasizes this contrast dramatically in his penultimate chapter by inserting an epistle from his father in which the unimaginable depravity of the Nephites is described.

O the depravity of my people! They are without order and without mercy. . .. And they have become strong in their perversion. And they are alike brutal, sparing none, neither old nor young. And they delight in every thing save that which is good. And the sufferings of our women and our children upon all the face of this land doth exceed every thing. Yea, tongue cannot tell, neither can it be written. (Moroni 9:18–19)

This potential for evil was also shared by all men. Abinadi taught the Nephites that the devil had beguiled their “first parents” which was

the cause of all mankind’s becoming carnal, sensual, devilish, knowing evil from good, subjecting themselves to the devil. ... But remember that he that persists in his own carnal nature and goes on in the ways of sin and rebellion against God, he remaineth in his fallen state, and the devil hath all power over him. Therefore he is . . . an enemy to God. (Mosiah 16:2–5)

And as Alma explained to his son Corianton:

And thus we see that all mankind were fallen, and they were in the grasp of justice, yea, the justice of God which consigned them forever to be cut off
from his presence.

And now the plan of mercy could not be brought about except an
atonement should be made. Therefore God himself atoneth for the sins of the
world, to bring about the plan of mercy to appease the demands of justice, that
God might be a perfect just God and a merciful God also. (Alma 42:14–15)

God’s great plan was grounded in his ability and desire to overcome all evil through
his mercy, power, knowledge, and goodness.

**Possible Nephite hendiadyses employing the goodness of God**

One side note that should be included in this paper is the possible occurrence of
the rhetorical figure of hendiadys in connection with *the goodness of God*. Hebrew
writers often conjoined two nouns in the same grammatical form to convey a more
complex meaning, rather than their two separate meanings. This phenomenon has
been richly documented in the Book of Mormon and may explain some of the
interesting usages of *the goodness of God* in this text.⁵⁵ Nephi leads with a reference
to “the goodness and the mysteries of God” (1 Nephi 1:1). Given that he uses
“mysteries of God” to refer to those truths which are only known by revelation, is he

---

⁵⁵ Hendiadyses have shown up in my current studies of several basic gospel principles,
but in none of these so impressively as repentance. See, Noel B. Reynolds, “The Language of
for a discussion of rhetorical hendiadyses and how they work in the Bible and the Book of
Mormon
suggesting that *the goodness of God* is only to be known through that means?

Benjamin twice links *the goodness of God* with his *long-suffering* (Mosiah 4:6, 11). One of these occurrences includes a linkage to God’s wisdom and to his patience. The suggestion here could be that God’s goodness is not just a moral stance or achievement, but that it is also structured by his knowledge and understanding of how human things work and the need to be patient and long-suffering with his children as they proceed through this mortal probation and up the covenant path as they return to him, one day at a time. Single occurrences of God’s “goodness and glory” (Mosiah 27:22) and “goodness and grace” (Moroni 8:3) would seem to invite a similar analysis. But when God’s power is linked three times to his goodness, both nouns seem to carry their own meaning independently, without adjustment. For example, when the narrator tells us that “they thought of the immediate goodness of God and his power in delivering Alma and his brethren out of the hands of the Lamanites” (Mosiah 25:10), we think of power and goodness as two separate attributes that function in concert, but that are not merged into something more complex.

**Conclusions**

In all their teachings, the Nephite prophets recognized the human potential for both goodness and evil. Because of his infinite goodness, God prepared a plan of salvation, including the atonement of Jesus Christ, so that in this state of probation, 

---

56 Cf. 1 Nephi 1:14 and Mosiah 46.
all humankind could choose the covenant path of his gospel by repenting and coming to him. And this path would prepare them as they follow him and endure to the end to become good like him that they might enter into his presence and into eternal life. Or they could choose to follow their own desires and be led captive by the devil, who desires “that all men might be miserable like unto himself” (2 Nephi 2:27).

In Nephite discourse, *the goodness of God* was a phrase that was used in two different ways—to explain God’s provision for the possibility of eternal life for all men and women and to explain his miraculous support and deliverances, day by day, for those who are enduring to the end on the covenant path. While traces of that first way have been noticed by some Bible scholars, the general pattern of scholarly interpretation of the Old Testament has been to identify *the goodness of God* as one part of the covenantal *hesed* that he shows to his people as he protects, delivers, and reclaims them through their cycles of obedience and disobedience.