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ABSTRACT

_Civitas: A Game-Based Approach to AP Art History_

Anna Davis  
Department of Visual Arts, BYU  
Master of Arts

To increase student engagement as well as cover the content of Ancient Rome, the author developed a game named _Civitas_ for an AP Art History course. The question driving this research project was, "Will incorporating a game into this Ancient Rome unit increase engagement without sacrificing the academic integrity of the class?" Research about engagement as well as others’ success incorporating games into the classroom was examined to determine the benefits and difficulties. Much of the work for this unit came before any teaching occurred: designing all aspects of the game as well as carefully determining how it would contribute to measurable learning objectives. The researcher video recorded three AP History courses, with a total of 8 students, over a period of one week. Data collection measures used to determine engagement included a video-recording of the class, keeping a log of engaged behaviors, personal observations, and student free-response questions. Data collection measures to determine evidence of learning content about Ancient Rome included analysis of students’ homework, discussions in the class, a multiple-choice test, and an essay test. Upon analysis, it was concluded that playing _Civitas_ greatly increased engagement as well as contributed to the academic integrity of the unit. However, it also took twice as long to engage with the same subject matter, was expensive to produce, and many hours of preparation, which limits the ability to share this learning strategy with others.
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Chapter 1: Introduction

Looking back on my career as a student an interesting fact arises: the most positive, life-changing class as well as the most boring class that I have ever taken were both of the same subject, art history. I have forgotten much of my college art history class’s subject matter, but I do remember the constant frustration that a subject so rich and exciting could be reduced to a dry, boring chore. We sat in a darkened classroom with only the light of the projector as my professor droned on, changing slides and expecting us to take notes and read in our textbook. I remember writing notes with my best friend to stay awake… and as often as not, that tactic didn’t work and I was out.

One reason I was frustrated with my college art history class was because I felt that I already knew most of the content. I had learned it the year before as a senior in high school, and the months had not dulled my memory. This particular college class stands out in my memory as being so frustrating because it had a foil; it was a stark contrast to the AP art history class I had taken from Mrs. Allsop-Day at American Fork High School. Mrs. Allsop-Day was a masterful storyteller as well as a brilliant art historian. She wove her tales with passion, punctuated with constant critical thinking, and I fell under art history’s spell. Over a decade later, I can still clearly remember many of our discussions, activities, and the wonderful stories that held me spellbound. At the young age of eighteen, I decided that, I too, wanted to teach art history like Mrs. Allsop-Day. Her class had changed my life, and I wanted to do that for others.

Fast-forward thirteen years, and I am currently living my dream. I am in my fifth year teaching AP Art History at Timpanogos High School in Orem, Utah. I feel confident in my knowledge of the material, I have prepared my presentations with care, I have written my tests, and I try to follow my pacing guide. I was chosen as one of 90 teachers in the country, including
By most people’s standards, I am an excellent teacher; but still I am not satisfied. Despite my best efforts to make class fresh and interesting, all too often I feel that I get in same rut that my college professor was in. Lights off, presentation on, the teacher lectures and the students take notes.

AP Art History is a particularly demanding subject to teach. Rather than having the freedom to specialize and go deeper into the subject matter, the curriculum that I need to cover spans from the art of the Ancient Near East to what is happening in art today, and, of course, everything in between. Not only am I expected to familiarize my students with the voluminous Western canon, I am also expected to have time to teach the art of Asia, Africa, Oceania, and the Americas as well. At the end of the year, when my students take the final AP Art History test, they are expected to be familiar with anything and everything thrown their way. They answer 115 multiple-choice questions and then write eight essays which assess their knowledge, their writing, and their ability to analyze what they see. I feel a constant pressure to cover the curriculum, and the most efficient way to do that is to lecture; as a result, the majority of my teaching is spent using a slide presentation and dispensing to my students the information I think they should know. There is a time and place for direct instruction, but when it becomes the primary mode of teaching, day after day, even the most interesting subject can become stale. To paraphrase one of my art professors, “To cover something with minutiae is to smother it, and when the curriculum is smothered, it dies” (Barney, 2012).

While it is very important to me to teach my students the expected material so they can do well in my class and on the test, I want magical moments in teaching. I want to have the conversations, the activities, and the defining moments that will help my students not only learn art history, but love it. But finding activities that both engage the students and educate them takes
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creativity and research. These were the driving questions of my research: How can my teaching better engage my students? How can I break up the lecture so that students don’t just hear information, but interact with it? How can I make stories of people who lived thousands of years ago come alive for my students today?

Making Art History a Game

These were the questions that I was, and still am, wrestling with as I began to research for my thesis, daily trying to improve my art history practice. Because these questions had become such a part of my consciousness, I was open to insights that would completely change the way I teach. I saw a TED Talk by Jane McGonigal, a video game programmer, (2010) called “Gaming Can Make a Better World” that not only intrigued me, but got me interested in a completely different way to teach. In this video, she said:

Consider this really interesting statistic that was recently published by a researcher at Carnegie-Mellon University, “The average young person today in a country with a strong gamer culture will have spent 10,000 hours playing online games by the age of 21. 10,000 hours is a really interesting number for two reasons: first of all, for children in the United States, 10,080 hours is the exact amount of time you will spend in school from 5th grade to high school graduation if you have perfect attendance. So we have an entire parallel track of education going on where young people are learning as much about what it takes to be a good gamer as learning about everything else in school (McGonigal, 2010).

Two things about this fact were very compelling. One was the sheer size of this number. My first reaction was, “What a waste of time! Kids could do much more productive things than just play
games?” But my second thought was, “What is it about games that make them so compelling? Why do people give up so much of their life to play games?”

I tried to answer these questions by thinking about games in my own life. I wouldn’t consider myself a gamer; I don’t spend hours every day playing video games or games on my phone. However, I do love to play board games. It is a tradition for my family every Sunday night to spend time with each other playing a game; it is also a constant activity I do with my friends. There are few things I would rather do with my time than play a game. But until I heard this TED talk, I had not really considered the power that games have and how this power can be used for good. Games engage their players; they help the players feel successful and give them a sense of accomplishment. As McGonigal pointed out, students spend as much time playing games as they do going to school. So wouldn’t it be amazing if school could be as engaging and interesting to them as their games are? Could a game be entertaining but educational as well?

As I was thinking about the power of games, I came back to my original problem: how can I make my art history class more engaging? By connecting the two, I found my solution-make art history a game! This was an intriguing solution, one that presented a wide variety of new problems. What game should we play? Is there an existing game that I could use or would I have to make up my own? How could I incorporate a game into my unit- would the game be instructional or would it need to be supplemented by something else? How could I make the game fun so that students want to play it and make it educational at the same time? One without the other wouldn’t work. I wanted to experiment with these ideas and measure how well games work as an educational tool in my own classroom.

I decided to focus my research on one unit: Ancient Rome. There are educational games and simulations already created that I could have adapted for this unit, but to achieve my specific
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learning objectives, I decided to make a game of my own. I created a board game, Civitas, in which the players become Roman administrators building new cities within their empire. Because I am already familiar with other “building” board games such as Settlers of Catan® and Dominion®, creating a game about Ancient Rome came more quickly and easily to me. I combined this game with other learning activities, such as direct instruction and using an animated video to deepen the story. I wanted them to not only memorize the facts and concepts about Ancient Rome that might show up on the AP Art History test, but to think and feel like a Roman. I wanted Rome to come alive for them. My research project was to develop this game, use it in my instruction, and see if it really was more engaging to my students, while at the same time meeting my educational objectives. To be succinct, my driving question was this: "Will incorporating a game into my Ancient Rome unit increase engagement without sacrificing the academic integrity of the class?"

I used action research for my methodology. An action researcher first identifies a problem and then decides on an appropriate action to address the problem. Then the researcher executes this action while recording data to create accurate and valid interpretations. After that, the researcher analyzes the data and evaluates whether the plan was successful, as well as identifying what still needs to be improved (Tomal, 2010). In my own research project, I generated questions in search of an answer: Will playing this game make art history more engaging for my students? What types of things will my students learn from playing the game? What is the balance between engagement and instruction in the classroom? I designed my game, my unit, and assessments to give me answers to these questions. I carefully recorded how the unit proceeded, and then I used data to answer these questions. This will be discussed in more detail in Chapter 4.
The Need for Research in this Field

I know that I am not alone in my desire to improve my art history teaching. However, as I searched for more information to guide me about how to improve art history education, particularly in the secondary level, I found very little research has been done on this topic. In 2012, 22,650 students took the AP Art History test indicating that there are hundreds of classrooms that teach this subject (College Board, 2013). However, despite this significant number of students, there is no national organization, national publication, or national conference devoted exclusively to the teaching of art history in secondary schools. There is an organization for teaching art history in college, the College Art Association; however, it is exclusive to post-secondary education and is more concerned with scholarship than pedagogy. There is an organization for teaching art in secondary education, the National Art Education Association; however, while there are some ideas for incorporating art history into the art room, I could not find any publications specific to the art history room itself. Thousands of books and articles have been published about art history; however, there are hardly any publications about ways to make this knowledge accessible for high school students. My search for articles about art history education in secondary schools came up frustratingly short.

AP Art History is a very specialized class; however, one that is growing. It is so specialized that many states do not even have an endorsement for just for art history. There are very few teachers, if any, nation-wide that teach full-time art history. Most teachers teach art, history, or English, with a few art history classes on the side. While many universities offer art education or history education courses, I have not found one program in the country that teaches a class specific to art history education. Because the number of art history teachers is small, there is little opportunity to collaborate and network with each other. The only source of art history
collaboration and professional development comes through the College Board, that offers one-
day or weeklong training sessions that are excellent; however, they are also very expensive. I
applaud the College Board for setting up an online community in 2012 where teachers can ask
questions, get answers, and share ideas and lessons with experienced teachers. However, this
resource is new and has not been fully developed. While this dearth of publication and
collaboration have, in some ways, limited my own research, it has also made it very clear to me
that researching and publishing about art history pedagogy is a field that has great need, and very
few contributors to the general public knowledge.

Chapter Organization of this Thesis

While I was limited in the amount of information I could access specifically about art
history education for my review of the literature, I was able to investigate two different topics
that I am exploring in this thesis: increasing engagement and the use of games in the classroom.
In Chapter 2, I investigate what engagement in education means. Because the purpose of this
study is to show whether games increase engagement in the classroom, it is important to
understand what it is and how others have defined engagement. In this chapter, I combine my
understanding of the research to formulate my own definition of what engagement is, and how I
will recognize it in my own classroom.

In Chapter 2, I also take a deeper look into what makes games successful and how games
are being used in education today. Much research has already been done comparing the use of
games with traditional classroom practices to show learning and growth. In this chapter, I explain
how this research helped inform my unit design and the implementation of my game into my
curriculum. I also briefly discuss how teachers are incorporating games into their curriculum, not
only using games to supplement curriculum, but making the curriculum a game itself.
In Chapter 3, I discuss my methodology, action research. I give a brief history of action research and the contributions researchers have made to its adaptation and growth. In my thesis I am specifically looking to measure engagement in my classroom as well as assessing that my students have met my educational goals for the unit. In this chapter, I outline my methods to measure and analyze both of these objectives.

In Chapter 4, I describe how I taught my unit. I explain my learning objectives and how different teaching strategies were implemented to meet these objectives. I also discuss more about the game itself; for example, what the rules of the game are, how my students play it, and how I incorporated other learning activities and assignments to supplement the game. I also include the data that I was able to collect to measure engagement as well as their learning. I give examples of data from my own field notes, student responses while playing the game, verbal and nonverbal behaviors observed from filming the class, discussions from class, and evidence of learning from essays and a test about Ancient Rome.

Finally, in Chapter 5, I draw conclusions based on my own experiences and analysis. I analyze the successes that the game contributed to engagement and learning. I also discuss the difficulties and frustrations of the game. Ultimately, this chapter answers the question, was the game worth it? Did it increase engagement? Did my students learn what I wanted them to learn? Was it worth the time it took to play?

Whether the game was a success or a failure, this thesis helped me analyze my practice to a greater depth than I had ever done before. It helped me realize that how I teach is just as important as what I teach. I hope that those who read this thesis will benefit from my experiment, and adapt what I learned to help them in their own teaching practice as well. Games are
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powerful. They are typically thought of as a pleasant diversion or an entertaining distraction, but games have great power to motivate, engage, and educate their players. Game on!
Holes in the Literature

There is very little research on pedagogy within art history education, particularly at the secondary level. Search engine after search engine, library after library, my efforts to find academic, peer-reviewed articles about methods of teaching art history came up short. The College Board did have some helpful publications for AP Art History teachers, such as two teachers’ guides (Hughes, 2007; Darracott, 2009) that showed examples of syllabi, some teaching strategies and activities. There was also a publication entitled “AP Art History: Thematic and Cross-Cultural Approaches” (College Board, 2010) that had some excellent examples of incorporating contemporary art and nonwestern art into the curriculum. However, aside from publications generated by the College Board specifically for AP Art History, there is little to be found in academic journals and studies.

Rather than be discouraged about the dearth of information, I decided to focus on other aspects of my research project: How can using a game in my art history class increase both engagement and learning? This chapter consists of two main areas of research, engagement and gamification. Much research has been done on engagement. In this chapter, I will show why engagement is important and how others have defined it, as well as clearly defining what engagement means to me in my own classroom. The second area of research has to do with gamification, “the process of game-thinking and game mechanics to engage users and solve problems” (Zichermann & Cunningham, 2011). Games have been used in a wide variety of areas to improve skills, engagement, and motivation. I will specifically look at the research about how games have been used in the educational setting to help me learn from others’ mistakes and successes in designing my own unit.
My Own Engagement as a Student

The reason why engagement is of paramount importance to me is because of my own experiences in the art history classroom. I briefly introduced some of these experiences in Chapter 1, but I would like to explain them in more depth. I have found that many of the driving motivators in my own personal teaching philosophy developed, not from my time as a teacher, but from my time as a student. My experiences in my high school art history class versus my college art history class set up a very clear structure that I have been seeking to follow throughout my career.

My high school art history teacher, Mrs. Allsop-Day, is one of the greatest teachers I have ever had. She taught the class in a very organized, intelligent way. We started each unit with Unit Concepts that helped us identify the most important things to know, which was followed with new vocabulary, historical background, and then the works themselves. The majority of class consisted of lecture, as there were vast amounts of information to cover; however, Mrs. Allsop-Day’s lectures were interesting, engaging, and really helped us explore the material. She used discussion format to draw the answers from us and make us think, coming up with our own explanations and conclusions. We became excellent at writing organized, well-supported 5-paragraph essays; more importantly, we learned how to think, analyze, and summarize through our writing. Taking that class unlocked the world for me. Before, I had known bits and pieces about world history, but it was fascinating for me to see how everything fit together and how one event could trigger a domino effect that changed the world forever. I decided that year that I wanted to become an AP Art History teacher, and after years of schooling and work, I am now living that dream.
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My passion for art history was severely challenged when I went to college. There was no undergraduate art history program at the university I attended; however, there were four higher-level art history classes that I was excited to take. That excitement faded quickly when I learned that Mr. Easton’s class (name changed) was very different than Mrs. Allsop-Day. As students, we were left to do our best to take notes while listening to Mr. Easton’s unvarying lecture in his soft, monotonous voice. The lights were off, the slide projector was on, and even I, who was already passionately dedicated to art history, struggled to stay awake. Attendance was a chore for me. The students were never involved in the lecture; oftentimes, it felt like Mr. Easton wouldn’t even notice if no one showed up to class. The only participation required of us was to study our notes and read the textbook. The content was almost all familiar; I had been taught the same information the year before. I think I could have aced his essay tests without studying by simply recalling what Mrs. Allsop-Day had taught. Even though I loved the subject, art history became one of my least favorite classes that I took in college. I have learned that my experience was not unique. After talking to several of my own high school art history students who go on to study art history in college, this has often been their experience as well. I also think I may have been particularly disenchanted after the comparison with Mrs. Allsop-Day’s highly engaging teaching style the year before.

It is interesting to note that the content as well as the teaching method for both teachers was primarily the same. In both classes, the majority of time was spent with lecture. In both classes, we were expected to take notes from the lecture and also from the textbook to master the material. The biggest difference was the teacher and the way that the teacher was able to involve the students in the learning process. In one class I was engaged, in the other I was bored. Mrs. Allsop-Day involved us in the learning process by asking us questions, making us think, varying
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the format with other learning activities, and being a master storyteller. From the contrast of these two classes as well as other personal experiences, I feel that the way a class is taught is much more important for gaining student interest than the subject matter itself. I am not alone, “the evidence suggests that teacher quality is the most important factor in explaining differences in student performance” (Goldhaber, 2002, pp. 1-2). I think perhaps Goldhaber means teaching methods, rather than the unique individual standing at the front of the room to affect student performance. As far as my student performance, I did very well in both classes. However, a score on an essay or an “A” on the report card is not the only indicator of successful student performance. To me, the less tangible, affective goals are even more important; not only do I want my students to know art history, and therefore test well, I want them to actually like it.

The nature of much art history teaching, delivering large amounts of information in a limited time, is unlikely to change significantly; therefore, the inclusion of direct instruction lectures and discussions in the curriculum are indispensable to survey courses, such as AP Art History. Although lectures can be very interesting, especially if delivered in a skillful way, their very nature is focused much more on the teacher than the student. To improve my own teaching practice, I decided to focus on the area that I felt I was the weakest. I wanted to return the learning experiences to the students, to let them be their own teachers and learn by their own discoveries and experiences. Because there has been very little written and published about ways to do this in the art history classroom, I had to come up with this game on my own. However, I was able to learn from researchers in the field about making the classroom more engaging as well as the potential of using games as a learning tool. The rest of this chapter is focused on the conversations happening in research today about these two topics: engagement and games.
What is Engagement?

“Engagement” is not an easy term to define. It is an affective term, implying attitudes, behaviors, and motivations for the student in the learning process. As the pressure for achievement results increases, as indicated in standardized tests, researchers have also been trying to look at what motivations affect student learning and the role that these motivations play in the learning process. One standout researcher is Elaine Chapman, a professor at the University of Western Australia, who has done extensive research about engagement (Chapman, 2003). Chapman goes on to explain that, “While several lines of inquiry have now converged on the conclusion that [student engagement] plays a key role in student learning, findings vary considerably due to differences in definitions and approaches to assessing student engagement levels” (2003, p. 1). I wanted to understand more about what engagement is and how it plays a key role in student learning.

“Engagement” is a broad enough word that it often becomes a catch-all for a wide variety of behaviors. “Student engagement had already gained popularity as a lever for secondary school reform across Canada although the meaning of the term ‘engagement’ in the research literature was fairly ambiguous” (Dunleavy, Milton, & Crawford, 2010, p. 2). Engagement can be used to describe a student’s relationship to a school both in class and in extracurricular activities. It can describe a feeling of belonging; however, it can also describe specific behaviors in a cognitive learning environment only. One aspect that unites many of the definitions and researchers who have investigated engagement is that students feel a connection to the school or subject matter and they have increased motivation to be successful (see Parsons, McRae & Taylor, 2004; Skinner & Belmont, 2003). Student behaviors can be measured to determine engagement, such as participation in extracurricular activities, attendance, graduation rates, etc. Cognitive
engagement can be measured by time-on-task, homework completion, response to challenges in learning, effort directed toward learning, cognition and strategic learning. Social engagement is evident in a sense of belonging, relationships, perception of capacity or success/sense of competence, motivation, interest, need for choice and autonomy (Chapman, 2003).

**Key Researchers in the Field**

Although there are numerous researchers in the field of engagement, Ellen Skinner has made more significant contributions in research. Skinner is one of the most established researchers of engagement. She is particularly interested in the role engagement plays in motivation. In 1993, she surveyed 144 children to study how specific teacher behaviors can affect engagement, particularly concerning the structure, autonomy, and involvement of the classroom in increasing or magnifying engagement. She has also investigated the importance of students feeling a sense of relatedness to their school, teachers, and friends, and how that affects academic achievement (2003). Other studies explore the effects of teacher support and students’ perceptions, particularly about competence, autonomy, and relatedness in helping students feel engaged or disaffected (2008).

A number of researchers in Canada have also researched and published much about engagement. Jim Parsons and Leah Taylor, from the University of Alberta, published a very useful article entitled, “Student Engagement: What do we know and what should we do?” (2011). In this article they define engagement, discuss how to measure engagement, why it is important, and how it can be improved. Another Canadian researcher, Jodene Dunleavy, has launched an initiative called “What did you do in school today?” to measure intellectual engagement and instructional challenges that will help students compete in a 21st century world with 21st century skills. She wrote her dissertation engagement and teacher education (1996), and
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has also examined the PISA (Programme for International Student Assessment) scores for Canada to see how teaching can be improved.

Jon Douglas Willms has also studied the PISA Scores, examining them through the lens of engagement. He wrote *Student Engagement at School: A Sense of Belonging and Participation*, analyzing the results of the 2000 PISA scores, not just for one country but internationally. He investigates different components of engagement, one being a sense of belonging, good relationships with classmates and teachers, another being philosophical engagement, or believing that participating in and succeeding in school is important and will benefit one’s life. He also measures more tangible measures of engagement such as attendance in class. Willms notes that there is great disparity between different countries in levels and engagement, and there is also not a perfect correlation between engagement and literacy success (as measured in the math, science, and reading sections of the test). There are some students who test well but do not feel engaged, and some students who are engaged that do not test well. However, there was a correlation (.5) between a sense of belonging and participation and how well students did in reading, math, and science.

**Why Engagement is Important**

Engagement in the classroom is the result of a partnership between teacher and student in which learning can grow. The teacher must have a relationship with the students and prepare high quality, well-executed lessons and activities that will create a fertile climate in which learning can occur. Hopefully, students will take naturally to this climate so that connections, realizations, and understandings will bloom. Students learn in a variety of ways and for many students, sitting still, listening and taking notes are not the way they learn best (Fleming, 2012). Engagement is a pathway to learning and is critical for, not just memorization, but higher-order
thinking skills to occur. Jones, who has created rubrics to help teachers and administrators assess the level of engagement in their classrooms writes:

Students need to be engaged before they can apply higher order, creative thinking skills. They learn most effectively when the teacher makes sense and meaning of the curriculum material being taught. This can only happen if the teacher has created a safe learning environment that encourages students to meet challenges and apply high rigor skills to real-world, unpredictable situations inside and outside of school. (Jones, 2009, p. 24).

Another set of behaviors I hope my students to exhibit in my own “engaged” classroom is described by Biggs:

…Students engaged in ‘deep learning activities’ are focused not only on substance but also the underlying meaning of the information. They make a personal commitment to understand the material which is reflected in using various strategies such as reading widely, combining a variety or sources, discussing ideas with others, reflecting on how individual pieces of information relate to larger constructs or patterns, and applying knowledge in real-world situations (Biggs, 1989).

I want my students to take charge of their own learning experiences. Students are more motivated to extend themselves and make these personal commitments if they are interested in what they are learning and enjoy the climate of the classroom.

An oft-quoted definition of engagement comes from Skinner & Belmont (1993). They describe engagement this way:

Children who are engaged show sustained behavioral involvement in learning activities accompanied by positive emotional tone. They select tasks at the border of their competences, initiate actions when given the opportunity, exert intense effort and
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concentration in the implementation of learning tasks; they show generally positive emotions during ongoing action, including enthusiasm, optimism, curiosity, and interest (p. 572).

This shows not only academic indicators of engagement, but also behavioral indicators. They are happy to come to class, excited about what we are learning about. They express enthusiasm and curiosity, and because of these positive emotions, they are willing to put forth the work to cement it into their memory.

**How Does One Measure Engagement?**

When designing my unit on Ancient Rome, I wanted to make sure that I could measure different levels of engagement. I wanted to see if my students were actively participating in the game, attending class, and completing their work. I wanted to measure whether they could remember the information I was trying to teach as well as accomplishing my other learning objectives. I wanted my students to be able to apply higher-order critical thinking skills such as understanding, analysis, evaluation, and judgment. I was able to see if my students had mastered these objectives based on participation in class, comments offered, questions asked, as well as the quality and competence with which they complete their homework assignments and on the final test.

In addition to these cognitive goals, I was also looking to measure affective behaviors such as enthusiasm, optimism, curiosity, and interest (Skinner and Belmont, 1993). These behaviors were observed in their nonverbal communications, such as facial expressions, posture, alertness, as well as verbal. I wanted to address these questions: Are they excited and enthusiastic or do they look bored, like they are going through the motions? Do they seem genuinely interested and excited to learn? Are they happy to come to class? Do they choose to
actively participate or do they hold back and wait for the teacher to bring them into the discussion? My plans to measure engagement are thoroughly discussed and outlined in Chapter 3. The results of the study, how it actually went in class is explained in Chapter 4.

**What Activities Does Research Say Will Increase Engagement?**

Both Parsons & Taylor (2011) as well as Brewster & Fager (2000), composed lists of activities a teacher can do in order to increase engagement in a classroom. Parsons & Taylor compiled their list from Windham (2005), Willms (2003, 2007, 2009), Claston (2007), Hay (2000), Dunleavy & Milton (2009) to name a few. Brewster and Fager compiled their list from research done mainly by Ames (1992) and Anderman & Midgley (1998). After researching these varied lists, I incorporated parts from both Parsons & Taylor as well as Brewster & Fager directly into the design of my game, and I will discuss these further in Chapter 4. Some things that both lists had in common were the following:

1. Interaction between members of the class: teacher to student as well as student to student.
   a. In my classroom, having students play as partners and having them competing with the other students in the class greatly increases the amount of interaction they have with each other.

2. Real world application. Students are more engaged with the subject material when they recognize how it applies to their daily lives.
   a. I tried to make the world of Ancient Rome applicable to them by helping them make connections between Roman cities and government and modern cities and government.
3. Autonomy. Students become more invested when they feel that they have control over what they are learning. This can be applied not only in the classroom but also in the workplace (Pink, 2011).

   a. In Civitas, students are able to make choices about what they want to buy and how they want to build their city. They become the architects of their game, and therefore, their curriculum.

4. Engaging and Challenging curriculum. A well-designed curriculum should challenge the students, but not overwhelm them; best learning happens when these two find a balance called Flow (Czikszentmihalyi, 1990).

   a. In my game, students had to problem-solve and then face the consequences of their decisions. The game is complex enough to challenge them and make it interesting with the variety of choices; however, it is not so complex that it is overwhelming and they want to give up.

Other suggestions were to incorporate multi-media technology. I did this by incorporating a video, having the students interact through their phones and an overhead projector, as well as having students research on the Internet at home about their own cities today. Authentic assessment was another component I was able to incorporate. The students had immediate feedback through the action rounds about their choices and used this feedback to make changes to improve as the game progressed.

The focus of these activities is not merely on covering content. It is not about repetition, rote memorization, or practicing skills, although these are important parts of education needed for some subjects. The difference is that the activities listed above focus on the relationships that students have with each other and with the subject matter. The most engaging teaching inspires
students to connect what they are learning to the real world and makes them interested enough that they will want to continue this learning on their own. This type of curriculum develops deeper thinking and problem-solving, giving students tools that they will face in a wide variety of different situations. According to the research, this is the best way to teach and to learn; however, it is often difficult to find a format that will apply these activities in the classroom.

Why Games?

As I was trying to come up with ways to make my art history classroom more engaging, the idea of using a game seemed natural and appropriate. Although I am not a big video “gamer,” I have always loved to play games, particularly board games with my friends and family. With cell phone technology advancements, it is now also common to play games even while away from home. How much time do Americans, particularly teenagers the age of my students, collectively spend playing games? Consider this fact:

The Entertainment Software Association (www.theesa.com/facts/gamer_data.php) has reported that more than 200 million hours are spent each day playing computer and video games in the U.S. Indeed, by age 21, the average American has spent more than 10,000 hours playing such games- equivalent to five years of working a full-time job 40 hours a week (von Ahn, 2008, p.58).

This is such a staggering investment in time. What is so compelling about games that people sacrifice so much of their life to play them? What are they learning? I would like to repeat a quote that I introduced in Chapter 1, by Jane McGonigal, in her TED talk- that has 2.7 million views:

10,000 hours is a really interesting number for two reasons: first of all, for children in the United States, 10,080 hours is the exact amount of time you will spend in school from 5th
grade to high school graduation if you have perfect attendance. So we have an entire parallel track of education going on where young people are learning as much about what it takes to be a good gamer as learning about everything else in school (McGonigal, 2010).

The other connection that she makes is that in Malcolm Gladwell’s book, *Outliers*, (Gladwell, 2008), he makes a case based on cognitive-science research that anyone that spends 10,000 hours doing anything before the age of 21 will be a virtuoso, a master that can compete with anyone in the world.

This has huge implications. Obviously, there is something extremely attractive about games or else there would not be such a drastic investment of time spent playing them. People are not forced to play games, they play them because they choose to; in many cases, they’re addicted. So how can the qualities that make them so fun, so addicting, so engaging be applied to other fields such as education? What is this power and could it be used not just for entertainment, but for learning as well? Wouldn’t it be amazing if those 10,000 hours spent playing games could be teaching them the skills and knowledge that could help them be successful for the future?

**What is Gamification?**

Games have been around for millennia, and yet today games seem to have become ubiquitous. With the development of technology, most of us have games at the tips of our fingertips any time we want. They seem to engage us when we most need it.

Games are incredibly appealing. They engage players because they provide an environment and a context in which actions provide direct feedback and lead to direct consequences. They can provide a realistic context in which actions and tasks can be
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practiced. Games create a surrogate for actual experiences that provide rich learning opportunities (Kruse, 2012).

There are some key points highlighted in this paragraph. Although the world in which a game is played is not real, the learning that comes from playing the game is real. Games give immediate feedback where mistakes can be learned from and where the challenges never stop. Games are fun, and they can teach valuable skills such as critical thinking and problem solving.

To capture the appeal of games, to make even the most mundane tasks into a fun competition, people have applied the concept of games to many other domains than just entertainment. This is called *gamification*, or “the process of game-thinking and game mechanics to engage users and solve problems” (Zichermann & Cunningham, 2011). “Game thinking” and “game mechanics” have been applied to tasks as varied as training Navy pilots how to fly, selling cups of coffee, and helping children learn how to read.

For educational purposes, it is useful to see how these definitions can apply to learning environments and the classroom.

The solution for incorporating the engaging aspects of games into the larger curriculum of an organization is the application of the concept of gamification. Gamification is using game-based mechanics, aesthetics, and game thinking to engage people, motivate action, promote learning, and solve problems (Kapp, 2012a, p. 66).

In another definition, Kapp states that, “Gamification is using game-based mechanics, aesthetics, and game thinking to engage people, motivate action, promote learning, and solve problems” (Kapp, 2012b, p. 10)

Key words in all of these definitions are *engage, motivate, learning,* and *problem-solving,* exactly the characteristics that any teacher would like to see in the classroom. All the hours
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playing games might not necessarily be a bad thing. Perhaps they are training a whole new generation to be optimistic about solving problems, to think on their feet when in a tough situation, how to work with others in a group to tackle a challenge, just as they do every day in their video games. Compared to the exciting world of a game, school can be a stark contrast.

**School Can Be Boring**

I consider myself to be a pretty fun teacher that teaches pretty fun classes, art and art history. And yet, on almost a daily basis I see kids glazing over, zoned out, sneaking a peak below their desks to see who sent a text, or sometimes even falling asleep. As Marc Prensky put it, the group of students we are now teaching are “digital natives” (Prensky, 2001). To them, compared to the world of Facebook™, Xbox™, and Netflix™, school is just plain boring. In comparing students today to the late 1960s when he first started teaching, he says:

The big difference from today is this: the kids back then didn’t expect to be engaged by everything they did. There were no video games, no CDs, no MP3s- none of today’s special effects… Many [of the earlier kids] never even knew what real engagement feels like… But today, all kids do. All the students we teach have something in their lives that’s really engaging- something that they do and that they are good at, something that has an engaging, creative, component to it… Except in school. And there it is so boring that the kids used to this other life just can’t stand it (Prensky, 2005, pp. 60-62).

Prensky’s research is not undisputed- there are many that disagree with his “digital native” claims, that he is creating a ‘moral panic’ and this topic needs clear rational research rather than a clanging of alarms (see Bennett, 2012). However, Prensky does raise a good point. The Millennial generation students that are in today’s schools have so many different things
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competing for their attention. Perhaps teachers, rather than seeing games as competition, can instead incorporate games into the classroom as a vehicle for teaching curriculum.

Prensky concludes his, sometimes dire, denouncements with some words of hope of changes in education.

We have to find how to present our curricula in ways that engage our students- not just to create new ‘lesson plans,’ not even just to put the curriculum online… As with games, we need to fund, experiment, and iterate. Can we afford it? Yes, because ironically, creating engagement is not about those fancy, expensive graphics but rather about ideas. Sure today’s video games have the best graphics ever, but kids’ long-term engagement in a game depends much less on what they see than on what they do and learn (Prensky, 2005, p. 64).

I agree with Prensky. This is a new generation of students, and traditional methods of direct instruction with little thinking or interaction on the part of the students, need to be adapted. The current generation has had more access to entertainment than any generation previously. Technology, phones, televisions, and music all constantly compete for students’ attention. Although students must still have personal responsibility to study and work hard, contemporary culture has made them different than previous generations. The best teachers must recognize that they learn differently than previous students, and adapt the educational system. Students today need teachers that will challenge them to think, interact, be creative, and problem-solve. The traditional methods of rote memorization and regurgitating the facts that the teacher dispenses does offer some general knowledge, but wouldn’t time be better spent teaching students to love learning and be autonomous thinkers, rather than forcing them to memorize facts they could look up instantly on Google?
Tony Wagner, head of the Change Leadership Group at the Harvard School of Education, has written extensively about how the education system needs to give students the skills to survive in today’s work environment. He makes an excellent point in the following quote, “Teachers understand that kids want to be entertained more than they want to be bored, but what many teachers don’t understand is that students today want to be challenged even more than they want to be entertained” (Young, 2010, p. 5). Gamification in the classroom is not about teachers spending all of their time just trying to entertain students with a dog and pony show. There must be a balance between education and entertainment. Students who are bored will not be engaged in the curriculum; at the same time, the curriculum must be challenging and substantial, expanding the students’ minds and demanding them to think critically. Changing the student through the power of education is infinitely more important than merely keeping their attention. Gamification is about generating ways to involve the naturally engaging and motivating aspects of playing games into the process of learning. It isn’t about giving out badges and assigning points to a competition. It is about creating an environment in which students can feel that they have an active role in the learning process, where they have to constantly interact with changing circumstances, where they can receive instant feedback when they try something new, and where they have the opportunity to win. Don’t we all want students to feel that they are winners in the game of learning?

**What Does the Research Say About Playing Games?**

Much research has been done on games and the effectiveness of using games for training and education. Kapp has done a masterful job of sifting through the research to identify some of the most important studies.
There are literally thousands of books, articles, and newspaper reports on the effectiveness of games and gamification. Some of the reporting is based on theoretical underpinnings, some of it is based on opinion, and some of it is based on wishful thinking. To separate the conjecture from research-supported evidence, researchers look for empirical studies published in peer-reviewed journals. Research results published in peer-reviewed journals generally need to be clearly reported, easily reproducible, and pass review and scrutiny by fellow researchers (Kapp, 2012, p. 76).

Limiting research to empirically-based studies that have been published in peer-reviewed journals limits the number of studies by quite a bit, although it does increase the quality and reliability of the research. The key researchers and findings that Kapp felt were most important are summarized in the following paragraphs:

**Randel’s meta-analysis.** From 67 studies examined, Randel found that 56 percent showed no difference between games and conventional instruction and that 32 percent favored games, while 5 percent favored conventional instruction. Games are rated as more interesting than conventional instruction (p. 78).

**Wolfe’s meta-analysis.** From seven studies, based specifically on computer-based business games to teach strategic management, game-based approach produced significant knowledge-level increases over the conventional case-based teaching methods (pp. 78, 80).

**Hays’ meta-analysis.** From 105 studies examined, he found that much game research is fragmented, all different subjects, age groups, and learning situations were tested, and general conclusions cannot be made based on specific studies. However, from all of the studies he did find the following information. An instructional game will only be effective if it is designed to meet specific instructional objectives and used as it was intended. Instructional games should be
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embedded in instructional programs that include debriefing and feedback. Instructional support
to help learners understand how to use the game increases instructional effectiveness of the
gaming experience. Instructional designers are needed to design games (pp. 78, 80-82).

**Vogel’s meta-analysis.** From 32 studies, Vogel found that higher cognitive gains were observed in subjects utilizing interactive simulations or games versus traditional teaching methods, although simulations yielded a stronger result. Students have better attitudes toward learning when compared to traditional teaching methods. He also found that the level of quality of the graphics in the computer program does not seem to have an impact (pp. 82-83).

**Ke’s qualitative meta-analysis.** From 89 research articles that provided empirical data about computer-based instructional games, Ke’s goal was to determine the cumulative qualitative and quantitative evidence for using computer games for learning and what factors weigh in on the effective application of instructional gaming. She found that the effects of computer-based games are positive as compared to conventional instruction. She also found that instructional support features are a necessary part of instructional computer games and when support is present the studies indicate significant positive results. In contrast, learners without instructional support in a game will learn to play the game rather than learning domain-specific knowledge embedded in the game. Also, instructional games seem to foster higher-order thinking such as planning and reasoning more than factual or verbal knowledge. Instructional computer games also seem to facilitate motivation across different learner groups and learning situations (pp. 83-85).

**Sitzmann’s meta-analysis.** From 65 studies, Sitzmann (2011) found that trainees gain higher confidence in applying learning from a training session to their jobs when the training is simulation-game based. Trainees participating in simulation game learning experiences have
higher declarative knowledge, procedural knowledge, and retention of training material than those trainees participating in more traditional learning experiences. He also found that simulation games do not have to be entertaining to be educational. The research indicated that trainees learned the same amount of information in simulation games whether the games were ranked high in entertainment value or low in entertainment value. Trainees learn more from simulation games that actively engage trainees in learning rather than in passively conveying the instructional material.

Conclusions about research studies. Research indicates that games do, in fact, improve learning (Randel, Wolfe, Vogel, Ke, and Sitzman). One key point that is constantly repeated is the need for deliberate instructional design for specific objectives, and that it should be embedded in programs that include structure and feedback. In many of the studies it was found that it was not the game, itself, that provided the learning, but the embedded instruction setting up the game, during the game, and after the game (Hays, Ke). Students left to just play the game only learned how to play the game; instructional support was important for solidifying learning targets (Ke). Games were more engaging and motivational than regular instruction (Randel, Vogel, Ke, Sitzmann). Students learned more from simulations and games than regular instruction (Randel, Wolfe, Vogel, Ke, Sitzmann), although the learning was improved when supplemented with specific instruction (Hays). This research shows, empirically, that games improve learning.

How Are Games Being Used in the Classroom?

Play is one of the primary ways that children learn. It is a fundamental way to acquire knowledge about the world around them. Unfortunately, at some point, it was decided that play is for children and learning is a serious business. Schools were formed that regimented
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instruction (Sheldon, 2012). However, some schools, such as the Montessori Schools, have structured their curriculum to specifically encourage children to continue to learn through play. “Children have a natural way of interacting with the world around them that promotes learning and mastery. It’s simple: put a group of kids in a room filled with creative supplies and resources, and get out of their way” (Dignan, 2011). The teacher acts as a guide to be a resource for students; however, students are free to explore whatever interests them. Although there are many Montessori schools throughout the world and particularly in North America, this method is definitely more of an exception than a rule.

Although “educators for years have devised their own ways of retaining a sense of play in the classroom” (Sheldon, p. 14), educational games did not take the step into video or computer games until 1965 when the first personal computers were invented. The field was new and, at first, there was far more focus on education than on entertainment. “[These earlier computer games] were little more than electronic versions of coursework with furry critters as teachers. In most cases, they were meant to supplement, not supplant, classroom curricula. But soon a new type of software appeared on the scene. It was called edutainment software. Edutainment is a marriage of education and game-play that can be experienced without supervision” (p. 15). The sheer novelty of playing with a computer was probably enough to entice kids to play at first; however, they soon figured out that if a game is not purposely designed to be fun, then it is not fun.

This is the tricky part: it is very difficult to find the right balance between education and entertainment. If education is the primary focus, there is great risk of it being boring and kids disengage, especially when compared to the other games designed with the sole purpose of being
fun. If one of the major purposes of a game is to increase motivation and engagement because kids want to play, then, obviously, it has to have an element of fun.

Gabe Zichermann and Christopher Cunningham, in their book, *Gamification by Design*, write as a chapter subheading, “Fun Is Job #1.” They point out that the last big educational hit in 20 years was *Where in the World Is Carmen Sandiego®?* So why have there not been more hits? Because the games produced in the last twenty years have had primarily education in mind, without considering how important entertainment is in order for the students to want to play. In contrast, games aligning education and entertainment like *Civilization* and *SimCity* have taught millions of people history lessons and the basics of urban planning. However, “These are not pedagogical games. They weren’t designed to be educational. But they use history and real city schema as a backdrop to explain ideas; thus, education became a byproduct of fun” (Zichermann & Cunningham, 2011). Without the entertainment factor, educational goals will never be met. Why? Simply put, because the students will not want to play.

The problem is balance. If the game is purely for entertainment, it risks losing the educational aspect and achieving learning objectives. Particularly in an art history classroom, where there are hundreds of works to study and every second is needed to cover content, just playing games to entertain students is not a good use of time. So how are teachers using games in a way that does engage students while remaining true to the academic integrity of the class?

There are many teachers who are doing this. Lee Sheldon is a professional game designer that has written and developed over 20 commercial video game and MMOs (massively multiplayer online games). In 2006, he joined the world of academia at Carnegie-Mellon University to teach students about how to design and create their own games. Sheldon decided to do something that, for him, must have seemed very logical and intuitive. He made his entire class
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He became the Game Master and his students were charged to work their way through different levels (point amounts that translated to letter grades.) His course was about how to design video games, so he decided to set up the structure of the class like a video game as well. “Taking quizzes and the midterm exam became ‘defeating monsters.’ Writing papers became ‘crafting.’ Class presentations where students presented the day a reading assignment became ‘quests’” (Sheldon, 2012).

In his book, *The Multiplayer Classroom*, he describes his initial ideas and processes of setting up his classroom, setbacks, triumphs, and changes to be made. However, even during the initial semester, where there was much trial and error and adaptability, he had tremendously positive feedback about the format. Students felt it was engaging and motivated them to do their projects, although they did have suggestions for improvement. Some quotes from his students were, “The learning environment for this course was really fun and entertaining.” “I like the video game feel of the class. Forming the guilds and working within them to complete tasks was fun. I learned a lot more about video games now than before” (pp. 46-47). They also recognized that it was a real-life application to what they were studying. Sheldon also included eight different case studies that showed how other teachers across the country are integrating gamification into their classrooms.

One of the teachers that Sheldon studied was Denishia Buchanan, a Biology teacher in Arkansas. She notes that 80% of her students fall below the poverty line and typically do not perform well in school, with many failing to graduate. An avid gamer, she decided to change her classroom into a multiplayer game called “Biology Quest.” Students needed to reach different levels by gaining experience points by completing various assignments. Students are also rewarded “Biology Bucks” that can be used to buy classroom supplies and even hall passes. She
collected data on her experiment and has shown remarkable success. In December of 2009, 62% of her sophomores were passing with a D or higher. Each quarter the students take an End of Course Practice Test. Students must score 60% to be considered proficient in Biology. In December of 2009, students scored 31% proficient or advanced. In December of 2010 showed that 81% of students were scoring proficient or advanced. The difference was the changing of her format to a game (Sheldon, pp. 49-56).

I did not find any publications that contained research about art history games, specifically. However, in conferences, and trainings that I have had about AP Art History, many of the demonstrators used games in art history to make the class more engaging. For example, John Gunnin (2009) created a power point that had details of a “Last Judgment” tympanum of the Romanesque cathedral, St. Foy in Conques, France. Students had to do a matching game to try to figure out which slide corresponded to the “sinners” that he had listed out on a piece of paper. All of the games that I have heard of other teachers using in their art history classrooms are quick, less than 30-minute, learning activities. I have not run across anyone else who used a game to structure an entire unit.

**Incorporating Research into My Own Unit**

There is little published about research being conducted in the secondary art history classroom; however, I was still able find research studies in the two areas of engagement and gamification. These two really work together hand-in-glove as games, if incorporated with skill and clear objectives, are a great way to increase engagement in the classroom. Although the definition of what engagement looks like varies from researcher to researcher, for me, it means that my students are intellectually involved, physically alert, and emotionally connected to the
subject matter. Developing my own game for my specific classroom helped to increase this engagement in my classroom.

By researching previous studies about engagement (Skinner & Belmont, 1993), I was able to develop a clear definition in my own mind as to what it would look like with my own students. I was also able to borrow techniques for measuring and analyzing engagement (see Poulson, 1996; Jones, 2009) to adapt my own methods. I was also able to clearly identify activities to increase engagement (Parsons & Taylor, 2011; Brewster & Fager, 2000) and incorporate those into my own learning activities.

Likewise, I found research about gamification in the classroom extremely helpful. By reading Kapp’s summaries (2012) of Randel, Wolfe, Vogel, Ke, and Sitzman, I was able to make a plan to capitalize on the best practices of others who have used games in the classroom such as having clear learning objectives and introducing as well as reflecting on the game. Research shows that games themselves don’t contribute overwhelmingly positive correlations to learning. Much depends on the preparation of the teacher to help students make their own connections to the subject matter.

I was able to gain many ideas that I have tried to incorporate into my own classroom, not just in art history but also in my studio art classes, about how I can structure more of my classroom to be a game. It was very inspiring and helpful to read the examples in Lee Sheldon’s book (2012) about how games can be incorporated practically, and to learn from their own strengths and weaknesses. Researching gamification has really opened up my mind as a teacher and helped me understand that games can be a very powerful tool for learning. It is something that I hope to expand in my lessons in every subject I teach.
While secondary art history education is still a territory that has only begun to be explored through publication and research, I was glad that there were these other varied facets of my thesis that I was able to learn about and incorporate into my teaching. I hope that the publication of this thesis will begin a much-needed conversation about increasing engagement in the art history classroom and about the possibilities of games in the classroom.
Chapter 3: Methodology

Before learning about research methodologies, I did not know that, as a teacher, much of my practice already follows the steps of action research. Action research helps me identify problems I would like to investigate in my classroom, come up with a plan to better understand the problem, gather data, analyze it, and use the analysis to make improvements. As a teacher, I am constantly trying to evaluate the effectiveness of my teaching as well as what my students are learning. I decide which learning activities worked well and which did not, and modify my lessons in the future to improve my practice. However, prior to doing this particular research project, I had never taken the time to plan out so carefully exactly what actions I was going to take to measure and analyze my teaching.

Typically the process for my teaching is relatively simple. I determine my objectives, plan my learning activities, teach my unit, gauge the reactions of my students, and make some mental notes and adjustments. I use formative and summative assessments to see how well the students perform, but I seldom use multiple sources of data to triangulate my conclusions, making sure that my findings are accurate and viable. Teaching for me is very intuitive, led by my perceptions and feelings rather than concrete observations of data. Through this research process, I had to plan everything out. I went through the same process, but with a much clearer picture. Every step was formulated to help me find specific answers to my questions. I also planned out what I would measure and how I would analyze this information to make sure these answers were accurate and informed. I found this experience enlightening and empowering- I can back up what I learned with more than just a gut feeling and a few test scores.

One of the most important parts of this cycle was taking a deeper look at my praxis, the processes and theories behind my learning activities. My questions turned from “What should I
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do?” to “Why am I doing this?” I deeply pondered why information about a culture 2000 years ago is important and how it applies to my students’ lives today. Specifically for this unit, how the information was being taught—through the use of a game—was also of critical importance. Would I be able to teach in a new way, using the game to make the subject engaging for my students but also educational?

This chapter seeks to define action research, show a brief history of action research, and includes why action research is the most appropriate research strategy for me. Rather than using broader, more empirical forms of research, I chose action research because it helps me answer questions about my specific class, teaching a specific subject, to a specific group of students. In this chapter, I will outline the steps I took to execute my research, including my plans for analyzing the data collected.

Action research is a continuous cycle. The answers gathered through my research did not become an end of my understanding, but rather a pause for reflection to address new questions that arose in their place.

What is Action Research?

Action research is a research strategy that gives the researcher a great deal of freedom to investigate teaching problems and practices that arise in a specific environment, namely, the teacher’s own classroom. Put simply, an action researcher first identifies a problem and then decides on an appropriate action to address the problem. Then the researcher executes this action while recording data to create accurate and valid interpretations. After that, the researcher analyzes the data and evaluates whether the plan was successful, as well as identifying what still needs to be improved. Daniel R. Tomal (2010) defines it this way, “In action research, the researcher is concerned with using a systematic process in solving education problems and
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making improvements. The researcher utilizes appropriate interventions to collect and analyze data and then to implement actions to address educational issues” (p. 14). Richard Sagor (1992), in his book How to Conduct Collaborative Action Research, “Your goal is to understand what is happening in your school or classroom and to determine what might improve things in that context” (p. 8). As a teacher and action researcher, my problem was how to make my art history class more engaging. Therefore, I came up with a plan of activities to increase student engagement, and then analyzed my data to see if this plan was successful. This analysis helped me to recognize the strengths and limitations of my plan, as well as helping me make better plans for the future.

To further clarify the process of action research, Jean McNiff and Jack Whitehead (2010), who have been publishing about action research for over thirty years, wrote in their book, You and Your Action Research Project:

Action research is about two things: action (what you do) and research (how you learn about and explain what you do). The action aspect of action research is about improving practice. The research aspect is about creating knowledge about practice. The knowledge created is your knowledge of your practice (p. 5).

The word emphasized is practice. Action research is a highly effective methodology to help educators improve their own practice in their own classroom. Other research and broader theories can be used to inform practice and set up a theoretical framework; however, the nuts and bolts of the research come from implementing a strategy to address a specific problem for a specific class and a specific time and location. McNiff and Whitehead clarify that “it is insider researcher, not outsider research, which means that the researcher is inside the situation, and will inevitably influence what is happening by their presence” (p. 18).
Action Research Informs Praxis

Because good educators are constantly recognizing problems in the classroom, developing plans to fix these problems, and then implementing those plans, one might wonder how action research differs from good educational practice? McNiff and Whitehead suggest that action research goes beyond just action. This methodology “is about showing that claims to improved practice must be interrogated and justified, and is about praxis. Praxis is informed, committed action that gives rise to knowledge as well as successful action” (p. 20). In my regular classroom, I am problem-solving all the time; however, I am not always taking the extra step to collect accurate data to help me know whether my conclusions are accurate. By making data collection a priority and triangulating to draw conclusions from more than one source, a researcher’s evaluations can be justified. Moreover, this data can often give insight to the deeper roots of the problem.

**Deeper analysis of data.** Action research requires more than making mental notes or getting general feedback from the students about the success of a lesson; this is often the only evaluation that I use in my regular teaching. Mental notes can easily be forgotten or changed, and quick formal assessments can be inconclusive and invalid. The actions of the researcher must be recorded carefully and analyzed in an unbiased way to draw valid, accurate conclusions that will inform teaching practice (Tomal, 2010, pp. 91-98). This gives the researcher confidence that conclusions drawn are well-informed and can be shared to contribute to the larger educational community. I am confident that I will continue this process throughout the rest of my teaching career.

**Reflection of practice.** I found that another benefit of doing action research was the opportunity to deeply reflect about my primary goals as a teacher. It is easy to get in a rut as a
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teacher. When the presentations are prepared, the handouts are printed, and the test is written, it
takes individual commitment to rethink everything and try something new, in search of a better
way. In years past, I had taught Ancient Rome by showing video clips and lecturing. My students
seemed to like these methods and learn the subject matter, but I wanted to have my students
more involved in the learning process, rather than have the unit consist entirely of direct
instruction. By going through the process of action research, I had to rethink not only what I was
going to teach, but how I was going to teach it. Perhaps even more importantly, I had to justify
why any of this should matter. Why is learning about a civilization 2000 years old important for a
teenager living in 2012? And would changing how I teach art history make my students care
more about the subject?

I like the “doing” aspect of action research. “The teacher is in the midst of a group of
children, and is doing: taking action, making things happen. The point of all this is [that] we
teachers benefit from paying more careful attention both to what we say and do, and how these
two elements work together”(Hobson, 8-9). Hobson was correct. I found that through my own
actions, records, and reflections, my teaching did improve. I do pay more attention to what I say
and do in the classroom, and I have concrete measures that can help me determine what effect it
has in my classroom.

Where Did Action Research Come From?

John Dewey. To trace the evolution of action research, one must look at the theories of
philosopher and educational reformer, John Dewey. Dewey believed that the best educational
research should be done by the teachers themselves (McLean, 1995). As Dewey (1929) put it in
his Sources of a Science Education book, “Each day of teaching ought to enable the teacher to
revise and better in some respects the objectives aimed at in previous work… Education is a
mode of life, of action. [It] renders those who engage in the act more intelligent, more thoughtful, more aware of what they are about” (pp. 74, 75-76). Dewey developed a scientific method of problem solving. Perhaps even more importantly, he was an early voice validating that a teacher’s reflections on his/her own experiences in the classroom are one of the best ways to improve teaching practices (Tomal, p. 13).

Kurt Lewin. The father of action research is Kurt Lewin (1946). Lewin was a German social psychologist that first coined the term “action research” in the 1940s. According to McNiff (1988), “[Lewin] was keen to study social issues ... and also to provide people with an instrument to study their own relationships. He felt that the best way to move people forward was to engage them in their own enquiries into their own lives” (p. 22). “He felt that action research programs were crucial in addressing social change issues and making social improvements” (Tomal, p.14). His ideas did not spread immediately, but he is the one credited with developing action research as a specific methodology, using the cycle of inquiry to create social change. This methodology was later adopted by businesses and educational facilities in the 1970s, not as a method of creating social improvement, but to improve effectiveness within the organization (p.14).

Lawrence Stenhouse. Lawrence Stenhouse (see 1975, 1983, 1985), who became the director of the Centre for Applied Research in Education (CARE), reinvigorated the action research movement in Great Britain in the late 1960s and early 1970s. Rather than using action research for social change or effectiveness in a business, he saw the potential for action research in education. He championed that some of the best research in educational science should come from teachers, not just expert researchers who come in from the outside to observe the classroom (McNiff, 1988, p. 25). Later, John Elliott worked with Stenhouse and founded the Classroom
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Action Research Network (CARN) in 1976 until 1985. Elliott invited teachers and researchers to share their views in conferences and other publications, and he also developed an elaborate schema outlining his own action research model (McNiff, 1988, p.29). “Elliott has since been influential in promoting interpretive approaches to action research, in which a researcher observes practitioners doing their action research and offers description and explanations for what they are doing in the form of the researcher’s propositional theories” (McNiff & Whitehead, p. 26).

Jack Whitehead. Another significant contributor to the field has been Jack Whitehead (see 1991, 2004, 2008, 2010) at the University of Bath. Whitehead was concerned that Elliott and others were using action research only as an academic exercise and losing touch with educational practice. He strongly advocated for the teacher-advocate to be put back at the center of inquiry. “Whitehead has been influential in promoting living approaches, by which individuals research their own practices and offer descriptions and explanations for what they are doing in the form of their own living theories of practice” (p. 26). Whitehead has tried to place action research as a tool that should be used mostly in the hands of the teachers themselves, without the rigidity of academics and theoreticians that Elliott was so fond of.

Jean McNiff. One of Whitehead’s best students, Jean McNiff, is still publishing about action research today and has had a very profound effect on helping educational action research, as opposed to other approaches of action research, gain validity and relevance in academia. In McNiff’s first 1988 publication, Action Research: Principles and Practice, she simplified the elaborate schema of Stenhouse, Elliott, as well as Stephen Kemmis and Dave Ebbutt, into a simple spiral. The simplified shape of the spiral, she felt, addressed the essential core of action research, but also allowed for greater adaptability. Her three steps were to plan, act, and then
observe. However, in its cyclical shape, she emphasizes that the observations will then lead to a new plan that will then be acted upon and observed; the cycle is endless (McNiff, p. 44).

Some scholars dismiss action research because its broad, empirical conclusions cannot be made. They fail to see that the power of action research is in helping inform a researcher about a specific environment, the classroom. The rise and validation of action research a welcome trend in education. The more teachers use action research in their classrooms, the more the quality of education will rise.

**Why Action Research is Appropriate for Me**

Action research is the most appropriate methodology for me to conduct this research project because it allows me to see what I would like to improve in my classroom, it allows me to formulate a plan of action, and then it allows me to measure the effectiveness of these actions in regards to addressing the initial problem. Although I hope that my research will contribute knowledge and even inspiration for other teachers- some that teach art history or some that want to use games to increase engagement- my research was primarily conducted to inform my own practice. I did look at broad research studies about games in the classroom and engagement to help me formulate my own action plan, but my questions were concerned about a very specific audience and environment. As far as the research is focused on my actions as a teacher, action research is highly appropriate and effective.

**Plans for Data Collection and Analysis**

In my thesis, my primary objective was to increase engagement in my art history classroom. My hypothesis was that using a game to reinforce what they are learning helps students be more engaged. However, a large part of the experiment was in the balance between making the game entertaining, but also making the game educational so that it met my other
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learning objectives. Typically I use direct instruction in my classes because it covers information in a short amount of time. My experiment was to see if the game could teach my kids the things I needed them to know, at the same time making my classroom more exciting and engaging. I wanted to make this Ancient Rome unit come to life for my students.

Engagement and Learning. To measure the success of this unit, I measured two things: engagement and evidences of learning. In Chapter 1, I have defined engagement as quoted in other sources, and I have also set up my own definition of what engagement in the classroom means to me. I have created a checklist of specific behaviors that show verbal and nonverbal cues that students are engaged. I collected this data by filming the class and filling out a log recording measurable behaviors, such as comments the students make in class, whether the students are actively participating in the activities, etc. I also triangulated this data with my own field notes recorded in a journal after teaching each class, as well as having students complete a free-response survey to gain more insight into how they felt about the unit.

Table 1

Learning Objectives for Ancient Rome Unit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evidences of Engagement (Engagement Objectives)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Students will be more engaged in the learning process, as evidenced by cognitive, behavioral, and affective measures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Students will work together in partners and have to function as a team.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Students will develop their problem-solving (building a city) skills.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Students will learn to become independent learners and research topics on their own.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evidences of Learning (Cognitive Objectives)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Students will understand the major events and figures of Roman History.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Students will learn with the principal works of architecture in a Roman City, including some of the most important monuments of Rome.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Students will gain an appreciation for the administrative abilities of Rome by building their own Roman city.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Students will understand the role of local government as it applied back in Rome and as it applies today.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Students will understand their own role as citizens in their local government.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Students will understand the core values of the Romans and how they captured these values in their artwork.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Students will develop their ability to communicate about art history by writing thesis-driven, organized, well-written essays.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Students will learn to become independent learners and research topics on their own.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I was also able to analyze how well my learning objectives were met. For example, I wanted to know if my students had memorized the dates, names, and vocabulary they need to be familiar with. This can be easily assessed with multiple choice, short answer, and slide ID questions on a test. More importantly, I wanted to know if they were making deeper connections and understanding more sophisticated concepts. For example, I wanted my students to recognize that building an empire is about much more than having a strong military to conquer peoples; part of the genius of Rome was in their ability to build and administrate new cities, creating structures to address all of their needs, socially, physically, spiritually, and mentally. I wanted my students to encounter some of the problems that Romans would have had in creating new cities, and how the Roman city became the blueprint that is used in our own cities today. Understanding these concepts, applying how modern cities are patterned after Roman cities, analyzing the purpose and needs for each building, and creating their own Roman cities are all higher-order thinking skills in Bloom’s Taxonomy (1956). Higher-order thinking skills are more difficult to assess, but I was able to measure student learning by recording and analyzing conversations in class, analyzing their homework responses, and evaluating their essays addressing these kinds of issues.

Plans to Measure Engagement

Direct observation through video. Direct observation was my primary form of data collection for student engagement, using a video recording of my classes. My class connects students at different schools within the district by using video and microphones so that we can interact through video conferencing. We use video on a daily basis. The Utah Education Network is the entity that records the class and gives access to the video on a password protected website.
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It is recorded on the IP VCR for 30 days and then is taken off; however, for that particular unit, I recorded the video onto a DVD so I could have a more permanent record for my data collection.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evidences of Engagement (Engagement Objectives)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Students will be more engaged in the learning process, as evidenced by cognitive, behavioral, and affective measures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Students will work together in partners and have to function as a team.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Students will develop their problem-solving (building a city) skills.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Students will learn to become independent learners and research topics on their own.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By analyzing this video, I was able to observe my students’ behaviors, looking for specific examples of engagement. Because my students are filmed every time we have class, they behaved in a very natural and comfortable way. I adapted an example of a document found in the Userfit handbook as a general template to help me keep track of my specific observations of engagement (Poulson, 1996). The engaged behaviors that I looked for are adapted from a “Student Engagement Walkthrough Checklist” (Jones, 2009). I adapted Jones’ document (see Appendix A) by adding other behaviors that I was looking to measure, and taking out other behaviors that I didn’t feel were as important. I also added a list of disengaged behaviors to provide contrast. This helped me narrow and define specific evidences of engaged behavior that I was looking for.

**Field journal.** In addition to using the direct observation log, I also analyzed my own journal of observations. After school each day, I kept notes about how the game was going, perceived engaged behaviors that I remembered from class, and my own reactions to other things that I am noticed as the teacher. As a key player involved in the learning activities myself, I could not record my direct observations during the class period itself. However, I it was interesting to see how my own observations compared to what I observed through the video. For the most part, they matched up pretty well.
Free-response questions. Between every two rounds of my Ancient Rome game, I had some free-response questions (see Appendix B) that my students filled out to help them process what they learned. We have laptops in the classroom and students created a Microsoft Word document where they recorded their responses. I asked questions about what they were learning, how they were adapting to the action rounds in the game, and how that would change their future decisions. I also asked them if they were making connections between their own game and Ancient Rome. Rather than do a more typical self-report measure that uses a scale for specific questions, I chose to use more open free-response questions because I am more interested in their general perceptions of what they are learning than getting feedback about a specific learning target.

In particular, the last free-response question asks them if the game was worth the time it took in class, what they learned from it, what they liked, and what they would do to improve it. I didn’t want to bias their answers by giving them a scale, so I decided to leave it very open. In designing the game, I hoped that my students would have fun and learn about Rome, and I thought the best way to find out about their experiences was to ask them to write their thoughts and feelings down. Between the direct observation log, my own responses in field journal, and the students’ free-responses, I was able to determine the success of my game in increasing engagement.

Plans to Measure Learning

Prior to teaching this unit, I recorded what my learning objectives were for this particular unit. My first two objectives are that students will master information about Ancient Rome; this includes memorization and understanding. However, I wanted my students to understand in greater depth, not just the names of works of architecture, but how cities were designed to meet
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the needs of their citizens. Objectives 3 through 5 focus on helping my students understand Roman city government, their own city government, and encourage them to be contributing citizens through their increased knowledge. Roman cities are still the foundation of Western cities today because of how well they were designed and administered. To make this learning objective become more real, I had my students build their own Roman city through the game as well as research their own city government. This will be discussed in greater depth.

Table 3

**Evidences of Learning (Cognitive Objectives)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Students will understand the major events and figures of Roman History.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Students will learn with the principal works of architecture in a Roman City, including some of the most important monuments of Rome.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Students will gain an appreciation for the administrative abilities of Rome by building their own Roman city.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Students will understand the role of local government as it applied back in Rome and as it applies today.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Students will understand their own role as citizens in their local government.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Students will understand the core values of the Romans and how they captured these values in their artwork.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Students will develop their ability to communicate about art history by writing thesis-driven, organized, well-written essays.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Students will learn to become independent learners and research topics on their own.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Objective 6 is to help my students have a deeper understanding of the motivations of Ancient Rome. If they understand the values at the core of the Roman psyche, it helps them understand why they made decisions the way they did. Objectives 7 and 8 are focused on helping my students refine their critical-thinking and communication skills by having them research and then write about what they find.

**City government homework.** As stated earlier in Objective 3 through 5, I wanted my students to appreciate the administrative organization within a Roman city. I was also looking to analyze their critical thinking and their ability to apply what we were learning in class to different situations. I wanted students to make connections between Ancient Roman cities and today’s modern cities. I wanted them to understand that the Romans set up a formula for building
a city to meet the people’s needs as well as creating a system to govern cities that is still used today. To help them learn this on their own, I created a homework assignment that required them to do some research about their own city’s government. They had to answer questions (see Appendix C) that helped them understand the governing structure of a city, services that cities provide, as well current issues that are being discussed in their cities today. The final question asked them if they were appointed as “supreme magistrate” of a Roman city, how are ancient administrative issues similar to what our cities deal with today?

I developed a rubric to help me assess their responses. Rubrics are commonly used to help teachers assess the depth of thinking because they allow for a wide variety as well as depth of responses (Brookhart, 2010, pp. 23-24). These responses were twofold: the written responses that they sent back to me in a word document as well as their verbal responses from our discussion in class. The rubric helped me be see, in a systematic and analytical way, if they had met the minimum requirements- going on the website, doing research, and writing their responses- or if they had gotten interested in the topic and had extended their learning activities, such as contacting someone in the city’s government, interviewing their parents, following up with news articles, etc. Simply fulfilling the homework met my learning objectives, but recording whether they had done extra effort helped me to know how engaged they were in this assignment.

**Simple knowledge test.** Because I teach an AP class, my students and I are both concerned with making sure that certain basic curriculum is covered so that they are prepared for the AP test. There is not a core curriculum that the College Board has published that will be tested. However, recurring questions from previous AP tests indicate that knowledge of major works or art, such as those found in *Gardner’s Art Through the Ages*, as well as vocabulary and a
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basic understanding of Roman history are some of the important facts for students to memorize.

To motivate them in this memorization and to prepare them for the AP exam, I wrote a multiple choice/slide ID test composed of three sets of multiple choice questions from previous AP tests, some matching questions about vocabulary and historical figures, some other multiple choice questions, and slide ID questions. This test covered their knowledge of memorized facts such as vocabulary words, historical figures, dates, specific art styles, as well as specific works of art and time periods. Their performance on this test is an indicator to help me know if they have learned this important background information. However, these tests are not always the best indicator of effective teaching. How well a student performs on these tests may be dependent on their attendance in class, the amount of time they spent outside of class studying, and how well they naturally memorize things. Still, these tests are good motivators to help students study and internalize the information. This test did help me know how well the students learned the material, but it didn't give me a very good idea of how well the students were engaged with the material, the main focus of my study.

**Essay test.** I am more concerned with knowing if they learned the deeper, critical-thinking concepts than in knowing how well they can memorize facts. It is difficult to assess, just from their free responses and watching them play the game, what deeper connections the students are making, so, to assess higher-order thinking skills, I also devised four essay questions that they could take home and answer. The first two questions are taken from previous AP tests and give them preparation for what they might expect on the AP test. The latter two questions assess their comprehension of city government as well as understanding of what the Romans valued and how that is reflected in their artwork. I decided to split up these essay test and multiple choice/slide ID test, rather than combining them together in one test as they are
typically administered, to help me compartmentalize different types of thinking. The essay tests
assessed understanding, evaluation, and analysis: higher-order thinking skills. I have a rubric
that I used to help me analyze that they understood the question and answered the question
correctly, that they are able to support their writing with strong, concrete examples, and that their
communication is clear, has good thinking, and is well-written.

Between these different methods of measurement, I was able to assess how well my
students met my learning objectives. I was able to analyze this by the conversations that we have
in class. Their research and ability to connect Ancient Rome to today’s cities will be evident in
their homework responses and in our class discussion. And I will also be able to see how well
students are learning through both their multiple choice/slide ID test and their take-home essay
test.

Conclusions

Between these different methods of collecting data: direct observation, journal of
observations, student free-response questions, analysis with a rubric of their homework, an essay
test, and a multiple choice/slide ID test, I feel that I will have sufficient data to have a thorough
analysis of the following:

- Whether this unit, which involves playing a game, increases student engagement during
class time. This will be analyzed through direct observation and my own journal of
observations.
- Whether this unit, in addition to increasing engaged behaviors, also increases student
learning and critical thinking. This will be analyzed through their free-response
questions, their homework assignment, and their essay tests.
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- Whether this unit still covers the basic information expected that they know to be successful on the AP exam. This will be analyzed through their essay tests and multiple choice/slide ID test.
AP Art History: Across the Nation and in My Classroom

AP Art History is a subject that is growing in the United States. In 2009, there were 20,619 students that took the AP Art History test. That number grew by 1,024 in 2010, and in 2012, there were 22,650 students that took it (College Board, 2013). This is an exciting trend to see nationwide; however, the opposite has been the case in Alpine School District. In 2009, six out of the eight high schools in Alpine School District taught at least one art history course. However, after heavy budget cuts, administrators had to make choices about what programs to keep, and due to the smaller enrollment of AP Art History, three high schools dropped the class entirely. Around this time, I was approached by the school district to teach art history in a unique way. I was asked if I would teach an Interactive Video Conferencing (IVC) class. As this was the only way for me to continue teaching AP Art History, I agreed.

With the IVC setup, I have a home classroom at Timpanogos High School with tables for my students to sit, and at the end of the tables there is a TV that has a camera on me. Then I also have satellite schools, each with a TV, microphone, and cameras so that they can see me, and I can see them. As opposed to an online class, I teach this class in real time so I can discuss and interact with students at all the schools. I interact with my satellite students through technology such as a Smartboard™, Google Docs, cell phones, etc. Satellite students also have an adult aide with them to take roll, enforce classroom management, administer tests, etc. In a way, teaching this class is very exciting because I feel like I am on the cusp of the wave of the future of education. However, in other ways, this arrangement makes it very challenging to spice up class time and do hands-on activities to engage my students.
This last year, my class has been smaller than normal. A typical sized classroom in my school holds anywhere from 25-40 students; however, due to the nature of IVC, class sizes have been limited to twelve students at the home school and three students at each feeder schools. During this year, I ended up with five students at Timpanogos High School, two students at Lone Peak High School, and one student at Orem High School. My school district is in a suburban area along the highly populated Wasatch Front. The majority of our school district is Caucasian, although there is a rising population of Hispanic, Asian, and Pacific Islander. In my AP Art History class, all the students were Caucasian. All of the students were juniors and seniors, and there was a nice balance of male to female: exactly four of each. Typically, the students that sign up for AP Art History excel academically, are hardworking, and I have little to no behavior problems. This class was no different, although one of my students did have a learning disability that affected his ability to write; he could present his ideas brilliantly orally, but he struggled to write essays.

The smaller size of the class was ideal for conducting this research. It allowed me to interact personally with each class member to determine how each student was progressing and more closely monitor student engagement. The name of this game is Civitas (kee-wi-tahs), the Latin word that means city, and implies the rights and responsibilities of citizenship. This smaller size was ideal for playing Civitas because I didn’t have to create as many pieces for the board game. For purposes of research, it also helped me to more closely evaluate the level of my students’ engagement as well as monitor their learning and progress. Although working with eight students was great for my own research purposes, to analyze and improve my teaching in my own classroom, it does limit the ability for me to apply what I learned from this research project to a larger classroom, particularly one with more students.
Instructional Objectives for My Classroom

I designed a game for my AP Art History Rome unit to see how it would affect both learning and engagement in the classroom. I wanted to answer the question, "Will incorporating a game into my Ancient Rome unit increase engagement without sacrificing the academic integrity of the class?" Just as a Roman engineer had to solve a variety of problems while designing a utilitarian work of architecture, I had a variety of goals to address in designing this Ancient Rome unit. One of my primary goals as an AP teacher was to prepare my students for what might show up on the AP Art History test. To do this, I felt I had to present overarching concepts about Roman art, specific Roman historical figures and artists, important works of painting, sculpture, and architecture, and deeper understandings about how Roman artwork is reflective of the culture that created it. This is an important base of knowledge from which to build.

I wanted my students to be able to rise higher in their thinking than just memorization and understanding (Bloom, 1956). Romans were some of the world’s most brilliant engineers, and I wanted my students to understand that Rome’s genius was not only in conquering enemy territories, but also in building and administering new cities in their place. Each city was designed to meet the needs of its citizens: physically, socially, mentally, and spiritually. I wanted my students to be able to apply their understanding that how Romans set up their ancient cities is still the basic formula used in designing cities today.

In addition, I wanted to teach my students skills needed to survive in the 21st century such as problem-solving, critical thinking, teamwork, and effective communication. To accomplish these goals, I needed to do more than just lecture; I needed to find a way to get my students more involved in the learning process. I needed to make this unit more engaging. This is a tall order
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for any unit, but the unknown variable for me was this new game. Would the students be able to learn the information necessary by playing this game? Would the game really develop 21st century skills as I had hoped? Would it be able to increase engagement without sacrificing academic content?

**How to Play Civitas**

An architect builds a public edifice or monument piece by piece, stone by stone, solving problems as they arise. Likewise in my *Civitas* game, students were given a game board, coins, and instructions, and then they were told to build a city, edifice by edifice. They became governors, generals, and architects having to decide what to build and how to address the needs of their new city. *Civitas* is composed of seven rounds. Each round consists of, first, collecting resources, second, building new works of architecture, and finally, the action round.

To start off, players are given two works of architecture: a central forum and barracks to house their soldiers. Players start out with a certain number of coins and use this currency to add more works of architecture to their city. Each building has an exact cost, both in money and in engineers needed to build it. However, once it is built, each building also gives the player resources- either money, soldiers, or engineers- for each round thereafter. Each work of architecture also has an assigned number of victory points; at the end of seven rounds, the player with the most victory points wins.

Students have a limited amount of resources with which to build, so they must choose carefully what they want to buy to address needs that may arise. Another factor that the player must consider is that each building must also be connected to a road or a water source, either on top of a river or lake or via aqueduct. Thus students come to realize the importance of infrastructure as they play.
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Figure 1

Civitas Architecture List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work of Architecture</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Victory Points</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Engineers Needed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aqueduct</td>
<td>Aqueducts provide clean, running water for your community. This allows your</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>city to grow. Any building in your city can be no more than 3 blocks away</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>from a source of water (this includes an aqueduct). All aqueducts must be</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>connected to water source.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 Aqueduct = 1 soldier + 1 coin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road</td>
<td>Roads allow for greater trade and economic prosperity, they improve</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>communication, and they allow your army to move quickly. If you build a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>road that goes off of your map, it opens you up to trade with other players.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Roads fit between works of architecture along the grid lines.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 Road = 1 soldier + 1 coin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forum</td>
<td>A forum is the central meeting place for your city. It is downtown and it</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>usually includes monuments, government buildings, shops, and temples.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 Forum = 1 Engineer + 2 coin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: This is a sample of 3 out of 14 different works of architecture a player can purchase. The top square shows the victory points, calculated at the end to determine the winner. The middle square shows how many coins each work costs. The bottom square shows how many engineers are required to build it. In the description column, the words in bold indicate what resources the work of architecture will give the builder for each subsequent round. The complete list is found in Appendix D.

Students were put in pairs to give them the experience of making decisions as a team rather than as an individual. This was deliberate for multiple reasons. Working with a partner increases the interaction with other players and forces the students to work as a team, compromising and communicating for the greater good. Working in partners also helped them clarify and communicate what they were learning because they had to problem-solve together and refine their thinking process. The team aspect made the game more fun; they had someone with whom to share the highs and lows of the game.

Their building choices are put to the test in the action round. Predetermined actions correspond to the roll of the dice for each round, combining both a logical progression of city
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planning and also an element of chance to spice up the game. Through the actions, the students encounter real problems that faced the ancient Romans; they can be faced with droughts or harvests, battles with barbarians, taxes, drafts, and windfalls for having built the right work of architecture that round.

Figure 2

*Front of Action Round Card with 6-sided Dice Actions*

ROUND III: **Roll the 6 Dice for One Action. See back for other actions with a 10 dice.**

I. **DROUGHT:** This winter the snows were light and the summer was long and hot. You are running out of water quickly in your city and in your fields. If you have at least two aqueducts, you survive the drought just fine. For each additional aqueduct, gain 2 coins. If you do not have two aqueducts, you were not able to harvest your crops, lose 3 coins.

II. **HARVEST:** This year has been plentiful. It has been a fine year for livestock and your crops have come in nicely. Gain 1 engineer and 3 coins.

III. **TAXES:** Rome demands taxes from your city. Caesar wants at least 15 coins. Raise taxes from your people by counting the number of victory points for each building you have. This number is how many coins you have. If it does not equal 15 coins, you must pay the difference. Anything above 15 coins, you may collect for yourself.

IV. **FORAY WITH BARBARIANS:** You decide to expand your territory by going to battle against a neighboring barbarian tribe. You need at least 15 soldiers to do this. If you have 15 soldiers, gain another 3 soldiers and 4 coins. If you do not have at least 15 soldiers, you lose 5 of your soldiers.

V. **TRANSPORTATION:** You need roads to export and import goods, improve communications, and move your troops. If you have at least 2 roads, gain 3 coins. If you do not have at least 2 roads, give up 3 coins.

VI. **REINFORCEMENTS:** Rome sends soldiers to reinforce your outpost. Gain 3 soldiers.
Each action card has two sides: a set of actions for a 6-sided dice, which they roll once, and a set of actions for a 10-sided dice, which they roll three times. The actions that correspond with the 6-sided dice include actions that would be a constantly reoccurring part of the Romans’ lives: drought, harvest, taxes, conflict, transportation, and reinforcements for their troops. On the back side, the actions for the 10-sided dice are a little bit more specific to works of architecture that they may have built up to that point. Most of the actions reward players who have built certain works, and punish those who haven’t built them. If the players have chosen a good balance of works and progress through the architecture list logically, they are generally rewarded more than punished. Unbalanced building of only one type of architecture can leave a player unprotected and punished when they need something they haven’t built yet.

Figure 3

*Back of the Action Round Card with 10-Sided Dice Actions*

**ROUND III: (Roll the 10 Dice for 3 Different Actions)**

I. **DRAFT:** Rome is expanding west into Gaul and the army demands some of your soldiers. Give up 5 soldiers.

II. **BUILDING COLLAPSE:** Your engineers tried to build too big too soon and the building collapsed, killing many workers and costing much money to replace the stone and other materials. Lose 4 engineers and 4 coins.

III. **SCULPTURE:** A visiting official from Rome is impressed with the mighty triumphal arch that you have built in your forum. You have employed the most skilled sculptors to execute the reliefs. If you have a triumphal arch, gain 2 soldiers and 2 coins. If you don’t have one, lose 1 soldiers and 2 coins.

IV. **BATTLE WITH BARBARIANS (If you have already had a Foray with Barbarians, roll again):** You decide to expand your territory by going to battle against a neighboring barbarian tribe. You need at least 18 soldiers to do this. If you have 18 soldiers, gain another 5 soldiers and 5 coins. If you do not have at least 18 soldiers, you lose half of your troops. (If odd number, give yourself the advantage.)

V. **GODS FAVOR YOU:** The gods look down upon your newly built temples with favor. For each temple you have, gain 3 coins. If you do not have any temples, you lose 5 coins.

VI. **TRADE:** You need roads to promote trade with other cities. If you have at least two roads, gain 4 coins. If you do not have two roads, lose 4 coins.
The game has seven rounds, but I decided to group the rounds into two at a time, each round taking approximately fifteen minutes, rather than playing all seven at once. I wanted a space between the rounds to allow students to process what they were learning and to connect what they were building with historical works of architecture.

Figure 4

*Sample Game Board with Buildings*

Note: This student’s game board is in the final rounds of the game. The city is nearly complete. There is a clear system of roads and aqueducts and soldiers are housed in their barracks and citadels.

Between rounds, I also showed my students a documentary called *Roman City*, produced by David Macaulay (1994), to help them connect the game to Ancient Rome. In the film, Macaulay walks through the streets of ancient Pompeii and Ostia showing how Romans designed and built their cities. It also includes an animated story about a young Roman engineer building a city in Gaul. The story and their own experiences really make the game come to life. I also showed them some specific works of architecture from Ancient Rome as they were building their own works of architecture so that they become familiar with works of art that could show up on
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the AP Art History test. By varying instruction, I hoped that they would understand the information presented, internalize it as they applied it in their own game, and keep them actively engaged in the learning process.

Setting up the Game

Before I taught anything or even wrote out my lesson plans for this unit, I put a lot of work into making the physical game itself, not to mention how long it took for me to develop prototypes of the rules, write the action rounds, etc. I designed the game boards in Photoshop and printed each board to be 11x14. I then used double-sided tape to affix it onto a dry-erase magnetic board to make sure it was sturdy. I found graphics that I wanted to represent each work of architecture; then I printed them off, cut out each piece, and attached a sticky magnet to the back so that each piece would stay on the magnet board once it was laid down. I recognized in advance that a flimsy game where pieces could get knocked around easily would be a quick way to ruin the game experience, particularly if the board was moved around often because it was played over multiple days. I printed out a summary of the rules for each student as well as a color-coded sheet that listed all the works of architecture, their uses, how much it cost to build, how many victory points each work was worth, and what benefit it would provide to the students each round.

One of the most difficult parts was figuring out how to make roads and aqueducts. For the roads, I decided to break apart fettuccine into pieces that were two squares long. For the aqueducts, I went to a dollar store and found small bamboo forks, which I trimmed down with pruners. The coins were also a problem. Originally, I used orange rotelli, a wheel-shaped pasta, which I bought in bulk for the students to use. Later, I ordered some 1-coins and 5-coins from Oriental Trading Post to make the game easier to use and less cumbersome. Red beans became
my soldiers and yellow beans represented engineers. Once I had assembled all of the pieces, I put the appropriate amount of each into bags, which I sent off to my different schools. Creating a set for each partnership is quite expensive and time-consuming. To buy the magnet boards, game pieces, ink, etc. would cost approximately $25 per team.

**Measuring Engagement**

For many high school students, school is a battle. They are *engaged* in a conflict to master concepts, memorize information, and effectively communicate what they have learned. However the word “engaged” can also mean to attract and hold fast, to occupy the attention. To try to measure the game’s success, I was looking for specific behaviors and cues that my students were engaged behaviorally, emotionally, and cognitively. “Behavioral engagement is student participation in academic, social, and extracurricular activities. Emotional engagement is considered to exist when students have positive attitudes and reactions towards school, teachers, learning, and peers. Cognitive engagement is thought to be present when students make personal investment into learning in a focused, strategic, and self-regulating way” (Parsons, McRae & Taylor, 2006).

How can a teacher prove that a student is engaged? I collected this data in a number of ways. I kept a journal, each day after school writing my thoughts and impressions about how the day went. I also video-recorded my class. After the unit was taught, I was able to watch the videos more closely and document specific comments and behaviors that indicated student engagement (see Appendices 5 and 6). Between rounds of the game, I also had my students write down responses about what they were learning, problems they were having, and connections they were making. Triangulating between these three data points gave me a fairly accurate way to tell whether or not students were engaged during instruction.
Table 4

Evidences of Engagement (Engagement Objectives)

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Students will be more engaged in the learning process, as evidenced by cognitive, behavioral, and affective measures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Students will work together in partners and have to function as a team.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Students will develop their problem-solving (building a city) skills.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Students will learn to become independent learners and research topics on their own.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Did the playing the game increase engagement? Did my students achieve their learning objectives? Was it entertaining and educational? Yes. As students walked into class the first day, I announced, “We’re playing our game today.” (Names have been changed to protect the identity of students). Upon hearing this Gabe clapped his hands and shouted, “All right!” as another student said, “Hey, is this like Settlers of Catan? I’m stoked!” It took a little while to explain the rules, but once they were ready to get started, the room was filled with a rush of anticipation and competition. Tad couldn’t wait to get started. He exclaimed, “Can I wage war on other cities? So let’s say like, with Rhiannon’s city? Can I just take her down and destroy her buildings? (turning to Tom) Can we set up an alliance? Bang!” This was the most enthusiasm to start a lesson that I have ever seen in five years of teaching art history. We were off to a good start.

As anticipated, it took a while for my students to figure out how to play the game. I had each student read the rules as partners, then I reinforced what they had read by explaining the rules and answering any questions that they had. This game seems very complicated at first; however, once you start playing it, you catch on pretty quickly how it works.

As mentioned previously, the students were deliberately put into pairs for the duration of the game. This increased interaction, communication, and teamwork. Another benefit was that it reduced the amount of boards that I had to create. Also, if they were confused, it provided a way
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to have someone else to help clarify things. It also kept them honest when calculating how much money, and how many soldiers and engineers they were supposed to receive each round. The trick was assigning a partner to Jeff at Orem because he was at a totally different school. I partnered him with Tad at Timpanogos. Tad put his board under the ELMO® so that Jeff had a visual and they chatted together on their cell phones during the planning stages. It worked out quite well (they actually won the whole game.) Although at times being in a partnership was an additional obstacle for the students, I think that it was one of the most important benefits of the game.

In one of the free-response questions, I asked them what are the advantages and disadvantages of working in a team. Some of the responses showed the power of teamwork. Rhiannon said, “The best thing about working with a partner is that I had someone to strategize with. We were able to work together and to figure out useful things to build that would help us gain victory points…” Tom responded, “The main problem for me was understanding all the different rules in the game. My partner helped me figure out the rules and just what we had to do each round. We worked through the complications together, each taking different responsibilities.” Many students agreed that working with someone else helped them catch things they might have missed. “The biggest advantage of having a partnership is that if you miss a potential problem, your partner will be likely to see it.” “Working in a team is not all bad. In fact, I think that we’ve done better together than we might have done by ourselves.”

However, many noticed that working as a partnership also had its difficulties. Tad said, “The biggest disadvantage to being in a partnership is that you may not always see eye-to-eye.” His partner Jeff also said, “There have been times where we’ve debated about what to build, so there are some logistical disadvantages.” Cindy said, “It has been good working with the partner
because we can talk stuff out and figure out what is best, but it has been hard because we disagree a lot.” Hailey also noted another disadvantage. She said, “The only problem we’ve really had working as a team is deciding what to buy, because we each have different opinions on what would be best. Also when we were collecting all the resources it was hard to know if my partner had collected them for that building or not, so that just got a little confusing.” Although having my students work in partners made the game take longer because there was more discussion about what they wanted to buy, I feel that it was a great part of the learning experience. Having to work with a partner to make decisions when you don’t completely agree with them is something that happens all the time in real life.

Explaining the rules and helping clarify what they didn’t understand took about 20 minutes, longer than I would have liked. It was not surprising that my satellite students were much more confused than the students in the classroom. I used an ELMO® opaque projector so that students could visualize how to set up a board, etc., but still, the Lone Peak kids had a lot of questions. Although I did my best to explain things clearly, another obstacle was that I could not always check on them to make sure they were doing it correctly. For example, I did not realize until halfway through the second day that Gabe and Pam at Lone Peak were not sharing a board like I thought; they were each playing individually.

**Free Responses After Two Rounds**

After playing two rounds, I had my students record their first free-responses. I asked them a general question, what were they learning about Roman cities and how that would affect their upcoming decisions in the game. Some comments that I got were, “Through the Roman City game, I have learned a lot about Roman city planning strategy as well as the names and basic functions of the buildings. I have learned that you need to bring buildings the things they
“Aqueducts and roads are the foundational buildings. We’re at the mercy of nature and the Senate, who take and give soldiers according to their pleasure. Military establishments are crucial, but markets and roads are the driving forces of the economy.” All of the responses indicated that they were learning the names and functions of Roman architecture; even more encouraging, they were also really starting to understand why Roman cities were organized the way they were.

As mentioned previously, I had the students play two rounds of the game, and then we watched a clip from David Macaulay’s documentary, *Roman City*. Macaulay splits up his documentary into two type of segments: one in which is walking around the streets of Pompeii lecturing about different parts of a Roman city, another where he animated a story showing Romans actually building the city. I felt that watching the documentary as well as playing the game would really make Rome come alive for my kids. My students seemed really involved in this video. They liked the animated story in particular. The next day as we started class, Rhiannon tried to get everyone focused, “Quiet! I want to play the game and win. Do we get to watch the movie today with the cool cartoon and the evil girl?” She and Cindy especially liked the small romantic subplot. I could tell by their conversations that some of the things brought out in the video influenced how they played the next few rounds of the game. For example, because Macaulay had talked about Roman roads and aqueducts, everyone made sure that was something that they bought.

After each video segment, I showed a few slides to highlight the most important specific works that were talked about in the video. For example, after Macaulay talked about aqueducts, I showed a slide of the Pont du Gard and let them know it was a work that they needed to
memorize for the test. In hindsight, I wonder if it might have been best to just alternate between the game and the video without adding in the slides so that we could have moved through those two activities more quickly.

**Connecting Roman Cities to Modern Cities**

One of my most important learning objectives in this unit was to help my students connect ancient Roman cities to the modern cities that they are living in. I decided to do this by assigning homework for students to do some research at home about their own cities. They had to visit their city website and answer the following questions:

1. **What does a mayor and city council have to do to run the city?** What are some problems/issues that face your city councils today?

2. **What are amenities or services that our own cities provide us today?** When we pay taxes, what do we expect to get in return? (you may want to check out your city’s local webpage, such as orem.org and highlandcity.org. Look at the city council minutes- what are they talking about? Does any of this affect you directly?)

3. **What are concerns or problems that your city government has to deal with?** Are there any current issues right now that are making people angry with your city government? (If you can, you may want to call city hall and ask some questions. Ask your parents and your neighbors if they know.)

4. **On Election Day, November 6 (which if you are 18 by then, you will get to vote in), are there any issues to vote on specific to your town?**

5. **If you were the newly appointed “supreme magistrate” (mayor) of a city in the Roman empire, how are the administrative issues similar to what our cities deal with today? Differences?**
These are questions that I wanted my students to be able to answer; however, I was curious to see if my students were getting interested enough in this topic to go beyond the minimum requirements. This would be more tangible evidence of engagement. I devised a rubric that had a row for each of the five questions (see Appendix G). According to how well students answered the questions, determined by their written response as well as their participation in the discussion in class, I gave them a score of either 0 (Incomplete), 7 (Acceptable- they met the requirements), and 10 (Excellent- students showed extra effort). I was very pleased with my students’ performance. All of my students completed this assignment. (Gabe did not turn his response in; however, I could tell from our conversation that he had done his research and found the answers to most of the questions.) All eight of the students had visited their city’s website and all were familiar with the role of the mayor, city council, and the amenities that the city provided. The questions that seemed to be most engaging for the students were about the problems that the city is facing. Multiple students had extended their research by having conversations with their parents about how the city government affected them and their families.

We had a great conversation about this in class (transcript included in Appendix E). Each of the students contributed to the conversation. Topics brought up included increasing taxes, zoning within the city, money spent on education, whether businesses should be allowed to operate on Sunday, and a new form of city infrastructure- fiber-optic Internet cables. Each student actively participated in the discussion; however, I did notice afterwards when watching the recording of the class that there were times that the satellite kids wanted to contribute more to the conversation but did not attract my attention. I felt bad when I realized that the conversation got more and more animated for the kids in my home room, but after a while students, particularly
Pam at Lone Peak, stopped trying to raise her hand. It would be interesting to compare this unit with my IVC class with data gathered from teaching this unit in a more traditional classroom.

This was an important assignment for the unit. It helped my kids learn about their own city government and clarify the role of city government in their minds. This assignment also gave them an opportunity to learn how to research local topics that affect them directly. Many of these students talked with their parents and even neighbors about issues. This taught my students to be conscientious citizens and understand more clearly how to become aware and learn about issues that affect their lives directly. Students were also able to make connections to Ancient Rome. They understood that although the problems facing today’s cities are in many cases different than Ancient Rome— for example, Romans did not have to worry about funding Internet cables while modern citizens don’t typically worry about their city being invaded— the basic structure of administration, taxation, and services provided are remarkably similar. My students seemed to really enjoy the real-life application of this assignment. I was pleased with the written and oral responses to demonstrate that they had learned the concepts I was trying to teach. Out of 8 students, 5 of my students scored a 10 on the rubric showing that they had done more than was required. They were very engaged in this assignment.

**Free-Response Questions about the Game**

After two days of playing the game and a long weekend, Rhiannon came in, visibly perking up as she walked through the door and entered the room. She remarked, “This has been the worst day. I hate Mondays, and I just wanted to go home at lunch, but then I remembered that we get to play the Rome game today in class, and I was excited.” This affirmed to me that students were really enjoying the game. In my own daily journal observations, I was also excited to see how energized I was as a teacher. This was just one vocal example of engagement. After
reviewing the recording of the class, I was able to note that students were highly engaged in their behaviors- none of them looked bored or disinterested, and they all actively participated. They were quite vocal about how much fun they were having and excited about playing the game. I could also tell that they were learning the information and making the broader connections that I hoped for by their written responses between rounds, their homework responses, and from our class discussions.

For their final free-response, I asked them three questions: what did you learn by playing this game, was it worth it the time it took, and should we do it again? The responses were overwhelmingly positive. One student wrote, “Yes, I believe it was worth the time to play. I was able to learn how people would have interacted and participated in the city. The game was very interesting, exciting, and held my attention. I liked the way the rounds happened and always changed to keep you on your toes.” Tad, who won the game with Jeff wrote, “The best part, without a doubt, was winning! But while playing the game, the best part was definitely the thinking you had to put in and the logic required to make sure that you’ll get ahead each round. The worst part was the split between rounds. While educational, I would have preferred to have just done the game all the way through.” I thought this was a valuable suggestion, to not split up the rounds as much, and I made the game more cohesive the following year. Another wrote, “It was fun! I’m a big fan of strategy games like this that force you to think like a governor would have had to, and that can help us understand the layout of Roman cities, as well as give us insights into the whole Roman mentality. It did help me to recognize different Roman buildings.” This game was successful in helping them learn and making art history more enjoyable and engaging.

When I asked my students if it was worth the time that it took to play, Hailey wrote:
This was totally worth the time!!! I could be biased though because I am in love with
games. The best part is that it just made class exciting, and we’re more captivated
because we’re enjoying it, so it actually does help to remember when enjoying it. It really
did help me to recognize the buildings, and that’s not an easy thing to do with all the
types of buildings that there are. It gave me a better understanding of how a Roman city
functions and the use of each architecture. It took a lot of class time to play, but if we
would’ve just memorized all the buildings and function sit probably wouldn’t taken close
to the same amount of time and we wouldn’t have remembered it as well because it
would’ve been monotonous.

A key point that she brings up is that by playing the game it helped the students remember the
works of architecture better. Cindy agreed with this. She wrote, “It was worth the time because I
was able to remember the buildings a lot more effectively than just lecture. It also gave me the
opportunity to really know the purpose for all the buildings and saw what was most important to
the Romans.”

Some students recognized that we could have learned the same information much more
quickly through lecture or a book. Tom wrote, “I thought the game was really fun, but truth be
told, I could have learned all of these facts about the buildings in a much shorter time through a
text book. I thought the strategy aspect of the game was fun; it actually taught me the reasons for
Roman city planning. The game was well-made and fun.” He is correct, I probably could have
covered the same amount of information in one day of lecture rather than three days with the
game. However, the students did learn the material and they also were definitely engaged.
Rhiannon wrote, “I think it was definitely worth the time. I learned about how a Roman city was
set up, and all the different names and functions of all the Roman buildings. I think I could have
learned the info from a lecture just as easily, but doing the game made it more fun and interesting, which actually made me care about the buildings. The video clips in between also helped me connect the buildings to what they were used for and how they were built in ancient times. Let’s do a game like this for more units!”

**Student Performance on Multiple Choice and Essay Tests**

Unfortunately, I felt pressure to teach my students more than just the learning objectives of my Rome game. Before we played the Rome game, I spent a full day lecturing about the thousand years of Roman history. During the game, I showed them slides of key architectural works that they needed to know. After the game, I spent another full day lecturing on Roman sculpture and painting. When preparing for the AP test, you never know what kind of question will be asked. As it turns out, my students did end up having an essay about Rome that year. The question asked why Constantine used sculptures from other previous emperors such as Augustus and Hadrian for his own triumphal arch, otherwise known as *spolia*. This very specific question was something that we didn’t spend a lot of time on in class, however, I hope my kids had learned enough other general information that they were able to do well on that essay. This is the greatest drawback of teaching an AP Art History class. A teacher feels the pressure to try to cover *everything* because *any topic* could possibly show up on the test.

**Multiple choice test.** To motivate my students to study their slides and history, I gave them a test that had a combination of matching, multiple choice, short answer, and slide ID (see Appendix H). My students’ performance on this test was very similar to how they had performed on previous multiple choice tests up to that point. My top students- who are naturally good at memorizing or work really hard to study - performed well with a 96, 97, 99, and 100. Two students who are pretty good at memorizing but don’t always study very hard got a 79 and an 80.
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One student, who had missed two class periods because of sickness, did quite poorly; she got a 62, which makes sense because she was gone for almost half of our unit. The students did fairly well on the vocabulary section because they were reviewing the words with the game, but not much else on this multiple choice test actually assessed their academic performance based on the game; it was more of an indicator of how well they studied their notes and works.

Table 5:

**Evidences of Learning (Cognitive Objectives)**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Students will understand the major events and figures of Roman History.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Students will learn with the principal works of architecture in a Roman City, including some of the most important monuments of Rome.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Students will gain an appreciation for the administrative abilities of Rome by building their own Roman city.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Students will understand the role of local government as it applied back in Rome and as it applies today.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Students will understand the core values of the Romans and how they captured these values in their artwork.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Students will develop their ability to communicate about art history by writing thesis-driven, organized, well-written essays.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The test for the eighth student is indicative of a major flaw in the IVC system. The test was taken by one of my students at a satellite school and then sent in district mail, supposedly, but I never received it. This is something that is not uncommon when students are sending in hard copies of their tests in from other schools. In those cases if the aide also testifies that the student did actually take the test, I usually just leave the score for that test blank, thus it doesn’t help but it also doesn’t hurt the student.

**Essay test.** In contrast to my multiple choice test, in my essay test I was able to focus more on the specific learning targets that I was hoping to see the game accomplish. An essay is a format that allows the teacher to assess greater understanding rather than simple knowledge. I split my test into two parts: the first part contained questions from previous AP exams to help them continue to familiarize themselves with the type of questions they might expect to see on
the AP test, the other two questions were targeted on specific learning objectives taught by my game.

The two questions from previous AP tests were about the *Colosseum* and about the *Pont du Gard* (see Appendix I). In these questions the students were asked to apply their knowledge of these specific works; to gain full points, it is critical for the student to make sure that they answer the whole question. For example, Question 1 stated: The slides show two views of the same building (the *Colosseum*). Identify the building. Analyze how innovative elements were used both in the design and the construction of the building. This is a very typical type of question used on the AP test. On every test I administer, I give them previous AP questions to help them become familiar with the wording and type of questions asked. In both of these questions, my students knew the answers and performed well, although they did not get the full points unless they answered all parts of the question. My students averaged 90% on this first question. They faltered a little bit more on the second question about the *Pont du Gard* with an average of 85%, but all in all did quite well.

The third and fourth questions on the test were written specifically to see how well they understood the big ideas about Rome through playing the game. The questions are as follows:

3. Romans are famous for their city planning and engineering. What are some of the main components of a Roman city? How did these works of architecture show planning to address the needs of its citizenry? What are some problems that Romans encountered in colonization?

4. What were the virtues and values that Romans prized as part of their culture? Choose two sculptures and one work of architecture (that you haven’t discussed in your other essays) that represent the values of Rome?
Each of these questions addresses a simple knowledge aspect (what are the components of a Roman city? What were the virtues and values that Romans prized as part of their culture?) However, in the second part of the question, the students must apply their knowledge to demonstrate analysis (How did these works of architecture show planning to address the needs of its citizenry? How do these works represent the values of Rome?) The students not only have to know the facts, but use critical thinking to recognize the importance of the facts and how they apply.

All of my students answered question #3 very clearly, indicating a profound understanding of the role that Roman cities played to address the needs of its citizens. Students gave specific examples of the grid system, the forum as a city center, markets to stimulate the economy, baths to keep the people clean and healthy, temples for religious worship and to provide for the spiritual aspect, arenas and circuses for entertainment, etc. This essay was the most fully-developed and showed the strongest specific examples.

The students were able to answer this question in a way that showed deeper understanding than just simple memorization. For example, one student wrote, “Each city was concisely planned and they all had key components that made it Roman so the needs of the citizens were addressed. Each city had a forum, baths, temples, roads, aqueducts, and some for of a theater, arena or circus. All the structures filled specific needs of the citizens. The forum acted as a crossroad and a central meeting place for the city. The roads and aqueducts provided the most essential needs, transportation and fresh water…. As the Romans began to conquer and colonize cities, they incorporated their architecture into these new lands. Despite the benefits all these structures offered, the conquered citizens weren’t always so thrilled, because in order to create the architecture, the Romans took the funds from the people. Taxing wasn’t a common
thing among all civilizations at that time, and the people were against the idea of their rulers
taking the money out of their pockets. Some citizens realized all that was provided for them
through taxing, but not everyone came to this conclusion.” This essay shows a solid
understanding of the function of the city, but it also exhibits a deeper understanding about
taxation and how that sowed seeds of discord throughout the empire.

In question #4, the students clearly connected the virtues and values of Rome to specific
works of art. The Romans put the state above self, and they were deeply concerned with honor
and pride. For them, citizenship was an honor that was not to be taken lightly and was one of the
greatest rewards that could be offered to a conquered people. This was not something that we
discussed explicitly in class; however, this topic came up over and over in the actions from the
game, in the animated story from the video, and in our discussions about Roman works of art.
This question helped me measure whether or not students could make this connection on their
own, without it being explicitly told to them. Each student was successful at answering this
question, although some made deeper connections and had stronger-supported examples.

An example of one of the better responses is as follows: “The thing most valued by all
Roman citizens would likely have been their citizenship. To be called a citizen of Rome was
considered a great honor and you nearly always had to be born into it. The Roman Empire also
had a very powerful sense of honor and other virtues, like knowledge and wit. Augustus, one of
the most renowned emperors of Rome, had many advancements while under his reign. His
statue, *Augustus Primaporta*, can be viewed as a symbol of Rome’s value of knowledge and
even as one of strength due to his vast military success as well…” This essay demonstrates that
he did understand the value of citizenship, honor, and knowledge. His example does not
demonstrate a very strong argument tying his previous statements to a specific work of art; he
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could better support with specific examples how *Augustus Primaporta* demonstrates the virtues of knowledge and wit. He does, however, show he has a clear knowledge of the values of Rome. This type of mistake shows a weakness in writing very common in the beginning of the year rather than a lack of knowledge about the subject.

Based on the scores of my students from these two tests, it became quite clear that my students had met the learning objectives that I had set for them. They understood the concepts I wished to convey, although I did recognize that they needed more training in deeper thinking to make their writing more analytic and less descriptive. At the very least, however, through my lecture, and through their own memorization, my students had learned the simple knowledge objectives. Students did remember the major events and figures of Roman history. Students did learn the vocabulary for the major works of architecture in a Roman city. But more importantly, I felt that the students had also met my higher thinking objectives. Through the free-response measures students communicated that they were starting to understand the administrative decisions of building a Roman city as they were making their own in the game. The essay question with which they excelled the most was specifically about the topic of our game, the Roman city. Students demonstrated very proficiently that they understood the connections between Ancient Roman cities and modern cities today. Students picked up on the core values of the Roman people and how these were expressed in the works of art they created. And students were required to communicate this understanding by writing well-organized, thesis-driven essays.

**Reflections on the Success of Civitas in my Unit**

This unit was unlike any unit I had ever taught. Although I sandwiched playing *Civitas* with an introductory lecture as well as specific lecture on works of art, my students were able to
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experience a completely different way of learning by playing the game. Playing Civitas was novel and exciting for them. It greatly increased engagement, which was one of my primary objectives. This was evidenced by their body language, the comments that they made in class, as well as their free-response questions that they answered about the game. I was greatly encouraged by their enthusiastic response. The other learning activities such as watching the Roman City documentary was also an appropriate and important step in helping them cement their own experiences to events from the past. Although the game did take quite a bit longer than I was hoping, I felt it was a very valuable and memorable learning experience, both for me and for my students, and I plan on continuing to use Civitas in the future.

By analyzing my students’ homework in regards to connecting Roman cities to modern cities and from our discussion, I can conclusively say that my students were able to make these real-world applications that I had hoped. Whether or not my students were able to memorize specific dates and names in Roman history and specific works of art did not vary much from all of my other units where lecture is the primary mode of delivery. The students who study and memorize well performed well on the multiple choice test and the simple knowledge essays. However, all of my students, even the one that missed much of class and the student with learning disabilities were able to recognize and internalize the works of architecture in a Roman city; this was demonstrated in strong, well-supported essays. Although Civitas was not as useful as a vehicle for teaching large amounts of diverse information for students to memorize, it was excellent at cementing more important academic targets such as problem-solving, application, and evaluation. The evidence was so encouraging that I hope to develop more games for teaching art history in the future.
Chapter 5: Conclusion

Teaching AP Art History is as exhilarating as it is stressful. There is so much, too much, information to cover. Yet the works discussed, the movements debated, and the stories unearthed make it wonderful to teach. Yet at the back of my mind, year after year, are the nagging questions, “What is going to be on the test this year? Am I going too fast, too slow? Am I covering enough? Will my students be prepared for the AP Art History exam, or will they fail?” Oftentimes in the panic to cover everything, it is easy to only feel the stress and the weight of the calendar pages flashing forward. The lecture presentation is comfortable and it is economical. I can cover a lot of information in a short amount of time. The slide-lecture format is how I was taught, and it requires little creativity; just a well-prepared presentation and the knowledge about the subject. Although I knew that I wanted to help my students be more engaged and active in the learning process, it was difficult to allow the time to do an extended game, especially one that was unproven for student performance. There were still some large unknown factors, “How much time would this take, and how much time would that take away from the other units? Would my students also be able to learn the information I wanted them to know in addition to having fun? Will the students even like this game or will it be unclear and confusing?” I had never used a game as a primary means of instruction before, and there was an element of risk.

Despite any of the risks mentioned above, I decided to go forward with Civitas. I came up with the idea, designed the game pieces, wrote the rules, and refined the instructions. Playing the game, like most activities, took longer than I had hoped. I was hoping to complete the game in two days; it took four. There was a cost. Civitas took creativity, money to create the pieces, and most precious of all, time. However, despite not everything going exactly according to plan, I do feel that it was a highly successful experiment.
The original question that motivated all the rest my research was this: could playing a game in AP Art History be both educational and engaging? The answer is yes. After teaching my unit, I was able to clearly identify the strengths and weaknesses of incorporating the game into my curriculum. By analyzing data, reviewing my student responses and assessments, and watching the video of my class, these conclusions became clear. I found that my game both increased engagement in my classroom and also met my educational learning objectives.

**Benefits of Playing Civitas**

**Increased engagement.** The word constantly on my mind, pushing me to improve my teaching practice was “engagement.” I wanted to break up the lecture and get kids more actively involved in the learning process, rather than being the silent receptacles of my daily informational dole. Instinctively, the idea of playing a game seemed to be an obvious way to increase engagement. By conducting my research, I have proven this to be accurate. One of the ways that I was able to measure engagement was by watching a video of my classes and recording specific behaviors indicative of increased engagement (see Appendix A) in a chart. As mentioned earlier in Chapter 3, these behaviors include body language, participation, comments given, and discussion. In Chapter 4, I have recorded my analysis of the video and the behaviors observed. My students expressed vocal interest and excitement at playing the game. They were focused, concentrated, and genuinely engaged in our class activities. They also were emotionally invested in the game; I saw and heard many high-fives, shouts, groans, and sighs. My students were happy to come to class. I did catch a few yawns and glazed looks on their faces at times, but their verbal and nonverbal language communicated more than anything, they enjoyed the novelty of a different learning experience. They had a lot of fun while learning and appreciated the lengths that I went through to create the game.
In addition to watching the video, I was also very enthused by their free-response questions. Through these questions, they had the opportunity to reflect on what they were learning and give me feedback about how they felt about the game, another indicator of their level of engagement. My final free-response question asked them if playing the game was worth the time it took. Their responses were extremely encouraging. As noted in Chapter 4, one student wrote, “Yes, I believe it was worth the time to play. I was able to learn how people would have interacted and participated in the city. The game was very interesting, exciting, and held my attention. I liked the way the rounds happened and always changed to keep you on your toes.” Students learn better when they enjoy the process, and it became obvious that every single student enjoyed playing Civitas.

Another student wrote:

This was totally worth the time!!! I could be biased, though, because I am in love with games. The best part is that it just made class exciting, and we’re more captivated because we’re enjoying it, so it actually does help to remember when enjoying it. It really did help me to recognize the buildings, and that’s not an easy thing to do with all the types of buildings that there are. It gave me a better understanding of how a Roman city functions and the use of each architecture. It took a lot of class time to play, but if we would’ve just memorized all the buildings and function sit probably wouldn’t taken close to the same amount of time and we wouldn’t have remembered it as well because it would’ve been monotonous.

My students could see, as well as I could, that information can be transmitted more efficiently through a lecture; however, the game made class exciting, interesting, captivating, and enjoyable.
The students also acknowledged that this increase in engagement helped them remember the information better, held their attention, and made them “actually care” about the subject matter.

**Learning objectives reached.** My primary goal was to increase engagement, and this game definitely accomplished that. However, for my unit to be completely successful, increased engagement wasn’t enough; the game also had to merit the time afforded to achieve the educational objectives discussed in Chapter 3. Did the game help my students reach my learning targets? Yes. As noted in the free response questions, playing the game not only increased engagement but learning. This was indicated not only in the students’ free responses, but also in the quality of discussion and questions asked in class, as well as in their essay responses and test scores as noted in Chapter 4. My students did fairly well in their essays about the Colosseum, which we discussed in a lecture after playing the game. However, when the students were asked to give specific examples about how Roman cities were able to provide for the varied needs of their citizens, every single student was able to give accurate, analytical, descriptive responses in writing. They also made great connections to today’s cities. One student wrote, “Roman cities were very efficient thanks to their ingenious planning. Every city was planned on the grid system much like the cities in Utah. This helped all of the buildings be strategically placed in the perfect location. It also made it very easy to navigate through the city.” Another student wrote, when asked about what the Romans valued, “The thing most valued by all Roman citizens would likely have been their citizenship. To be called a citizen of Rome was considered a great honor and you nearly always had to be born into it. The Roman Empire also had a very powerful sense of honor and other virtues–like knowledge and wit.” I think their experience with the game helped internalize these concepts for them.
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In addition to meeting my objectives that they memorize important information about the Roman Empire, as a class, we were also able to have a powerful conversation about citizenship—the state’s responsibility to its citizens and the citizens’ responsibility to the state. The students learned about building ancient Roman cities by building their own; we were also able to have a great discussion about how Roman cities are the foundation of today’s modern cities. My students spoke passionately as well-informed citizens about the current events and issues affecting their own lives and those around them. One of the goals for my high school is to raise responsible citizens, and I feel that this assignment was a way for these teenagers to become aware of things happening around them and how they can make a difference.

Problems with Playing Civitas

These responses give a pretty one-sided picture about how wonderful the game was. My kids were engaged in the unit; however, there were also some other important factors that should also be considered before every other art history teacher adopts Civitas into their own Roman unit. This particular game took a lot of time, creativity, and money (for the boards, printing out the pieces, etc.) to prepare. Although it is a fun game— that I can play with my friends and family as well as my students— it took dozens of hours to create, mentally planning it out and physically creating the boards and pieces. Most teachers don’t have the luxury of devoting this much time to one learning activity. This game also, because of the physical nature of the board and pieces, would be difficult to share with other teachers so they could use it in their classes as well. To create this game, for a class of only eight players, the materials alone cost approximately $75 for ink, paper, magnets, boards, and the other pieces. This cost could be a limiting factor for some teachers. My hope was that this game could be something to be shared and used by other teachers to improve their instruction and engage their students. However, as the game stands
right now, it would be difficult if not impossible to reproduce and share with others. I had to print out, cut up, and glue together all of the individual building pieces as well as the game board. I do feel that Civitas has great potential to be developed, perhaps not as a board game, but as a computer game or app. Playing the game on a laptop or iPad could still have students involved with each other, but greatly reduce the cost and time to play the game.

The biggest problem was that Civitas took a LOT of class time (as most engaging, hands-on activities tend to do). I was hoping that playing the game and the other activities involved would only take two days; it took four. It probably would be quicker if each student could work individually and didn’t have to collaborate with a partner on what to buy; however, I think the returns for having them communicate with each other and bond as classmates were worth it. As noted above, I do believe that much of the time between rounds spent collecting the money, soldiers, and engineers for each work, as well as calculating expenditures could be reduced drastically if the game was in an electronic format. Until Civitas can be developed to that point, however, next time I play it again, I will probably reduce the number of rounds to five rather than seven to allow for more class time.

While my students were highly engaged and learned a lot about Ancient Rome, the extra time we spent had an affect on the units that directly followed. In art history, where there is so much information to cover in so little time, I found that the extra two days we spent on this unit meant that I had two fewer days to talk about the art of the Middle Ages for the next unit. It seemed almost a little ironic that my attempts to get away from lecture in one unit led to greater amounts and more intense lecture in the next unit to compensate. Until the curriculum is drastically changed (which actually is scheduled to happen for the year 2015-2016), it is very
difficult to spend so much time on only one activity. This is another factor that makes the game prohibitive for other teachers to try to replicate what I did.

Teacher as Researcher

Through doing this research, I learned a lot about engagement, games, and Ancient Rome. I learned about how games work in my classroom. Yet, I feel the most valuable part of writing this thesis was to go through the process of being an action researcher. As a teacher, I am constantly evaluating, not only my students’ performance, but my own performance as well. By doing this research project, I learned how to ask questions and find answers by analyzing concrete data, triangulating between my own observations, the video recording, the students’ free-responses, and the homework and essays turned in. Assessing my students’ work is something I had done thousands of times before; however, previous to this experience, I had rarely made a predetermined plan to gather and analyze data to answer very specific questions. This thesis is more than notes stored in my head. It is tangible. It is accessible. I can refer to it, and I can share it with others.

Currently, the school-wide goal at Timpanogos High School is for teachers to record and analyze meaningful data to inform practice and help teachers know who is learning as well as what is being learned. In essence, my high school is trying to give teachers the vision that we are all action researchers, and we will make greater progress using this methodology properly. Having an accurate record of what is being learned, who is learning, and knowing who isn’t learning can unlock even more important question: why is learning happening for some and not for others? What teaching methods are more effective than others? This process has become much more personal and accessible to me because I have had the experience of doing this thesis
and becoming an action researcher. I am empowered with a methodology to improve my practice for the rest of my career.

**How This Research Has Affected Teaching Ancient Rome Again**

The action research cycle never ends. As questions are answered and conclusions made, new questions arise, leading to even more research. Because I had done such extensive analysis about my Ancient Rome unit, I was able to learn from my mistakes and repeat my successes the next year. I recently taught this unit again in my AP Art History class, one year after this initial experiment. Because I had thoroughly analyzed my data from the year before, I was able to vary my instruction to try to improve my teaching. One of the improvements that I was able to implement was teaching with an updated and improved game. I made the game boards more clear and refined the actions to make them more fair and exciting. I was more clear in giving the instructions about how to play the game. I used a new format to help my students keep track of their points, thus saving valuable class time. I kept the successful activities such as the city government homework assignment; as in the previous year, we had a wonderful discussion where they were able to apply their knowledge of Roman cities learned in class to the reality of their current city government. I again showed the Macaulay video, but I didn’t show it after every two rounds, breaking up the game. I let the students play the entire period the first day so they go a good feel for the game and how it worked. Then I started off class the following day with a section of the video, and let them play the game for the rest of the day. At the end of the game, I showed the rest of the video. I feel there was more continuity this way; the students were able to focus on one learning objective at a time, rather than bouncing back and forth between multiple objectives.
Based on the free-response questions my students answered this year as well as my own observations, I can again conclude that the students were highly engaged and also learned the material. They performed even better on their essays this year. I think that this is partly due to how I structured the game this year, but also because I have given them more preparatory training on how to write essays. As with any action research process, I again was able to recognize which changes were successful and how even more changes could be made to improve instruction. For example, instead of playing all seven rounds over three days, I think I will cut Civitas to five or six rounds so we can finish the game in only two days. The amount of learning gained by the extra two rounds is not worth the time that it takes.

What’s Next for Me as a Teacher and as a Game Developer?

Last year, after teaching the Civitas unit in my AP Art History class, I developed a game for my AP Studio Art class. Students earned their grades by gaining points for completing tasks such as working in their sketchbooks, completing art assignments, going to art galleries and museums, and researching other artists and their own ideas. As with anything experimental, I had mixed results. There were things that went very well; for example, my students were working in their sketchbooks more than they ever have before. There were also things that didn’t work out well at all; for example, although students were doing a greater variety of art activities than before, they were not completing as many portfolio-quality works as they needed to in order to complete their final 24-work AP portfolio. As an action researcher, I have recorded these observations and implementing these changes will help me be a better teacher this year than last. I hope to continue to exercise my creativity and develop other games for other classes I teach. I am interested in the idea of gamification and play, and I would like to study how they affect my classroom in greater depth.
I also hope to do more with the game, *Civitas*, itself. Everyone who has played has enjoyed it, and multiple people have expressed interest in purchasing this game. It would be great to publish and sell *Civitas*, either as a board game or as a computer game. If it was a computer game, there would be the advantage of having the computer be able to do calculations and make sure that players were not able to cheat, either accidentally or deliberately. Also, as a video game, it would be much easier to share with other teachers so that others could use this in their art history classrooms to increase engagement. It could be an excellent teaching tool, not only for Art History classes but also any History, Humanities, or Government classes. I currently lack the expertise and business knowledge to know how to proceed in making this dream a reality; however, it is something that I hope to work toward in the future.

**Final Thoughts**

*Civitas* was a great experiment. Everything about it was new for me, not only the game itself, but even playing games as an instructional method in class. Despite the drawbacks mentioned above, I feel encouraged to play the game again next year and every year thereafter. I intuitively felt that introducing a game as a learning activity would increase engagement; however, the response from my students was so positive that I cannot imagine not playing the game in the future. In fact, students’ telling their friends about playing *Civitas*, specifically, was a recruiting factor that led to new students taking art history from me the following year.

Although the game, itself, is far from perfect, I felt that it was a good balance between education and entertainment. My kids were actively engaged. They learned about Ancient Rome, not vicariously from a lecture or a video, but by putting themselves in the sandals of the Romans before and building their own city. They did not learn about Rome, they *experienced* Rome. They were excited to come to class, they expressed excitement and emotion while
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playing; they were into the game. They made connections between Ancient Roman cities and
today’s modern cities, and they learned the citizenship is an honor not to be taken lightly. This
game brought unity into my classroom. As mentioned in Chapter 4, although many of my
students didn’t always agree with their partner, they learned how to compromise and make
decisions together, thus fostering important skills they will need in the future. The personal
experiences they had while playing it were communicated effectively in their essays and in the
discussions we held in class. My learning objectives were met; they learned what I wanted them
to learn. I am encouraged enough by the results of Civitas that I am looking for other games, or
perhaps to invent other games, to teach my other units in Art History. They are a great way for
students to learn.

My students were able to connect a culture 2000 years ago to their everyday lives today.
Games require sacrifice of time and money, and as a result, may need to be used carefully
depending on the curriculum. However, games are powerful. I would advocate for more teachers
to use games in teaching in the future.
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Appendix A

List of Engaged Student Behaviors

**PHYSICAL BEHAVIORS:**
Positive Body Language: Students exhibit body postures that indicate they are paying attention to the teacher and/or other students.
- Disengaged students may be slumped in their seat, have their eyes closed, or be completely asleep.

Consistent Focus: All students are focused on the learning activity with minimum disruptions.
- Disengaged students may look glazed over, their minds may wander and when they are asked to participate may indicate that they don’t know what is happening. Other unfocused behaviors could be a student that is distracted with something else, including a phone, computer, etc.

Verbal Participation: Students express thoughtful ideas, reflective answers, and questions relevant or appropriate to learning.
- Disengaged students may make remarks that are disrespectful, unrelated, or that are meant to take away from learning. The most common disengaged behavior for this category is the student that just doesn’t participate, even if asked to.

Student Confidence: Students exhibit confidence and can initiate and complete a task with limited coaching and can work in a group.
- Disengaged students may sit passively and not participate, but also not ask for help.

Fun and Excitement: Students exhibit interest and enthusiasm and use positive humor.
- Disengaged students show lack of interest and enthusiasm. They may even express frustration, boredom, or annoyance with the current learning activity.

**PERCEPTIONS**
Rigorous Thinking: Students work on complex problems, create original solutions, and reflect on the quality of their work.
- Disengaged students give up easily when confronted with problems. They do the minimum required by the teacher to fulfill the assignment.

Meaningfulness of Work: Students find the work interesting, challenging, and connected to learning.
- Disengaged students “go through the motions,” but are not internalizing what they are learning. They are fulfilling a requirement for the class but are not personally invested.

Extension and Connections: Students become so engrossed in the topic that they seek more information on their own. This can be exhibited by completing additional research outside of class or remarking on connections they are making between what is learned in class and other experiences.
- Disengaged students do not volunteer connections they are making and don’t look for ways to extend their thinking. They are happy doing the minimum.

Appendix B

Roman Game Questionnaire

After Rounds 1 & 2:
What have you learned about city planning? How will that affect your choices for these next two rounds?

After Rounds 3, 4, and 5:
What are the most pressing issues that your city has faced? How has that affected what you buy? What have you bought that has benefited you the most? What do you wish you had bought earlier?

After Round 6:
What problems have you had to solve by doing this game? What have been the advantages and disadvantages of working in a team?

After Round 7:
Each round took approximately 10 minutes. So, all together, playing this game took collectively about 1.5-2 days. Was it worth the time? What did you learn by playing this game that you couldn’t have learned from a book or lecture? What did you like most about the game? What would you change for next time?
1. What does a mayor and city council have to do to run the city? What are some problems/issues that face your city councils today?

2. What are amenities or services that our own cities provide us today? When we pay taxes, what do we expect to get in return? (you may want to check out your city’s local webpage, such as orem.org and highlandcity.org. Look at the city council minutes- what are they talking about? Does any of this affect you directly?)

3. What are concerns or problems that your city government has to deal with? Are there any current issues right now that are making people angry with your city government? (If you can, you may want to call city hall and ask some questions. Ask your parents and your neighbors if they know.)

4. On Election Day, November 6 (which if you are 18 by then, you will get to vote in), are there any issues to vote on specific to your town?

5. If you were the newly appointed “supreme magistrate” (mayor) of a city in the Roman empire, how are the administrative issues similar to what our cities deal with today? Differences?
## CIVITAS Architecture List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work of Architecture</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Image</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aqueduct</td>
<td>Aqueducts provide clean, running water for your community. This allows your city to grow. Any building in your city can be no more than 3 blocks away from a source of water (this includes an aqueduct). All aqueducts must be connected to water source. <strong>1 Aqueduct = 1 soldier + 1 coin</strong></td>
<td>Victory Points: 0, Cost: 4, Engineers Needed: 4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road</td>
<td>Roads allow for greater trade and economic prosperity, they improve communication, and they allow your army to move quickly. If you build a road that goes off of your map, it opens you up to trade with other players. Roads fit between works of architecture along the grid lines. <strong>1 Road = 1 soldier + 1 coin</strong></td>
<td>Victory Points: 0, Cost: 4, Engineers Needed: 4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forum</td>
<td>A forum is the central meeting place for your city. It is downtown and it usually includes monuments, government buildings, shops, and temples. <strong>1 Forum = 1 Engineer + 2 coins</strong></td>
<td>Victory Points: 1, Cost: 5, Engineers Needed: 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barracks</td>
<td>Barracks are necessary to house your engineers and soldiers. Barracks cover 4 full squares and holds 10 soldiers. If you cannot fit all of your men in your original barracks, you must build another one. <strong>1 Barracks = 3 soldiers</strong></td>
<td>Victory Points: 1, Cost: 6, Engineers Needed: 6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temple</td>
<td>A temple provides a place for your people to worship. It is also a place where you can invoke the blessings of the Gods. <strong>1 Temple = 3 coins</strong></td>
<td>Victory Points: 1, Cost: 5, Engineers Needed: 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triumphal Arch</td>
<td>A triumphal arch symbolizes your power and military authority. It is a grand work of architecture to beautify your city and intimidate your enemies. <strong>1 Triumphal Arch = 2 coins + 1 soldier</strong></td>
<td>Victory Points: 1, Cost: 5, Engineers Needed: 6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villa</td>
<td>A villa provides a comfortable residence for you, the governor, to live in. It is also a place where you can impress people and win friends. <strong>1 Villa = 2 engineer + 2 coins</strong></td>
<td>Victory Points: 1, Cost: 6, Engineers Needed: 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Victory Points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Basilica</strong></td>
<td>A basilica is a place used to have town meetings and conduct political business. It can also be used as a meeting place for businesses to interact. A basilica takes up 2 blocks.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1 Basilica</strong> = 2 coins + 1 Engineers + 1 Soldier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Market</strong></td>
<td>A market is a place used to conduct business as well as buy and sell goods and services. A market takes up 2 blocks.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1 Market</strong> = 4 Coins</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baths</strong></td>
<td>Baths are important for the health, recreation, and relaxation of your people. It can also be used as an informal place to make deals and conduct business. Baths take up 2 blocks.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1 Bath</strong> = 1 Engineers + 3 Coins</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Theater</strong></td>
<td>A theater is a place for people to relax and enjoy drama and musical arts. It is also a place to communicate with your public in one place. A theater takes up 4 blocks.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1 Theater</strong> = 5 Coins + 2 Engineers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Citadel</strong></td>
<td>A citadel is an upgrade from the barracks. It is a well-fortified place to house, train, and protect your soldiers. A citadel takes up 4 blocks. A citadel holds 15 soldiers.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1 Citadel</strong> = 4 Soldiers + 2 Engineers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Arena/Stadium</strong></td>
<td>An arena is a great place for your people to relax and enjoy quality entertainment. It is a good way to solidify your political power and you’re your people happy. Entertained people are much less likely to stage a rebellion and toss you out of power. An arena takes up 4 blocks.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1 Arena</strong> = 3 soldier + 5 coins</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Circus</strong></td>
<td>A circus is place for your people to relax and watch the chariot races. It can be used to train your army, showcase your military power as well as entertain your public. A circus takes up 3 blocks going lengthwise.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1 Circus</strong> = 2 soldiers + 5 coins + 2 Engineers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Means that soldiers can be used interchangeably with engineers.
### AP Art History Engagement Observation Form

**Date:** Day 1. 10/23/12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classroom Events</th>
<th>Evidence of Engaged/Disengaged Behavior</th>
<th>Start</th>
<th>Stop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Setting up Class</td>
<td>Me:  &quot;We’re playing our game today.&quot; Gabe:  &quot;All right!&quot; and hands clapping</td>
<td>1:12</td>
<td>1:12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timp Kids Coming in</td>
<td>Rhiannon:  &quot;Are we doing an Activity?&quot; Me:  &quot;Oh yeah, we’re playing our game!&quot; Tom:  &quot;I’m pretty stoked. Is it like Settlers of Catan?&quot; Me:  Kind of Hailey:  (walking in). Are we playing a game? (Intake of delight.) Oh, I thought these were jelly bean candy.</td>
<td>3:30</td>
<td>3:40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have kids set out the piles for the game.</td>
<td></td>
<td>4:00</td>
<td>5:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start class</td>
<td></td>
<td>5:16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quiz</td>
<td>Pull out a white sheet of paper. Rhiannon groans. Kids express worry. I didn't study, etc. &quot;This is rough.&quot; Kids get out their papers. (mute)</td>
<td>5:36</td>
<td>6:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Announcements about grades.</td>
<td>Kids wondering how they did on their tests, etc.</td>
<td>7:00</td>
<td>8:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me talk about objectives for unit.</td>
<td>Explaining how Roman cities are foundation of government. Kids looking fairly attentive. Gabe is writing- paying attention? Not sure.</td>
<td>8:00</td>
<td>10:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quiz- I am still setting up game.</td>
<td>Students looking down at papers. Tad and Rhiannon are asking questions. Students are finished waiting for me to finish setting up.</td>
<td>10:30</td>
<td>17:10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct Quiz</td>
<td>Kids laughing at some answers. Kids getting answer right-hands in the air.</td>
<td>17:30</td>
<td>20:45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduce Game</td>
<td>Me:  We are going to work in teams. Rhiannon (woo hoo). Tad and Jeff are on teams. Tad:  &quot;All right, Jeff. Let's do this!&quot;</td>
<td>21:00</td>
<td>21:21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objectives Game- Why we are in teams</td>
<td>Students are looking interestedly at the new game.</td>
<td>21:50</td>
<td>22:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kids read rules.</td>
<td>(About 5 minutes)</td>
<td>22:30</td>
<td>27:40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did you understand rules?</td>
<td>Pam:  &quot;Yeah, but I'm confused.&quot; Kids are exploring the board. &quot;Oh, it's a magnet. That's cool.&quot; They are pretty interested in the game setup.</td>
<td>27:40</td>
<td>28:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I explain the setup. Review the rules.</td>
<td>Kids seem to be paying attention. Watching me and watching the board. Gabe is rubbing his eyes like he's tired. Rhiannon repeats it back as she understands it and asks questions. As I demonstrate, they are following along. The kids at Lone Peak are having a harder time following along because it's harder to see. Rhiannon is vocalizing her following along and asking questions. As she gets it, &quot;Oh... Gotcha!&quot; Gabe:  &quot;I'm so confused.&quot; Gabe and Pam are figuring it out together at Lone Peak. Tad:  Can I wage war on other cities? So let's say like, with Rhiannon's city? Can I just take her down and destroy her buildings? (turning to Tom) Can we set up an alliance?</td>
<td>28:05</td>
<td>39:19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**CIVITAS: A GAME-BASED APPROACH TO AP ART HISTORY EDUCATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bang!</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Me: Because we’re across different schools. You can’t steal from each other or attack each other.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tad: But I can still steal from Rhiannon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me: No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tad: Second question, do we get extra credit if we win? If we have a phenomenal moment of triumph?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me: Maybe.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Kids seem really excited for the game at Timpanogos. The Lone Peak kids seem a little bit more confused. Tad really likes the win factor; he is competitive. |
| Tad: We’re going to take Rhiannon down. Cindy, you can stay, but Rhiannon’s going down. |

| Kids decide what they want to buy. |
| Muted- can’t hear conversations. |
| Timpanogos kids look really engaged. The phone conversation seems to be working just fine for Tad and Jeff. |
| Gabe is scratching his head. Sam keeps looking up, perhaps at Tad and Jeff’s projected board to help her figure out what is going on. |
| Looks like good conversations about what to buy. Lots of movement, very kinesthetic, picking up and moving things, cashing in coins, moving around engineers and soldiers. Everyone seems to be very engaged. |
| Conversations are mostly about what to buy and what they want to build. |
| Gabe keeps looking at the camera. Is he finished? Does he have a question and is trying to capture my attention? 44:30 Lone Peak is done. |
| Having them work in pairs is good because they are talking through their decisions and telling each other why that is a good idea or bad idea. |

| Action Rounds |
| Me: Okay, now it’s time to do action cards. |
| Tad: Watch Rhi get hit by a hurricane. |
| Roads: Have a road, gain 3 coins. |
| Rhiannon: Wait, all of us? |
| Tad: Score! We’re scoring! |
| Cindy: This is fun! |
| Drought: If you have aqueduct, gain 3. No aqueducts, lose 3 coins. |
| While I am reading it, lots of comments. Woo hoo. |
| Training exercises: If you have 8 soldiers, gain 3. Explaining, cashing in people vs. just using people for projects. Questions, and answering. Realizing that the action rounds have rewards and consequences. Clarifying with students |

| 39:20 | 46:33 |

<p>| 47:00 | 51:00 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>51:30</td>
<td><strong>Round 2: Collect and Buy</strong> Use Tad and Jeff's as the example for everyone. Lots of activity of people gathering coins, soldiers, and engineers. I am walking around answering questions. Everyone looks excited to collect. Some discussion, even sometimes argument (which shows interest and engagement) about what to buy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57:00</td>
<td><strong>Action Rounds:</strong> Taxes: 8 coins. Raise taxes from people. Use Tad and Jeff's as an example. Explain what you do if you don't have any money left. Hailey: So we have to give up the soldiers in the bean bucket? Me: Yep. Put them back in the bean bucket. Tad: They go back to soldier heaven. (students counting out the soldiers) Me: Maybe you hired out your soldiers as slaves to have money. Tad: Or mercenaries, then they'll come back with more money. Answer question about collecting versus Action rounds. Most of the students have to cash in soldiers. Draft: Give up 5 soldiers. Tad: Five? We are taking hits left and right. Hailey: Our poor soldiers! Cindy: These ones you get rid of. Cindy is explaining to Rhiannon what they have to give up. Religious Holiday: If you have temple, receive 3 coins, if not give up 3 coins. Rhiannon: Crap, more soldiers. Tad: We have to pay coins or give up more soldiers? Good Gandhi, all of our soldiers are getting hit? We're getting slammed, Jeff. Me: Cindy, why don't you roll? Cindy: I don't want to roll! Tad: Let's all hate on Cindy. Rhiannon: Roll a good one, Cindy. Reinforcements: Rome sends 3 soldiers per barracks. Citadel, gain 5 soldiers. Tad: Yeah! We get nine! Tom: We get six! Rhiannon: Yes! Me: Okay, we're going to take a little break from our game and watch a movie called Roman City. Rhiannon: Ohhh. (Sad, she wants to keep playing). They are wondering if we can keep playing. I tell them we have</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CIVITAS: A GAME-BASED APPROACH TO AP ART HISTORY EDUCATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hand out worksheet to go with Macaulay movie.</td>
<td>While they are watching the movie, they can collect.</td>
<td>1:00:27 1:00:40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start video</td>
<td>Kids are mostly collecting. Looking for a pencil. Most of the students are looking up, but they are listening to the video. Seth is watching. Cindy is watching. They appear to be pretty engaged. Looking at the video and taking notes. I can tell when the video gave them an answer, they all lean over and write.</td>
<td>1:00:40 1:18:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remind the students about homework. Make connections between Roman City and cities today.</td>
<td>Have the students take a picture of their board with their phone in case things get messed up between the days.</td>
<td>1:18:30 1:21:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cade and Sam ask about homework.</td>
<td>Students are cleaning up the game and putting everything back in bags, etc. Bell rings and give back some of their tests.</td>
<td>1:21:00 1:23:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 minutes</td>
<td>Read and review rules. Approx.</td>
<td>22:30 39:19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approx. 7 minutes</td>
<td>Kids decide what to buy for Round 1.</td>
<td>39:20 46:33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 minutes</td>
<td>Round 1 Action Rounds.</td>
<td>47:00 51:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5 minutes</td>
<td>Kids decide what to buy for Round 2.</td>
<td>51:30 57:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Round 2 Action Rounds.</td>
<td>57:00 1:00:26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 minutes</td>
<td>Video. Kids really seemed to like the video.</td>
<td>1:00:30 1:18:40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix F

### AP Art History Engagement Observation Form

**Date:** Day 2. 10/25/12  
(Rhiannon, Cindy), (Hailey, Tom), (Tad, Jeff), Gabe, Pam (Gabe and Pam individuals)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classroom Events</th>
<th>Evidence of Engaged/Disengaged Behavior</th>
<th>Start</th>
<th>Stop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Setting up Class and game. Waiting for other students.</td>
<td>(We have slightly different bell schedules between schools, so sometimes we have to wait for the other students to get there.)</td>
<td>0:00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start Class. Announcements.</td>
<td>Rhiannon: &quot;Quiet. I want to play the game and win!&quot;</td>
<td>5:58</td>
<td>8:48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rhiannon: Do we get to watch the movie? Yes! Someone else: Which one? Rhiannon: The cool cartoon with the evil girl.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Pass out laptops for them to record ideas. | Me: What are some benefits that you feel like you are already getting from this game?  
Hailey: To recognize the names of architecture.  
Me: Yes.  
Tom: Their functions.  
Me: Yes, to recognize the works of architecture and their functions, and you have to repeat them over and over because you’re talking about them all the time. Any other ideas?  
Hailey: It’s pretty fun.  
Tom: To understand why they planned the cities the way they did. What uses of the aqueducts or whatever, how they set up their city planning?  
Me: Exactly. Do you feel like you have to do any problem solving in this game?  
Tad: Yeah. There are only two water sources and you have to connect all your stuff to them,  
Me: Why I want you to do is between every 2 rounds, I wanted to ask you guys. What have you learned about city planning and how will that affect your choices for these next two rounds? It's just a chance to evaluate and think. | 9:48  |       |
| Students type up response for Round 1 and 2. | Students type their responses. Sam isn’t typing. Is she confused? | 10:46 |       |
| Build for round 3.                      | Even though it is on mute, the students look excited and active. I remember the students having great conversations with each other. I like having the students in teams because they can help each other count and make sure that they aren't cheating. They also are talking through the thinking process. We should buy this because we need this, etc. | 17:10 | 21:44 |
| Action Round 3                         | Jeff rolls a 3.  
Me: 3. Taxes.  
Tad: Ah man.  
Me: Caesar wants taxes from your city. At least 8 coins.  
Rhiannon: We only have 3 victory points. (Cindy, no, we have [this and that]. Oh, right, we have 6. | 21:44 | 26:17 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collect Resources and Build.</th>
<th>Me: Okay, it is time to collect resources again and build.</th>
<th>26:18</th>
<th>33:40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Round 4</td>
<td>Students excitedly collect their money, soldiers, and engineers.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| *The actions that are have to have building and roads, or building and aqueducts, get really confusing. Have it just be one or the other. | 4 is rolled. Foray with Barbarians. Need at least 12 soldiers. If have 12, gain 3 soldiers and 4 coins. If not at least 12, lose 5 soldiers. Most of the students have 12. Hailey: Yeah, we have 12 this time! | 33:41 | 39:40 |

| Pam rolls a 5. Health and hygiene depend upon public baths. If you have 3 aqueducts and a bath, gain 2 engineers and 1 soldier. If not lose 5 soldiers and 5 engineers. (I can hear “crap” and “sad” and sighs. I don’t know if anyone had this.) Cindy: Shut up. I told you that we should have bought one. Rhiannon: Nuh, uh. You said we should have a market! | Rhiannon rolls a 6. Good economy. The addition of a market/basilica as well as roads contribute to economic prosperity. If you have a market and 4 roads, gain 2 coins. At least 2 roads, break even. If no market or basilica, lose 6 coins. Gabe: I have a market but no roads. What does that mean? Me: You don’t have any roads? (This is a chance for me to double check that they understand they have to have aqueducts and | | |
roads. I can't see their board at Lone Peak, so this is a reminder for them. This is one of the difficulties of playing this game across schools.)

(laughter, "What are you building?")

Pam: So can you specify what we need for the roads, because I don't remember reading that, and I'm confused.

Me: I review the rules.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I play the movie while they collect</td>
<td>Show the movie.</td>
<td>39:40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>resources.</td>
<td>I stop the movie occasionally to review something or bring something to their attention. The students seem to like the movie. They laugh at appropriate places and enjoy the story line.</td>
<td>52:50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lecture with presentation</td>
<td>Students get out their graphic organizers. Go over some of the questions that follow the movie. Talk about the administrative structure in Rome. Talk about aqueducts, roads, etc.</td>
<td>52:54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clip from History Channel. Appropriating ideas from other cultures. Road building.</td>
<td>58:29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Stop presentation and talk about homework. | Me: What did you learn about your city governments? Jeff, what did you learn about Orem city government? What does city government do for us? Jeff: A lot of it is public safety stuff, like the police and fire department. But besides that there are the parks, the libraries, the sewage, city planners, and stuff like that. Me: Okay. Now we have a National Guard for the country, but we do have something in the city level that keeps the peace, right? Rhiannon: Police Me: So if you think about our policemen and how they keep order, in the cities a lot of time they would hire ex-soldiers to keep the peace, to be cops, and they did have a police force in the Roman cities as well. They also had a Roman law system, lawyers, and all that stuff too. Where did the lawyers meet? Tom: The basilica. (Others are trying to remember, and it comes to their mind, the basilica.) Me: Gabe and Pam, did you guys find out anything about Highland city government? Any issues coming up for Highland? Pam: We both talked about how proposition 6, which is whether or not to let businesses be open on Sundays, right now in Highland they’re not open on Sundays, they’re not allowed to be. And that would affect it because if they were open on Sundays more businesses would come to build in Highland, thus attracting a higher population. And I know that I, myself, really like how it’s not as... uh, I think it’s good. I like the size. So that’s a big issue that will be decided on November 6. Gabe: And then I was reading something else that was talking about land ordinances. I didn’t fully understand it, but it was kind of about land sites, which was interesting. Pam: Yeah, because Highland is newer, it’s a newer development, so we have lots of land and businesses and new things opening up in our area. Me: That’s right. Now did you guys know that there is zoning in each city. There are residential areas and there are business areas, and so if you want to have more businesses come, the city council has to zone it that way. That can make a lot of residents
angry. Maybe you don’t want a Wal-Mart in your backyard with all the light pollution and all the traffic and all the noise, and yet at the same time, businesses bring in a lot more money than residential does. So you can tax more from a business than from a private citizen.

Tad: It’s like down by Harmons they have an empty lot that was empty for eight years, but finally they got all the signatures from all the residents over there to build a law firm.

Rhiannon: I have one for Orem. My mom told this one. They have Geneva Steel down by the lake, and I guess the people that live in that area are annoyed because it is lowering their property value because it’s so ugly and disgusting and all the gases and whatever. So they want the city to build a shopping center to increase property value but it was sold a couple of years ago to a company in China so they can’t do that. So the people living there are really mad because they sold the land to China and now it’s stuck ugly for a while.

Me: Which brings up another question, when the city buys it, where does the city get their money.

Cindy: Tax.

Me: From the taxes. From the people. So is it fair for the citizens who live on the east side that don’t live anywhere near Geneva that their tax dollars are used to buy a steel mill so that people over there’s property taxes can go up.

Cindy: (loudly) No. I want them to raise my property value.

Me: If they build a shopping mall there, though, and it increased the residents coming in, they could build more…

Cindy: They could get more taxes.

Me: They could get more revenue from that. In Orem, did any of you do any investigation on the Midtown Village?

Cindy: Okay, I missed all of these… No.

Tom: It’s the big unfinished building on state street.

(class, oh, yeah, yeah, I know. Rhiannon: I want them to build a haunted house there, it’s so ugly.)

Me: It’s so ugly right? And yet it was privately developed and they were told by their financiers not to build the second one until the first one had sold all of the spots. Well, they went ahead and built the second one anyway, but they didn’t fill all the spots in the first one, so they went bankrupt. So we have this big, ugly monstrous building that is part of our city, and every time people drive through the city, it reflects on Orem, whether we like it or not. (Tom: yeah.) So, should the city step in and buy it?

Tom: I read about it. It would cost more, since it’s been left out unfinished, everything in it got ruined. So it would cost way more to fix it than to tear it down, that’s what I heard.

Rhiannon: That’s ridiculous.

Me: So, you can see city government is rather complex, there are all kinds of things, there are issues about zoning, issues about… One of the big things also about Orem, did you see on the main page that list of taxes.

Rhiannon: Yeah, yeah, the percentage of what your taxes go for?

Me: Originally, Orem city was just going to raise the taxes, the city council voted. But the people, the citizens, in Orem got really mad that they weren’t part of that decision. I actually signed a petition, the lady across the street from me, went out and
collected 5,000 signatures from residents that weren’t angry that they weren’t allowed to at least have a vote on that. There were three different meetings that were held by the citizens, and did you read on the main page what happened? They lowered it from a 50% increase to a 25% increase, based on the voices of the citizens, and then the city. If you look on the website, you can see where the tax dollars go, and if you look at this page, where does most of the taxes go?

Rhiannon: The schools

Cindy: The schools! Yeah! (fist pumping)

Me: So does the city government directly impact you? Yes, because about 2/3 of what the city spends goes toward your education.

Tad: That is WAY more than I thought.

Cindy: Yeah, it is.

Me: So it’s kind of interesting, people talk about how schools don’t get enough money, teachers don’t get paid enough, the technology is bad… well, where is that money coming from? It’s coming from the citizens.

Rhiannon: You’d think that because like 68% or whatever, that the teachers could get paid more, you know?

Tad: But there are a lot of other things that it has to pay for… water, electricity, all the computers we buy… Internet for a school is probably so much.

Rhiannon: Yeah, but look 67% of all of the taxes?

Me: Speaking of which, Utopia is another big red flag issue.

Rhiannon: What is utopia?

Hailey: Yeah, I read about that.

Me: Utopia is building an infrastructure. Now in your Roman city, you’re building an infrastructure, aren’t you? And what is your infrastructure? Roads and aqueducts because they provide key mandatory services that are indispensable. Right? Well utopia is a fiber-optic internet network…

Tad: It is so fast.

Me: They literally have to dig up the roads, and that’s why there’s been a lot of construction to put in this fiber-optic wire. Now the big problem that people have is that Orem city spent hundreds of thousands of dollars to build this infrastructure. They decided, “This is where technology is going and we want our city to be technologically the best in the state.” However, a lot of citizens felt like this is not a public sector area, this is a private sector area. Why aren’t the internet companies building this infrastructure? Why am I spending thousands of dollars of taxpayer money so that internet services can have better internet. So running a city is not a simple business and I hope that by looking at some of this information about Orem city that you are also finding some information about Rome. And as you build your Roman city you can think about some issues that we have today.

Wrap up instruction. Give homework. Give out the architecture sheet to everyone. Have them research a famous Roman building on one side and its modern-day corollary on the other side. Tie the game to other works of architecture we are talking about in Rome and in 2012 our cities today.

1:14:30 1:22:00
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Students choose what to build.</th>
<th>They are having fun. There is a lot of interaction between the teams. They are talking about money and about how they have cooler stuff than the others. As teams finish up, they are talking about off-topic things. Tad and Jeff still aren’t done.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Hardly anyone did this homework. I don’t know if my instructions were clear enough or if it sounded more like a suggestion than an actual assignment.</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
## City Government Assignment Assessment Rubric
### Written Response and Class Discussion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Acceptable</th>
<th>Incomplete</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What things does a mayor and city council do to run a city?</td>
<td>Student makes a detailed and accurate list explaining the roles of the mayor and city council in city government. 10 points</td>
<td>Student answers the question, but doesn’t go into much depth. 7 points.</td>
<td>Student does not address the role of the mayor and city council. 0 points.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What amenities or services does your city provide?</td>
<td>Students accurately state a fairly complete (though not exhaustive) list of amenities and services. Student shows evidence of depth of research, such as examining the city council’s minutes. 10 points</td>
<td>Students accurately state a fairly complete (though not exhaustive) list of amenities and services. 7 points.</td>
<td>Students do not address any services or amenities that the city provides. 0 points.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What are concerns or problems our city has to deal with?</td>
<td>Students show that they understand the problems in the city. Evidence indicates that they not only identify problems but also some root causes. Students have researched into current issues, either through interview, newspaper, websites, etc. 10 points</td>
<td>Students show that they understand the problems in the city. They identify them, but don’t go into as much depth as to any root causes or tangent issues involved. 7 points</td>
<td>Students do not address the current problems of the city. 0 points.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On election day, are there any issues on the ballot for your town?</td>
<td>Students have identified items on the ballot for election day. Students show evaluation and judgment by indicating how they would vote. 7 points</td>
<td>Students identify items on the ballot for election day, but don’t take a personal stance on how they would vote. 7 points.</td>
<td>Students do not address items on the ballot for election day. 0 points.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How are administrative issues similar in today’s cities as Ancient Roman cities?</td>
<td>Students can apply information into a new situation, from today’s cities to Ancient Roman cities. Description is clearly communicated and complete. 10 points</td>
<td>Students make applications, but writing is limited and lacks detail 7 points.</td>
<td>Students do not address how today’s cities are similar to Ancient Roman cities. 0 points.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix H

Rome Multiple Choice/Slide ID Test

*Note: Formatting is slightly different because of change in page margin

Name:

1. The sculpture on the left was made in which period?
   (A) Hellenistic Greek
   (B) Republican Roman
   (C) Imperial Roman
   (D) Early Christian

2. The sculpture on the left deliberately evokes which earlier work?
   (A) Polykleitos' Doryphoros
   (B) Donatello's David
   (C) Myron's Diskobolos
   (D) Praxiteles' Hermes and the Infant Dionysus

3. A function of both sculptures was to
   (A) honor a bishop
   (B) portray a ruler
   (C) memorialize a patrician
   (D) serve as a funerary monument

4. Which of the following best describes the sculpture on the left?
   (A) It is more realistic than idealized.
   (B) It communicates only through allegory.
   (C) It encourages meditation and introspection.
   (D) It conveys a strong message about power and authority.

5. Both images were probably originally intended for
   (A) public display
   (B) private veneration
   (C) placement in tombs
   (D) use as ornamentation

6. The sculpture on the right recalls work from which period?
   (A) Archaic Greek
   (B) Classical Greek
   (C) Hellenistic Greek
   (D) Etruscan

7. The visual characteristics of the sculpture on the right reflect
   (A) a glorified interpretation of history
   (B) the origins of Christian sculpture
   (C) the persistence of Augustan ideals
   (D) the growing political unrest of its era
1. The work is from which culture?
   (A) Sumerian
   (B) Mycenaean
   (C) Etruscan
   (D) Minoan

2. The medium of the work is
   (A) terracotta
   (B) marble
   (C) bronze
   (D) wood

3. The work is
   (A) a krater
   (B) an acroterion
   (C) a stele
   (D) a sarcophagus

4. Works such as the one shown were typically placed in
   (A) an atrium
   (B) a necropolis
   (C) a treasury
   (D) a temple

5. The work depicts a
   (A) king and queen
   (B) god and goddess
   (C) husband and wife
   (D) master and slave

6. The work primarily reveals the influence of ancient
   (A) Crete
   (B) Rome
   (C) Assyria
   (D) Greece

7. The pose of the figures suggests that they are
   (A) sleeping
   (B) banqueting
   (C) praying
   (D) grieving

8. The culture in which the work was produced is known for
   (A) the relative equality of women
   (B) the centralization of political authority
   (C) its emphasis on military victories
   (D) human sacrifices
1. Both wall paintings are from
   (A) Ravenna
   (B) Tarquinia
   (C) Paestum
   (D) Pompeii

2. The wall painting on the right was located in a
   (A) catacomb
   (B) home
   (C) temple
   (D) basilica

3. The wall painting on the left is probably related to
   (A) a mystery cult
   (B) ancient Roman history
   (C) ancestor worship
   (D) an epic narrative

4. The illusion of depth in both paintings is created by all of the following EXCEPT
   (A) highlights
   (B) overlapping figures
   (C) marbleized panels
   (D) modeling

5. The two figures in the slide on the right are
   (A) the mythological founders of Rome
   (B) a sibyl and a scribe
   (C) a married couple
   (D) a teacher and student

6. When did the wall painting on the left come to public attention?
   (A) In the aftermath of bombings of the Second World War
   (B) During eighteenth-century archaeological excavations
   (C) After the sack of Rome in 1527
   (D) During the Visigoth invasion of Italy

7. The objects held by the figures in the slide on the right refer to
   (A) religion
   (B) music
   (C) astrology
   (D) literacy
CIVITAS: A GAME-BASED APPROACH TO AP ART HISTORY EDUCATION

**Architectural Types (12 points)**

Draw an arch and label the keystone and voussoirs:   Draw a barrel vault:

Draw a groin vault (from birds eye view):   Draw a cross-barrel vault (birds eye view:)

**History Matching: (10 points)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Romulus</th>
<th>Pax Romana</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Octavian</td>
<td>Carthage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constantine</td>
<td>Pompeii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titus</td>
<td>Hadrian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spartacus</td>
<td>Julius Caesar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. Philosopher emperor who designed the Pantheon and built a boundary wall in Britain.  
B. City that was destroyed by a Volcano and preserved.  
D. Member of the second triumvirate who became the first official emperor and renamed himself Augustus.  
E. Killed his twin brother and founded Rome.  
F. City that fought against Rome in the Punic Wars.  
G. Emperor who declared Christianity the official state religion.  
H. Slave that led a revolt and was punished severely.  
I. Famous general who shifted government from Republican to Imperial.  
J. Famous general who defeated the Jews and built many military monuments.
CIVITAS: A GAME-BASED APPROACH TO AP ART HISTORY EDUCATION

Vocabulary (14 points)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Podium</th>
<th>Verism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atrium</td>
<td>Tesserae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keystone</td>
<td>Insulae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilaster</td>
<td>Oculus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosaic</td>
<td>Frigidarium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresco</td>
<td>Amphitheater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumulus</td>
<td>Barrel Vault</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. A round mound-shaped underground tomb  
B. An individual piece of stone within a mosaic  
C. A large, circular or semicircular, arena used for watching plays or hearing speakers  
D. Painting created by painting onto wet plaster  
E. Another word for realism  
F. The central top stone in an arch.  
G. The base upon which a temple or other building is raised.  
H. The central courtyard of a Roman villa.  
I. A Roman apartment building.  
J. A long extended architectural space in the shape of an arch.  
K. A square-shaped column, usually used as decoration in walls.  
L. The coldest watered pools in the public baths.  
M. A circular opening in the ceiling.  
N. A picture created by putting small pieces of stone together.

Multiple Choice:  
1. The reliefs depicted on this arch contain scenes of:  
   a. Constantine moving the capitol  
   b. The sacking of Jerusalem  
   c. Caesar’s victories in Gaul  
   d. Septimius Severus defeat of the Dacians

2. The primary architectural device used was adapted from:  
   a. the Etruscans  
   b. the Greeks  
   c. the Egyptians  
   d. the Carthaginians
CIVITAS: A GAME-BASED APPROACH TO AP ART HISTORY EDUCATION

3. This work was built in the:
   a. first century B.C.
   b. first century C.E.
   c. second century C.E.
   d. third century C.E.

4. The Romans MOST valued:
   a. the glory of the human body
   b. hard work and enterprise
   c. the glory of Rome before individual glory
   d. enjoyment and relaxation

5. This portrait is an example of:
   a. abstraction
   b. emotion
   c. conceptualism
   d. verism

6. The impluvium is in the middle of the:
   a. atrium
   b. peristyle
   c. cubiculum
   d. thermae

7. Mosaics were made up of:
   a. glazed ceramic
   b. ceramic with slip
   c. tesserae of wood
   d. tesserae of marble

8. Etruscan tombs were called:
   a. tumulus
   b. tholos
   c. dromos
   d. tufa

9. Which of the following statements are not true:
   a. Greek temples have more exterior decoration than Roman temples.
   b. Roman temples do not have a stylobate.
   c. Roman temples use engaged columns and pilasters.
   d. Greek temples are made of marble and Roman temples are made of concrete.

10. The above sculpture was created primarily to:
    a. decorate a new building in Rome
    b. be political propaganda for Augustus
    c. pay tribute to gods and goddesses
    d. showcase Roman verism
11. The above sculpture echoes:
   a. the frieze of the Temple of Artemis
   b. Greek ceramic painting
   c. the Temple of Zeus
   d. the Panathenaic Way

12. Roman architecture was known primarily for its:
   a. practicality and utility
   b. cost and extravagance
   c. devotion to Deity
   d. obsession for military

13. The center of the Roman city was the:
   a. basilica
   b. forum
   c. temple
   d. baths

---

### Slide ID

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title of Piece</th>
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<th>Time Period</th>
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</thead>
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### Extra Credit Slides

| 1. |         |
| 2. |         |
| 3. |         |
Appendix I

**Rome Essay Test**

1. The slides show two views of the same building.

Identify the building. Analyze how innovative elements were used both in the design and the **construction** of the building.

2. Identify the structure shown below. In what ways does this structure reflect the **Imperial aspirations** of the early Roman Empire?
3. Romans are famous for their city planning and engineering. What are some of the main components of a Roman City, and how did these works of architecture show planning and address the needs of its citizenry?

4. What were the virtues and values that Romans prized as part of their culture? Choose two sculptures and one work of architecture (that you haven’t discussed in your other essays) that represent the values of Rome?