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ABSTRACT

Postpartum Depression in Immigrant Hispanic Women: A Comparative Community Sample

Laura M. Shellman
College of Nursing, BYU
Master of Science

Purpose: This study was initiated to verify whether a remarkably high rate of postpartum depression (PPD), previously found in immigrant Hispanic women receiving health care at a community clinic, would also be found in a community sample with a similar demographic.

Data Sources: Sixty immigrant Hispanic women who had recently given birth were recruited from local community settings. The Beck Postpartum Depression Screening Scale-Spanish version (PPDS-S) and the General Acculturation Index (GAI) were used to screen for PPD symptoms and to collect demographic data. These data were then compared with previously published data from the community clinic sample.

Conclusions: Sixty percent of the immigrant Hispanic women in our community sample showed significant PPD symptoms as scored on the Beck PPDS-S. There was no significant difference found in demographics or in types of PPD symptoms between the clinic sample and the community sample. Of all the demographic data, the only statistically significant positive predictive factor for increased PPD symptoms was having a previous history of depression. In addition, 54% of the sample had an elevated symptom content profile score for suicidal thinking.

Implications for Practice: Health practitioners should be aware of a potentially high rate of PPD in this population, especially in light of previously studied increased rates of suicide attempts in Latinas. If a prior history of depression is predictive of PPD, it is possible that many of the mothers in our sample suffered from depression prior to the postpartum period, but were not appropriately diagnosed or treated. Recommendations for outreach and further research are discussed. In particular, further research regarding the prenatal prevalence of depression in immigrant Hispanic women is recommended in order to further understand the high incidence of PPD.

Keywords: postpartum depression, depression, mental health, Hispanic, Latina, suicide, prenatal, perinatal, screening, health intervention, social support, stigma, outreach, cultural competence
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Postpartum Depression in Immigrant Hispanic Women: 
A Comparative Community Sample

Researchers agree that postpartum depression (PPD) is the most common complication of childbirth, yet despite its far-reaching consequences it often goes unrecognized and untreated (Dennis & Chung-Lee, 2006; O’Hara, 2009; Sit & Wisner, 2009). The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS) reported the prevalence of significant PPD symptoms throughout the United States (U.S.). Using data gathered between 2004 and 2005 from a representative sample of the population in 17 states, self-reported PPD symptoms were present in 11.7-20.4% of the sample (Centers for Disease Control [CDC], 2008). The PRAMS study also found that Hispanic women in Utah had higher rates of PPD symptoms (16.7%) when compared with non-Hispanic white women (12.8%), a finding consistent with the other states surveyed. No differentiation, however, was made between foreign born or U.S. born Hispanics in the study. Characteristics found to be associated with PPD symptoms in all races included young maternal age, being unmarried, having a lower level of education, Medicaid coverage for delivery, previous physical abuse, or traumatic, emotional and/or financial stress during pregnancy (CDC, 2008). These characteristics have also been identified within immigrant Hispanic populations (Fung & Dennis, 2010; Heilemann, Frutos, Lee, & Kury, 2004; Howell, Mora, Horowitz, & Leventhal, 2005).

The rising Utah Hispanic population demonstrates the need to address their unique prenatal needs. In fact, during the 2010 census 13% of Utah’s population identified themselves as Hispanic, an increase of 68.7% over the previous 10 years (Motel, 2012). Korinek and Smith (2011) found that all groups of Utah Hispanic women (undocumented immigrants, documented immigrants, and American-born) obtained prenatal care at lower levels than non-Hispanic white
women. This underscores that the immigrant Hispanic community in Utah is a vulnerable population.

A recent study using the Spanish Version of the Beck Postpartum Depression Screening Scale (PDSS-S) conducted at a community health center in a central Utah county found a startlingly high prevalence of significant PPD symptoms. Of the 96 immigrant Hispanic women in the convenience sample, 54% were found to have significant symptoms of PPD (Lucero, Beckstrand, Callister, & Birkhead, 2012). The percentage of subjects with high scores on the Beck PPDS-S was considerably greater than the 16.7% of Utah Hispanic women who self-reported PPD symptoms in the PRAMS study (CDC, 2008).

Lucero and colleagues (2012) postulated that the high prevalence of PPD symptoms might be attributable to the convenience sample: women actively seeking health care in a clinic setting. To test this hypothesis we proposed conducting a similar study recruiting strictly from a community setting. The purpose of this study, therefore, was to (1) determine the prevalence of significant PPD symptoms in a community sample of Hispanic women and (2) to compare those findings with the previously gathered data from the clinic sample. We hypothesized that the community sample would show a significantly lower prevalence of PPD symptoms than had been found in the clinic sample.

In addition, Lucero et al. (2012) recommended that additional demographics be studied to determine whether or not commonalities existed that might indicate risk factors for PPD. The identification of such risk factors could then be used for identifying and appropriately treating Hispanic women with the potential for PPD. Therefore, it was hoped that the data of the clinic and community samples could be combined and analyzed to determine whether there were any
statistically significant demographic features that could be associated with the presence of significant PPD symptoms.

Verifying that a high prevalence of PPD exists within the local immigrant Hispanic community, or determining if any demographic predictor of PPD symptoms could be found might be of benefit to practitioners in deciding how to most effectively screen their increasing numbers of immigrant Hispanic patients and direct the disbursement of limited perinatal support resources.

Literature Review

The consequences of unrecognized, untreated PPD to individuals, families, and communities are significant. Left untreated, PPD symptoms can hinder the relationship between mother and child, lead to increased maternal substance abuse, and decrease overall familial satisfaction and parental confidence. Furthermore, PPD places infants at higher risk for maternal-infant attachment issues and can adversely affect outcomes in social, behavioral, cognitive, and other aspects of childhood development (Boyd, Zayas, & McKee, 2006; O’Hara, 2009; Sit & Wisner, 2009).

Hispanic women living in the U.S. have come to the attention of PPD researchers as the U.S. Hispanic population has increased so rapidly through both immigration and a high birth rate. Using data from the 2010 census, the Pew Hispanic Center found that between 2000 and 2010, the number of foreign born Hispanics in the U.S. increased by 33.2% and the number of native born Hispanics increased by 51%. To further illustrate the high birth rate in the Hispanic population, 23% of U.S. births in 2010 were attributed to Hispanic women, while only 16.4% of the total U.S. population was Hispanic (Motel, 2012).
Researchers also report numerous risk factors for PPD prevalent within the Hispanic population. Several stressors commonly found in the daily life of many immigrant Hispanic mothers increase a woman’s risk of PPD: financial hardship, unemployment, stressful life events including emotional or physical trauma prior to or during immigration, poor housing, lack of higher education, young maternal age, and single status. Other stressors include difficulties related to the acculturation process such as perceived cultural discrimination, lack of social support and networks, and language barriers (Davila, McFall, & Cheng, 2009; Fung and Dennis, 2010; Rich-Edwards et al., 2006; Surkan, Peterson, Hughes, & Gottlieb, 2006). Recent immigrants may be particularly at risk because the social supports and networks utilized in their culture of origin are no longer present (Heilemann et al., 2004; Martinez-Schallmoser, Telleen, & MacMullen, 2003). Some immigrant women leave children in their native countries which has been found to lead to increased risk for depression (Miranda, Siddique, Der-Martirosian, & Belin, 2005).

Interestingly, studies have found that while Hispanic women may be at increased risk for PPD, they are also less likely to recognize or acknowledge their own symptoms. In addition, health care providers are less likely to identify PPD symptoms in Hispanic women (Chaudron, Kitzman, Peifer, Morrow, Perez, & Newman, 2005; Howell et al., 2005). If health care providers do not routinely screen for PPD, Hispanic American women are not likely to reveal their PPD symptoms (Chaudron et al., 2005). Even when PPD screening occurs, less acculturated Hispanic patients may not understand the questions which could lead to misdiagnosis. In addition, practitioners may not identify PPD symptoms due to language misunderstandings or the patient’s hesitation to acknowledge her symptoms (Chaudron et al., 2010).
Immigrant women can view their PPD symptoms through varied cultural lenses and may perceive those symptoms as a threat to their idea of the ideal mother (Abrams & Curran, 2011). As a result, the stigma commonly associated with a mental illness, such as depression, may prevent immigrant Hispanic women from acknowledging their illness or from seeking care for it. Even if stigma is not a barrier, many other barriers exist that may prevent adequate treatment for PPD. Some barriers to health care common to the immigrant Hispanic population include: lack of insurance coverage, inadequate transportation and childcare, improper legal documentation, and limited knowledge regarding the U.S. health care system or where affordable care can be accessed (Cabassa & Zayas, 2007; Callister, Beckstrand, & Corbett, 2011; Fung and Dennis, 2010; Wallace, Pomery, Latimer, Martinez, & Salovey, 2010). Even when Hispanic women receive care for PPD, they are less likely to receive follow-up treatment or to refill a prescription for PPD than white, non-Hispanic women (Kozhimannil, Trinacty, Busch, Huskamp, & Adams, 2011). Furthermore, Hispanic women often delay health care in general because they first solicit advice from their family and friends and may use these traditional, informal sources for help before seeking medical care from the health system at large (Cabassa & Zayas, 2007; Callister et al., 2011; Garcés, Scarinci, & Harrison, 2006).

With so many difficulties preventing Hispanic women from seeking treatment for their depression, it is especially important for health care providers to demonstrate cultural sensitivity and cultural competence. Sanchez-Birkhead, Kennedy, Callister, and Miyamoto (2011) interviewed immigrant Hispanic women regarding perceived discrimination from health care providers who were unfamiliar with Hispanic culture. The women stated that perceived discrimination led to stressful and sometimes long-lasting emotional barriers to seeking health care, and influenced where they chose to seek future care. Such negative experiences may further
contribute to a decreased use of mental health services by Hispanic women thereby increasing their overall vulnerability as a population (Huang, Appel, & Ai, 2011).

It has been proposed that increased acculturation in Hispanic women may play a role in increased self-recognition of PPD symptoms, thus increasing the likelihood that acculturated women will seek medical care for their PPD symptoms. For example, Heilemann et al. (2004) found that Hispanic women who had lived in the U.S. since childhood reported significantly more depressive symptoms than women who had spent their childhood in Mexico. However, it is difficult to determine whether these women actually experienced more overt symptoms of depression, or if they were simply more likely to recognize and report their symptoms. Davila, McFall and Cheng (2009) also found that higher levels of acculturation were associated with higher levels of reported symptoms of depression among Latinas; however, they also reported that research findings on the relationship between acculturation and PPD symptoms has been inconsistent. Additionally, many different definitions of acculturation exist, which may further contribute to the difficulty found in coming to a consensus on how such a complex and multi-faceted concept relates to PPD (Beck, 2006; Thomson & Hoffman-Goetz, 2009).

Methodology

Setting

Following institutional review board approval, a community convenience sample was recruited by inviting immigrant Hispanic women to participate in a survey as they arrived to shop at a community market in Utah. Participants were also recruited as they participated in Hispanic community fairs in the area. All data were collected between May of 2010 and November of 2011.
Participants

The PDSS-S questionnaire requires that postpartum women choose responses based on how they have felt in the past 2 weeks (Beck & Gable, 2003), so immigrant Hispanic women who were between 2 weeks and 1 year postpartum were invited to participate. To be eligible for participation, the women were required to identify themselves as Hispanic and be able to read Spanish. Exclusion criteria included those who had given birth within 2 weeks of the survey date or longer than 12 months of the survey date. No inquiry was made regarding immigration status.

Procedure

Recruitment and survey administration was performed by fluent Spanish-speaking investigators. An expert trained in the administration and scoring of the PDSS was present during survey administration. Eligible subjects were identified and then introduced to the study. Those who agreed to participate were provided with a consent form written in Spanish. Eligible participants who agreed to participate and signed the consent form were asked to complete questionnaires regarding postpartum depression symptoms, acculturation, and demographic information. Total time to complete all parts of the study was approximately 20 minutes. Participants were assured that the information they shared during the survey would be kept confidential.

Subjects were asked to first complete the PDSS-S which was scored on-site. If a participant’s score was found to be significant for PPD symptoms, she was made aware of her score and was then referred to a community health center for free follow-up and treatment, if desired. While the PDSS-S was being scored, the women completed the General Acculturation Index (GAI) instrument. Data collection continued until 60 eligible study participants completed the study. Each participant was given a $20 Visa gift card as compensation for their time.
**Instrument Selection**

The PDSS-S is a well-known, frequently used tool for finding the prevalence of PPD in Hispanic women with well-established diagnostic accuracy (Reuland, Cherrington, Watkins, Bradford, Blanco, & Gaynes, 2009). It is constructed using 35 Likert-style questions on a scale from strongly disagree (1), to strongly agree (5). The PDSS total score has a possible range from a minimum of 35 points to a maximum of 175 points. In the Spanish version of the PDSS (PDSS-S), women scoring between 35 and 59 points are considered to be within the normal limits of postpartum adjustment, however those scoring between 60 and 175 points are considered to have significant symptoms of postpartum depression (Beck & Gable, 2005a).

Beck and Gable (2005b) found the 35-item scale to have a sensitivity of 84% and a specificity of 84% when a cut-off score of 60 was used to divide those who had a total PDSS score that was significant for either minor or major PPD symptoms from those who did not. Special care was taken during development of the PDSS-S to ensure adequate equivalence between the Spanish version and the original English version. The reliability and validity psychometrics in the Spanish version were found to be acceptable, albeit slightly lower than those found in the English version (Beck et al., 2003). Additionally, the PDSS-S was unable to establish a second cut-off point to differentiate between minor and major PPD symptoms as had been possible in the English version. In the English version, a score between 60 and 79 indicates that the patient has significant symptoms of PPD, while a score of 80 or higher strongly suggests the patient has major PPD (Beck et al., 2003).

Seven specific content dimension sub-scores can be derived from the total PDSS score. These include sleeping/eating disturbances, anxiety/insecurity, emotional lability, mental confusion, loss of self, guilt/shame, and suicidal thoughts (Beck & Gable, 2005a).
Ortiz (2010) found that the alpha reliabilities of the sub-scores ranged from 0.72 to 0.97. It was determined that the 35 question PDSS-S had an overall internal consistency of 0.97 (Le, Perry, & Ortiz, 2010).

The second instrument used by our study to collect demographic information was the GAI published by Balcazar, Castro, & Krull, (1995). The GAI was specifically developed to be administered to Mexicans and Central Americans. The five-item instrument is easy to administer and quickly scored, has a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.82, and assesses measures of Spanish language use, ethnic composition of social circles, and pride in and exposure to the Hispanic culture (Thomson & Hoffman-Goetz, 2009).

**Data Analysis**

SPSS version 19.0, 2010, for Microsoft Windows was used to analyze the data using descriptive and parametric statistics including frequencies, t-tests, crosstabs, and correlations.

**Results**

The demographics of our community sample are summarized in Table 1. Of the 59 subjects reporting age, the mean age was found to be 28.9 years (SD = 5.8 years). Ages ranged from 17 to 39 years. The majority of the sample were of Mexican descent (78.3%) and married (80%). Most (84.7%) had at least completed high school including 11 (18.6%) who had completed a college degree.

Data taken from the GAI illustrated the immigrant nature of our population, with 60% of participants reporting having lived only in Latin America or mostly in Latin America during the first 21 years of life. Only three people in the sample (5%) had lived exclusively in the U.S. for the first 21 years of life. Every subject in our sample reported that they had pride in their
Hispanic heritage, with the vast majority (96.7%) reporting being either proud or very proud of their heritage. The number of participants who spoke only Spanish, or Spanish-better-than-English was 39 (65%) and only 6.7% (n = 4) of participants reported that their ability to speak English was better than their ability to speak Spanish.

Our data also illustrated a close-knit Hispanic community. The vast majority (96.7%) of the sample reported that at least half or more of their circle of friends were Hispanic, while a majority of the sample (61.7%) reported having a circle of friends that was mostly or almost totally Hispanic. Only 2 participants (3.3%) reported having a mostly non-Hispanic circle of friends.

Upon scoring the PDSS-S, our community sample was found to have a mean total PDSS score of 75.77, well above the cut-off score of 60 established and supported by the PDSS-S for those who have significant depressive symptoms. Total PDSS scores in the sample ranged from 35 to 166. Only 40% (n = 24) of the sample had scores consistent with normal postpartum adjustment (scores between 35 and 59) and 60% (n = 36) had scores that showed significant PPD symptoms (scores of 60 or higher). Additionally, of seven different symptom content profiles within the PDSS, suicidal thinking had the highest number of women (n = 29, or 48.3%) responding above the established cut-off level, with a score high enough to warrant further evaluation.

Due to the alarmingly high number of elevated PDSS total scores, we opted to compare the PDSS scores between the community and the clinic samples using two strategies. First, we compared the total PDSS scores between the community and clinic sample after dividing them into the two groups supported by the PDSS-S. Second, in order to further distinguish the large
and undifferentiated significant symptom group into more precise subcategories, we analyzed the PDSS total scores after dividing them into the three groups that would have been supported had these women taken the English version of the PDSS (normal adjustment = scores between 35 and 59, significant symptoms of PPD = scores between 60 and 79, and positive screening for major PPD = scores of 80 or greater). We felt that making this differentiation might give us more insight into the data than would be possible from the division of the sample into only two groups.

Using a t-test for independent samples, we compared the total PDSS scores of our community sample against the previously published data collected at a community health center (Lucero et al., 2012). No statistically significant difference was found between the mean PDSS scores of the community sample and the clinic sample (community mean score = 75.8, clinic mean score = 68.6; t-1.328(154) = 1.33, p = .177, α = .05) (see Table 2).

After dividing the community and clinic samples into the two groups supported by the PDSS-S (normal adjustment v. significant PPD symptoms), we performed a crosstabs and chi-square analysis and found no significant difference (χ²(1) = .400, p <.05) between the two groups (see Table 3). A Phi was also calculated to look at effect size, and location (community v. clinic) was found to have a very small effect (.07) on the chance of having a high total PDSS score.

After dividing our community and clinic samples into the three groups supported only by the English version of the PDSS, a crosstabs and chi-square analysis were again completed. Again, there was no significant difference found between the three levels of PDSS scores by location (χ²(2) = .448, p <.05) (see Table 4). When the Phi was calculated for this second analysis, location of sample was found to have a small effect (.10) on the chance of having a high total PDSS score.
Having established that there was no significant difference between the total PDSS scores of the community and clinic samples, we attempted to identify any demographic features found within the two groups that, when looked at as a whole, would be associated with having a high total PDSS score. In order to determine this association, the community and clinic data were combined to run correlations between all demographic variables and total PDSS scores to see if there were any demographic variables that might be associated with a high total PDSS score.

A t-test of the combined data showed a significant relationship ($p = .001$) between total PDSS score and those subjects claiming a history of depression ($n = 28$). The mean total PDSS score of those with a history of depression was 103.0 (SD = 41), and the mean total PDSS score of those without a history of depression ($n=125$) was 64.4 (SD = 26.7) ($t_{6.226}(151), p = .001, \alpha = .05$). This was the only demographic variable found to be a statistically significant predictor of having a high PDSS total score. No other t-test on any other demographic variable was found to be significant.

**Discussion**

Lucero et al. (2012) found a significant PPD symptom prevalence in 54% of their clinic sample of immigrant Hispanic women. Our community sample, obtained within the same county with women of a similar demographic, confirmed these findings. With 60% of our sample showing significant symptoms of PPD, there was no significant difference between the total PDSS scores of the two samples. This lack of significant difference, regardless of whether the scores were divided into two sub-categories or three, effectively disproved our hypothesis that there would be a significant difference in mean total score between the two groups.
Our findings are consistent with other studies that have found similarly high percentages of significant PPD symptoms when using the Beck PDSS-S as a screening tool. For example, Le et al. (2010) used the PDSS-S to screen 155 immigrant Hispanic women, primarily from El Salvador and other Central American countries. Using the same clinical cut-off score of 60, they found that 63.9% of their sample had significant symptoms of PPD. Beck & Gable (2005b) found PDSS-S total score alpha reliabilities for Puerto Rican/Caribbean, Mexican, and Central/South American subgroups to be, respectively, .96, .95, and .95, so we may be assured that the results of our sample of women primarily of Mexican descent are reliable.

A recent study performed as part of a national survey also found significant PPD symptoms in 63% of the sample when using the PDSS-short form as a screening tool. The PDSS-short form has been found to have a strong correlation with the original 35-item PDSS (r = 0.94) (Beck, Gable, Sakala & Declercq, 2011). Interestingly, the large sample (n = 1,573) of this national survey was specifically selected to be ethnically representative of the child-bearing population of the U.S. and yet it found a very similar prevalence of elevated PPD symptoms (63%) as was found in our sample limited to immigrant Hispanic women (60%).

We focused our research on what we believed to be the most vulnerable population in our community: immigrant Hispanic women. Because of their multiple risk factors, we felt that immigrant Hispanic women in our community would have higher levels of PPD than their U.S.-born counterparts. However, a well-documented phenomenon described as the “immigrant paradox” (Alegria et al., 2008, p. 359) maintains that immigrant Hispanic men and women, despite their poverty and difficult life experiences, are found to have lower levels of most psychiatric illnesses than do U.S.-born Hispanic or non-Hispanic white individuals in the U.S. (Alegria et al., 2008). The reason behind this paradox is not well understood. Our sample, made
almost entirely of immigrant Hispanic women, had a very high prevalence of significant PPD symptoms. If the immigrant paradox holds true for PPD, we would expect to find even higher levels of PPD symptoms in the U.S. born Hispanic population of our community. Alegría, et al. (2008) does caution, however, against assuming that the immigrant paradox is applicable to all Hispanic populations.

Of particular concern was the high percentage (54%) of women in our sample who had a symptom content profile score for suicidal thinking elevated enough to warrant further investigation. Lucero et al. (2012) found the same in 56.7% of their sample. Le et al. (2010) found a similarly high percentage (58.7%) which they attributed to high numbers of recent immigrants from El Salvador, a country with a history of war and unrest. However, our sample primarily from Mexico showed a similarly high percentage. This raises concern that increased risk for suicidal thinking may be widespread among different immigrant Hispanic groups. Even more concern is raised when these findings are seen in the context of other studies which have found increased rates of suicide among young Hispanic teens when compared with their non-Hispanic peers (Zayas, Lester, Cabassa & Fortuna, 2005), as well as high levels of suicidal thinking and behavior in Hispanic adult women (Chang, Sanna, Hirsch, & Jeglic, 2010).

Researchers have discussed this high rate of suicidal behavior and are attempting to understand the reasons behind it (Chang, Sanna, Hirsch, & Jeglic, 2010; Zayas & Pilat, 2008). Chang et al. (2010) found that loneliness and difficult life events can lead to increased risk of suicidal behavior in Hispanic women. While health practitioners may not be able to eliminate difficult events from the lives of their Hispanic patients, social support programs may be developed to improve the connectedness of these women, thereby decreasing their feelings of loneliness and isolation that are an inherent part of the recent immigrant experience (Chang et
In order to decrease the prevalence of suicidal thinking during the postpartum period, perhaps providers can inform women of local support groups during their prenatal visits and facilitate attendance at such meetings during the pregnancy and postpartum period. We recommend that communities encourage the formation of such support groups if none are currently available.

Many studies have found that a past history of depression is associated with increased risk of having PPD (Lara, Le, Letechipia, & Hochhausen, 2009). We likewise found a significant relationship ($p = .001$) between a past history of depression and the presence of significant PPD symptoms. Many of the risk factors that lead to PPD such as single status, low income, decreased access to care, and exposure to violence, may also increase risk of depression prenatally (Fortner, Pekow, Dole, Markenson, & Chanson-Taber, 2011; Heilemann et al., 2004). If there is, in fact, such a high prevalence of PPD in our immigrant Hispanic population, it is likely that more of our sample may have been depressed prenatally than was reported.

Because of the high levels of PPD symptoms in our sample and the significant relationship between PPD symptoms and a history of depression, we recommend that screening for depression in immigrant Hispanic women begin prior to pregnancy, or at least during pregnancy, so that interventions and treatments may be in place prior to the postpartum period. Research to find the prevalence of prenatal depression in Hispanic women should be a priority. Of particular service to the immigrant Hispanic community would be research that can shed light on which perinatal interventions are found to be most successful in decreasing the risk for PPD symptoms.
Knowing that depression during pregnancy reliably predicts depression in the postpartum period, and that pregnant women have frequent contact with health care providers through prenatal visits, Lara et al. (2009) recommend that pregnancy is an excellent time to intervene with depression screening and support. They further recommend that specific questions should be asked during the first prenatal visits regarding depression and suicidality so that appropriate interventions may supplement the standard of care in these primary care and obstetrical settings (Lara et al., 2009). We propose that depression screenings need not be limited to prenatal visits, but that visits for gynecological screenings or family planning are also appropriate venues for screening and discussing the presence of depressive symptoms.

Limitations

There are limitations within our study that deserve note. First, we gathered a small convenience sample in a community with little variation in demographics. The homogeneity of the sample, therefore, may make our data less generalizable to other immigrant minority groups. Second, even though great care was taken to select tools that would yield accurate and useable data, the value and usefulness of the tools must be carefully considered. Some of the limitations inherent in using the PDSS-S are discussed below.

Reuland et al. (2009) were concerned that the lengthy nature of the 35-item written English version of PDSS makes it difficult for subjects with low literacy to accurately participate. Logsdon and Hutti (2006) used Fry’s Readability Graph (Fry, 1968) to determine that the original English PDSS was written at a fourth grade level. No data for the Spanish version were found. We did not find literacy to be a problem, however, as our sample subjects had a generally high education level and fluent Spanish speakers were available to answer the few questions that were asked.
Chaudron et al. (2010) expressed concern that the PDSS may be less useful in a clinic setting than it is for research. The PDSS form is lengthy and must be purchased, therefore clinics may be reluctant to use it as a screening tool when another that is shorter and free to providers, such as the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS), is available. In order to achieve greater consistency between research and clinical practice, it may be beneficial to research PPD using tools that are more likely to be used in the clinic setting.

Another weakness of using the PDSS-S is that, unlike the original English version of the PDSS which supports a second cut-off score of 80 allowing for the identification of major PPD with 94% sensitivity and 98% specificity (Beck & Gable, 2001), the Spanish version has not been able to establish a similar cut-off score (Beck & Gable, 2005b). We felt that using the PDSS-S cut-off score of 60 to split our sample into only two categories placed those who scored positively for PPD symptoms into such a large, undifferentiated group, that it limited the usefulness of our data and might lead to confusion regarding the true prevalence of major PPD in our community.

Similar questions about the usefulness and accuracy of the established cut-off score of the PDSS-S exist. Le et al. (2010) spoke of the “need to validate the use of the cut-off score of 60 for Spanish-speaking populations” (p. 256), and stated that “additional research is needed to further validate the PDSS-Spanish version in clinical research and community settings” (p. 249). A study of PPD symptoms in urban black women found that while the PDSS is very accurate in identifying major PPD (scores of 80 or above), their findings supported a cut-off score for minor depression to be 17 points higher than was recommended by the tool. They expressed concern that cut-off scores may need to be altered to more accurately reflect symptom significance among different cultural groups, and that having such a low number as a cut-off score might lead
to unnecessary referrals in their population. They further recommended that the screening tool be used in conjunction with clinical evaluation to ensure accuracy (Chaudron et al., 2010).

Upon describing the results of developing and testing the PDSS-Spanish version, Beck and Gable (2005b) likewise mentioned the limitations that the lack of a second cut-off score would place on the ability to distinguish between milder forms of PPD and more severe, major PPD. They did feel, however, that the single cut-off score was still useful for research because even mothers with symptoms of PPD who may not meet criteria for major PPD may still suffer substantial psychosocial problems due to their symptoms (Beck & Gable, 2005b).

We acknowledge the weaknesses of the instrument, however we believe that the high prevalence of significant PPD symptoms found in our subjects remains a cause for concern. For example, 36.7% (n =22) of our sample had total PDSS scores greater than 80 which is the cut-off score used in the English version of the PDSS to indicate a likelihood of having major PPD. We propose that communities around the U.S. should not take these findings lightly.

**Implications for Practice**

We recommend that communities and health practitioners everywhere take note of evidence-based research regarding what interventions have been successful in reducing PPD symptoms in Hispanic women and strive to achieve similar positive outcomes within their own areas of influence. Interventions can take many forms and may include reducing or eliminating barriers to treatment, reducing stigma, increasing cultural competence of care, formation of social support groups and networks, and improving health literacy (Callister, Beckstrand, & Corbett, 2010).
As a result of the concerning findings of our study and that of Lucero et al. (2012), a pamphlet has been developed containing information written in Spanish regarding PPD. Several thousand copies of the pamphlet will be distributed throughout our community with the intention of providing information that could improve health literacy and awareness of PPD. Nadeem and colleagues (2007) found that immigrant women who have stigma-related worries regarding mental illness are less likely to desire mental health treatment, so another aim of the distribution of the pamphlet is to increase knowledge that PPD is common in the community and to correct misinformation that may be present, thereby reducing PPD-associated stigma.

The daunting task of utilizing a foreign health care system is made easier if there are social support networks in place (Sanchez-Birkhead, Kennedy, Callister, & Miyamoto, 2011). The Hispanic Labor Friends initiative described by Hazard, Callister, Birkhead, & Nichols (2009) is an excellent example of clinicians and community members working together to improve outcomes for vulnerable women in the immigrant Hispanic community. Facilitated by clinic and hospital staff, pregnant Hispanic women were paired with trained bilingual Hispanic mothers from the local community who gave support and helped facilitate communication with health care personnel (Hazard et al., 2009). If culturally appropriate support such as this continues into the postpartum period, it may be effective in improving PPD outcomes.

Callister, Beckstrand, and Corbett (2011) made several useful recommendations for policy and program implementation regarding PPD in immigrant Hispanic women. They recommended that it become standard procedure for health care providers to assess whether pregnant immigrant Hispanic women anticipate having sufficient support following delivery. If social support is lacking, providers can become familiar with existing local support groups and refer vulnerable women and facilitate involvement as needed. Lay health workers within the
Hispanic community may be trained to provide social support and basic preventative health education during visits to vulnerable postpartum women. Callister et al. (2010) also mention the importance of screening for PPD multiple times during the perinatal period. Practitioners who work with children can even include screening for PPD as part of their general standard of care during well-child visits.

Providers may not be aware of the high prevalence of PPD in their patients. Goldsmith (2007) asked 159 nurse practitioners regarding their experience diagnosing and treating PPD. Half of the nurse practitioners who had cared for women during the postpartum period had not even screened them once for PPD. This was in a sample where the nurse practitioners and patients shared English as their common language. Screening is even less frequent when there are communication and cultural barriers to contend with (Chaudron et al., 2005). Health providers need to be made aware of the importance of their responsibility to screen for PPD in immigrant Hispanic women, and to provide care in a culturally competent manner.

There is hope that access to PPD treatment and support in our community will improve over time. Aguilera and López (2008) searched census tracks of various Hispanic neighborhoods throughout Los Angeles and compared demographic characteristics with frequency of use of mental health services. They found that use of mental health services varied more by community rather than by proportion of foreign-born vs. U.S.-born inhabitants. The more established Hispanic communities had the highest levels of use of mental health services. Hispanic communities that have been in existence longer likely have more social networks and organizations in place to facilitate care. This increased level of mental health service seeking is found at higher levels among well-established immigrant communities regardless of income level or proportion of foreign-born v. U.S.-born inhabitants (Aguilera & López, 2008). This has
implications for our Utah community which appears to be composed of a large number of recent immigrants. As our local immigrant Hispanic community becomes more well-established, social networks may be formed and knowledge may be shared regarding mental health services that are available. Over time, as health seeking increases, and as health providers practice more culturally sensitive care and implement evidence-based interventions as discussed, there is hope for improvements in PPD treatment and support leading to better outcomes for individuals, children, families, and strengthening of the community as a whole.
TABLE 1

Demographic Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age in Years</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>28.9 (5.8)</td>
<td>17 - 39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Pregnancies</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.8 (1.38)</td>
<td>1 - 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Live Births</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6 (1.2)</td>
<td>1 - 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highest Level of Education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than high school</td>
<td>9 (15.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduated from high school</td>
<td>18 (30.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college without degree</td>
<td>21 (35.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ four years of college with degree</td>
<td>11 (18.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nationality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican</td>
<td>47 (78.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central American</td>
<td>8 (13.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5 (8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital Status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>48 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>2 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>7 (11.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of Depression</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>48 (81.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11 (18.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past Treatment for Depression</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>54 (90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery of Youngest Child</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaginal</td>
<td>49 (81.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cesarean</td>
<td>11 (18.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 2

Independent Samples Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Levene’s Test for Equality of Variances</th>
<th>t-test for Equality of Means</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Sig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community M = 75.8</td>
<td>1.837</td>
<td>.177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinic M = 68.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 3

*Chi-Square Test Analysis of Total PDSS Score for Normal Adjustment v. Significant Symptoms of PPD and Location of Sample (Community v. Clinic)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interpretive Ranges</th>
<th>PDSS Score</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$\chi^2$ (df)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal Adjustment</td>
<td>≤ 59</td>
<td>Community 24</td>
<td>Clinic 45</td>
<td>.71 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significant Symptoms of Postpartum Depression</td>
<td>≥ 60</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpretive Ranges</td>
<td>PDSS Score</td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Clinic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal Adjustment</td>
<td>≤ 59</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.6 (2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significant Symptoms of Postpartum Depression</td>
<td>60-79</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Screening for Major Postpartum Depression</td>
<td>80 ≥</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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