



Jun 26th, 5:00 PM - 7:00 PM

## New hydrologic model performance evaluation methodologies

Samuel Saxe

USGS, [ssaxe@usgs.gov](mailto:ssaxe@usgs.gov)

William Farmer

USGS, [wfarmer@usgs.gov](mailto:wfarmer@usgs.gov)

Lauren Hay

USGS, [lhay@usgs.gov](mailto:lhay@usgs.gov)

Terri Hogue

Colorado School of Mines, [thogue@mines.edu](mailto:thogue@mines.edu)

Julie Kiang

USGS, [jkiang@usgs.gov](mailto:jkiang@usgs.gov)

*See next page for additional authors*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/iemssconference>

Saxe, Samuel; Farmer, William; Hay, Lauren; Hogue, Terri; Kiang, Julie; and Driscoll, Jessica, "New hydrologic model performance evaluation methodologies" (2018). *International Congress on Environmental Modelling and Software*. 6.

<https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/iemssconference/2018/Posters/6>

This Poster Presentation (in exhibition hall) is brought to you for free and open access by the Civil and Environmental Engineering at BYU ScholarsArchive. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Congress on Environmental Modelling and Software by an authorized administrator of BYU ScholarsArchive. For more information, please contact [scholarsarchive@byu.edu](mailto:scholarsarchive@byu.edu), [ellen\\_amatangelo@byu.edu](mailto:ellen_amatangelo@byu.edu).

---

**Presenter/Author Information**

Samuel Saxe, William Farmer, Lauren Hay, Terri Hogue, Julie Kiang, and Jessica Driscoll



## New Hydrologic Performance Evaluation Methodologies

**Samuel Saxe<sup>a</sup>, William Farmer<sup>a</sup>, Lauren Hay<sup>a</sup>, Terri Hogue<sup>b</sup>, Julie Kiang<sup>c</sup>,  
Jessica Driscoll<sup>a</sup>**

<sup>a</sup>USGS Water Resources Mission Area, Lakewood, Colorado, USA (ssaxe@usgs.gov, wfarmer@usgs.gov, lhay@usgs.gov, jdriscoll@usgs.gov), <sup>b</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Colorado School of Mines, Golden, Colorado, USA (thogue@mines.edu); <sup>c</sup>USGS Water Mission Area, Reston, Virginia, USA (jkiang@usgs.gov)

**Abstract:** Many modeled and reanalysis products estimate water balance components, such as precipitation and evapotranspiration, across a wide variety of spatiotemporal scales. When selecting datasets for use in model simulation and calibration, modelers are faced with the dilemma of selecting a product to use that best fits their needs in terms of accuracy, reliability, and usability. In this study, we review methodologies that can be used to 1) inter-compare products for a water budget component across temporal and spatial scales, 2) evaluate region-specific product metrics based on observational data, 3) identify outlying or unexpected values, and 4) describe product functionality in terms of scale, resolution, and ease of usability. We do not seek to identify a “best” product in terms of accuracy, but rather suggest methods that modelers can use to select the product that best fits their unique model requirements, whether the desired product is to be used as forcing data or to set range limits for calibration.

**Keywords:** Calibration, hydrologic modeling, water budget, runoff, evapotranspiration, precipitation, estimation, components