10-1-2000

Josiah Quincy's 1844 Visit with Joseph Smith

Jed L. Woodworth

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/byusq

Recommended Citation

This Document is brought to you for free and open access by the All Journals at BYU ScholarsArchive. It has been accepted for inclusion in BYU Studies Quarterly by an authorized editor of BYU ScholarsArchive. For more information, please contact scholarsarchive@byu.edu, ellen_amatangelo@byu.edu.
Josiah Quincy’s 1844 Visit with Joseph Smith

Jed Woodworth

A prophet’s claims have always invited attention. The Prophet Joseph Smith took calls from an array of personalities who would not be satisfied without seeing this curiosity in the flesh. The visitors came from near and far and from every walk and station of life: politicians and priests, paupers and pundits, charlatans and seekers, and almost everything in between. Arriving first in a trickle and then in a stream, they found the Prophet wherever he had gathered the Saints. Some of the travelers left accounts of their visits, and from these sketches later generations came to know Joseph, too.¹

Few traveler accounts are better known to Latter-day Saints than Josiah Quincy’s. Massachusetts legislator, son of a Harvard president, and later the mayor of Boston, Quincy had a pedigree to make ears perk. He and his cousin Charles Francis Adams,² son of former U.S. president John Quincy Adams, docked in Nauvoo in the spring of 1844 while sight-seeing along the Mississippi River.³ They spent a day with Joseph Smith. Quincy wrote up his experience and published it in a New York literary magazine nearly forty years later.⁴ That account was republished in 1883 in Quincy’s posthumously published work, Figures of the Past, a potpourri of reminiscences based largely on his extensive journals.⁵ Many Latter-day Saints will recognize the oft-quoted passage from that work in which Quincy speculated on Joseph Smith’s contribution to American history:

It is by no means improbable that some future textbook, for the use of generations yet unborn, will contain a question something like this: What historical American of the nineteenth century has exerted the most powerful influence upon the destinies of his countrymen? And it is by no means impossible that the answer to that interrogatory may be thus written: Joseph Smith, the Mormon prophet. And the reply, absurd as it doubtless seems to most men now living, may be an obvious commonplace to their descendants.⁶

In his account, Quincy used language rarely seen in non-Mormon descriptions of Joseph Smith. He called Joseph “extraordinary,” “remarkable,” even “kingly”—all words Latter-day Saints would have used to describe their prophet. Quincy noted Joseph’s wit, his handsome face, his personal charm. The “rugged power” Quincy saw in Joseph Smith resonated with the way the Saints liked to think about their leader.⁷
Coming from a prominent and respected non-Mormon, these descriptions were too good to pass up. Excerpts from the Quincy account soon found their way into Latter-day Saint publications. From biographies to missionary tracts to general conference addresses to doctrinal treatises, Quincy was quoted with an ardor rarely seen. An authority to be believed, Josiah Quincy esteemed Joseph Smith at a time when few non-Mormons did.

Notwithstanding its important function for Latter-day Saints, Quincy’s published account raises a few questions. Should a forty-year-old reminiscence be trusted? Quincy expanded ten “closely written” journal pages into twenty pages of published text. The journals were never found, leaving historians to wonder how much of the account rested in contemporary observation and how much came of literary license or reconstructed memories then several decades old. How did the Church’s growing notoriety influence writing tone? Quincy no doubt read up on Mormonism after his visit to Nauvoo. And in truth, Quincy mixed compliments with descriptions that sounded very much like the anti-Mormon rhetoric of his day. For example, he did not discount the belief that Joseph was an “impostor” or a “fanatic.” The Prophet’s kingly abilities governed “feeble or confused souls who are looking for guidance,” not enlightened, rational minds such as Quincy’s. “Monstrous” was the word Quincy used to describe Joseph Smith’s religious claims. Quincy was obviously conflicted. His mixed review, together with Adams’s lukewarm journal entry, is enough to force this question: What did Quincy think of Joseph Smith at the time they visited?

A newly discovered letter penned by Quincy in 1844 (pages 83–87 below) helps us approach some answers. Housed in the Quincy-Howe family papers in the Massachusetts Historical Society, Boston, Massachusetts, the letter is among a dozen or so letters Quincy wrote to his wife, Mary, while on his tour of the western frontier. The letter, written from Davenport, Iowa, and dated May 16, 1844, the day after Quincy visited the Prophet, spares many details. The document does, however, provide a rare contemporaneous view of Joseph Smith, as well as introduce modern readers to Josiah Quincy. In this find, the differences between the two men become more striking than the similarities.

That differences might emerge should come as no surprise. In many respects, Josiah Quincy and Joseph Smith came from different worlds. Although they grew to maturity in New England at roughly the same time, their backgrounds show few similarities. The Smith family was ordinary, itinerant, and meager in formal education; the Quincy family, quite the opposite. Born in 1802, Josiah Quincy IV was raised on his family’s sprawling estate in Quincy (named after a distant ancestor), Massachusetts, a pastoral coastal town a few miles south of Boston. His father, Josiah III,
was a U.S. congressman, mayor of Boston, and university president; his mother, the daughter of a well-to-do New York City merchant. Parents of this cast expect large things out of their children. Accordingly, they gave Josiah, the eldest son, the best schooling money could buy, sending him to Philips Andover Academy and later to Harvard, where generations of his ancestors had attended. 17

From the beginning, Josiah IV had “statesman” written all over him. While Joseph Smith entered politics free of family expectations, Quincy seems to have been groomed for elected office. The Quincys boasted that their ancestor Sieur de Quincy, an English baron, forced King John into granting the Magna Carta in 1215. Later generations formed an unbroken chain of elected office holders. Three Quincys—all named “Josiah”—would be Boston mayors. Josiah IV, expected to carry on tradition, spent his childhood on the laps of foreign diplomats and his youth sitting at the feet of former President John Adams, a relative and close family friend. He listened to and observed and modeled great leaders from an early age, adding insight to his opportunity. When Josiah was still in his early twenties, the governor of Massachusetts appointed him aide-de-camp, charged with escorting dignitaries around Boston. Such a post befit Josiah Quincy IV. While other prominent families traced their descent from sire to son, locals quipped that the Quincys traced theirs from “Siah to Siah.” 18

Josiah Quincy’s privilege did not outstrip his ability. After graduating from Harvard, he practiced law; married Mary Jane Miller,19 the daughter of a wealthy Boston merchant; and ran for public office. He served as president of the Boston city council (1834–37) and as president of the Massachusetts state senate (1842). He was three times elected mayor of Boston (1845–49). Witty and intelligent, he was known as a masterful orator. Unlike Joseph Smith, who was an able but not a polished public speaker, Quincy won prizes for his rhetoric. Even critics raved over his ability to quote Shakespeare just as well as the statute book. 20

This background of wealth and privilege could not help but color the way Quincy saw the world. Joseph Smith could no more measure up to the standard of refinement marked out in Josiah Quincy’s mind than could any other rural New Engander moving west with the frontier. Accordingly, nothing escaped the possibility of scrutiny. Everywhere he looked in Nauvoo, Quincy saw dirt. When he pulled up to the Nauvoo Mansion House, he saw a man dressed in a speckled coat and “dirty white pantaloons” emerge from a crowd of “dirty loafers.” That man was Joseph Smith. The Prophet invited him inside, but the mansion house was no better—“about as dirty as the prophet himself,” Quincy wrote. They later talked theology in what Quincy called a “close uncured room.”

The Mansion House was the best Nauvoo had to offer. The dirt thickened elsewhere in the city, an observation that could not have escaped anyone preoccupied with cleanliness and propriety. Nauvoo was a frontier town, a place at home in the elements, located, as William Mulder has observed, on the Mississippi, “both a dividing line and a mediator between wilderness and civilization.” To its inhabitants, Nauvoo would always be “the beautiful,” but to many outsiders the beauty lay more in the city’s natural surroundings than in any material creation. Urbane sensibilities such as Quincy’s could not measure progress in the thatched roofs and mud-packed dugouts that continued to dot the Nauvoo landscape in 1844. Even the perfectly geometrical plat, which caught his attention, failed to adequately structure the town. In the end, Quincy found the older, more progressive Quincy, Illinois (named after John Quincy Adams), and not the younger, unseasoned Nauvoo, “the most beautiful” Western city.

Quincy, Illinois, was the exception to rule. If Nauvoo could not measure up, neither could any of the other towns on Josiah Quincy’s trip. He wrote home at every major stop, and each letter conveyed that nothing much impressed him. The lack of civilization he found down the Ohio River was reason for some disgust. During the entire one-thousand-mile boat ride, he saw only two homes of “either taste or refinement,” and these were just a notch above the small, ordinary log dwellings that predominated along the riverside. Even the finished homes were nothing but a “shabby row of brick houses, placed on a dirty street, along the muddy bank.” The sight might not have been so unseemly to Quincy had he not believed the dwellings reflected so much of their residents’ personalities. The people between Louisville and St. Louis, he said, resembled nothing so much as a crowd of “miserable looking wood choppers,” and the Louisville courthouse, without steps, windows, or finished floors reinforced his view. A watchdog for establishment culture, Quincy could not be content in the rough, uncut West. The same irritants he found in Nauvoo popped up elsewhere again and again. In Cincinnati, he stayed in a “very dirty chamber” and sighted another crowd of “dirty loafers.”
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Quincy was not alone in his preoccupation with gentility. By the early decades of the nineteenth century, an emerging American middle class was beginning to adopt the styles and mannerisms that a generation before would have thought solely the province of the gentry. Politeness, fashion, cleanliness, and good taste were all extolled as virtues to be cultivated. Elite classes in turn expected more of lower classes than ever before, and sneering such as Quincy’s became more and more commonplace. Easterners were especially concerned lest Westerners snub perceived culture centers on the coast.

Churches were not immune from refinement culture and in fact ambivalently sought to promote it. By the 1820s, the division between plain Christianity on the one hand and social propriety on the other had blurred in high churches such as the Congregational, Episcopalian, and Unitarian. Truth was beauty, and beauty, truth. Baptists and Methodists, many of whom composed the ranks of Mormon converts, lagged behind this trend, but by the 1840s, they too had begun to make the same move. In Nauvoo, Quincy saw dirt others did not, for he was a Unitarian, from an elite class, visiting religionists who had not made style a prerequisite to knowing God.

Quincy’s religion, as did his elitism and his gentility, ordered his observations in Nauvoo. Unitarians, among the most anticlerical of all Christians, would have been skeptical of any claim to prophetic authority. And indeed Quincy was. He twice referred to Joseph Smith as “prophet, priest, and king,” wryly reporting titles to which no Unitarian would have ever laid claim. These offices were too reminiscent of the papal authority of Catholicism, which nativists like Quincy found both unconscionable to “reasoning men” and in subtle ways seditious to the American revolutionary spirit.

The pan-Protestantism of antebellum America had little tolerance for faiths with strong claims to centralized leadership such as those found in Catholicism and in minority sects such as Mormonism. Protestantism (coded “Christianity”) was an unstated, unseen, yet powerfully influential assumption in American government. Joseph Smith’s overt claims to theocratic authority, running counter to this civic religion, would disturb even a man from Massachusetts, where the state church had been disestablished for only a decade. While Quincy’s exact views on disestablishment are unknown, his letter grouped the Prophet’s many duties en bloc as though together they were cause for concern. “The power he exercises both civilly & religiously is immense,” Quincy concluded, “& is a living proof of the insceptibility of human nature to imposition.” Nothing but absolute freedom of conscience would suffice. The enlightenment rationalism that informed Quincy’s Unitarianism demanded that conscience be pitted against obedience to authority and that conscience win.
Quincy’s politics made the same demand. Like many Massachusetts politicians of his day, Quincy belonged to the Whig party, which had formed in 1833 in opposition to President Andrew Jackson’s alleged Caeserism. “King” was a pejorative title the Whigs applied to Jackson. To objectors, Jackson’s supposed disregard of law and refusal to respect republican government’s separation of powers seemed all too reminiscent of the British crown. The Whigs, therefore, looked back to the Revolution for their name as well as their ideology. “A Whig in its pure signification,” proclaimed one party paper, “means one who prefers liberty to tyranny—who supports privilege against prerogative—the rights and immunities of the people, as ascertained by the equity of nature, the Constitution and the laws of the country, against the predominance of the Crown, or Executive power.” Some of this rhetoric may be dismissed as political bluster; Democrats did not like the Crown anymore than Whigs did. Nevertheless, diffuse state power did seem to be a Whig preoccupation into the 1840s. Whigs such as Quincy, already suspicious of powerful chief executives, would have likely found theocratic claims alarming.32

If Quincy was impressed by anything at Nauvoo, it was that curious anomaly looming above the horizon, the Nauvoo Temple. He thought he had Joseph Smith figured out until they rode up to the bluff that afternoon. A massive, two-story structure built of “hewn stone” set on a “majestic site” was hardly what one would expect from a dirty loafer.

Not even Quincy’s own town church compared to this Nauvoo site. The word “temple” resonated within him, for this was the name by which Quincy residents called the Congregational church they built in 1828. Touched by refinement culture, town members had torn down the church built of wood, the one Quincy attended as a boy, and replaced it with a $30,000 granite edifice (“the Stone Temple”) graced with a styled pediment front and four towering doric pillars.33

Quincy found nothing in his experience that resembled the Nauvoo Temple. All he could call it later in life was “a wonderful structure, altogether indescribable.”34 Most perplexing to him was why a people so apparently lacking in refinement would want to build a wonderful structure. The Nauvoo Temple was built of limestone, not brick or wood. It was three times as large as the Quincy temple and many times more expensive. The sun and moon carvings on the temple struck Quincy as strange, to be sure, but a man of his learning could not have missed the nature of its overall composition, which partook of the same Greek Revival style that Quincy, Massachusetts, residents admired.35 The dissonance Quincy found between the Nauvoo Temple and scenes elsewhere in the West must have been jarring. The builders and planners of the Nauvoo Temple simply could not be placed in the same category with those who had let the
court house in Louisville deteriorate. Quincy recognized a peculiar industriousness when he observed every member giving one day in ten toward the building’s completion. Joseph, it appeared, commanded the devotion of his followers like no other. Subscriptions to the Quincy temple had come much more begrudgingly; although the building took just a year and a half to complete, residents required six years to pay it off.36

Josiah Quincy’s interest in Joseph Smith no doubt grew over time. The Nauvoo Temple made Joseph’s organizational genius obvious, but as Quincy aged, his respect for some of the Prophet’s other gifts increased. He came to appreciate Joseph’s charisma, which fascinated him all the more once he learned he could never match it. Sad experience was Quincy’s teacher. He voluntarily resigned from the Boston mayorship in 1849 when his own party lashed out at him for passing prohibition legislation. Turning to railroads, he became treasurer of the Vermont Central. When the company went under, the directors blamed Quincy, demanded his resignation, and might have filed criminal charges against him had he not declared bankruptcy. His reputation ruined, Quincy lived out the final thirty years of his life farming and managing the family estate in Quincy.37

Thirty years provided plenty of time for reflection. By 1881, when he published the piece on Joseph Smith, Quincy had no desire to see the public excoriate any man. The Independent, where his article was published, had openly vilified Mormons over the last several months.38 Another approach seemed more proper, more rational, more fitting of a Quincy. “Such a rare human being,” Quincy explained, “is not to be disposed of by pelting his memory with unsavory epithets.” Joseph’s rareness was now clearly apparent. The church he had founded, small and insignificant in Quincy’s memory, now incited a national fury. A man whose followers then number ed in the “hundreds of thousands” was not to be glibbly dismissed.39

How did Joseph Smith acquire such a following? This was the question that puzzled Quincy, a question for which he had no answer. A man of common stock, Joseph Smith was now more powerful than the Quincy family had ever been.40 An explanation for Joseph’s ascent in this “age of free debate” was unclear but not exactly opaque.41 Looking over the whole of American history, Quincy could see that Jacksonianism had largely replaced the aristocratic notions that had kept family dynasties such as the Adamses and Quincys in power. The Common Man had flourished; the aristocrat had withered. Neither Adams, nor Jefferson, nor any other elite, nor even that twice-elected representative of the masses, Jackson (whom Quincy had met), would hold the future history textbooks rapt. Rather, Joseph Smith, both the commonest and uncommonest Common Man, perpetually elected in the minds and hearts of his followers, would, Quincy hypothesize, command all the attention. This speculation would require forty years of hindsight and would not have been apparent to Quincy in 1844.
Far from a paean to Joseph Smith, Quincy’s letter shows just how easily the Prophet could be misunderstood. In this respect, the uncommon Quincy resembled other visitors. Like Quincy, visitors often held Joseph to an impossible standard. He was not biblical enough for some, not American enough for others, but rarely acceptable just as he was.

Within the context of a Bible-believing culture, such appraisals represent a curious phenomenon. In the Bible, refinement hardly seems necessary for a prophetic call. Unlearnedness is more a prerequisite than it is a liability. Prophets can also be both priests and kings, holding political power as God directs and speaking out on any matter of moral concern. Where the prophet leads, the people follow (even if the prophet wears dirty pantaloons or something akin to them).

But in Joseph Smith’s day, one in which American nationalism mixed with Biblicalism, ancient patterns alone seemed outmoded. The proof of America’s greatness lay in progress, not regress, and Biblical literalism, for many learned souls, represented regress. Without laying aside the assumptions they held dear, visitors like Josiah Quincy would always go away disappointed. Joseph Smith was restoring ancient religion, and only those who felt the power and promise of that quest could ever fully endorse him.

Jed Woodworth (jedwoodworth@hotmail.com) is an associate editor at BYU Studies. He has an M.A. degree in psychology from Duquesne University and an Ed.M. degree in education, emphasizing the history of education, from Harvard University.


Charles Francis Adams and Josiah Quincy were fourth cousins once removed. Their common ancestor was Edmund Quincy (1627–98). Edmund Quincy’s son, Daniel Quincy (1651–90), was the great-grandfather of Abigail Smith (1744–1818). Smith married John Adams (1735–1826), the U.S. president, Charles Francis Adams’s grandfather. Another of Edmund Quincy’s sons, Edmund Quincy Jr. (1681–1738), was the grandfather of Josiah Quincy (1744–75), the second of four successive generations named Josiah Quincy. The fourth Josiah Quincy (1802–82), wrote the letter now under discussion. See “Quincy Family Genealogy,” Quincy Historical Society, Quincy, Massachusetts (hereafter cited QHS); “Quincy-Howe Genealogy,” Quincy-Howe Papers, Massachusetts Historical Society, Boston, Massachusetts (hereafter cited as MHS). All quotations from documents located in MHS used with permission.

3. Quincy and Adams began their five-week trip from Boston on April 25, 1844. The major stops along their way included New York City; Philadelphia; Baltimore; Washington, D.C.; Cincinnati; Louisville; St. Louis; Chicago; Detroit; Cleveland; Buffalo; Rochester; Syracuse; and Albany. Quincy parted with Adams on May 29 at Buffalo, and both were in Boston by June 1. See Charles Francis Adams, Diary, April 25–June 1, 1844, quoted in Henry Adams, “Charles Francis Adams Visits the Mormons in 1844,” in reprint of Proceedings of the Massachusetts Historical Society 68 (1944–47): 4–36.


10. George Q. Cannon, Journal of Discourses, 26 vols. (Liverpool: F. D. Richards, 1855–86), 24:257, August 19, 1883; Matthias F. Cowley, Sermon, in 72nd Semi-Annual Conference of The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints (Salt Lake City: The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, 1901), 15 (hereafter cited as Conference Reports); and the following in Conference Reports: Charles H. Hart (April 4, 1909), 69–70; Seymour B. Young (October 6, 1918), 93; Heber J. Grant (April 3, 1921), 7; John M. Knight (April 3, 1921), 67–68; Joseph Quinney Jr. (October 5, 1924), 126–27; Heber J. Grant (October 3, 1926), 9–11; Heber J. Grant (April 13, 1930), 190–91; Richard R. Lyman (October 5, 1934), 14; Joseph F. Merrill (October 2, 1937), 76; Charles A. Callis (October 7, 1938), 24; Heber J. Grant (October 3, 1941), 8; Joseph L. Wirthlin (April 6, 1943), 122; Heber J. Grant (April 6, 1944), 8; Joseph F. Merrill (April 6, 1947), 134–35; Henry D. Moyle (April 8, 1962), 100; LeGrand Richards (October 2, 1965), 87.


13. In the 1850s, Quincy once lectured in Boston on Thomas Ford’s *History of Illinois*, which has two chapters on Mormonism. Quincy’s *Figures of the Past* quotes a Joseph Smith statement made after Quincy’s Nauvoo visit (“I am going like a lamb to the slaughter”) and uses the phrase “the City of the Saints,” the title of Sir Richard Burton’s well-publicized book of the same name. [Josiah Quincy IV], *Scrapbook* [1841–1881], 211–12, Quincy-Howe Papers; and Quincy, *Figures of the Past*, 318–19. See also the articles cited in note 38.


16. Josiah Quincy to My Very Darling Wife, May 16, 1844, Quincy-Howe Papers, MHS. This letter is 15 3/4 x 9 3/8 inches, folded in half to make four writing sides. According to MHS records, the letter was part of the original accession of Quincy-Howe papers donated to MHS in 1967 by Mrs. Mark Dewolfe Howe, a Quincy descendant.
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19. Mary Jane Miller (1806–74) and Josiah Quincy married in 1827. Their three children were Josiah (1829–1910), Samuel (1832–87), and Mary (1834–7). See “Quincy Family Genealogy”; and “Quincy-Howe Genealogy,” Quincy-Howe Papers.


25. Josiah Quincy to My Very Dear One [Mary Jane Miller Quincy], May 12, 1844, Quincy-Howe Papers; Josiah Quincy to My Very Dear One [Mary Jane Miller Quincy], May 9, 1844, Quincy-Howe Papers.

26. Quincy to [Quincy], May 6 and 9, 1844; Josiah Quincy to My Very Dear One [Mary Miller Quincy], 13, 1844, Quincy-Howe Papers. Similar observations are found in Charles Francis Adams, Diary, May 5, 7, 10, 17, 1844, as quoted in Adams, “Charles Francis Adams,” 13–18, 23.


29. Charles Francis Adams, who shared Quincy’s religion and social status, was similarly preoccupied with refinement. Adams slept in a “very indifferent room,” ate at a table that was “without order or delicacy,” and saw clothing that was “neither very choice nor neat.” Adams, Diary, May 15, 1844. For early Mormonism’s relationship to genteel culture, see Bushman, “Was Joseph Smith a Gentleman?” 27–43.

30. Josiah Quincy to My Very Dear Wife [Mary Miller Quincy], April 29, 1844, Quincy-Howe Papers; [Quincy], Scrapbook, 20–31; TerryL L. Givens, The Viper on the Hearth: Mormons, Myths, and the Construction of Heresy (New York: Oxford University Press, 1997), 47–49. Quincy’s Figures of the Past spells out the seditious possibilities in Mormonism: “Here is a society [Mormonism] resting upon foundations which may at any moment be made subservive of every duty which we claim from the citizen” (318).
31. For more on religious disestablishment, see appendix 3 of M. Scott Bradshaw's article in this issue of BYU Studies.  
34. Quincy, Figures of the Past, 328.  
36. Lunt, Two Discourses, 125–27.  
37. McCaughey, Josiah Quincy, 201; Cameron, Public Service of Josiah Quincy, Jr., 15–17; Wilson, Three Hundred Years, 68; Boston's Forty-Five Mayors, ed. Joseph J. Fahey (Boston: City of Boston, 1975), 11–12; [Quincy], Scrapbook, 32–142, 189; "Funeral Obscurities," Quincy Patriot Ledger, November 11, 1882, 2.  
40. Although one more Quincy would yet be elected to the Boston mayorship, the family was clearly in decline. By 1880 the Quincy family had been reduced to just three male heirs, and within forty years, the family was largely without political influence. See Michelle Hilden, "The Mayors Josiah Quincy of Boston" (Ph.D. diss., Clark University, 1970); and "Last of the Quincys," in James H. Slade, comp., "Quincy Biography—Quincy Family," vertical file, Thomas Crane Public Library, Quincy, Massachusetts.  
41. Quincy, Figures of the Past, 317.
Josiah Quincy’s May 16, 1844, Letter

Le Clare House, Davenport, Iowa. Ter.
Thursday May 16, 1844.

My very darling wife,¹

I closed my last letter at St Louis on Monday [May 13] and took passage in the Steamer Amaranth. We² passed rapidly that night and the next day through a beautiful and clean river³ nearly as wide as at St. Louis & studied with innumerable islands through which we passed sailing the trees with the sides of our boat, during the day we reached Quincy, which being situated in the town of John & the County of Adams possessed a claim on our notice,⁴ we accordingly stopped the boat for half an hour & from the top of the Quincy house beheld for the first time a prairie. It appears more like a view out to sea than any thing else to which I can compare it. The perfect level stretching to the horizon & the living green almost amounting to blue with which its clothed giving it the appearance of water.⁵ But no description can convey any idea of the rich fertility of the soil, which requires & for years will require no manure to produce the most abundant harvests.⁶ The town of Quincy is acknowledged to be the most beautiful,

¹. Mary Jane Miller Quincy (1806–74). See also note 19 in the introduction above.
². Quincy traveled with Charles Francis Adams (1807–1886). See also note 2 in the introduction above.
³. The Mississippi River.
⁴. Quincy, Adams County, Illinois, was named after John Quincy Adams (1767–1848), President of the United States from 1824 to 1828, who was the father of Charles Francis Adams, Josiah Quincy’s traveling companion. Located at city center was “John’s Square” (later Washington Park), the “town” to which Josiah Quincy refers. The play on John Quincy Adams’s name would have interested both these travelers, who were fourth cousins once removed. See also note 2 in the preceding introduction.
⁵. The Illinois terrain often astounded travelers from Eastern cities. Rebecca Burlend, who emigrated from England to Pike County, Illinois, in 1831, described Illinois as “thousands of acres with not a tree upon it, but covered with a sort of strong wild grass, growing sometimes three or four feet high.” Imagine for a moment, she said, “a rich meadow, or fine grass plain several miles in diameter, decked with myriads of flowers of a most gorgeous and varied description, and he will have before his mind a pretty correct representation of one of these prairies.” [Rebecca Burlend and Edward Burlend], A True Picture of Emigration (1848; reprint, Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press, 1987), 84.
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regular & New England like town in the west, & really seems to deserve the honor conferred upon it by its name. As we found we had a day to spare we determined to devote it to the service of the Mormon prophet Joe Smith,\(^7\) and accordingly landed at his city of [2] Nauvoo at midnight between Tuesday [May 14] & Wednesday [May 15]. As we were some distance from his residence\(^8\) we stopped at a poor tavern\(^9\) at the landing, under the guidance of a Dr. Goforth,\(^10\) the most perfect personification of Don Quixote that was ever seen. He had been a surgeon in Genl Jackson's army at the battle of New Orleans\(^11\) & seemed simple as a child with a strong inclination to


7. Quincy and Adams had not intended on stopping in Nauvoo. Learning about Mormons from passengers on the steamer, the two abruptly changed their plans. Adams said he was indifferent to visiting Joseph Smith but consented at Quincy's urging. Josiah Quincy, *Figures of the Past*, new edition (1883; reprint, Boston: Little, Brown, 1926), 319; Charles Francis Adams, Diary, May 14, 1844, as quoted in Henry Adams, “Charles Francis Adams Visits the Mormons in 1844,” in Reprint of the *Massachusetts Historical Society* 68 (1944–47): 20. See also note 11 below.

8. Joseph Smith’s residence, the Nauvoo Mansion House, located at the corner of Main and Water streets.

9. That is, an inn. See also note 16 below. This tavern, which Quincy said was located “at the landing” and “some distance” from the Nauvoo Mansion House, was more likely located on the west, not the south, bend of the Mississippi River.

10. William Gano Goforth (1795–1847), from Belleville, Saint Claire County, Illinois. Goforth was well acquainted with Mormonism. His wife, Martha Nelson Goforth, was baptized into the Church on April 7, 1844. A few days after Quincy and Adams visited Nauvoo, Mr. Goforth helped draft resolutions for Joseph Smith’s presidential candidacy. He joined the Church in 1845. See Joseph Smith Jr., *History of The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints*, ed. B. H. Roberts, 2d ed., rev., 7 vols. (Salt Lake City: Deseret Book, 1973), 6:386–92; 7:394 (hereafter cited as *History of the Church*). Both Quincy and Adams credited Goforth, a fellow traveler on the *Amaranth*, with convincing them to stop in Nauvoo. What the two travelers knew about Mormons before their trip is unknown, but the stories they heard on the river could not have favorably disposed them to the faith. Adams said the passengers were “full of discouraging tales of the disposition of these Mormons.” A self-described non-Mormon “friend” of Joseph Smith’s, Goforth offset the negative accounts, piquing Quincy’s curiosity. “Had it not been for a certain Doctor Goforth,” said Adams, “I think Quincy would have been discouraged by the darkness and solitude which reigned on the shore. But [Goforth] urged our landing so much that we finally ordered our things on shore.” Adams, Diary, May 14, 1844; Quincy, *Figures of the Past*, 319.

11. General Andrew Jackson (1767–1845), president of the United States from 1828 to 1836, led the United States to victory over Great Britain in the Battle of New Orleans, January 8, 1815, an important battle in the War of 1812.
the Mormon faith. The City of Nauvoo is the promised land of the Mormons, is situated on a bend of the Mississippi, that commands a view for miles in both directions. Five years ago there were not fifty inhabitants on it, now they say there are twenty five thousand, & I should think there might be half that number. The town is laid out with perfect regularity & every house has attached to it an acre of land. Of course the prophet priest & king, who is the head of the sect & who numbers of 200,000 followers in his train could not but be an object of interest. D\textsuperscript{F} Goforth at early morning dispatched a messenger for “the chariot of the prophet” which soon appeared not like that Elisha saw, but on four good wheels with a substantial pair of sturdy horses. We entered & soon arrived at the seat of this [“]prophet, priest, king, Mayor, Lt General & tavern keeper” for as each

12. Some early sources estimate the population of Nauvoo in 1844 at 27,000. Later estimates are more conservative, placing the population at about 12,000, closer to Quincy’s estimate. Susan Easton Black, “How Large Was the Population at Nauvoo?” BYU Studies 35, no. 2 (1995): 91–94. The larger figure given to Quincy may have included Mormon settlements in Hancock County, Illinois, and Lee County, Iowa.

13. Adams confirmed this figure. Joseph Smith, he said, “boasts of having twenty-five thousand at Nauvoo and two hundred thousand in the Union.” Adams, Diary, May 15, 1844. It is unclear just who provided the figures. Joseph Smith is the likely candidate, but Quincy and Adams tend towards hyperbole. For example, Quincy said Joseph Smith took them to “his” temple, when it is doubtful Joseph would have laid claim to the work in such a way. Currently available evidence places the worldwide Church population in 1844 at 26,146 baptized. Deseret News 1997–98 Church Almanac (Salt Lake City: Deseret News, 1998), 529. But if 200,000 sounds too large, 26,000 sounds too small. Actual Church membership was probably somewhere in between these figures. Compare, for example, the existence of baptisms apparently not recorded on Church records but noted in The Journals of William E. McLellin: 1831–1836, ed. Jan Shipp and John W. Welch (Provo and Urbana: BYU Studies and University of Illinois Press, 1994), 413–14, with the disclaimer in Susan Easton Black, comp., Membership of The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, 1830–1848, 50 vols. (Provo, Utah: Religious Studies Center, Brigham Young University, 1989), xi–ii.

14. An allusion to 2 Kings 22:1, where Elisha sees a “chariot of fire, and horses of fire.”


16. That is, an innkeeper. Technically, Quincy is incorrect. Joseph Smith was lately an innkeeper, but he gave up management of the Mansion House in January 1844 when he began leasing the house to Ebenezer Robinson. Note also that Quincy’s phrase “tavern keeper” does not refer to strong drink, for he refers to the Mansion House parlor as “a dry barroom.” Quincy, Figures of the Past, 322. Instead, he uses the secondary
& all of these is he inspired to act. The door was surrounded by dirty loafers, from among which our Quixotic guide selected a man, in a checked coat, dirty white pantaloons, a beard of some three days growth and introduced him as General Smith Your Prophet. He had the name but certainly but in few respects the look of [3] a prophet. He however blessed us & requested us into his mansion, which was about as dirty as the prophet himself. As the lower floor was crowded he invited us to ascend & throwing open a chamber door, we entered, a close uncured room on the bed of which lay one of the faithful, sound asleep, and we had the evidence of more than one sense that the Mormon saints were not freed from some of the necessities of humanity. This however was a small matter for a prophet. He covered his disciple as well as he could with the bed clothes and down we sat to theological conversation. Breakfast was soon announced & when it was finished we found "an upper chamber" properly prepared for our reception. We passed the whole day in his society, & had one of the most

meaning of tavern as "synonymous with inn or hotel." See Webster's American Dictionary of the English Language, 1844 edition, s. v. "tavern."

17. "As Doctor Goforth introduced us to the prophet, he mentioned the parentage of my companion. 'God bless you, to begin with!' said Joseph Smith, raising his hands in the air and letting them descend upon the shoulders of Mr. Adams." Quincy, Figures of the Past, 321. Adams said simply that Joseph "received us civilly." Adams, Diary, May 15, 1844.

18. All the rooms in the inn were full. According to Quincy's published account, Joseph tried two rooms without success, until on the third attempt, exasperated, he "immediately proceeded to the bed, and drew the clothes well over the head of its occupant. He then called a man to make a fire, and begged us to sit down." Quincy, Figures of the Past, 322. Adams adds further details. "At last we were ushered into one [room] where was a man in bed whom he [Joseph Smith] very abruptly slapped on the shoulder and notified to quit." Adams, Diary, May 15, 1844.

Neither Adams nor Quincy said much about what was discussed in "theological conversation." Quincy's Figures of the Past called Joseph's theology "monstrous"; Adams's contemporaneous record emphasized an agreement with his own faith. He concluded that Mormonism was "very nearly Christian Unitarianism—with the addition of the power of baptism by the priests of adults to remit sin, and of the new hierarchy of which Smith is the chief by divine appointment." Quincy, Figures of the Past, 322; Adams, Diary, May 15, 1844.

19. Here Quincy possibly alludes to the "prepared" "upper room" where Jesus and his disciples held the Last Supper (Mark 14:25). If, on the other hand, Joseph Smith used the phrase "upper chamber," Quincy may have used quotation marks sarcastically. The word "chamber" could be understood to mean specifically "a place where an assembly meets," a room specially designed as a "star-chamber" or an "imperial chamber." A politician who had undoubtedly been in many "chambers," Quincy perhaps felt the one in the Nauvoo Mansion House did not fit the bill. See Webster's American Dictionary of the English Language, 1828 edition, s.v. "chamber."
Josiah Quincy’s May 16, 1844, Letter

extraordinary conversations I ever participated in, he preached for us, prophesied for us, interpreted hieroglyphics for us, exhibited his mummies and took us to his temple which he is now erecting on a most majestic site of hewn stone. Every inhabitant dedicates the labor of his tenth day to its structure, it will be finished within a year & whether Mormonism expires or not, must remain a massive memorial of its existence for centuries. I have neither time nor space to describe the faith or works of this most extraordinary man but reserve them for a future occasion. The power he exercises both civilly & religiously is immense, & is a living proof of the insceptibility of human nature to imposition. We left Nauvoo yesterday morning and reached this place at 12 last night. The scenery around is lovely beyond description & I & my companion have just ordered “a barouche landau”, alias a two horse waggon for the purpose of making an exploration. I trust I shall yet have power to write to you again from this place for whether with priests or prophets I am ever most truly & devotedly your own Josiah Quincy Jr.[.]

P.S. We shall probably go to the falls of St Anthony as it will only delay us five days, & is an opportunity we shall never have again. After we leave this [place] my opportunities of writing may not be frequent so if you do not hear [from me] you must not be anxious. Write me at Buffalo.—Good bye—God bless you.

[postmarked Davenport Iowa May 17]
Josiah Quincy
(for Mts Quincy)
Boston
Massotts

20. For a fee of twenty-five cents, visitors at Nauvoo could view a half dozen or so Egyptian mummies Joseph had purchased in 1835 from Michael H. Chandler, a traveling merchant. The mummies contained the papyri from which the Book of Abraham was translated. The Prophet's mother, Lucy Mack Smith, a widow, who functioned as curator over the relics, derived her support from the fees. Joseph participated by interpreting the symbols on the papyri for tourists. See Donl Peterson, The Story of the Book of Abraham: Mummies, Manuscripts, and Mormonism (Salt Lake City: Deseret Book, 1995), 191-202. Surviving papyri fragments are reproduced with commentary in John Gee, A Guide to the Joseph Smith Papyri (Provo, Utah: FARMS, 2000).

21. The first story of the temple had been completed, and the windows for the second story had just been cut. Adams pronounced the temple “about half finished.” Smith, History of the Church, 6:355; Adams, Diary, May 15, 1844.