



7-26-1996

Erratum

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/gbn>

Recommended Citation

(1996) "Erratum," *Great Basin Naturalist*: Vol. 56 : No. 3 , Article 15.
Available at: <https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/gbn/vol56/iss3/15>

This Announcement is brought to you for free and open access by the Western North American Naturalist Publications at BYU ScholarsArchive. It has been accepted for inclusion in *Great Basin Naturalist* by an authorized editor of BYU ScholarsArchive. For more information, please contact scholarsarchive@byu.edu, ellen_amatangelo@byu.edu.

Great Basin Naturalist 56(3), © 1996, p. 282

ERRATA

Correction to:

Sutherland, Steven D., and Robert K. Vickery, Jr. 1993. On the relative importance of flower color, shape, and nectar rewards in attracting pollinators to *Mimulus*. Great Basin Naturalist 53: 107–117.

The article states: "Hummingbirds are commonly said to have evolved a preference for red or orange-red flowers," citing (1) K. A. Grant, 1966, A hypothesis concerning the preva-

lence of red coloration in California hummingbird flowers, *American Naturalist* 100: 85–98; and (2) K. A. Grant and V. Grant, 1968, *Hummingbirds and their flowers*, Columbia University Press, New York, 115 pp., among other references. In fact, the Grants point out just the opposite, i.e., that experimental investigation shows that hummingbirds have not evolved a preference for red or any other color. Actually, Sutherland and Vickery's article comes to this conclusion also.