



7-26-1996

Erratum

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/gbn>

Recommended Citation

(1996) "Erratum," *Great Basin Naturalist*. Vol. 56 : No. 3 , Article 15.

Available at: <https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/gbn/vol56/iss3/15>

This Announcement is brought to you for free and open access by the Western North American Naturalist Publications at BYU ScholarsArchive. It has been accepted for inclusion in Great Basin Naturalist by an authorized editor of BYU ScholarsArchive. For more information, please contact scholarsarchive@byu.edu, ellen_amatangelo@byu.edu.

Great Basin Naturalist 56(3), © 1996, p. 282

ERRATA

Correction to:

Sutherland, Steven D., and Robert K. Vickery, Jr. 1993. On the relative importance of flower color, shape, and nectar rewards in attracting pollinators to *Mimulus*. Great Basin Naturalist 53: 107–117.

The article states: "Hummingbirds are commonly said to have evolved a preference for red or orange-red flowers," citing (1) K. A. Grant, 1966, A hypothesis concerning the preva-

lence of red coloration in California hummingbird flowers, *American Naturalist* 100: 85–98; and (2) K. A. Grant and V. Grant, 1968, *Hummingbirds and their flowers*, Columbia University Press, New York, 115 pp., among other references. In fact, the Grants point out just the opposite, i.e., that experimental investigation shows that hummingbirds have not evolved a preference for red or any other color. Actually, Sutherland and Vickery's article comes to this conclusion also.