"Ultracold" Neutral Plasmas at Room Temperature

N. Heilmann

J. B. Peatross

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/facpub

Part of the Physics Commons

Original Publication Citation

BYU ScholarsArchive Citation
Heilmann, N.; Peatross, J. B.; and Bergeson, Scott D., ""Ultracold" Neutral Plasmas at Room Temperature" (2012). All Faculty Publications. 1835.
https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/facpub/1835

This Peer-Reviewed Article is brought to you for free and open access by BYU ScholarsArchive. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of BYU ScholarsArchive. For more information, please contact scholarsarchive@byu.edu, ellen_amatangelo@byu.edu.
Authors
N. Heilmann, J. B. Peatross, and Scott D. Bergeson
“Ultracold” Neutral Plasmas at Room Temperature

N. Heilmann, J. B. Peatross, and S. D. Bergeson*

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah 84602, USA

(Received 6 March 2012; published 16 July 2012)

We report a measurement of the electron temperature in a plasma generated by a high-intensity laser focused into a jet of neon. The 15 eV electron temperature is determined using an analytic solution of the plasma equations assuming local thermodynamic equilibrium, initially developed for ultracold neutral plasmas. We show that this analysis method accurately reproduces more sophisticated plasma simulations in our temperature and density range. While our plasma temperatures are far outside the typical “ultracold” regime, the ion temperature is determined by the plasma density through disorder-induced heating just as in ultracold neutral plasma experiments. Based on our results, we outline a pathway for achieving a strongly coupled neutral laser-produced plasma that even more closely resembles ultracold neutral plasma conditions.
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Laser-produced plasmas (LPPs) span a wide range of temperatures and densities [1]. At one extreme, lasers are used to achieve fusion conditions [2] with plasma densities near \( n = 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-3} \). Today’s highest intensity lasers can be used to generate electrons with kinetic energies up to \( k_B T_e = 400 \text{ MeV} \) using laser wakefield acceleration [3]. On the other extreme of temperature and density, recent ultracold neutral plasma (UNP) experiments [4–10] generate plasmas with densities near \( n \sim 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-3} \) and electron temperatures as low as \( T_e = 1 \text{ K} \).

Determining the electron temperature \( T_e \) in LPPs can be difficult [11]. For modest laser intensities \( I = 10^{14}–10^{16} \text{ W/cm}^2 \) and relatively low densities \( n = 10^{15}–10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3} \), ionization of the neutral atoms occurs primarily in the strong-field (multiphoton ionization) regime [12]. When these cool \( (k_B T_e < 10 \text{ eV}) \) low-density plasmas are generated using femtosecond duration laser pulses, they are in a nonequilibrium state. Thermalization, expansion, recombination, and other processes occur on time scales that are long compared to the laser pulse. The electron temperature is often determined by simulating the plasma evolution using the electron and ion fluid equations [13] and comparing with the measured time-dependent density.

Determining the electron temperature is comparatively simple in UNPs [14]. The UNPs are generated by resonantly ionizing laser-cooled gases or gases in supersonic expansions near threshold [4–6,8,9]. The \( \sim 1 \text{ mK} \) atomic temperature before ionization makes it possible to use narrowband laser excitation to promote the bound electron to low energy continuum states with high efficiency. As long as electron-ion recombination and electron-Rydberg atom scattering can be neglected [14], the electron energy is equal to the difference between the energy of the single photon used to ionize the atoms and the atomic ionization potential. Similarly to the cool low density LPPs, these plasmas are formed in a nonequilibrium state and the relaxation processes occur on time scales that are long compared to the plasma formation time. In this letter we will show that the analysis originally developed for UNPs can be accurately applied to a certain class of low-density, low-temperature LPPs to determine the electron temperature. Strongly-coupled neutral plasmas can be created in these LPPs under the right conditions.

If the electron temperature is not known \( a \text{ priori} \), the rate at which the plasma expands can be used to determine the electron temperature [14–18]. For UNPs, the expansion rate is derived analytically. The time-dependent spatial density profile in these spherically symmetric plasmas is

\[
n(r,t) = n_0 \left( \frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma(t)} \right)^3 \exp \left[ -\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2(t)} \right],
\]

(1)

where \( \sigma(t) = (\sigma_0^2 + v_{\text{exp}}^2 t^2)^{1/2} \) and the expansion velocity is \( v_{\text{exp}} = \sqrt{k_B T_e/m_i} \). Measuring the time-dependent density and fitting it to the form

\[
n(t) = \frac{n(0)}{[1 + (v_{\text{exp}}/\sigma_0)^2 t]^3/2}
\]

(2)

gives the electron temperature [14].

This simple UNP expansion theory can be applied to cool low-density LPPs as well, provided a few conditions can be met. First, the UNP theory is valid when the electron temperature is well defined, meaning that the electrons have a Maxwellian velocity distribution and a Boltzmann spatial distribution, \( n_e \propto \exp[e\Phi/k_B T_e] \). Second, the ions are also assumed to have a Maxwellian velocity distribution and to be well described by fluid equations. This requires the number of particles per Debye sphere to be large \( (n \lambda_D^3 \gg 1) \), where the Debye length is \( \lambda_D = \sqrt{k_B T_e e_0/\pi n e^2} \), and for collisions between particles to be rapid compared to the plasma expansion. For a cool low-density LPP with \( n = 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) and \( k_B T_e = 10 \text{ eV} \), we...
find $n_A \lambda_D^3 = 13$. The electron collision rates are $10^{11}$–$10^{12}$ s$^{-1}$. The ion collision rate is $10^9$ s$^{-1}$ and the ion plasma frequency is $3 \times 10^{14}$ s$^{-1}$. These rates are high compared the expansion time of a few nanoseconds [18].

Third, the electron-ion recombination and electron-Rydberg atom scattering is assumed to be negligible [19]. For a cool low-density LPP with $n = 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$ and $k_BT_e = 10$ eV, the radiative recombination rate is $9 \times 10^3$ s$^{-1}$ and the three-body recombination rate is $3 \times 10^5$ s$^{-1}$ [20]. Both are completely negligible on the nanosecond time scale.

The final condition for the validity of the UNP expansion model is that the spatial density distribution should be Gaussian, as in Eq. (1). This condition is not strictly met for LPPs, where cylindrical symmetry is used. In the transverse (radial) direction, the initial spatial distribution for LPPs, where cylindrical symmetry is used. In the veat that the density in Eq. (2) refers to the rms density of appropriate changes for dimensionality, and with the ca- vation from Gaussian do not appear to be large. With mate of the electron temperature.

The beautiful x-ray technique in Ref. [18] is plotted is Kanter’s simulation (thick black lines from Fig. 1 for two different laser polarizations. Also plotted is Kanter’s simulation (thick black lines from 0 to 20 ns) along with the UNP expansion model of Eq. (3) (thin black lines from 0 to 50 ns). The good agreement between these models indicates that the UNP expansion model can be used to extract meaningful electron temperatures in LPPs.

Applying the UNP expansion model to cool low-density LPPs requires a measurement of the time-evolving plasma density. The beautiful x-ray technique in Ref. [18] is typically not available in most laser laboratories, and interferometry is often used instead. In our lab, we also use interferometry to measure the plasma density, as described below.

We generate our plasmas by focusing 4 mJ, 35 fs-duration, Ti:sapphire laser pulse into an effusive pulsed Ne jet. The atom density in the jet ranges up to $n = 1.5 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$. The jet is formed using a solenoid valve with a 30 µm diameter and 2 mm length tube serving as the nozzle. The pressure behind the solenoid ranges up to 1000 T. The Ne atoms are ionized when the laser intensity is greater than $8.7 \times 10^{14}$ W/cm$^2$. We avoid generating higher charge states by limiting the peak laser intensity in the gas jet to $2.5 \times 10^{15}$ W/cm$^2$.

The plasma density is measured by determining the phase shift of a probe laser beam as it passes through the plasma. A schematic diagram of the laser system is shown in Fig. 2. The main laser beam is divided into two beams using a 95:5 beam splitter. The more powerful beam is focused into the gas jet using a 50 cm focal length lens. The weaker beam traverses a variable-length delay line and is split again into two beams in a Michelson interferometer. The two arms of the interferometer are set to zero path-length difference. One of the mirrors is tilted by 0.1°, causing two laser beams to emerge, called the probe and reference beams. They are combined with the strong beam on a beam splitter near the focusing lens. All three laser beams are focused by the same lens into the Ne gas jet. The weak probe is aligned to pass through the center of the plasma created by the strong beam. The weak reference beam focuses about 1 mm to the side of the plasma. All three laser beams exit the vacuum chamber through a window. A glass plate at Brewster’s angle reflects the probe and reference laser beams while passing the strong beam into a beam dump. The probe and reference laser beams overlap in the far field and form an interference pattern on CCD2 (see Fig. 2), analogous to a Young’s double-slit interference pattern. The fringes in the interference pattern
The fringe shift, measured in pixel number on CCD2, is converted to phase by equating the period of the interference pattern to a phase shift of $2\pi$. Then Eq. (4) is used to determine the density of the plasma. By changing the delay of the two weak beams relative to the strong laser beam, we measure the plasma density as a function of time. Typical results are shown in Fig. 4.

The left panel of Fig. 4 shows the measured fringe shift in pixels as a function of the distance of the delay arm for three different plasma conditions. From this data we can extract the electron temperature, as shown in the right-hand panel of Fig. 4. The relative plasma density is plotted as a function of time. All three densities show the same overall behavior. Using the expression for a two-dimension symmetric expansion,

$$n(t) = \frac{n(0)}{1 + (v_{\text{exp}}/\sigma_0)^2},$$

we fit our data to extract $\sigma_0/v_{\text{exp}} = 4.8$ ns. We measure the Gaussian width of the ionizing laser beam focus to be $w = 70 \mu\text{m}$. The peak intensity in the laser pulse is calculated to be $2.5 \times 10^{15}$ W/cm$^2$. The radius at which the laser beam falls below the critical intensity of $8.7 \times 10^{14}$ W/cm$^2$ required to ionize neon is 0.73\,$w$. A flat distribution of radius 0.73\,$w$ has an rms size of $\sigma = 0.42w = 29$ \,$\mu\text{m}$. Therefore, the plasma expansion velocity is 6000 m/s, giving an electron energy of $k_BT_e = m_i v_{\text{exp}}^2 = 15$ eV.

We can estimate the expected electron energy using a model based on strong-field ionization. After the electron
is detached, the Coulomb field from the parent ion is negligible compared to the laser field. An electron with charge $e$ accelerates in the laser field $\mathcal{E} = E_0 \cos(\omega t + \phi)$. For an electron initially at rest, the velocity of the electron at some later time $t$ will be

$$\dot{x} = \frac{eE_0}{am} [\sin(\omega t + \phi) - \sin\phi].$$

The average drift kinetic energy of the electron after the laser pulse is finished will be the quantity $\langle K_{\text{drift}} \rangle = 2U_p \langle \sin^2 \phi \rangle$, where $U_p = e^2 E_0^2 / 4\omega^2 m$ is the ponderomotive energy. The average occurs over phase angles $\phi$ in which the electron can escape from the atom. For example, there can be some detachment phases such that the quivering electron drifts towards the parent ion and other times such that it drifts away. A reasonable choice of phase range is $\phi = \pm \pi/6$, giving

$$\langle K_{\text{drift}} \rangle = 0.17U_p. \tag{7}$$

In our experiment, with a peak intensity of $2.5 \times 10^{15}$ W/cm$^2$, the ponderomotive energy is 150 eV, suggesting an electron energy of 25 eV, in rough agreement with our measurement (15 eV).

A strong connection between our LPP and UNPs can be drawn in consideration of the ion temperature. Initially, the neutral atom temperature in our effusive jet is near room temperature, about 300 K. When the plasma is formed, the interparticle potential energy landscape impulsively hardens [24]. The 35-fs laser pulse is short compared to the ion plasma frequency, $\omega_p = (2.8 \text{ ps})^{-1}$ at a density of $1.5 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$. The ions will move to minimize their potential energy due to interactions with neighboring ions. On the time scale of the ion plasma frequency, they will reach the correlation temperature $T_e = (2/3) \times (e^2 / 4\pi e_0 a_{\text{iq}} k_B) = 3000$ K, where $a_{\text{iq}} = (3/4\pi n)^{1/3}$ is the Wigner-Seitz radius. Although the neutral atoms are initially at room temperature, their equilibrium temperature is much higher and determined by the plasma density. This same phenomenon, called disorder-induced heating [8,24–27], has been studied extensively in ultracold neutral plasmas. Because the density determines the ion temperature, UNPs physics can be studied in LPPs at room temperature.

Research with UNPs suggests that three-body recombination becomes important when the electron Coulomb coupling parameter is $\Gamma = Z^2 e^2 / 4\pi e_0 a_{\text{iq}} k_B T_e > 0.1$ [15,28], where $Z$ is the ionization state. For a plasma with an electron temperature of 15 eV, this happens at a density of $3 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-3}$, or about 10 times ambient density. However, the three-body recombination rate depends on temperature as $T_e^{-9/2}$, meaning that lower temperatures lead to significantly greater recombination. Xenon, for example, can be ionized at 10 times lower laser intensity than what is required for neon. The model of Eq. (7) suggests that in a xenon LPP with our density of $n = 1.5 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$ and 10 times lower laser intensity, the recombination rate ($\approx (50 \text{ ps})^{-1}$) would have a noticeable effect on the density evolution on the subnanosecond time scale.

In conclusion, we have shown that UNPs and a certain class of cool low-density LPPs are similar. They are both quasineutral plasmas in which the plasma expansion is driven by the electron pressure. They both are treated successfully using an analytic solution of the plasma equations that assumes local thermodynamic equilibrium. The analytic solutions originally developed for UNPs can be used to predict the LPP electron temperature. At the densities achieved in our experiment, the ions are strongly coupled because the ion temperature at early times is determined by the density. Calculations suggest that under some readily attainable experimental conditions, the electrons can also be strongly coupled.

Future studies could explore the influence of the laser intensity on the electron temperature as suggested by Eq. (7). Plasmas with strong coupling in both the electrons and ions are of great fundamental interest. It should be possible to generate plasmas with even higher values of $\Gamma$ using LPPs generated by a sequence of laser pulses, as suggested by Murillo [29]. Because $\Gamma$ is proportional to $Z^2$, a carefully designed laser pulse sequence for $Z = 5$, for example, could potentially increase $\Gamma$ to values greater than 20 in a neutral nondegenerate system.
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[23] The value for $\sigma = 16 \mu m$ is given in Fig. 4 of Ref. [18].