WESTWARD EXPANSION OF THE EVENING BAT (*NYCTICEIUS HUMERALIS*) IN THE UNITED STATES, WITH NOTES ON THE FIRST RECORD FROM NEW MEXICO

Brett R. Andersen^{1,4}, Keith Geluso¹, Hans W. Otto², and Larisa Bishop-Boros³

ABSTRACT.—The general lack of trees in the Great Plains has limited colonization by eastern woodland mammals in the past, but recent expansion of forests in corridors along prairie waterways and in towns has enabled an assortment of woodland species to expand distributional ranges westward. The evening bat (*Nycticeius humeralis*) historically occurred in woodlands throughout the eastern United States. Following our capture of the first evening bat in New Mexico, we updated the distributional range for this species by amassing recent records from published literature and museum voucher records west of its historic range published in 1981, the last time the species distribution was updated throughout its range. We document that evening bats, including some reproductively active populations, now occur across much of the central and southern Great Plains, including southwestern Nebraska, western Kansas, and western Texas. Such records should encourage researchers to factor in the possible occurrence of this species beyond published historic western limits for mist-netting and acoustic surveys. While it remains unclear if the single capture in southwestern New Mexico represented a wandering individual, these compiled records suggest that established populations might occur west of our updated distribution for the species.

RESUMEN.—La falta de árboles en general en las Grandes Llanuras ha limitado la colonización de los mamíferos del bosque del este, pero la reciente expansión de los bosques en los corredores que se encuentran a lo largo de las vías fluviales de las praderas y en las ciudades, ha permitido que una variedad de especies de bosque extienda su rango de distribución hacia el oeste. Históricamente, podíamos encontrar al murciélago crepuscular americano (*Nycticeius humeralis*) en los bosques del este de los Estados Unidos. Luego de la captura de nuestro primer murciélago crepuscular americano (*N. humeralis*) en Nuevo México, actualizamos el rango de distribución de esta especie, recopilando registros de publicaciones recientes y anteriores, al oeste de su rango histórico publicado en 1981, fecha en la que se actualizó por última vez el rango de distribución de esta especie. Reportamos que podemos encontrar al murciélago crepuscular americano, incluyendo a algunas poblaciones reproductivamente activas, en gran parte de la zona central y sur de las Grandes Llanuras, incluyendo el suroeste de Nebraska, el oeste de Kansas y el oeste de Texas. Tales registros deberían alentar a los investigadores a tener en cuenta la posible existencia de esta especie más allá de los límites históricos occidentales publicados, a la hora de realizar estudios acústicos y al colocar redes de captura. Aunque aún no está claro si la captura realizada en el suroeste de Nuevo México representa a un ejemplar errante, los registros recopilados sugieren que podríamos encontrar poblaciones de esta especie al oeste de nuestra distribución ya actualizada.

Numerous mammals inhabiting eastern forests have expanded their distributional ranges westward in response to recent phyto-geographic changes throughout the Great Plains (Choate and Reed 1986, Benedict et al. 1996, 2000, Wilson and Choate 1996, Geluso 2004, 2006, Yancey and Jones 2006). This sparsely forested region, from central Canada through 9 Midwestern states into northern Mexico (Mather 1972), once served as a western barrier to eastern woodland species. However, anthropogenic influences, including dams, fire suppression, and European settlement in prairies, have led to widespread increases in woodlands along riparian corridors

and in towns (Hunt 1965, Johnson 1994, Benedict et al. 1996). Because of their capability for powered flight, bats are able to rapidly respond to the presence of expanding and shifting habitats (Scheel et al. 1996, Kurta et al. 2007). Tree-roosting species, including the American Perimyotis (*Perimyotis subflavus*, formerly *Pipistrellus subflavus*), eastern red bat (*Lasiurus borealis*), and Seminole bat (*Lasiurus seminolus*), appear to have exploited forested habitats in parts of the Great Plains, as records of these eastern species now occur outside of their historic ranges (Hall 1981, Benedict et al. 2000, Brant and Dowler 2000, Geluso et al. 2005, White et al. 2006, Armstrong

¹Biology Department, University of Nebraska at Kearney, Kearney, NE 68845.

²Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721.

³Western Ecosystems Technology, Inc., Laramie, WY 82070.

⁴E-mail: andersenbr@lopers.unk.edu

Fig. 1. Distributional map of the evening bat (*Nycticeius humeralis*) representing the historical ranges developed by Hall and Kelson (1959) and Hall (1981), as well as the updated range including all county records identified since Hall (1981; black dots). The open circle in New Mexico represents the first record of *N. humeralis* in the state, but due to its location, it was not included in the proposed distribution and needs to be considered an extralimital record until more specimens are captured in New Mexico.

et al. 2006, Valdez et al. 2009, Riedle and Matlack 2013, Geluso and Geluso 2016).

The evening bat (*Nycticeius humeralis*) inhabits temperate woodlands across most of the eastern and southeastern United States (Hall 1981, Watkins and Shump 1981). Prior to 1981, *N. humeralis* was known to occur from New Jersey westward to southern Michigan and southeastern Nebraska, and southward, inhabiting central Kansas, Oklahoma, and Texas to northeastern Mexico (Hall 1981, Watkins and Shump 1981). Because the species commonly roosts in trees and buildings (Watkins 1972, Boyles and Robbins 2006), the historical lack of trees across the Great Plains likely restricted its colonization of the region in the past (Altringham 2011).

In this study, we amassed distributional records through 2017 across the Great Plains to update the western distributional limits of *N. humeralis*. Several publications have updated

the distribution of this species at the state level (i.e., Sparks and Choate 2000—Kansas; Benedict 2004—Nebraska; Ammerman et al. 2012—Texas), but a comprehensive assessment of the species throughout its distribution has not been completed since Hall (1981). Furthermore, we report on the first capture of *N. humeralis* in New Mexico. We discuss potential routes for this capture in southwestern New Mexico and implications associated with westward expansion of this species and other eastern bat species across the Great Plains.

METHODS

Literature Review

We amassed museum records of *N. humeralis* through online databases, as well as direct communications with museums along the edge of the species' distribution in Texas, Oklahoma, and New Mexico. We examined

TABLE 1. Records for evening bats (*Nycticeius humeralis*) outside of the previously published distributional limits in Hall (1981). Year represents the first year the species was documented in the county.

State	County	Year	Museum ^a	Reference
Kansas	Stanton	2007	FHSM	Phelps et al. 2008
Nebraska	Buffalo	2013	UNSM	Johnson and Geluso 2017
Nebraska	Dixon	1988	UNSM	Benedict et al. 2000
Nebraska	Furnas	2004	UNSM	Johnson and Geluso 2017
Nebraska	Harlan	2006	MSB	Geluso et al. 2008
Nebraska	Hitchcock	2007	UNSM	Serbousek and Geluso 2009
Nebraska	Knox	2001	UNSM	Benedict 2004
Nebraska	Red Willow	2007	UNSM	Serbousek and Geluso 2009
New Mexico	Grant	2015	MSB	This study
Oklahoma	Jackson	1978	UCO	N/A
Oklahoma	Kiowa	2007	OU	N/A
South Dakota	Clay	2000	None ^b	Lane et al. 2003
Texas	Brown	2002	ASNHC	Ammerman et al. 2012
Texas	Hood	2009	TTU	Demere et al. 2012
Texas	Kinney	1986	TTU	Manning et al. 1987
Texas	Palo Pinto	1971	MWSU	N/A
Texas	Parker	2005	ASNHC	Ammerman et al. 2012
Texas	Presidio	1996	ASNHC	Dowler et al. 1999
Texas	Randall	2006	TTU	Riedle and Matlack 2013
Texas	Real	1986	TTU	Davis and Schmidly 1994
Texas	San Saba	1976	TCWC	Wilkins et al. 1979
Texas	Tom Green	1992	ASNHC	Dowler et al. 1992
Texas	Uvalde	1972	TCWC	Ammerman et al. 2012
Texas	Val Verde	1998	ASNHC	Dowler et al. 1999

^aAcronyms for museums: ASNHC = Angelo State Natural History Collection, San Angelo, TX; FHSM = Fort Hays State Museum, Hays, KS; MSB = Museum of Southwestern Biology, Albuquerque, NM; OU = Sam Noble Oklahoma Museum of Natural History, Norman, OK; TCWC = Texas Cooperative Wildlife Collection, College Station, TX; TTU = Museum of Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX; UCO = University of Central Oklahoma Natural History Museum, Edmond, OK; UNSM = University of Nebraska State Museum, Lincoln, NE.

^bNo voucher was kept according to Swier 2006.

online databases for records from 92 reporting museums via VertNet (vertnet.org) on 31 January 2017. In addition, we contacted curators and collection managers from Central Oklahoma University (COU), Midwestern State University (MWSU), and Eastern New Mexico University (ENMU), which were not linked with VertNet and did not possess accessible online databases. Distributional records only were included if the entire county was located outside of the historical distribution described in Hall (1981).

Fieldwork

The study site for our field research was located on the Mimbres River in Grant County, New Mexico, about 1.2 km north of Bear Canyon Reservoir (32.89776° N, 107.99616° W; North American Datum 1983). Upland habitats surrounding the riparian area consisted of piñon-juniper woodlands. Dominant trees adjacent to this small endorheic river consisted of cottonwood (*Populus* spp.), box elder (*Acer negundo*), Goodding's willow (*Salix gooddingii*), Arizona black walnut (*Juglans major*), and alder (*Alnus oblongifolia*).

On 5 nights in 2014 and 2015 (8 and 9 June 2014, 18 and 29 May and 3 June 2015), we deployed mist nets (Avinet Inc., Portland, ME) in areas of calm water or river sections where tree canopy forced bats into tight corridors. A voucher specimen was deposited at the Museum of Southwestern Biology (MSB), University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico. All fieldwork was conducted under an approved animal care and use protocol (#020614) from the University of Nebraska at Kearney and met guidelines recommended by the American Society of Mammalogists (Sikes et al. 2011).

RESULTS

Literature Review

Our review of the literature and museum records yielded a total of 23 county records west of the historical distributional range of *N. humeralis* described in Hall (1981) from the following states: South Dakota (1), Nebraska (7), Kansas (1), Oklahoma (2), and Texas (12) (Fig. 1, Table 1). These records included one state record (South Dakota; Lane et al. 2003)

TABLE 2. Total bats captured along the Mimbres River in Grant County, New Mexico, in 2014 and 2015. We attempted to capture bats on 5 nights at a location approximately 4 km N of Mimbres along a 0.7-km stretch of river.

Scientific name	Common name	Male	Female	Unknown	Total
<i>Lasionycteris noctivagans</i> ^a	Silver-haired bat	35 (2)	1 (1)	0	36 (3)
<i>Lasiurus cinereus</i> ^a	Hoary bat	15 (2)	16 (13)	2	33 (15)
<i>Myotis occultus</i> ^a	Southwestern myotis	7 (3)	1 (0)	0	8 (3)
<i>Myotis californicus</i> ^a	California myotis	1 (0)	6 (2)	0	7 (2)
<i>Myotis thysanodes</i>	Fringed myotis	0 (0)	2 (0)	1	3 (0)
<i>Eptesicus fuscus</i> ^a	Big brown bat	0 (0)	2 (1)	0	2 (1)
<i>Tadarida brasiliensis</i>	Brazilian free-tailed bat	1 (0)	1 (0)	0	2 (0)
<i>Lasiurus blossevillii</i>	Western red bat	1 (0)	0 (0)	0	1 (0)
<i>Myotis volans</i>	Long-legged myotis	0 (0)	1 (0)	0	1 (0)
<i>Myotis yumanensis</i> ^a	Yuma myotis	1 (1)	0 (0)	0	1 (1)
<i>Nycticeius humeralis</i> ^a	Evening bat	1 (1)	0 (0)	0	1 (1)
TOTAL		62 (9)	30 (17)	3	95 (26)

^aIndicates species captured on the night that the state record *N. humeralis* was captured. Totals for that night are in parentheses.

and one record in western Kansas which was located only 2 km east of Baca County, Colorado (Phelps et al. 2008). Following an extensive literature review and compilation of specimens by Ammerman et al. (2012), researchers were able to accumulate records of *N. humeralis* both inside and outside of the species' historical range in Texas. Three additional counties in Texas (Bailey, Midland, and Yoakum cos.) were stated to have records of *N. humeralis* west of its known historical range; however, we chose not to include these as they represented unverified records from the Texas Department of State Health Services (Ammerman et al. 2012). Only one new county record (Randall Co.; Riedle and Matlack 2013) has been identified in western parts of Texas since Ammerman et al. (2012). These records indicate that *N. humeralis* now occurs across a majority of the Great Plains states, including southwestern Nebraska, western Kansas, western Oklahoma, and western Texas.

Field Work

On 18 May 2015, we captured 26 bats representing 7 species in 3 nets deployed from 20:00 (MST) to midnight. Among those captures was a nonreproductive, adult male evening bat at 20:45 (MST), representing the first record for New Mexico (Table 2). The individual weighed 8.5 g and external measurements were as follows: total length, 101 mm; length of tail, 40 mm; length of hind foot, 7 mm; length of ear, 14 mm; length of forearm, 38 mm. The testes measured 4 × 2 mm. Skull measurements were as follows: greatest length of skull, 14.2 mm; breadth across canines, 4.9 mm; interorbital breadth, 4.0 mm; zygo-

matic breadth, 9.9 mm; cranial breadth, 7.2 mm; length of maxillary toothrow, 5.4 mm; and width across molars, 6.6 mm. Dentition: i 1/3, c 1/1, p 1/2, m 3/3, total 30. The coloration of the individual was dark brown. The bat was kept as a voucher specimen and deposited in the Museum of Southwestern Biology (MSB:Mamm:291773), and tissues also were preserved (NK# 257417).

DISCUSSION

Our review of literature and museum records documented many records of *N. humeralis* beyond the former western and northern edges of its range and provides evidence for the species' continued westward expansion across the Great Plains (Fig. 1). Numerous sites within the newly expanded distribution yielded multiple captures of volant young and reproductive females (Dowler et al. 1999, Sparks and Choate 2000, Lane et al. 2003, Geluso et al. 2008, Serbousek and Geluso 2009, Johnson and Geluso 2017). We suspect that this species will continue to expand its distribution and increase in abundance throughout the Great Plains. Although expansion of wooded habitats is associated with the expansions of tree-roosting bats, abandoned settlements (e.g., old school houses, churches, and houses) might also have served as stepping stones for this species to expand into and across the Great Plains (Baker and Ward 1967, Chapman and Chapman 1990).

In light of recent distributional changes for some eastern bat species (Hall 1981, Benedict et al. 2000, Brant and Dowler 2000, Geluso et al. 2005, White et al. 2006, Armstrong et al.

2006, Valdez et al. 2009, Riedle and Matlack 2013, Geluso and Geluso 2016, this study), it is important for researchers to be aware of the possibility of encountering eastern species inhabiting western portions of North America. Ruling out a species based purely on historical distributions might lead to improper identification in hand and via acoustic software. We encourage researchers to know the characteristics of *N. humeralis* and other eastern bat species and retain voucher materials (entire specimen or wing punch) to positively confirm species occurrences beyond distributional limits. Yates (1985) states that voucher specimens represent vital, nonrenewable resources that serve to physically and permanently document the occurrence and identity of a species. Thus, voucher specimens make historical comparison possible (Yates 1985). In addition, we recommend that individuals with questionable identifications should be kept as voucher specimens for later identification via genetic confirmation or examination of skull characteristics.

Technological advancements in recent years have led to surveys of bats via recording and discrimination of acoustical echolocation calls (e.g., Lemen et al. 2015, Russo and Voigt 2016). Reducing the possible number of species through regional libraries aids in proper identification of calls based on the species likely to be recorded in an area. However, ruling out some species based on distribution alone will likely lead to misidentification of echolocation call sequences. If acoustic equipment is used for surveys, we suggest that problematic calls should elicit netting surveys and that verification of species presence be limited to visual identification in hand.

Our capture of an evening bat in New Mexico represents the first individual reported in the state, as well as the farthest west occurrence of the species in North America (Fig. 1). The previous westernmost record in Presidio County, Texas, was 475 km east of our locality (Dowler et al. 1999). The route the New Mexico individual used to reach southwestern New Mexico is unclear. This specimen resembled the eastern subspecies on the basis of its dark coloration (Davis 1944), indicating that this individual more likely originated from the east rather than Mexico where the other subspecies occurs (Davis 1944). The capture of a male matches previous data showing that this sex typically remains in southern reaches of

the range in summer (Jones 1967, Watkins 1969). Schmidly and Jones (2001) speculated that the Rio Grande has been a route for eastern bats to travel westward into previously unoccupied areas. The riparian corridor of the Rio Grande leads into southern New Mexico and, thus, it could have been used by this individual to reach the Mimbres River. Furthermore, records from western Texas might also indicate that the individual traversed eastern New Mexico to reach the Mimbres River. Other individuals could be residing in habitats along one of these 2 routes; thus, future research is warranted to determine the status of this species in New Mexico. This capture of a single individual in southwestern New Mexico is best referred to as an extralimital record and should be considered as such until more individuals are captured in the state. We suggest that more surveys should be conducted in eastern Colorado and eastern New Mexico to further corroborate our predictions and better define the current distributional limits of *N. humeralis* in North America. Such surveys also will provide important baseline information for future studies. Because species distributions are not static and continually change, understanding historical and current ranges is imperative with respect to future habitat and climate shifts (Parris and Hazell 2005, Kurta et al. 2007).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Kenneth N. Geluso for assistance in the field, Martha Cooper and Dave Gori for allowing us access to conduct biological surveys of mammals on lands owned by the Nature Conservancy in New Mexico, Ginny Seamster of the New Mexico Department of Game and Fish for technical matters associated with this research, and Jeremy White, Letitia Reichart, and 2 anonymous reviewers for comments on early versions of this manuscript. We would also like to thank those that provided information on museum specimens, including Lynda Louks (COU), Noman Horner and Ray Willis (MWSU), and Darren Pollock (ENMU). This project was funded, in part, by the Share with Wildlife program of the New Mexico Department of Game and Fish and State Wildlife Grant T-32-4 #6 during the inventory of mammals on the Mimbres and Gila rivers in southwestern New Mexico.

LITERATURE CITED

- ALTRINGHAM, J.D. 2011. Roosting and feeding ecology. Pages 137–174 in *Bats: from evolution to conservation*. Oxford University Press, New York, NY.
- AMMERMAN, L.K., C.L. HICE, AND D.J. SCHMIDLY. 2012. Bats of Texas. Texas A&M University Press, College Station, TX.
- ARMSTRONG, D.M., R.A. ADAMS, AND K.E. TAYLOR. 2006. New records of the eastern pipistrelle (*Pipistrellus subflavus*) in Colorado. *Western North American Naturalist* 66:268–269.
- BAKER, R.J., AND C.M. WARD. 1967. Distribution of bats in southeastern Arkansas. *Journal of Mammalogy* 48: 130–132.
- BENEDICT, R.A. 2004. Reproductive activity and distribution of bats in Nebraska. *Western North American Naturalist* 64:231–248.
- BENEDICT, R.A., P.W. FREEMAN, AND H.H. GENOWAYS. 1996. Prairie legacies—mammals. Pages 149–166 in F.B. Samson and F.L. Knopf, editors, *Prairie conservation: preserving North America's most endangered ecosystem*. Island Press, Washington, DC.
- BENEDICT, R.A., H.H. GENOWAYS, AND P.W. FREEMAN. 2000. Shifting distributional patterns of mammals in Nebraska. *Transactions of the Nebraska Academy of Sciences* 26:55–84.
- BOYLES, J.G., AND L.W. ROBBINS. 2006. Characteristics of summer and winter roost trees used by evening bats (*Nycticeius humeralis*) in southwestern Missouri. *American Midland Naturalist* 155:210–220.
- BRANT, J.G., AND R.C. DOWLER. 2000. Noteworthy record of the Seminole bat, *Lasiurus seminolus* (Chiroptera: Vespertilionidae), in Val Verde County Texas. *Texas Journal of Science* 52:353–355.
- CHAPMAN, S.S., AND B.R. CHAPMAN. 1990. Bats from the coastal region of southern Texas. *Texas Journal of Science* 42:13–22.
- CHOATE, J.R., AND K.M. REED. 1986. Historical biogeography of the woodchuck in Kansas. *Prairie Naturalist* 18:37–42.
- DAVIS, W., AND D. SCHMIDLY. 1994. The mammals of Texas. Texas Parks and Wildlife Department, Austin, TX.
- DAVIS, W.B. 1944. Notes on Mexican mammals. *Journal of Mammalogy* 25:370–403.
- DEMERE, K.D., A.M. LEWIS, B. MAYES, R.J. BAKER, AND L.K. AMMERMAN. 2012. Noteworthy records for 14 bat species based on specimens submitted to the Texas Department of State Health Services. Occasional Papers: Museum of Texas Tech University 315:1–12.
- DOWLER, R.C., R.C. DAWKINS, AND T.C. MAXWELL. 1999. Range extensions for the evening bat (*Nycticeius humeralis*) in west Texas. *Texas Journal of Science* 51:193–195.
- DOWLER, R.C., T.C. MAXWELL, AND D.S. MARSH. 1992. Noteworthy records of bats from Texas. *Texas Journal of Science* 44:121–123.
- GELUSO, K. 2004. Westward expansion of the eastern fox squirrel (*Sciurus niger*) in northeastern New Mexico and southeastern Colorado. *Southwestern Naturalist* 49:111–116.
- GELUSO, K. 2006. Bats in a human-made forest of central Nebraska. *Prairie Naturalist* 38:13–23.
- GELUSO, K., J.P. DAMM, AND E.W. VALDEZ. 2008. Late-seasonal activity and diet of the evening bat (*Nycticeius humeralis*) in Nebraska. *Western North American Naturalist* 68:21–24.
- GELUSO, K., T.R. MOLLHAGEN, J.M. TIGNER, AND M.A. BOGAN. 2005. Westward expansion of the eastern pipistrelle (*Pipistrellus subflavus*) in the United States, including new records from New Mexico, South Dakota, and Texas. *Western North American Naturalist* 65:405–409.
- GELUSO, K.N., AND K. GELUSO. 2016. Bats of Kimball and Cheyenne counties, Nebraska. Pages 183–200 in R.W. Manning, J.R. Goetze, and F.D. Yancey II, editors, *Contributions in natural history: a memorial volume in honor of Clyde Jones*. Special Publications Number 65, Museum of Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX.
- HALL, E.R. 1981. The mammals of North America. 2nd edition. John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY.
- HALL, E.R., AND K.R. KELSON. 1959. The mammals of North America. Ronald Press, New York, NY.
- HUNT, J.C. 1965. The forest that men made. *American Forests* 71:32–35, 48–50.
- JOHNSON, O.J., AND K. GELUSO. 2017. Distributional and reproductive records of bats from south-central Nebraska. Occasional Papers, Museum of Texas Tech University 347:1–15.
- JOHNSON, W.C. 1994. Woodland expansion in the Platte River, Nebraska: patterns and causes. *Ecological Monographs* 64:45–84.
- JONES, D. 1967. Growth, development, and wing loading in the evening bat, *Nycticeius humeralis* (Rafinesque). *Journal of Mammalogy* 48:1–19.
- KURTA, A.L., L. WINHOLD, J.O. WHITAKER JR., AND R. FOSTER. 2007. Range expansion and changing abundance of the eastern pipistrelle (Chiroptera: Vespertilionidae) in the central Great Lakes region. *American Midland Naturalist* 157:404–411.
- LANE, J.E., C.L. BUCK, AND R.M. BRIGHAM. 2003. The bat fauna of southeastern South Dakota. *Prairie Naturalist* 35:246–256.
- LEMEN, C.L., P.W. FREEMAN, J.A. WHITE, AND B.R. ANDERSEN. 2015. The problem of low agreement among automated identification programs for acoustical surveys of bats. *Western North American Naturalist* 75:218–225.
- MANNING, R.W., J.K. JONES JR., R.R. HOLLANDER, AND C. JONES. 1987. Notes on distribution and natural history of some bats on the Edwards Plateau and in adjacent areas of Texas. *Texas Journal of Science* 39:279–285.
- MATHER, E.C. 1972. The American Great Plains. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 62: 237–257.
- PARRIS, K.M., AND D.L. HAZELL. 2005. Biotic effects of climate change in urban environments: the case of the grey-headed flying-fox (*Pteropus poliocephalus*) in Melbourne, Australia. *Biological Conservation* 124:267–276.
- PHELPS, K.L., C.J. SCHMIDT, AND J.R. CHOATE. 2008. Presence of the evening bat (*Nycticeius humeralis*) in westernmost Kansas. *Transactions of the Kansas Academy of Science* 111:159–160.
- RIEDLE, T.D.H., AND R.S. MATLACK. 2013. Bats of Palo Duro Canyon State Park, Texas: community composition, abundance, and seasonal activity. *Western North American Naturalist* 73:89–97.
- RUSSO, D., AND C.C. VOIGT. 2016. The use of automated identification of bat echolocation calls in acoustic

- monitoring: a cautionary note for a sound analysis. *Ecological Indicators* 66:598–602.
- SCHEEL, D., T.L.S. VINCENT, AND G.N. CAMERON. 1996. Global warming and the species richness of bats in Texas. *Conservation Biology* 10:452–464.
- SCHMIDLY, D.J., AND C. JONES. 2001. 20th century changes in mammals and mammalian habitats along the Rio Grande/Rio Bravo from Fort Quitman to Amistad. Pages 177–204 in *Binational Symposium Rio Grande/Rio Bravo: Ft. Quitman to Amistad Reservoir*. Proceeding, 14 June 2000, Ciudad Juarez, Chihuahua, Mexico. U.S. Department of the Interior. Secretariat of Environmental and Natural Resources, International Boundary and Water Commission, Washington, DC.
- SERBOUSEK, M.R., AND K. GELUSO. 2009. Bats along the Republican River and its tributaries in southwestern Nebraska: distribution, abundance and reproduction. *Western North American Naturalist* 69:180–185.
- SIKES, R.S., W.L. GANNON, AND ANIMAL CARE AND USE COMMITTEE OF THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF MAMMALOGISTS. 2011. Guidelines of the American Society of Mammalogists for the use of wild mammals in research. *Journal of Mammalogy* 92:235–253.
- SPARKS, D.W., AND J.R. CHOATE. 2000. Distribution, natural history, and conservation status, and biogeography of bats in Kansas. Pages 173–228 in J.R. Choate, editor, *Reflections of a naturalist: papers honoring Professor Eugene D. Fleharty*. Fort Hays Studies, Special Issue 1, Hays, KS.
- SWIER, V.J. 2006. Recent distribution and life history information for bats of eastern South Dakota. *Occasional Papers*, Museum of Texas Tech University 264:1–21.
- VALDEZ, E.W., K. GELUSO, J. FOOTE, G. ALLISON-KOSIOR, AND D.M. ROEMER. 2009. Spring and winter records of the eastern pipistrelle (*Perimyotis subflavus*) in southeastern New Mexico. *Western North American Naturalist* 69:396–398.
- WATKINS, L.C. 1969. Observations on the distribution and natural history of the evening bat (*Nycticeius humeralis*) in northwestern Missouri and adjacent Iowa. *Transactions of the Kansas Academy of Sciences* 72:330–336.
- WATKINS, L.C. 1972. *Nycticeius humeralis*. *Mammalian Species* 23:1–4.
- WATKINS, L.C., AND K.A. SHUMP JR. 1981. Behavior of the evening bat *Nycticeius humeralis* at a nursery roost. *American Midland Naturalist* 105:258–268.
- WHITE, J.A., P.R. MOOSMAN JR., C.H. KILGORE, AND T.L. BEST. 2006. First record of the eastern pipistrelle (*Pipistrellus subflavus*) from southern New Mexico. *Southwestern Naturalist* 51:420–422.
- WILKINS, K.T., W.J. BOEER, D.S. ROGERS, AND W.S. MODI. 1979. Records for eight Texas mammals. *Florida Scientist* 42:59–60.
- WILSON, G.M., AND J.R. CHOATE. 1996. Continued westward dispersal of the woodchuck in Kansas. *Prairie Naturalist* 28:21–22.
- YANCEY, F.D., II, AND C. JONES. 2006. Changes in distributions of bats in Texas. *Occasional Papers: Museum of Texas Tech University* 258:1–5.
- YATES, T.L. 1985. The role of voucher specimens in mammal collections: characterization and funding responsibilities. *Acta Zoologica Fennica* 170:81–82.

Received 12 September 2016

Accepted 17 April 2017

Published online 19 July 2017