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EVALUATION OF ENDANGERED LAKESUCKER REARING IN TRIBUTARIES TO UPPER KLAMATH LAKE BY USE OF X-RAY IMAGING

Molly F. Hayes1,2 and Josh E. Rasmussen1

ABSTRACT.—Two species of endangered, primarily lake-dwelling sucker are endemic to the Upper Klamath Basin in southern Oregon: shortnose sucker (Chasmistes brevirostris) and Lost River sucker (Deltistes luxatus). A third unlisted species, Klamath largescale sucker (Catostomus snyderi), also occurs in the basin. Apart from a small group of adult Lost River suckers documented in a tributary to Upper Klamath Lake in the late 1990s, it is generally believed that though the listed sucker species spawn in tributaries, the larvae out-migrate within days of swim-up, and therefore, there is no juvenile residence in the tributaries. We used X-ray imaging and vertebral counts to identify 347 juvenile suckers collected from tributaries to Upper Klamath Lake between 2006 and 2008. We positively identified 13 individuals as Lost River sucker. Our finding of juvenile endangered suckers rearing in tributaries to Upper Klamath Lake challenges the previous finding that larval and juvenile suckers only spend a small portion of their lives in rivers. This finding may have broader implications for future research and management of endangered suckers in the Klamath Basin.

RESUMEN.—Dos especies de matalote en peligro de extinción y principalmente de lago vivienda son endémicas de la superior de la cuenca de Klamath en el sur de Oregon: el matalote nariz-corta (Chasmistes brevirostris) y el matalote de Lost River (Deltistes luxatus). Una tercera especie de matalote no listado, matalote del gran escala de Klamath (Catostomus snyderi), también ocurre en la cuenca. Aparte de un pequeño grupo de adultos del matalote de Lost River documentado en un aflueente del lago de Upper Klamath en la década de 1990, se cree generalmente que, aunque las especies de matalotes listadas desovan en los afluentes, las larvas a cabo migrar los pocos días de salir de la grava, y por lo tanto los juveniles no se hacen maduros en los afluentes. Usamos imágenes de rayos X y los recuentos vertebrales para identificar hasta las especies 347 matalote juveniles recogidos de aflueentes del lago Upper Klamath entre 2006 y 2008. Se identificaron positivamente a 13 individuos como matalote de Lost River. Nuestro hallazgo de juveniles de matalote en peligro de extinción criandose en las aflueentes al lago de Upper Klamath se opone a la conclusión anterior de que matalote larvas y juveniles de gastar sólo una pequeña parte de su vida en los ríos. Este hallazgo puede tener implicaciones más amplias para la investigación y la gestión de matalote en peligro de extinción en la cuenca del Klamath.
tributaries to spawn. Shortnose suckers exclusively spawn in tributaries while about 10% of Lost River suckers also spawn at springs along the lakeshore (Buettner and Scoppettone 1990, NRC 2004, Tyler et al. 2004, Ellsworth et al. 2007). Between April and July, eggs in tributaries hatch and, shortly after swim-up, larval suckers passively drift downstream to the lake (Klamath Tribes 1996, Tyler et al. 2004, Ellsworth et al. 2010). While a small number of adult Lost River suckers have been documented in the Sprague River near Beatty, Oregon (L. Dunsmoor, Klamath Tribes, unpublished data), it is generally believed that larvae leave the river systems within 4–5 days after swim-up (Cooperman and Markle 2003) and return only to spawn after at least 3 years of rearing (Rasmussen 2011, USFWS 2013).

Adult shortnose sucker, Lost River sucker, and Klamath largescale sucker can be identified to species on the basis of head and mouth morphology; however, this method is inadequate for juvenile identification given the lack of external morphological and meristic differences among the species. Juvenile Lost River sucker can be distinguished from shortnose sucker and Klamath largescale sucker by the number of vertebrae posterior to the Weberian apparatus, a structure that develops from the first few vertebrae and connects the swim bladder to the auditory system in fishes in the Ostariophysi superorder (Markle et al. 2005). Lost River sucker generally has a post-Weberian vertebral count of ≥45 while shortnose sucker and Klamath largescale sucker have ≤43 post-Weberian vertebrae (Markle et al. 2005). A vertebral count of 44 is inconclusive and could be any of the 3 species. Also, approximately 2.5% of Klamath largescale suckers have vertebral counts greater than 44 (Markle et al. 2005).

Between 2006 and 2008, juvenile suckers \( n = 347 \) were collected from 14 sites on tributaries within the Williamson River drainage to Upper Klamath Lake (Fig. 1) as part of an effort to assess the relative abundance, species composition, and emigration timing of juvenile fishes. Three of these sites were sampled using 8-foot-diameter rotary screw traps, while the remaining sites were electrofished using a portable backpack shocker. A subset of the captured suckers were anesthetized with MS-222 (Western Chemical, Inc., Ferndale, WA), in accordance with humane handling techniques and relevant federal and state permits, and subsequently preserved in 95% ethanol for later identification. In 2015 the preserved suckers were numbered, marked with numeric tags, and sorted according to body size. In 2016 the suckers were x-rayed. Fish of similar sizes were laid on X-ray slides to ensure that all specimens were in focus, and each slide was marked with a distinguishing number and letter combination, photographed, and x-rayed. Digital X-ray images were converted to JPG format and post-Weberian vertebrae were counted for each specimen (Fig. 2). Two readers independently counted vertebrae for each specimen using landmark placement within tpsDig2 software (F. J. Rohlf, Ecology and Evolution, SUNY at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, NY) and counts were compared. If counts differed, vertebrae were recounted jointly to determine if a consensus could be achieved. All final counts were based on consensus.

Specimens were identified to species based on vertebral count using the above-mentioned criteria. There is a chance that the individuals with vertebral counts >44 are in fact Klamath largescale sucker, which are typically considered to be more of a riverine species. Of the 84 adult suckers examined by Markle et al. (2005) with >44 post-Weberian vertebrae, 81 (96.4%) were Lost River sucker. Furthermore, no consistently reliable means were available to obtain better resolution on these juveniles. Gill raker counts could not be used for species identification because counts would likely be unreliable due to the condition of the specimens and the passage of time. All specimens will be maintained at the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service office in Klamath Falls, Oregon.

Of the 347 fish analyzed, 277 individuals had ≤43 post-Weberian vertebrae and were identified as either shortnose sucker or Klamath largescale sucker, 57 individuals had 44 post-Weberian vertebrae leading to an inconclusive identification, and 13 individuals had ≥45 vertebrae and were identified as Lost River sucker (Table 1). Individuals identified as Lost River sucker ranged in standard length (SL) from 37 to 96 mm \( (\bar{x} = 61.5, \text{SD } 18.5) \). Those identified as either of the other species ranged in standard length (SL) from 44 to 96 mm \( (\bar{x} = 60.4, \text{SD } 15.6) \). Fish were not aged using hard structures, but it appeared that most fell
within the size range of young-of-the-year, with the exception of the individual captured at site 1 on 31 May 2006 with a standard length (SL) of 82 mm. This individual was at least one year old given its length and the time of year.

Sampling sites 2, 3, and 5 are near the location where adult Lost River suckers were captured in the late 1990s in the Sprague River just to the east (upstream) of the confluence of the Sycan and Sprague Rivers. Sites 1 and 6 are also relatively close (upstream) to the primary Lost River sucker spawning area, but sites 4 and 7 are not close to any known spawning areas.

The discovery of juvenile Lost River suckers in tributaries to Upper Klamath Lake challenges the current paradigm that suckers only spend a few days of their lives in rivers as larvae and juveniles. However, our findings do not indicate whether the juveniles in the tributaries represent a significant portion of the population or divergent life histories. Other researchers have noted that although Lost River suckers outnumber shortnose suckers and Klamath largescale suckers in the lower spawning areas—perhaps by an order of magnitude (Hewitt et al. 2011)—this numeric dominance is not found in larval captures, which are often nearly equivalent (Ellsworth et al. 2010, 2011). If even a portion of Lost River suckers remain in the riverine habitats, we may observe these patterns. Our focus on Lost

![Map of the Upper Klamath Basin of south central Oregon showing locations of all sites sampled and sites where juvenile Lost River suckers (LRS) were captured, indicated by the symbols. The numbers for capture sites correspond with the numbering in Table 1.](image-url)
Fig. 2. X-ray images of representative specimens: A, Lost River sucker, with 45 post-Weberian vertebrae; B, shortnose sucker or Klamath largescale sucker, with 42 post-Weberian vertebrae.
Table 1. Thirteen Lost River suckers captured in the Williamson River drainage between 2006 and 2008 using screw traps and electrofishing. The site number corresponds with sites indicated in Fig. 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date collected</th>
<th>Site number</th>
<th>Capture method</th>
<th>Standard length (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31 May 2006</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Screw trap</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Jul 2006</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Electrofishing</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Aug 2006</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Electrofishing</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Sep 2006</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Screw trap</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Sep 2006</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Screw trap</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Sep 2006</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Screw trap</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Sep 2007</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Electrofishing</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Sep 2007</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Screw trap</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Sep 2007</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Electrofishing</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Oct 2008</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Electrofishing</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Oct 2008</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Electrofishing</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Oct 2008</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Screw trap</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Oct 2008</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Electrofishing</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

River sucker should not be construed to mean that shortnose sucker juveniles do not also occur in lotic habitats more than previously believed; our data are simply not able to inform that question due to our inability to accurately distinguish between shortnose sucker and Klamath largescale sucker.

An estimate of the total number of Lost River suckers rearing in tributaries to Upper Klamath Lake cannot be extrapolated from these data; therefore, the overall significance is difficult to assess; nevertheless, the presence of any number is noteworthy. Low recruitment and reduced age-class diversity in Upper Klamath Lake have led to extensive research on sucker survival, ecosystem restoration, and efforts to create auxiliary populations (USFWS 2013). Nonetheless, the last substantial recruitment to adult spawning populations was in the late 1990s (USFWS 2013). Finding juvenile endangered suckers rearing in tributaries to Upper Klamath Lake could promote understanding of population dynamics within the lake if differentials exist in survival, recruitment, or other metrics such as condition or parasite loads. Tributaries could also serve as refugial habitat, which will likely become increasingly important as climate change-driven temperature increases lead to deteriorating conditions in Upper Klamath Lake. While the current recovery plan for shortnose sucker and Lost River sucker primarily prioritizes improving juvenile recruitment in Upper Klamath Lake, it also identifies a need to assess the use of rearing habitat by endangered suckers in the Sprague River as an objective to accomplish that goal (USFWS 2013). This evidence that there may be a group of putative Lost River suckers (and potentially shortnose suckers) that rear in rivers rather than rearing in the lake begins to address this recovery action. These findings should be included in the conversation about management and conservation considerations; however, they do not necessarily mean that research and recovery of endangered suckers rearing in lotic habitats should become a priority. Additional research is needed to assess shortnose sucker and Lost River sucker distribution, demographics, and health in tributaries to Upper Klamath Lake.
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