



Faculty Publications

---

2007-11-01

## The Effects of Peer Praise Notes on Socially Withdrawn Adolescents: A Classroom Intervention

Julie Nelson  
julie\_golf@hotmail.com

Natalie Webb

Paul Caldarella  
Paul\_Caldarella@byu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/facpub>



Part of the [Teacher Education and Professional Development Commons](#)

---

### BYU ScholarsArchive Citation

Nelson, Julie; Webb, Natalie; and Caldarella, Paul, "The Effects of Peer Praise Notes on Socially Withdrawn Adolescents: A Classroom Intervention" (2007). *Faculty Publications*. 1271.  
<https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/facpub/1271>

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by BYU ScholarsArchive. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of BYU ScholarsArchive. For more information, please contact [scholarsarchive@byu.edu](mailto:scholarsarchive@byu.edu), [ellen\\_amatangelo@byu.edu](mailto:ellen_amatangelo@byu.edu).

# School-Based Mentoring: An Effective Intervention with Students at Risk for EBD



Paul Caldarella Ph.D.  
Shauna B. Valentine B.S.  
Michael B. Adams M.Ed.  
K. Richard Young Ph.D.

*Brigham Young University  
Positive Behavior Support Initiative*

Intro

Methods

Results

Conclusion

# Presentation Overview

- Intro
- Methods
- Results
- Conclusion



Intro

Methods

Results

Conclusion

# Risk Factors



- Low family income, large family size, poor child-rearing techniques & parental criminality linked to juvenile delinquency (Howard, Dryden, & Johnson 1999)
- Strained family relationships, family conflict, poor communication skills contribute to rates of depression among children (Merrell, 2001)

Intro

Methods

Results

Conclusion

# EBD

- Estimated 10-20% of school-age children experience mental health concerns (Mash & Dozois, 2002) - many are not identified and do not receive interventions.
- Students identified with Emotional and Behavioral Disorders (EBD) constitute just less than 1% of the school-age population (Wagner et al., 2005).
- Of those students identified as EBD, approximately 65% are 12 years of age or older (U.S. Department of Education, 2001).

**Intro**


**Methods**

**Results**

**Conclusion**

# Common School Interventions

- Reactive
- Punishment
- Suspension and expulsion
- School psychologists or counselors
- Special education services



**Intro**

**Methods**

**Results**

**Conclusion**

# Foundations of Mentoring

- Homers Odyssey
- Extended Families
- Heroic Legends
- Sports
- Business
- President Bush \$450 million



**Intro**

**Methods**

**Results**

**Conclusion**



# Rationale

- Relationships with non-parental adults are vital assets for positive youth development (Scales, 2003)
- Studies of resilience among youth from at-risk backgrounds alerted scholars to protective functions that can be fulfilled by relationships with non-parental adults (Werner, 1995)

**Intro**

**Methods**

**Results**

**Conclusion**



# What is a Mentor?

- Greater wisdom or experience
- Offers guidance or instruction intended to facilitate growth and development



“There is an emotional bond between mentor and mentee, a hallmark of which is a sense of trust”

(Freedman 1992)

Intro

Methods

Results

Conclusion

# Mentors Can Help By...

- Enhancing Social Skills
- Improving Emotional Wellbeing through Listening & Dialogue
- Serving as a Role Model/Advocate  
(Rhodes, 2002)
- Advocating Health and Safety
- Promoting Self Sufficiency (Jekielek, 2002)



**Intro**

**Methods**

**Results**

**Conclusion**

# Benefits of School-based Mentoring

- Less Costly
- Identify More Children via the School Context
- School Resources Staff Administration
- More Convenient for Volunteers
- Time Commitment
- Mentors Feel Safer
- Establish Community Support within School

Dubois & Karcher (2005)



**Intro**

**Methods**

**Results**

**Conclusion**

# Goal



*"The goal of true mentoring is the development of the youth--not necessarily the solution of specific problems"* (Ambrose, 1998)

Intro

Methods

Results

Conclusion

# Positive Behavior Support

*Targeted/Intensive: 3- 5% high- risk students*

*Selected: 7- 10% at-risk students (mentoring)*

*Universal: 85- 90% of students respond to SWPBS*



Intro

Methods

Results

Conclusion



# Question:

Is school-based mentoring an effective intervention for elementary students at-risk for emotional and behavioral disorders?

Intro

**Methods**

Results

Conclusion

# Setting

- Elementary School in Central Utah
  - 532 Students
  - Over 50% free or reduced lunch
  - Ethnicity
    - 75% Caucasian
    - 21% Hispanic
    - 2% African American
    - 2% Other
- Student Support
  - Principal
  - .50 Advocate
  - .25 Psychologist



Intro

**Methods**

Results

Conclusion



# Student Selection

- Systematic Screening For Behavior Disorders (SSBD) (Walker & Severson 1992)
  - Screens All Students
  - Internalizing & Externalizing
- Suggests Risk Level
  - Primary, Secondary, Tertiary

Intro

**Methods**

Results

Conclusion

# Student Participants

- N = 17
  - 76 % male
- Ethnicity:
  - 70% Caucasian
  - 24% Hispanic
  - 6% African American
- Age:
  - Range 6-11yrs., M = 8.5yrs (sd = 1.84)
- Free or Reduced Lunch = 81%
- SSBD results:
  - Critical Events: range 1–6, M = 2.73 (sd = 1.62)
  - Adaptive: range 25-44, M = 33.67 (sd = 5.05)
  - Maladaptive: range 14-45, M = 29.60 (sd = 8.47)



Intro

Methods

Results

Conclusion

# Mentors

- Recruited via:
  - Principal and staff, PTA, volunteers
  - Businesses
  - Community groups
  - Church out-reach programs
  - Retirement communities

Intro

**Methods**

Results

Conclusion

2006-2007

# Profile of Mentors

<b>Age Range</b>	<b>2</b> 20-30	<b>0</b> 31-40	<b>1</b> 41-50	<b>4</b> 51-60	<b>9</b> 61-80
<b>Education</b>	<b>1</b> High School	<b>5</b> Some College	<b>4</b> BA/BS Degree	<b>2</b> Masters	<b>3</b> PhD MD
<b>Employed</b>	<b>3</b> Unemployed	<b>1</b> Part-time	<b>1</b> Full-time	<b>2</b> Student	<b>9</b> Retired

Intro

**Methods**

Results

Conclusion

# Mentor Expectations

- Time commitment
- Reliability and Consistency
- Optimism
- Honesty
- Supportive Acceptance
- Avoid preaching or lecturing
- Good example
- Training

Intro

**Methods**

Results

Conclusion

# Mentor Skills Training

- Communication skills
- Effective praise
- Listening skills



Intro

**Methods**

Results

Conclusion

# Mentor Activity Training

- Social Skills Instruction
- Goal Setting
- Problem Solving

Intro

**Methods**

Results

Conclusion



# Evaluation Design

- 1 Group
- Pre-Test/Post-Test



Intro

**Methods**

Results

Conclusion

# Dependant Variables



- Social Competence
- Anti-social Behavior
- Academics
- Attendance
- Social Validity
- Mentor Log

Intro

**Methods**

Results

Conclusion

# School Social Behavior Scales (SSBS)

(Merrell, 2002)

- Teacher Rating
  - 64 Likert-scale items
  - Nationally normed
  - Evidence of reliability & validity
- Social Competence
  - Cooperates with other students
  - Shows self control
  - Sensitive to others feelings
- Antisocial Behavior
  - Is physically aggressive
  - Is easily irritated
  - Gets into trouble at school

**School Social Behavior Scales**  
Second Edition  
To Be Completed By Teacher or Other School Personnel for Students in Grades K-12

**Identifying Information**

Name of student: \_\_\_\_\_  
School: \_\_\_\_\_  
Grade: \_\_\_\_\_ Age: years: \_\_\_\_\_ months: \_\_\_\_\_ Sex: Male  Female   
Name of person completing form: \_\_\_\_\_  
Date form completed: \_\_\_\_\_  
Relationship of rater to student: \_\_\_\_\_  
List the settings in which you observe or interact with this student: \_\_\_\_\_

**Directions**

After you have completed the identifying information section, please rate this student's behavior using all of the items on pages 2 and 3 of this rating form. Ratings should be based on your observations of this student's behavior **during the past three months**. The rating points after each item are based on the following format:

**Never** If the student does not exhibit a particular behavior, or if you have not had an opportunity to observe a particular behavior, circle 1, which indicates *Never*.

**Frequently** If the student often exhibits a particular behavior, circle 5, which indicates *Frequently*.

**Sometimes** Circle the numbers 2, 3, or 4, (which indicate *Sometimes*) if the student exhibits the behavior somewhere in between the two extreme rating points, based on your judgment of how frequently it occurs. The rating points after each item appear in the following format:

NEVER 1      2      3      4      5  
SOMETIMES      FREQUENTLY

Please complete all items, and do not circle between numbers. If you have any additional comments about this student, write them in the space provided at the top of page 4.

© 2002 by Kenneth W. Merrell  
Additional copies of this form may be purchased from Assessment - Intervention Resources, Phone: 843.638.8726 Fax: 843.638.8739 www.assessment-intervention.com

Intro

Methods

Results

Conclusion

# Home and Community Social Behavior Scales (HCSBS)

(Merrell & Caldarella, 2002)

- Parent Rating
  - Companion to the SSBS
  - 64 Likert-scale items
  - Nationally normed
  - Evidence of reliability & validity
- Social Competence
  - Cooperates with peers
  - Shows self control
  - Sensitive to others feelings
- Antisocial Behavior
  - Is physically aggressive
  - Is easily irritated
  - Gets into trouble at school or in community

**Home & Community Social Behavior Scales**  
To Be Completed By Parent, Guardian, or Supervisor of Children or Adolescents Ages 5-18

**Identifying Information**

Name of child or adolescent: \_\_\_\_\_  
School: \_\_\_\_\_  
Grade: \_\_\_\_\_ Age: years: \_\_\_\_\_ months: \_\_\_\_\_ Sex: Male  Female   
Name of person completing form: \_\_\_\_\_  
Date form completed: \_\_\_\_\_  
Relationship of rater to child/adolescent: \_\_\_\_\_  
List the settings in which you observe or interact with this child or adolescent: \_\_\_\_\_

**Directions**

After you have completed the *Identifying Information* section, please rate this child or adolescent's behavior using all of the items on pages 2 and 3 of this rating form. Ratings should be based on your observations of this child or adolescent's behavior **during the past three months**. The rating points after each item are based on the following format:

**Never** If the child or adolescent does not exhibit a particular behavior, or if you have not had an opportunity to observe a particular behavior, circle 1, which indicates Never.

**Frequently** If the child or adolescent often exhibits a particular behavior, circle 5, which indicates Frequently.

**Sometimes** Circle the numbers 2, 3, or 4, (which indicate *Sometimes*) if the child or adolescent exhibits the behavior somewhere in between the two extreme rating points, based on your judgment of how frequently it occurs. The rating points after each item appear in the following format:

NEVER                      SOMETIMES                      FREQUENTLY  
1                                      2                                      3                                      4                                      5

Please complete all items, and do not circle between numbers. If you have any additional comments about this child or adolescent, write them in the space provided at the top of page 4.

Additional copies of this form may be purchased from Assessment - Intervention Resources  
Phone: 541.328-0726 Fax: 541.328-4756  
www.assessment-intervention.com

© 2002 by Kenneth W. Merrell 1

Intro

Methods

Results

Conclusion

# School-based Measures

- Academics
- Attendance



Intro

Methods

Results

Conclusion

# Social Validity Survey

- End of program
- All participants
- Perceived changes in student
- Satisfaction
- Suggestions

Intro

**Methods**

Results

Conclusion



# Mentoring Log

- Mentors
  - averaged 14 visits over 19 weeks
  - recorded the activities they engaged in with their students.

## Categories

- Academic-related 44.5%
- Social 40.8%
- Games, puzzles, etc. 14.7%

## Top 3 Activities

- Goal setting 18.4%
- Conversations 15.6%
- Reading activities 13.8%

Intro


**Methods**

Results

Conclusion



# Social Competence




Intro

Methods

**Results**

Conclusion

# Antisocial Behavior



Intro

Methods

Results

Conclusion

SSBS and HCSBS Mean T Scores, Standard Deviations, and Paired Sample *t*-Tests.

	Pre Test		Post Test		
	Mean	<i>SD</i>	Mean	<i>SD</i>	<i>t</i>
<b>SSBS</b>					
Social Comp Pre-Post	44.00	6.43	49.75	7.40	-3.86**
Anti-Social Pre-Post	58.94	9.43	53.00	10.42	2.92*
<b>HCSBS</b>					
Social Comp Pre-Post	49.27	8.34	52.82	7.41	-2.00
Anti-Social Pre-Post	48.25	7.59	47.17	8.60	0.75

\* $p < .05$     \*\* $p < .01$


Intro

Methods

**Results**

Conclusion

# Academics



Intro

Methods

**Results**

Conclusion

Academic Mean Scores, Standard Deviations, and Paired Sample *t*-Tests.

	Pre-mean	SD	Post-mean	SD	<i>t</i>
Reading Skills	2.10	0.76	3.07	0.62	-6.12***
Reading Comprehension	2.10	0.76	3.20	0.70	-5.98***
Writing Expression	1.77	0.56	2.80	0.64	-6.55***
Spelling	2.33	1.04	3.20	0.94	-4.03**
Math	2.27	0.79	3.00	0.65	-3.56**
Academic Total	2.11	0.69	3.05	0.62	-7.62***
Homework Accountability	1.87	0.63	2.67	0.81	-3.29**
Citizenship	2.61	0.73	3.21	0.43	-3.63**

\*\* $p < .01$     \*\*\* $p < .001$


Intro

Methods

**Results**

Conclusion

# Attendance




Intro

Methods

Results

Conclusion

# Comparison: Secondary vs. Tertiary



Intro


Methods

**Results**

Conclusion



# Comparison: Secondary vs. Tertiary



Intro

Methods

**Results**

Conclusion

# Student Survey



100% responded that they would like to be in the mentoring program again

71% noted help with academics as the most important part of having a mentor

*What did you like about having a mentor ?*

"A friend"

"She's nice, knows about me and cares"

"Someone to trust"

"We talk, she listens"

Intro

Methods

**Results**

Conclusion

# Teacher Survey



100% noted positive changes for those students who were mentored.

"I wish more of my students could benefit from it"

"Self-confidence has dramatically increased this school year"

"I love that Martin truly looked forward to this time, as was shown by the big smile on his face each time she appeared at our door. This is worth more than completing 100 assignments on time!! "

Intro

Methods

**Results**

Conclusion

# Mentor Survey



69% would like to participate again.

40% would like more information on student needs.

36% wanted more contact with the teacher.

"They have touched my life for good"

"I like feeling that I can make a difference in a child's life"

"It is very rewarding to help a child set goals and achieve them"

"I like seeing them become more self confident"

Intro

Methods

**Results**

Conclusion

# Parent Survey



83% said they would like their child to participate in the program again.

"He appears to feel better about himself"

"It helps them cope with problems and be better students!"

"We love the program!"

"Boost in self-confidence"

Intro

Methods

**Results**

Conclusion



# Limitations

- Data from just 1 school
- No control group
- Small number of participants
- Brief intervention
- No measure of relationship strength

Intro

Methods

Results

**Conclusion**



# Future Directions

- Addressing limitations
- Replicating the evaluation
- Extending the program
- Developing and refining mentoring materials

Intro

Methods

Results

Conclusion

# Resources

Dubois & Karcher, (2005), *Handbook of Youth Mentoring*, Sage Publishing

[www.beamentor.org](http://www.beamentor.org)

[www.mentoring.org](http://www.mentoring.org)

[www.ppv.org](http://www.ppv.org)

For copies of these slides go to  
<http://education.byu.edu/pbsi/> and click on  
"resources"

Intro

Methods

Results

**Conclusion**