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The Fetterman Massacre:

A Swiss American Officer Leads his Men to Disaster

by Albert Winkler

Introduction

Following the American Civil War, the United States fought a major war against the Sioux, Cheyenne, and Arapaho Indians known as Red Cloud’s War, which lasted from 1866 to 1868. It was a costly American defeat and an important Indian victory. A prominent leader of the Native Americans was the great war chief, Red Cloud, who engineered much of their success. The conflict included many raids, skirmishes, and three important and bloody engagements including the Hayfield and the Wagon Box Battles in 1867, and the highly significant Fetterman Massacre in 1866 in which the Swiss American Captain, William J. Fetterman, of the U.S. Cavalry and his command of eighty men were annihilated.

The Fetterman Massacre was so impressive that it ranks among the most significant battle victories for the Indigenous Peoples in American history. It is comparable with the Battle of the Monongahela in 1755 where the French and Indians killed and wounded nearly one thousand British soldiers and colonial militiamen. The Fetterman Massacre may also be compared to the famous Battle of the Little Bighorn in 1876 in which the Sioux, Cheyenne, and Arapaho warriors killed approximately two hundred and sixty-five American cavalrymen. Even though the Indians won the battles of the Monongahela and the Little Bighorn, they ultimately lost the war in each case. Yet the victory of Na-
tive Americans at the Fetterman Massacre contributed significantly to their triumph in Red Cloud’s War.

The name Fetterman has been viewed as an Anglicized version of Fettermann or Vettermann and was known to be of German and Swiss ancestry. Vetter is a “nickname from Middle High German vetter(e) ‘(paternal) uncle, nephew’. The word is from Old High German fetiro (a derivative of fater ‘father’), which was also used more generally to denote any male relative; the meaning of modern German Vetter is ‘cousin’.”

William Judd Fetterman (1833-1866) was a direct descendent of Anna Katarina Deitler (1648-1727) who was born in Steffisburg, Canton Bern, in Switzerland. She was his great-great-great-grandmother on his father’s side, and his connection to her was five generations back. That is well within the limits of those considered to be Swiss Americans. Fetterman’s connection to his Swiss ancestry was identical to President Herbert Hoover, also considered a Swiss American, who likewise connected to his Swiss ancestry five generations back. President Dwight Eisenhower has been also recognized as a Swiss American, and his connection to his Swiss ancestry was nine generations earlier.

**Background to War**

In one of the most shameful policies in the history of the United States, white Americans subdued, slaughtered, and pushed the Native Peoples to the point of extinction in a conquest of North America which was a process that took almost three hundred years. Despite being badly outmatched and heavily outnumbered in nearly all the conflicts, the Indians achieved some important successes and won at least two wars.

---

against the federal government. In a series of three conflicts from 1816 to 1858, the United States tried to remove the Seminole Indians from Florida. While many of these people were forced to move to the Indian Territory in the future state of Oklahoma, a significant number held out and stayed in their ancestral lands in Florida. These Seminoles never surrendered, never signed a peace treaty, and their descendants still live in that state.3 This was the first war that the federal government lost.

Also, in one of the most notable defeats in American history, the United States lost Red Cloud’s War from 1866 to 1868. The federal government had planned to remove the Indians from the Powder River area of Wyoming and Montana, but failed to do so. Red Cloud’s men and

*Red Cloud.* Courtesy: Wikimedia Commons.
other groups of warriors waged a successful war, and at the end of this conflict, the United States capitulated and sued for peace. The federal government was forced to remove its troops from the region and to sign a treaty very favorable to the Indians that gave the Native Americans much of what they wanted.

**Red Cloud**

The war chief Red Cloud was born in 1821 near the North Platt River in Nebraska. His father was Lone Man, a member of the Brule division of the Sioux, and his mother was Walks As She Thinks from the Oglala Sioux. Red Cloud was the youngest of nine children, and his father died a few months before his last son was born. From childhood, Red Cloud was known for his physical abilities, and his feats included swimming across both the Missouri and the Yellowstone Rivers. He was especially renowned as a good horseman who was also courteous and kind. The young man first went to war at age fourteen. The young warrior came to prominence roughly at age twenty when he killed the leader, Bull Bear. The drunken older chief had threatened to attack Red Cloud’s village, and many potential victims of this crime thought Red Cloud’s action in killing the enemy leader was a wise precaution that could have saved lives. Soon after this incident, the Oglala Sioux divided into two groups, and Red Cloud, still a young man but with clear attributes of leadership, led one of them.4

Contemporary sources leave a favorable impression of the Sioux leader. Red Cloud was “represented as one of the ablest Indian warriors of any time.” He was described as “tall, handsome, athletic, and perfect in his horsemanship and in his physical appearance.”5

---


5 “Red Cloud, the Indian Leader” in the *Milwaukee Sentinel*, May 9, 1867. “Red Cloud, the Indian Leader” in *The Union and Dakotaian*, May 25, 1867.
another account, he was “physically one of the finest specimens of a wild untamed Indian, fully six feet tall, straight as an arrow and active as a panther . . . and is as fine a horseman as the world can produce.”

By the fall of 1866, Red Cloud had “proved [to be] the equal of history’s great guerilla tacticians,” and he was one of the most formidable fighters the United States ever faced.

The United States Government and Indian Lands

The United States amassed a huge national debt by the end of the Civil War in 1865, which had been a very costly conflict, and the leaders of the government hoped that the vast mineral wealth in the American West, largely in gold and silver, could ease the financial burdens of the nation. To accommodate the acquisition of the metals, the federal government tried to assure easy and safe access to the mines where the ore was excavated. Many white Americans had traditionally gone west to seek new wealth and opportunities, and this movement was also true at the end of the Civil War because many people were optimistic about their chances in that region of the country. Additionally, the South was defeated in the Civil War, and the physical destruction of that conflict had impoverished that area of the country. Many former Confederates went west in search of their fortunes and to regain their personal dignity. This migration would lead white Americans into more confrontations with the Indians.

For decades before the end of the Civil War, the white Americans had already pressed the Indians hard by destroying the natural habitat for game animals and by forcing the Native Peoples to move into areas with fewer and fewer natural resources. By the 1850s, the Indians

---

6 “From Julesburg Fort Sedgwick, Col., Feb 10, [1867] in The Union Vedette, March 1, 1867.
7 Drury and Clavin, Red Cloud, 6.
of the northern plains had to rely on the lands east of the Bighorn Mountains in present-day Wyoming, because it was one of the few good places remaining to hunt. Not only had the whites killed much of the game near the immigrant trails, but starting largely in 1849, the newcomers brought new diseases—primarily smallpox, measles, and cholera—which devastated Indian populations. Clearly, “Most of the Indians believed that these diseases were forms of magic which the whites were employing against them.”\(^9\) The loss of hunting areas and the introduction of diseases greatly increased the resentment the Indians felt against the white people coming onto the lands long controlled by the Native Peoples.

### The White Americans Move onto Indian Lands

While the federal government did almost nothing to protect the Indians from the immigrants, it went to great lengths to defend the whites from any threats from the Native Peoples, and the United States established three military forts along the Oregon Trail in a two-year period. The first was Fort Kearny in 1848 in Nebraska. The next garrison stations were Fort Laramie established in Wyoming in 1849, and Fort Hall created in Idaho in the same year. According to the treaty of Fort Laramie in 1851, the Indians living east of the Bighorn Mountains received a huge grant of land in Montana, Wyoming, North and South Dakota, and Nebraska. The government also promised them $50,000 worth of goods and commodities annually for a period of ten years. In return, the Native Peoples agreed to allow settlers to migrate along the Oregon Trail unmolested.

Some of the Indians never received the promised goods, and the treaty was hard to enforce because white people kept encroaching on lands owned by the Native Peoples. However, the agreement stayed in effect until all the payments stopped in 1861. Shortly afterwards, white prospectors made significant gold strikes in Montana including

Grasshopper Gulch in 1862 and the nearby Alder Gulch in 1863. Last Chance Gulch was added in 1864. The discoveries of gold near Alder Gulch caused several boomtowns to appear, but the most impressive community was Virginia City where many prospectors and merchants swarmed, and the town numbered five thousand inhabitants within six months of the strike.

Access to this area was challenging because of the rough terrain and the distances involved especially if the travelers wanted to avoid Indian lands. One of the most used routes was to follow the Overland Trail from Independence, Missouri. It ran past Fort Laramie to Salt Lake City, Utah, which was a distance of 1,100 miles, and then it went directly north to Virginia City nearly 400 miles farther for a total of 1,500 miles. This distance could be cut down by 400 miles by following a new, shorter trail that could lead directly from Fort Laramie to the gold fields.

In 1863, John Jacobs and John Bozeman followed Indian trails and a track used by fur trappers from the gold fields near Virginia City to the Oregon Trail near Fort Laramie, Wyoming. The new route saved much time and distance. In the following year, 1864, Bozeman led one of four wagon trains along the trail, which included fourteen hundred settlers. The Bozeman Trail had been established as a valuable shortcut, but it went right across Indian lands and settlers on that route were subject to attack.10

At the same time that the Bozeman Trail was coming into use, there was an increase in Indian hostilities on the western plains. After John Chivington and his Colorado Militia massacred about two hundred peaceful Cheyenne Indians on November 29, 1864, at Sand Creek, Colorado, the Indians struck back in a series of raids early in 1865.11 Many of the Cheyenne warriors went to Wyoming where they would soon cooperate with the Sioux in their war against the whites.


In the summer of 1865, Patrick Edward Connor led a large expedition of two thousand and three hundred soldiers into Wyoming and Montana to subdue the Sioux, Cheyenne, and Arapaho Indians in that area. Connor’s troopers campaigned in exactly the same region along the Bozeman Trail that would see activities in Red Cloud’s War a year later in 1866. The soldiers attacked a large camp of Arapahos on August 29, 1865. The troopers reportedly killed 63 of them and burned 250 of
their lodges. On September 8, these same soldiers also clashed with a force of Indians warriors believed to include roughly 3,000 men.\textsuperscript{12} While indecisive, Connor’s expedition showed the Indians that they were subject to attack in the area east of the Big Horn Mountains. The campaign also demonstrated that the Indians were present in the area in large numbers, and they were willing to resist the invasions of the whites.

\textbf{A Treaty to Cheat the Indians}

The United States Army established Fort Reno to protect the Bozeman Trail in August 1865, but the post was small and undermanned, and the federal government decided it needed more forts to protect the travelers on the trail. Before building additional army posts, representatives of the government started to negotiate a treaty with the Indians to establish peace in the area. To assure a favorable reception to their proposals, the government gave the Indians a bribe of over 140,000 pounds of meat and other foodstuffs before the negotiations even began.\textsuperscript{13}

The federal commissioners met with various Indians starting on June 5, 1866, at Fort Laramie. The head of the delegation from the government was Edward B. Taylor, and he explained that he had no intention of buying the Indians’ lands. Rather, he wanted to assure peaceful relations with the Native Peoples. He stated that he just needed their permission for white travelers to cross their lands for purposes of trade and mining. As Taylor explained, “The Great Father [U.S. President] does not wish to keep soldiers in this country . . . . we only ask for roads to travel back and forwards, and no roads will be made except by orders from the Great Father, so as not to disturb the game and whatever damages are done by roads would be paid for by the Great Father.”\textsuperscript{14}

\textsuperscript{12} See, David E. Wagner, \textit{Patrick Connor’s War: the 1865 Powder River Indian Expedition} (Norman, OK: Clark, 2010).
\textsuperscript{13} McDermott, \textit{Red Cloud’s War} 1: 55-6.
\textsuperscript{14} Taylor as cited in McDermott, \textit{Red Cloud’s War} 1: 53.
The next day many of the Indian leaders, including Red Cloud, responded harshly to Taylor’s proposal. As an eyewitness stated, “The Indians object very strongly to the whites going through their country or making any new roads and they also ask that the troops be withdrawn from Fort Reno; but the Peace Commission are equally decided in refusing their demands and [to] allow [the whites] to go wherever they saw fit.”

Proof that the government was negotiating in bad faith was evident because wagon trains kept moving along the route to the Bozeman Trail during the discussions as though the proposed treaty was already in force. This “travel made the negotiations seem contrived, even hypocritical.”

The Sioux leaders became upset when the Eighteenth Infantry commanded by Henry B. Carrington arrived at Fort Laramie on June 13, 1866, while the negotiations were still in progress. When the Indians learned that these soldiers were on their way to establish another post on the Bozeman Trail, they made insightful comments. One leader stated, “Great Father sends us presents and wants the new road, but white chief goes with soldiers to steal road before the Indian say yes or no!”

Red Cloud was not at Fort Laramie when the Eighteenth Infantry arrived, but when he heard of

15 Brannan “From Ft. Laramie, Fort Laramie, D.T. June 7, 1866” in the Union Vedette, June 22, 1866, 2.
16 McDermott, Red Cloud’s War 1: 56.
the government’s dishonesty, he reacted angrily stating, “White man lies and steals. My lodges were many, but now they are few. The white man wants all. The white man must fight, and the Indian will die where his father’s died.”

The agreement stated that the Sioux would be well supplied if they signed the treaty. “The said bands represented in council shall withdraw from the routes overland already established, or hereafter to be established, through their country; and in consideration thereof the Government of the United States agrees to pay to the said bands the sum of $70,000 annually for twenty years.” In addition, the Cheyennes would be given $15,000 annually for the same period of time. Since the Indians could not read English and had trouble understanding that language spoken, the treaty had to be read to them by translators who had little interest in clarifying all the elements of the agreement. As a consequence, the Indians had been misled on the nature of the treaty they would sign. They believed they had granted permission to allow only one trail to go across their lands in Wyoming, while the agreement stated they had granted permission for many roads. In a surprising aspect of the treaty, the Indians had also stated they would stop roaming and become farmers. Few if any of them would have agreed to surrender their traditional way of life if they had known that the treaty obligated them to do so.

Many white witnesses to the proceedings criticized the treaty as being almost meaningless. One observer at the conference noted that many Indians who signed the treaty forfeited lands far from where they actually lived. “A large number of chiefs . . . were from bands that did not occupy any part of the country along the route in question. Some of these had resided near Fort Laramie; others, the Brule Sioux, occupied the White Earth River valley; and still others were from along the tributaries of the Kansas River.” The White Earth River Valley was

---

18 Carrington, Absaraka, 185.
19 McDermott, Red Cloud’s War 1: 61.
The Fetterman Massacre: A Swiss American Officer

in North Dakota about 640 miles away from Fort Laramie. The Kansas River system covered a vast area, but many of these Indians could have also lived 640 miles or farther away.

The Indians who had signed the treaty were not important leaders, and one newspaper reported simply, “There has not been an Indian chief of any consequence or popularity present at the Grand Council since it had been in session.” It was clear “that only a few uninfluential, harmless Indians signed the treaty.” Many of the Native Americans who endorsed the agreement were old, infirm, and incapable of waging war against the settlers. Observers described these people as little more than “loafing Indians,” “Laramie loafers,” “road beggars,” and “fort beggars.” About all that the agreement proved was the “idea that the Government is afraid of them [the Indians] and will continue its policy of paying a bounty for murder and robbery.” Rather than bringing peace to the area, the treaty made war more likely. “We should advise ranchmen, citizens, and soldiers to rub up [polish] their ‘guns’ and prepare for warm work.”

The treaty made little sense. The federal government had no intention of being bound by the agreement, and the whites would do much as they pleased despite the compact. If anything, the accord was an attempt to give some legitimacy to the government policy of cheating the Indians at every turn, and the prominent leaders of the Native Peoples knew that the treaty was a sham and resolved nothing. The issue of who controlled the routes to the gold fields in Montana would have to be resolved by war.

Establishing Fort Phil Kearny

While the meaningless treaty at Fort Laramie was being concluded, the army continued to advance into positions to protect the

21 “The Fort Laramie Indian Council,” and “Indian Affairs” in the Union Vedette, July 16, 1866, 2; “Aspect of Indian Affairs on the Plains,” in the Union Vedette, Aug. 9, 1866, 2; Carrington, Absaraka, 79; and McDermott, Red Cloud’s War 1: 64.
Bozeman Trail from the Indians. Colonel Carrington led the Eighteenth Infantry to Fort Reno where the command arrived on June 28, 1866. The troopers left the post on July 9 advancing in the blistering heat that reached 112 degrees. On July 14, Carrington reached a location 250 miles from Fort Laramie, and he examined the area near the Big Piney stream, which eventually emptied into the Powder River, for a place to establish a fort. The commander chose what he considered to be a favorable location, which had sufficient water, grass, and fuel to maintain the garrison and the fortress. The post appeared to be safe because it was out of range of rifle fire from the nearby hills, but those areas were within reach of shells from the fort’s artillery, so cannons could place any adversary under fire.22

Carrington believed that the fort’s location was favorable for strategic reasons as well. The position was in the middle of the Indian hunting grounds where the Native Peoples would naturally congregate, and where the army could watch and monitor them. Of course, this location was also a direct threat to the Indians who would react to the

---

22 McDermott, *Red Cloud’s War* 1: 87.
presence of the fort with hostility. The position had another severe limitation. The only place to obtain wood for the fort’s construction and for heating and cooking as well was in the foothills which were about four or five miles away. Under the best of conditions in the summer, the trip from the trees to the fort could take an hour or more. In severe winter weather, such a journey could be very trying or almost impossible. The troopers constructed the fort over the next several months, and Carrington named the position Fort Phil Kearny after a valiant Union General who was killed in the Civil War at the Battle of Chantilly in 1862.23 The fort was laid out in a rectangle formation with four hundred feet on two sides and six hundred feet on the other two.

Colonel Carrington immediately placed pickets on the hills near the fort to provide places from which troopers could observe the area and provide warnings in the event of trouble. He named a hill north of the post the Sullivant Hills after his wife, Margaret Irvin Sullivant Carrington, and he stationed a lookout on its highest point during the

---

23 McDermott, Red Cloud’s War 1: 87-8, 90.
daytime to scan the area for any signs of the hostile Indians. On Pilot Hill, to the south of the fort, the Colonel also placed a picket to watch the area during the daylight hours. These men on lookout duty would signal the troopers in the fort by the use of simple flag signals by waving the banners in recognizable patterns. This activity amused the Indians who were always nearby, and they often mimicked the activities of their adversaries on Pilot Hill. As a witness, John Bratt, explained, “These signals would give the approximate number of Indians in the party and from what direction they were coming. This guard would sometimes stay at their post until the Indians came very close, when the men would come tearing down the steep hill at breakneck speed. Then the Indians would take the places of the guard and with a buckskin fastened to their bows, would imitate the guard, much to the disgust of Colonel Carrington and fellow officers.”

The War Begins

Red Cloud had left Fort Laramie in indignation before the treaty of Fort Laramie was finished and signed. He was angry at the unfavor-
able treaty and advocated going to war. He chided his fellow Indians who wanted to accommodate the settlers and the army. “My countrymen, shall the glittering trinkets of this rich [white] man, his deceitful drink [alcohol] that overcomes the mind, shall these things tempt us to give up our homes, our hunting grounds, and the honorable teaching of our old men? Shall we permit ourselves to be driven to and fro—to be herded like the cattle of the white man?”

He added, “Before the ashes of the council fire are cold, the Great Father [U.S. President] is building his forts among us. You have heard the sound of the white soldier’s ax upon the Little Piney [Fort Phil Kearny?]. His presence here is an insult and a threat. It is an insult to the spirits of our ancestors. Are we then to give up their sacred graves to be plowed for corn? Dakotas [Sioux], I am for war!”

Only a few days after the arrival of the Eighth Infantry at the Big Piney stream to establish Fort Phil Kearny, the Indians began raiding emigrant trains and attacking the soldiers. On July 16, 1866, the Indians struck a group of wagons led by French Pete. The warriors plundered the wagons and killed six men and one woman. On the following day, the Indians staged their first raid on the army. They stole cattle and killed three soldiers and one teamster while wounding five additional troopers.

Red Cloud’s War had begun in earnest.

In the following months, the Sioux and Cheyenne Indians were relentless in harassing and fighting the soldiers and the other whites who were using the Bozeman Trail. The warriors came and went much as they pleased. They stole cattle, killed and wounded travelers and troopers, and attacked wagon trains. These raids made all communications difficult for the whites, and the warriors often left dead and wounded enemies after their attacks.

The Indians showed themselves to be masters of hit-and-run tactics, and their skill in riding horses and fighting from horseback was

26 Red Cloud in Eastman, *Indian Heroes*, 16.
most impressive. The army also had no means of adequately thwarting the maneuvers of the warriors. After the Indians had struck, about all the soldiers could hope to do was to apprehend them by a chase on horseback, but this effort almost always ended in failure. The troopers were incapable of dealing with their adversaries, and they failed in their assigned mission, which was to protect the travelers and to control the Indians.28

The infantry fought the warriors at a number of serious disadvantages. These men on foot were often called “Walk-a-Heaps” by their adversaries, and infantrymen were very slow to deploy in action against the Indians who were usually on horseback. The men on foot could not effectively pursue or advance on their enemies, and the warriors could easily attack them or fall back any time they wished.

Army and Indian Weapons

The infantrymen were also using outmoded .58 caliber muzzle-loading Springfield rifles. While these guns were the standard issue during the Civil War, they were badly out of date by 1866. These weapons had an effective range of about 300 yards, but few men could hit anything at such long distances. The rifle also weighed nine pounds. It was heavy, awkward to handle, and slow to load. The soldiers had to stand up straight to pour the powder down the barrel and then ram the bullet after it. These men were much larger targets when standing up, and their rate of fire was quite slow as well. Under the best of circumstances, a good soldier could perhaps fire two to three rounds per minute, but in battle conditions, the rate of fire was often slower. One infantryman commented that “after the first shot [the rifles] were about as good as clubs against the Indians.”29

The cavalrymen at Fort Phil Kearny had a great advantage which the infantry did not enjoy because the horse soldiers were wielding the

28 McDermott, Red Cloud’s War 1: 97, 117.
29 McDermott, Red Cloud’s War 1: 47.
repeating Spencer breech-loading carbines, which was a state-of-the-art rifle at the time. The weapon used metallic cartridges. These bullets were placed in the butt plate of the weapon, and they were moved into the firing chamber by the use of a lever. This was a big advantage over the Springfield rifle which had to be loaded by pouring powder down the barrel. The Spencer was a rapid-fire weapon that could discharge seven rounds in thirty seconds. It was .52 caliber (thirteen mm) and was highly effective at close range. Most importantly, the weapon could be fired from the prone position, meaning that the soldiers could hug the ground and did not have to stand up to load and fire it.³⁰

While some of the Indians had rifles and pistols, most of them wielded bows and arrows, and the warriors could load (nock) an arrow and shoot a shaft from a kneeling or even a prone position, when they would be much smaller targets. They could also shoot from horseback while riding at a full gallop, and the Indians were noted for using the animal for protection against enemy fire, shooting arrows from under the horse’s neck or even its stomach.

The famous American painter of the old West, George Catlin, visited the Sioux and other Indians of the plains eight times in the 1830s, and he described the ability of the warriors to fight from horseback. “An Indian, therefore, mounted on a fleet and well-trained horse, with his bow in his hand, and his quiver slung on his back, containing a hundred arrows, of which he can throw fifteen or twenty in a minute, is a formidable and dangerous enemy.” The historian, Walter Prescott Webb, claimed that the Indians could achieve even a higher rate of fire from horseback, stating that they could be expect to fire their bows at a rate of at least twenty per minute, and many could do much better than that.

George Catlin also visited the Mandan people, who were closely related to the Sioux, and the white traveler observed them achieving even more impressive rates of fire with their bows when they were standing on the ground. “I have seen a fair exhibition of their archery this day, in a favorite amusement which they call the ‘game of the arrow.’” The young men took turns “shooting their arrows in the air, endeavoring to see who can get the greatest number flying in the air at one time, thrown from the same bow.” These warriors aimed their shafts high, so it would take the arrows as much time to hit the ground as possible. Catlin was very “surprised . . . at the quickness of fixing them [the arrows] on the string, and discharging them in succession.” The most successful of the warriors “get as many as eight arrows up before the first one reaches the ground.” To accomplish this feat, the warriors would have had to fire the arrows at the rate of about one per second or even more rapidly. This rate of fire was four times as fast as the Spencer carbine wielded by the cavalry and perhaps twenty to thirty times as fast as the muzzle-loading Springfield rifles used by the infantry.

The accomplishment of keeping so many arrows in the air at one time was long thought to be impossible. Will Thompson the “

---

of American archery” stated that no one ever has ever or ever will “keep more than three arrows up in the air at once.” However, Saxton Pope later admitted that he had seven arrows in flight at the same time, and “I almost accomplished eight at once.” He believed that eight or more was a possibility. He was correct. The world record is probably now held by Lars Andersen of Denmark who in 2011 kept eleven arrows in flight at the same time. Other Plains Indians including the Sioux and Cheyenne could also achieve impressive rates of fire. If these warriors could approach the rate of their Mandan neighbors, the soldiers at Fort Phil Kearny faced very impressive adversaries who could potentially mow them down with a hail of arrows fired very rapidly.

The effective range of the Indian bows, where the Indians could expect to hit their targets, was from 40 to 70 yards, but the extreme range of the weapon was nearly 400 yards. The Indian bows were more lethal than the army’s weapons. As Colonel Carrington recognized, “The arrow is shot with more precision than the pistol-ball, and its blade is not like a bullet, to be deflected by tendon, cartilage, or bone. Its shaft has grooves, through which, as conduits, the blood of the wounded man or buffalo must continue to flow, if the victim escape capture as soon as shot.” The bows had an additional advantage because they could be used to lob arrows onto adversaries with deadly effect. This indirect fire could be used against enemies who were out of sight, meaning that the warriors could hit soldiers even though they were hiding behind rocks or were laying prone on the ground.

The Indians’ arrows also had great penetrating power. The Cheyennes told numerous stories about the strength of their bows. On several occasions, a single shot was known to go completely through a buffalo (American bison), and reportedly a single arrow went through

---

34 Saxton Pope, *Hunting with the Bow and Arrow* (San Francisco: Barry, 1923), 51.
35 Youtube, “World Record: 11 arrows shot into the air before the first arrow reaches the ground,” larsandersen23.
two buffaloes killing each.\footnote{George Bird Grinnell, \textit{The Cheyenne Indians: Their History and Ways of Life}, 2 vols. (New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1923) 1: 263-4.} The American bison were very large animals that frequently reach six feet in height and often weighed over a ton. The only advantage the soldiers had was the significant noise their rifles made when fired. The sound gave the army a psychological advantage and no doubt gave them more courage on the battlefield. However, the famous Indian war cries also boosted their bravery and could almost drown out the noise made by the soldiers.

**Indian Attacks**

When the army was constructing the fort, much wood was needed for building materials and for fuel. Since the timber was located primarily on the Sullivant Hills, these wood trains had to travel up to five miles to get it. Precautions were essential because these parties were vulnerable to attack. “These timber parties always had their armed teamsters, their armed choppers, and armed guard. Chopping details
varied from sixteen to thirty, with a special guard of about the same number, making, with teamsters, a resisting force of from seventy to one hundred men, and sometimes, . . . the force of teamsters and wagonmasters alone was nearly that number.”

The warriors often hid among the trees in the Sullivant Hills or on the opposite side of the ridge line, and the Indians frequently attacked or harassed the wood cutters and their escorts. Even though the danger of attack was almost constant, no soldiers or civilians were killed in these wood-cutting forays in the fall of 1866. Other soldiers and civilians along the Bozeman Trail in or near the post were not as fortunate, and many were victims of continuous Indian raids.

On September 4, 1866, forty wagons driven by white civilians were crossing the Crazy Woman’s Fork of the Powder River on the way to Fort Phil Kearny. Apparently, the warriors waited until the teamsters were distracted while crossing the stream before they struck. The Indians stole seven head of cattle and shot three men with arrows. Unfortunately, for one of the teamsters, James Edison, infection set into the wound, and he died of disease three days later. Civilian workers near the fort frequently slept in the wagon boxes, but they often took the opportunity to play cards, usually poker, late in the evening. These men built large bonfires, so they had sufficient light to continue their games, not realizing that they could easily be seen against the backdrop of the light cast by the flames. One evening, a group of Indians fired at these illuminated targets, hitting three men. Two were killed instantly, but the third recovered later even though he had a “bullet hole through him.”

On September 8, 1866, warriors drove cattle from a corral near the fort. On the same day, Indians stole twenty mules. On September 12, the raiders drove off cattle, and on the following day, the warriors successfully stole more cattle, wounding one soldier in the effort. The Indians killed Private Orlando Gilchrist and Private Peter Johnson on Sep-

---

38 Carrington, Absaraka, 140.
39 McDermott, Red Cloud’s War 1: 115.
40 Bratt, Trails of Yesterday, 82.
41 Bratt, Trails of Yesterday, 195-8.
tember 14, and on September 17, Sioux raiders successfully got away with seventy-eight mules and thirty-three horses. On the same day, a search party found the body of the photographer, Ridgway Glover. He was a Quaker who did not believe in making war. He put his faith in his religion, and he refused to carry a gun, believing that no Indian would kill him. His body had been badly mutilated when it was found. In total at least twenty-one whites, a number which included three soldiers, had been killed on the Bozeman Trail in September 1866.

However, this tally of dead might be incomplete as the Montana Post newspaper complained on September 15, “If we copied from our exchanges all the accounts of Indian outrages and murders which have occurred since the so-called treaty of Fort Laramie was ratified, our columns would be filled with horrible details.” According to the newspaper, the only way to resolve the problems with the Indians was “by the emphatic and long-remembered lessons of gunpowder and lead.”

Weaknesses in Army Leadership

The Indians took horses and cattle from the army almost every day, and their success hurt the morale of their adversaries. Additionally, the obsolete Springfield rifles, many of which had been used in the Civil War, were deteriorating and becoming less trustworthy. The ammunition supply was also inadequate and too few officers were available to lead the men properly. Colonel Carrington continuously complained to his superiors about all his problems. He did not get enough help to alleviate his difficulties, but he got some aid to help his situation. In the fall of 1866, a few soldiers arrived as reinforcements to help overcome the shortages in manpower. This number included Lieutenant George Washington
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Grummond who would play a big role in the Fetterman Massacre. The Lieutenant arrived at the fort with his young wife, Frances Courtney Grummond, on September 17, 1866, whom he married in Tennessee in 1865.

Lieutenant Grummond had gained a reputation for brutality during the Civil War. During that conflict in which he served in the army four years, he achieved the temporary or brevet rank of a lieutenant colonel. While he was an effective combat leader, eight of his subordinate officers brought accusations against him for misconduct late in the war. They charged that he had been repeatedly drunk on duty, and he had shot a fellow officer for disobedience, who was in fact following an order at that time. Grummond reportedly whipped a sergeant with a pistol and brutally beat

Frances Courtney Grummond. Wikimedia Commons.

a private, and he had shot an elderly unarmed civilian to whom he had later denied medical attention. In a drunken rage on the midnight of June 26, 1864, Grummond had ordered his command to assault the heights of Kennesaw Mountain, Georgia, and his men arranged a ruse to keep them from being killed in this suicidal advance. Corporal Patrick Walsh threw small stones at the drunken commander convincing Grummond that the gravel was enemy fire, and he called off the attack.\textsuperscript{46}

A court martial convened to examine the evidence against him. While most of the charges were dropped, the court found Grummond guilty of threatening to shoot a junior officer and wounding an unarmed civilian. The punishment was relatively minor. He received a public reprimand, which was presented to every unit of the entire Second Division in which he served.\textsuperscript{47} When Lieutenant Grummond arrived at Fort Phil Kearny, he was certainly rash, impulsive, and brutal, and he was prone to taking unnecessary risks. These were personality factors that helped lead to the Fetterman disaster.

Captain William Judd Fetterman arrived at Fort Phil Kearny on November 3, 1866. Fetterman came from a military family, his father and step father having both been officers in the army, and each of them had attended the United States Military Academy at West Point. As a young man, Fetterman also applied to attend that prestigious military school, but he was denied, yet he still had an interest in serving in the military. He joined the Union Army at the beginning of the Civil War in 1861 with the rank of lieutenant, and he steadily worked his way to the temporary or brevet rank of lieutenant colonel. He started as a company commander, but at the end of the war, he led a battalion. His career was impressive, and he fought in numerous battles and engagements, and he served in the army for the entire four years of the war. The regiment, in which he served, suffered what was believed to be the highest casualty rate of any such unit in the Union Army. He was officially praised for his gallantry on two occasions, and he gained much self confidence.

\textsuperscript{46} L. McDermott, \textit{Red Cloud's War} 1: 139-40.
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In fact, he seemed to have a personal belief in his own invulnerability because he had been under fire numerous times but was never wounded.48

Even though Fetterman had no previous experience fighting Indians, he held the martial abilities of his adversaries in derision. Margaret Carrington quoted the reckless captain as bragging that “a company of regulars [eighty men] could whip a thousand, and a regiment [eight hundred men] could whip the whole array of hostile tribes.”49 Frances Grummond quoted Fetterman in almost identical language. She reported that he said that a “single company of Regulars [eighty men] could whip a thousand Indians, and that a full regiment [eight hundred men] . . . could whip the entire array of hostile tribes.”50

The brash Captain clearly thought he had a better understanding of how to trap the warriors than those who had dealt with them for years. Fetterman set up an ambush on November 5 just two days after he had arrived at the fort. He placed some hobbled mules in a grove of cottonwood trees near the fort, and he hid troopers nearby. The Captain hoped that the Indians would attempt to seize the animals after dark, allowing the soldiers to trap the warriors. He deployed his men at 2:00

---
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a.m. and waited the rest of the night, but no Indians approached. After Fetterman gave up and brought his men back into the fort in the morning, the warriors struck less than a mile away driving off a herd of army animals. The Indians’ attack was so well-managed that many soldiers in the fort wondered why they had not advanced and destroyed Fetterman and the force with him.51

The impulsive Captain had courted disaster by taking an unnecessary risk, but rather than learning a valuable lesson about the skill of his opponents, Fetterman still held them in derision and sought to get even for his embarrassment. He clearly had “a desire to settle accounts,” and “he was impatient because the Indians were not summarily punished” for their misdeeds.52 Jim Bridger, the famous mountain man and explorer, was Colonel Carrington’s chief guide at the time, and he commented on Fetterman’s brashness. “Your men who fought down South [in the Civil War] are Crazy! They don’t know anything about fighting Indians.”53 Fetterman clearly underestimated his adversaries, which was a recipe for disaster.

The numbers of warriors in the area were reported to be very large in the late summer of 1866. Colonel Carrington believed that there were fifteen hundred lodges belonging to hostile Indians in the vicinity of the Tongue River.54 Many of these warriors were within fifty miles of Fort Phil Kearny, and they could have assembled at the post within a few days. An Indian lodge typically held one family unit. This usually included a grown man and often an older son, who had grown to maturity but had not yet left home. If the estimate on the number of lodges was correct, the warriors could have numbered close to three thousand.

51 Carrington, Absaraka, 171; and F. Carrington, My Army Life, 119-20.
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C. M. Hines, the acting assistant surgeon at Fort Phil Kearny, agreed that there were 1,500 Indian lodges in the vicinity, but he gave a larger estimate of the Sioux and their allies. “The whole number of warriors must amount to four or five thousand, well mounted and armed.”

More recent estimates have placed the number of Red Cloud’s force at “three to four thousand warriors.” Even if these figures were inflated, the garrison of roughly four hundred soldiers at the fort were outnumbered at least five to one, and they could have faced odds of ten to one.

Skirmish on December 6, 1866

The soldiers’ courage, skill, and resourcefulness were tested in a major skirmish on December 6, 1866. With the onset of the severe winter weather in northern Wyoming, few whites traveled on the Bozeman Trail. This meant that the Indians were no longer tempted to attack them and could concentrate their considerable forces on Fort Phil Kearny as a convenient target. The weather was bright and clear on the morning of December 6, and Margaret Carrington hoped that the garrison would get a rest from the constant Indian attacks, but she was to be disappointed.

Early in the morning, the wood train with about twenty-five soldiers and civilians was sent to retrieve lumber from the Sullivant Hills. The train had gone about four miles when it was attacked by a party of warriors at 1:00 p.m. The sentinels on Pilot Hill gave the appropriate signals alerting the fort of the situation. Colonel Carrington immediately planned a pincer movement. He sent Captain Fetterman to aid the threatened wagons and to dislodge the attacking Indians. The commander believed that the Captain would be successful in driving

55 C. M. Hines to John, January 1, 1867, in Letter of the Secretary of the Interior Communicating, in compliance with a resolution of the Senate of the 8th instant, information touching the origin and progress of Indian Hostilities on the frontier, 40th Cong. 1st sess. Senate Executive Document no. 13 [Washington, DC, 1867?], 15. Hereafter cited as Indian Hostilities.
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off the attackers who would likely retreat over the Sullivant Hills. This gave Carrington the opportunity of sweeping behind the hills, intercepting the warriors, and hopefully inflicting heavy losses on them.

The Colonel decided to take personal command of the flanking column. Commanding officers rarely left their posts to engage in a small-unit action at this time, but Carrington thought he had something to prove. He was aware that many of his junior officers, who had direct combat experience in the Civil War, thought that he lacked this essential background to lead them properly, and they often held him and his abilities in contempt. Carrington obviously hoped that leading a successful attack on the Indians would redeem him in the eyes of his subordinates.

Captain Fetterman led the mounted infantry and a part of Lieutenant Horatio S. Bingham’s cavalry unit. Together, these two groups numbered about thirty men. Lieutenant Alexander Wands soon joined Fetterman’s command. Fortunately, the young Lieutenant wielded a Henry rifle which soon became an important factor in the developing fight. The weapon was not issued by the army, and Wands had possibly purchased it with his own funds. The Henry rifle was the first truly successful lever-action repeater. It used a .44 caliber bullet, held sixteen rounds, and could fire that many aimed shots in thirty seconds. It could also be reloaded rapidly. It was a superb weapon at close range, and it was far superior to any other rifle in use at that time.58

58 Riley Sword, The Historic Henry Rifle (Lincoln, RI: Mowbray, 2002).
Colonel Carrington chose Lieutenant Grummond as his second-in-command, and his column numbered twenty-six men. The combined strength of the detachments under Fetterman and Carrington was roughly sixty men. The commander later estimated that they faced three hundred warriors. When the Colonel rode across the Big Piney Creek in pursuit of the Indians, his horse fell through the ice, and he plunged into the cold water. The temperature had fallen below zero that day, and campaigning in such frigid temperatures while wearing wet clothes was clearly dangerous, so Grummond suggested that the commander should return to the fort to get into dry clothing and to keep warm. “No,” Carrington replied, “If I go back, the men will call me a coward.”

The Colonel and his command pushed forward. The Indians fell back as the soldiers advanced, and the troopers soon saw four warriors on the top of a hill. They proved to be decoys who were trying to draw the soldiers into a trap. In a ravine nearby, an additional thirty-two Indians were hidden waiting to strike at Carrington and his men. The commander’s attention was then drawn to a force of one hundred Indians who were retreating from Fetterman’s advance. Even though he was outnumbered, the Colonel continued his approach at a gallop, and he picked up stragglers from Fetterman’s command in the process. Both Colonel Carrington’s and Captain Fetterman’s forces became engaged with the Indians, and about one hundred of the warriors came “circling around and yelling” out of the ravines to fight the soldiers.

The warriors under Yellow Horse struck Fetterman’s command on three sides, and the Captain ordered his men to halt to meet the threat better. Unwisely, Lieutenant Bingham and most of the cavalry did not follow Fetterman’s example, and they continued to advance. The men still on horseback broke through the Indian formations in the direction of Fort Phil Kearny, but when the warriors closed in, the soldiers were
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in grave danger. The officers realized that many of their men would be reluctant to maintain their positions to fight the enemy, and these experienced leaders reacted well to the situation by becoming more fearsome to their troopers than were their adversaries. These officers pulled out their weapons and threatened their men at gunpoint, forcing the remaining cavalrymen to dismount and take up a defensive position.61

When Fetterman’s troopers saw Carrington’s column, they naturally thought that the Colonel had come to their rescue, and they were surprised to see their relief party turn away to pursue some fleeing Indians. There were only about fourteen men in Fetterman’s group at this point, and they faced roughly 150 warriors. The troopers could have been overwhelmed, but Lieutenant Wands’s cool courage, and his Henry rifle came into play. He kept up a heavy rate of fire, and he hit an Indian at roughly 50 yards with one shot. The victim tumbled through the air much liked “a flying squirrel.” The rest of the troopers were anxious to keep the warriors at bay by firing their revolvers. While these weapons could be fired rapidly, they suffered from lack of range, poor accuracy, and they were slow to load. The Indians were at such a great distance that the weapons did little more than make noise and were totally ineffective.62

Lieutenants Grummond and Bingham became so excited that they took several troopers and advanced on about thirty Indians who seemed to be fleeing. When the warriors turned on their pursuers, the troopers were forced to fight their way out of a possible encirclement. Bingham fired his pistols until they were out of ammunition, and he then attempted to cut his way out with his sword. The warriors soon killed him. Later, they stripped the clothing off the corpse and scalped the body. Sergeant Bowers made the mistake of turning around to fire on his pursuers, but the warriors overtook him and “put an arrow in him and split his skull open above the eyes.” He had been struck with an
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ax or tomahawk. Grummond was more successful. He “ran against the Indians, cutting right and left with his sword, [and] got through with the balance” of his men.63

One of the Carrington’s men fell with his horse on top of him, pinning him to the ground. The commander saw a warrior trying to creep up to the man obviously with the intent of killing and scalping him, but Carrington succeeded in “dismounting, with one man to hold the horses, and reserving fire, I succeeded in saving the man and holding the position until joined by Fetterman.”64 When the warriors saw Fetterman’s command join Carrington’s men, “the Indians broke in every direction.” They left the soldiers alone on the field of battle, and the fight was over. The troopers looked for their missing comrades. “After an hours’ search we found Lieutenant Bingham’s body and that of Sergeant Bowers.” The sergeant was still alive, and he had not been

---
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scalped, but his wound was very serious since he had been hit in the head with an ax or tomahawk. “He died before an ambulance arrived from the fort, having been cleft to the brain.” Carrington’s final assessment of the battle included a list of his losses. “My total casualties were: One officer killed, one sergeant killed, one sergeant and four privates wounded. Three horses were killed and five wounded.”

The commander reported that his men had killed ten Indians, but he gave no information on why he thought that many warriors had died, and this number might have been enlarged to make his forays seem successful. Lieutenant Grummond had a completely different assessment of the engagement as he told William Bisbee, a fellow officer. “He stated that upon rejoining the command he very hotly asked the Colonel if he was a fool or a coward to allow his men to be cut to pieces without offering help.” In reality, Carrington’s attack on the Indians had accomplished nothing, and his men and their officers had not learned the valuable lessons which the engagement should have taught them. They went out with too few men, divided their forces in the face of a numerical superior adversary, and they were too far from the fort to expect additional help if they were under attack. Also, they fell for the old Indian trick when the warriors acted like they were in retreat thus bating the whites into pursuing them. After they had drawn the cavalry far away, and when the whites were scattered in an effort to catch up to the Indians, the warriors would then turn on them. At that time, the warriors clearly had the advantage. This was a ruse that the Indians would use to bate Fetterman into foolish and unwise actions which led to the annihilation of the brash Captain’s command more than two weeks later.

Lieutenants Wands and Grummond each gave Margaret Carrington “the whole story” on the fight, and she stated that, “It is of God’s mercy that any one [soldier] escaped.” Later, Captain Fetterman came to visit her, and he said that “he has learned a lesson, and that this In-
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dian war has become a hand-to-hand fight, requiring the utmost caution.” Fetterman should have followed his own advice to be more vigilant and careful in dealing with his adversaries. Despite his observation to Mrs. Carrington, the Captain clearly had learned no valuable lessons in fighting the Indians, and his incompetence would lead to disaster fifteen days later.

The Preparations for Battle

Many of the troopers looked forward to fighting the enemy again to settle old scores, prove their manliness, or relieve the endless anxiety of the constant raids from the warriors. Despite the problems of skirmishing with the occasional enemy, the soldiers knew little about Indian warfare, and they were overconfident thinking their adversaries could not possibly withstand them when engaged in relatively large numbers. The troopers severely underestimated the cunning and resourcefulness of their adversaries.

Captain F. H. Brown was so anxious to meet the enemy that he wore his uniform to bed, so he would not have to dress before rushing outside to meet any threat. He also had weapons close at hand, so they could be easily retrieved. As Margaret Carrington explained, “Only the night before the massacre he made a call, with spurs fastened in the button-holes of his coat, leggings wrapped, and two revolvers accessible, declaring by way of explanation, that he was ready day and night, and must have one scalp before leaving for [Fort] Laramie, to which place he had been ordered.”

Captain William Fetterman, obviously having forgotten the lessons learned at the skirmish on December 6, expressed a similar attitude. He spoke of “taking Red Cloud’s scalp,” and he bragged that “with eighty men I can ride through the whole Sioux Nation.”

---
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warriors were willing to engage the army, and by every indication, the Indians were spoiling for a fight. They openly challenged the soldiers to meet them in battle. As Dr. Hines reported, “For some time back they were in the habit of coming on the bluffs near this fort, calling out to us and challenging us to the fight.”

For weeks, many Indians had been assembling for battle. Some of these warriors were met with skepticism by the other Indians. One such person, Heemaneh, was a “berdash” or a man who dressed as a woman. This “half-man-half-woman” was rejected by the Sioux warriors as unsuitable for combat, and they did not want to serve with him until they noticed that he had brought a hundred men with him to do battle with the whites. A contingent of warriors was chosen to attack the wood train in the morning, which was an act that would start the day’s hostilities. They were then supposed to run away when the soldiers came to the rescue, and an additional ten men were assigned to withdraw slowly and tempt the troopers to follow them into the trap. The night before the battle these ten warriors were chosen to be ready to serve as decoys. Two of these men were Cheyennes, Little Wolf, and Wolf Left Hand—two were Arapahos, and two were from each of the three tribes of Sioux who were present, the Minniconjou, Oglala, and Hunkpapa.

The Cheyennes were the guests of the Sioux, and they were given the choice of where they stood in the ambush. Their leaders declared that their men and the Arapaho warriors would be hidden on the upper or western side of the ridge. The Oglala Sioux warriors under Crazy Horse was with them. The other Minniconjou and the Hunkpapa Sioux would deploy on the opposite or eastern side of the trail. All of the Cheyennes and Arapahoes were on horseback, while
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some of the Sioux men were on foot. Some women had accompanied
the warriors, and they stayed with the men who were on foot and had
no horses. Everyone knew their place and what was expected of them,
and they all stayed as quiet as possible.  

When all the warriors were in their assigned locations, they pre-
pared for battle. They took the white buckskin covers off their shields, put
war paint on their faces, and they sang and prayed. White Bull stood with
the Minniconjou Sioux, and he wore clothing and had weapons similar to
the other warriors. He was armed with a lance, a bow, and forty arrows.
He had two eagle feathers in his hair, and he held his gray war horse.
The young man also fastened his blood-red blanket around his shoulders
much like a coat. White Bull was like many other warriors that day. He
was ready for combat, and he was anxious to show his courage in battle.  

---

The number of warriors who actually participated in destroying Fetterman’s command is unknown, but the estimates range from nine hundred to three thousand men. The Indian accounts tend to favor the lower estimates, while the whites use more inflated conclusions. The only white observer to look at the Indian forces was Captain Tendor Ten Eyck who viewed the warriors at a distance when they were in celebration after annihilating Fetterman’s force. Ten Eyck had experience in estimating the numbers of men during the Civil War, so he was a skilled observer, and his opinion carried the most weight. The army officer stated, “I am sure that there were not less than fifteen hundred and I think over two thousand” warriors.\(^7\) The number of white men in the upcoming battle was eighty-one, and if Ten Eyck’s estimate of the Indian numbers may be considered the most reasonable, then Fetterman’s command was outnumbered by about nineteen or twenty-five to one.

**Fetterman’s Command Marches Out**

The morning of December 21, 1866, dawned clear and cold. Despite being the shortest day of the year, there were only scattered patches of snow on the ground, and the snow did little to encumber the movement of both the Indians and soldiers. Early in the morning, the wood train left the fort and moved to retrieve wood on the south side of the Sullivant Hills. These wood cutters were accompanied by ninety soldiers for their protection. Soon the troopers on the watch post on Pilot Hill one mile south of the fort signaled that many Indians were advancing, and the wood train soon placed their wagons in a circle for defense. Colonel Carrington used his telescope to observe the nearby terrain, and he saw small bands of warriors on the nearby hills and in the thickets along the Big Piney Creek right in front of the fort. The Indians seemed to be deployed to test the strength of the garrison and to
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find out how many troopers would come to the aid of the wood cutters. The Colonel ordered his men servicing the three howitzers in the fort to fire several rounds at the Indians. These projectiles exploded in mid air, each cannonball sending more than eighty smaller balls of shrapnel in every direction. The Indians dubbed these weapons the “gun that shoots twice,” and the warriors soon withdrew beyond the range of these fearsome weapons.78

Colonel Carrington ordered Company C of the U.S. Cavalry to ride out and come to the aid of the wood train. However, Company C had no regular officer assigned to lead it, and Carrington initially gave the assignment to Captain James Powell. Captain Fetterman was unhappy with the choice because it left him out of the pursuit. If Powell remained in command, Fetterman would have to remain in the fort, and he would have no chance to engage the enemy. Stating that he was the senior captain at the post, Fetterman soon requested that he be given command of the troops, and the Colonel then gave him overall control over the expedition. Captain Frederick Brown soon also asked that he be given “one more chance to bring in the scalp of Red Cloud himself,” and Carrington granted his request to accompany the column. Technically, Brown had authority only over the two civilians with the command, and he need not participate in the expedition. He just went along out of a sense of adventure.79

While Fetterman had overall command, he was only directly in control of the Second Battalion, Eighteenth U.S. Infantry. Lieutenant Grummond was the commander of the cavalry, but he also was second in overall command of the expedition. Each infantry company was part of the Second Battalion Eighteenth Infantry. In total, Company A included twenty-one men, Company C was comprised of nine men, Company E had six men in it, and Company H included twelve men. One other soldier, Thomas M. Madden, accompanied the infantry. He was a new recruit, and he was technically unassigned because he had not been

formally placed in any company. In total, the number of foot soldiers was forty-nine. Each man carried twenty to thirty rounds of ammunition for their Springfield rifled muskets.

There were also twenty-seven men in Company C of the Second Cavalry, and each man had roughly sixty to seventy rounds of ammunition for their Spencer carbines. Adolf Metzger was the bugler for Company C of the cavalry. He was from Germany, and he was considered to be the most experienced soldier at the post, who was serving in his fourth enlistment. Also accompanying the expedition were two private citizens, John (Isaac) Fisher and John Wheatley. These men were frontiersmen who had been serving as civilian scouts for the army. Wheatley had lost some stock to the Indians and was presumably seeking revenge. All together, this made a total of seventy-six soldiers and two civilians. Including the three officers; Fetterman, Grummond, and Brown; the entire command comprised eighty-one men.80 Fetterman had bragged that he could take eighty men and defeat the Indians, and that was the exact number under his command.

The size and configuration of the command was most unwise. The infantry carried the unwieldy Springfield musket which was only marginally effective under any practical circumstances, and the foot soldiers would have to march too slowly to close with their mounted adversaries. Additionally, the infantry would be an impediment to the cavalry if the soldiers came under attack because the mounted men would have to cover the more vulnerable men on foot. Also, the number of cavalrymen was too few to engage the Indians at an advantage. If sixty mounted men could not deal effectively with the warriors on December 6, then half that number of cavalrymen could be expected to be even more insufficient on December 21. The cavalrymen carried Spencer breech-loading carbines, but they were too few in number to alter the outcome of the battle. Only the two civilian packers, Fisher

and Wheatley, had the most effective weapon wielded by the whites, the Henry rifle. While these two men gave a good account of themselves, they were unable to change the outcome of the battle. The small size and the poor configuration of the soldiers showed that the expedition was misconceived, misdirected, and foolish. All it took was an aggressive attack by a numerically superior force to slaughter all the troops.

Colonel Carrington emphatically told Lieutenant Grummond, “Report to Captain Fetterman, implicitly obey his orders, and never leave him.” Then the commander of the garrison gave the Lieutenant additional instructions, “Under no circumstances must you cross Lodge Trail Ridge.”81 Lieutenant Wands was not in a position to give orders to his fellow Lieutenant, but he offered Grummond some additional advice. “[For your] family’s sake to be prudent and avoid rash movements, or any pursuit [of the enemy].” Colonel Carrington also presented Fetterman with direct and clear orders, “Support the wood-train, relieve it, and report to me. Do not engage or pursue Indians at its expense; under no circumstances pursue over the Ridge, namely, Lodge Trail Ridge.”82

Lieutenant Grummond’s wife, Frances Courtney Grummond, was standing near Colonel Carrington’s headquarters and both saw and heard everything. She confirmed what the Colonel told the officers who were leaving on the expedition, “Support the wood-train, relieve it, and report to me.” When the men marched out of the fort, Carrington jumped to the sentry walk at the top of the stockade yelling to the column to stop while he repeated his orders, “and in clear tones, heard by everybody, repeated his orders more minutely.” He yelled to them, “Under no circumstances must you cross Lodge Trail Ridge.” Mrs. Grummond knew the character of her husband, and she feared he was about to take unnecessary risks. “I was filled with dread and horror at the thought that after my husband’s hairbreadth escape scarcely three weeks before he could be so eager to fight the Indians again.”83 In all

---
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these instances, Grummond and Fetterman had been given clear orders and helpful advice, but these officers would soon recklessly disregard what they had been told.

Colonel Carrington prepared the remainder of his men in case they were needed to come to Captain Fetterman’s aid, and the fort’s commander called the general alarm, putting every man in the garrison on full alert. When the Captain’s command left the fort, his column did not march directly to help the men in the wood train who were under attack. Instead, Fetterman led the troopers on a march to the north side of the Sullivant Hills in an apparent attempt to intercept or cut off the Indians from the rear. Apparently, he had also noticed the small group of warriors serving as a decoy in an attempt to lure the command into the ambush. This party of Indians fell back slowly and some of them acted like their horses were lame, giving the impression that they could be easily overtaken and killed. The Captain took the bait and then dis-obeyed the direct order from Carrington not to pursue the Indians. Acting on his own initiative, Fetterman soon marched out of sight over the crest of the Lodge Trail Ridge. From that point, the men in the fort could no longer see the men in Fetterman’s command, and the garrison could only guess what was happening to them when they heard the reports of rifle fire in the distance.

The Indians Spring their Trap

The warriors set their ambush and waited in silence for a long time. They then heard a single shot, and later they heard the reports of more rifle fire. Then there was silence again. Finally a number of bugle calls were heard and shortly a troop of cavalry marched out in their direction, followed by the infantry. The Indian decoys were doing their job admirably. The cavalry would fire and then stop, and the warriors would return and act like they were attacking. Once again the troopers would fire at them and then continue to pursue the Indians.84
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Fetterman’s column marched about four miles from the fort. They followed the track of the Bozeman Trail and had advanced along Lodge Trail Ridge over a prominence, later known as “massacre hill,” when they were attacked.

The Cheyenne warrior, Big Nose, placed himself in great danger to show his courage. When the soldiers approached the ridge line, the Indian, who was on a black horse, rode back and forth in front of the soldiers as though he was engaging them in battle. In turn the troopers fired at him at a very rapid rate. The warrior appeared to be trying to hold the soldiers back to help another man get away. At one point, Big Nose charged back through the ranks of the troopers, and he disappeared among them. He rode through the formation of the soldiers, and he then turned his horse back and rode again through the disposition of troopers from the opposite direction. This was a remarkable feat of horsemanship and courage, but it was also reckless and foolish.85

---

A young Indian, Fire Thunder, was only sixteen years old, but he stood in ambush with the other warriors waiting for the soldiers to approach. After waiting what seemed to be a long time, the warriors heard a shot over the hill, and they knew the soldiers were coming. To keep their horses from giving away their position, the Indians tried to keep the animals quiet by holding the nostrils of their ponies, so the horses would not whinny in a greeting to the cavalry’s mounts. The warriors in hiding soon saw their men coming back, “some of them were walking and leading their horses, so that the soldiers would think they were worn out” to give the impression they could be easily overtaken. The Indian decoys, who had been sent out to lure the soldiers into the trap, came running back, the white men on horseback shooting in hot pursuit. When the cavalry reached the bottom of the hill, the fighting began.\footnote{Fire Thunder in John G. Neihardt, \textit{Black Elk Speaks: Being the Life Story of a Holy Man of the Ogalala Sioux} (New York, NY: Morrow, 1932), 11-12. Hereafter cited as Fire Thunder in \textit{Black Elk Speaks}.}

**The Indian Attack**

The soldiers kept following the decoys down the old Bozeman Trail which ran along the crest of the ridge. The Indians affirmed that the warriors were at their stations. “The mounted Sioux were hidden behind two rocky ridges on the east side of this ridge, while the Cheyennes were on the west side of it.” The cavalry rode in advance of the infantry, and the two groups were near the Sioux, well within the Indian positions. The decoys finally separated into two small bands, and they rode away in opposite directions from each other. They then turned back and crossed the path of the other group. This was one of the signals for the Sioux to charge. However, the Cheyennes looked to Little Horse for the order to advance. The warrior was a Contrary or \textit{Hohnüihk’e} who were known for doing everything backwards or to the reverse of what was expected. The man had his “contrary” lance in his
left hand, and he passed it behind his neck, taking it into his right hand. The Cheyennes knew this was the signal to charge, and they all sprang up and advanced against the soldiers.87

When White Bull of the Minniconjou Sioux saw the signal to advance, he called to his fellow warriors, “Come on! We must start!” The men on both sides of the soldiers jumped on their horses and rushed forward. The Minniconjou were closer to the troopers than the Oglala and Cheyennes, and they reached their targets first. The most prestigious act of courage for the Indians was to touch or strike an enemy with a weapon or a stick, known as a “coup” or blow. The brave, Thunder Hawk, was ahead of the other warriors, and he had the honor of being the first to strike or “count coup” on a soldier.88

The hoofs of hundreds of horses in the charge sounded much like thunder. When the soldiers saw the warriors’ attack, they stopped advancing. After the Indians got near enough to shoot their arrows, hitting a couple of soldiers, the troopers began to fall back. The infantry retreated up the hill, took a position behind some loose, flat stones on the ridge, and lay prone on the ground as much as possible. The cavalry did not join the infantry but retreated past the men on foot farther up the slope eventually to deploy about one hundred yards away.89

Three Men Make a Stand

The two civilians with Carrington’s command, James S. Wheatly and Isaac Fisher, both from Blue Springs, Nebraska, apparently had advanced with the cavalry. They were the most formidable whites on the field of battle that day because each was wielding a Henry rifle with which they could keep up a heavy rate of fire. They were probably on horseback and had the opportunity to fall back with the cavalry in the attempt to save their lives, but they decided to make a stand together.

---
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farther down the slope by firing from the protection of two large rocks. These men could clearly see the predicament of the entire command as the soldiers were on the verge of being overwhelmed by a large numbers of warriors.

If these two men had any chance of survival, they must either run for their lives or join the troopers in their retreat, but they chose to stay and fight instead. With their superior firepower, these two civilians were likely trying to provide some cover for the troopers who were hard pressed from the beginning of the flight. If so, they sacrificed their lives trying to give others a chance to live. Wheatley and Fisher gave a good account of themselves as the numerous spent cartridge shells found near their bodies attested. More than fifty spent shells were found near the corpse of one of these men, and they probably held out until they ran out of ammunition. These two men also might have found their marks with many shots because sixty pools of blood, and the bodies of ten Indian ponies were found nearby. This was noteworthy because no dead Indian horses and pools of blood were found at any other point on the battlefield.90

While the Indians frequently mutilated the dead bodies of their enemies, the Native People gave the remains of Wheatley and Fisher some special treatment in retaliation for how well they fought. The Indians gave a compliment to the fighting ability of these men in a curious way after the battle because they filled these men’s bodies full of arrows. “One having a hundred and five arrows in his naked body . . . . The cartridge shells about them told how well they fought.”91

The cavalry bugler from Germany, Adolph Metzger, probably joined the two civilians in their desperate defense because his body was found nearby. The physical evidence indicated that the courageous German gave a good account of himself. “The bravery of our bugler is much spoken of, he having killed several Indians by beating them over

---

the head with his bugle.” The man’s courage was noted by his adversaries, and they gave his corpse special respect. “The Indians mutilated every body in Fetterman’s command with the exception of the bugler who fought so courageously that his remains were left untouched but covered with a buffalo robe.”

Some Indians covered the body of a fallen enemy as a sign of respect to indicate that the corpse of the valiant foe was to be preserved and was not to be mutilated. But it is unlikely that Metzger could have killed several men with a bugle because it was a poor weapon. The instrument was light, and it probably weighed less than one pound. It was made of brass, which was a malleable metal, that would collapse when it hit anything hard such as a man’s head. Most likely, Metzger fought with his rifle, and he only swung the bugle in the desperate last moments of his final life-and-death struggle with the warriors.

The Destruction of the Infantry

As Wheatley, Fisher, and Metzger were fighting to their deaths, the battle raged farther up the hill. Eats-Meat, a courageous Minniconjou warrior, came charging down the old road against the foot soldiers, and the troopers unexpectedly stood up as though they were going to leave their position. They allowed the warrior to pass through their

---

ranks, but they then turned to shoot and kill the man. He was the first Indian to die in the battle.⁹³

This tactic of allowing a warrior to pass by or through their position before firing on the man was an old stratagem used by white men wielding muzzle-loading rifles when attacked by Indians. If the troopers fired when their adversaries were riding past them, the soldiers could perhaps hit their targets and still have time to reload before the warriors could turn around and repeat the attack. This maneuver could be effective against a few enemies, but it only helped a little when a small contingent of troopers faced many hundreds of attackers.

Another young warrior attacked on foot, shooting his arrows at the troopers as he advanced. The soldiers got up and killed the young man. When the troopers stood up to shoot at the Indian, they made much better targets, and the other warriors also rose up at the same time to take better aim and shoot the white men.⁹⁴

The Indians rode around the infantry with the Oglala Sioux and Cheyennes sweeping around the men on foot on the north and east, while the Minniconjou Sioux circled on the south and west. Demonstrating great skill, the warriors used an old tactic of attacking while their horses protected them from fire. The men hung off the sides of their mounts, so the body of the animals protected them from the soldiers’ rifle fire, and the Indians continued to shoot arrows at their enemies from under the horses’ necks or even from under their horses’ stomachs. The troopers on foot were severely hampered by their slow-firing muzzle-loading rifles, and the fact that they had to stand up to reload these weapons. The volume of the fire from the warriors was so rapid, and the numbers of braves were so many that the infantry only resisted a short time.⁹⁵

After most of the foot soldiers had been killed, three of the white survivors got up from the ground and ran up the hill to join the cavalry. The Sioux saw these fleeing men and immediately went after them. Bull Eagle was on foot, and he ran forward to strike the trooper in

⁹³ Grinnell, Fighting Cheyennes, 233; and Vestal, White Bull, 61.
⁹⁴ Grinnell, Fighting Cheyennes, 233.
⁹⁵ Vestal, White Bull, 61.
the lead of the small group of whites with his bow. The Indian was apparently attempting to count coup on the unfortunate man, but another soldier shot the warrior. The bullet went through his right thigh, and Bull Eagle fell onto the ground and was unable to move. Whenever possible, the Indians retrieved their wounded and dead from the battlefield, but the fire from the soldiers was so intense that no one came to his aid. When White Bull saw his fellow warrior bleeding and groaning on the ground, the young man jumped from his horse to help. He grabbed Bull Eagle by the wrists and dragged him over the edge of the ridge out of sight from the troopers and to safety.96

The firing from the infantry was becoming less and less, and White Bull mounted his horse again to rejoin the fight. When he advanced, he was shot from his horse, and he fell to the ground still holding onto the rope around the animals’s neck. Even though he fell hard onto the earth, the bullet missed him because it had passed harmlessly through the red blanket the warrior had wrapped around his left shoulder. Surprisingly, the near miss made White Bull angry rather than frightened, and he remounted his pony and returned to the battle.97

The Indians shot their arrows so rapidly that a few of the projectiles flew over or through the troopers’ position, wounding warriors on the opposite side. An arrow struck an Indian in the forehead at the top of his nose. He was hit right between the eyes, and the missile went into his brain killing him. Many of the warriors advanced and engaged the infantry in hand-to-hand combat. While all this was happening, the cavalry retreated past the foot soldiers to the top of a nearby hill, and they offered little or no assistance while the infantry was being annihilated.98

The Destruction of the Cavalry

If the army had any chance of surviving the Indians’ onslaught, both the infantry and the cavalry needed to stay together to offer mu-
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tual aid and to present a unified defense. The fact that the cavalry felt forced to continue their retreat and to abandon the foot soldiers to their fate meant that the warriors could destroy each part of the command piecemeal or one after the other. But the cavalry showed skill in their fighting retreat, and they fell back slowly and in good order. Some of the men were on foot leading their horses, which allowed other men to fight on foot where they could take better aim and wield their weapons more effectively. Under such circumstances, it was common practice for one cavalryman to hold four horses. However, this took one quarter of the troopers out of action. The Indians pursued the cavalry, but their advance was slowed by the ice and snow on the hill sides which made the ground very slippery, and they were unable to rush up to the calvary’s position immediately.99

The cavalry showed good discipline and good unit cohesion. When the soldiers retreated slowly up the ridge in the direction of the fort, they continued to fight. They were close together, and they fired continuously. Their rate of fire was so rapid that they were partially hidden behind the smoke of their own rifles. Some men who were not leading horses would stop, kneel down on one knee to take better aim, and then fire. These troopers would then stand up again and continue to withdraw.

At the rear of the soldiers, White Bull saw one of the soldiers on foot. This trooper faced the warriors while he was running backwards, and he was shouting as loud as he could. The soldier carried a carbine, which was a shorter version of the infantry rifle, and he threatened his adversaries by pointing it at one warrior and then at another. White Bull rode directly at the trooper and shot an arrow into his chest which pierced the man’s heart and killed him. In triumph, the warrior struck the trooper on the head with his lance, knocking the man’s cap off his head. The Indian had counted his first coup.100

Fire Thunder mounted his sorrel (reddish brown) pony when the soldiers began to fall back up the trail. The young warrior had one
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of the few firearms used by the Indians that day. “I had a six-shooter [pistol] that I had traded for, and also a bow and arrows. When the soldiers started back, I held my sorrel [horse] with one hand and began killing them with my six-shooter, for they came close to me. There were many bullets, but there were more arrows—so many that it was like a cloud of grasshoppers all above and around the soldiers; and our people, shooting across, hit each other.”

A Cheyenne, Little Horse, crept to within twelve yards of the soldier’s position, where he engaged the enemy by shooting arrows. The whites returned fire, but the warrior was never hit. Another Cheyenne, White Elk, saw the Indians shooting arrows at the troopers, and the projectiles flew so rapidly that, once again, the shafts seemed like swarms of grasshoppers flying over them. Again Indians were hit by arrows from other warriors. This time Thunder Hump and King were hit. The warriors fired so many arrows that the ground was covered with them, and the Indians did not have to use their own projectiles because they could pick up the shafts almost anywhere.

A valiant officer was riding a white horse, which identified him as Lieutenant Grummond. After the battle, his body was found a quarter of a mile from where the cavalry made their last stand, indicating that the Lieutenant was killed while trying to cover the troopers’ retreat. The warrior, Wild Horse, reported that the officer had lost his hat and was bare headed. By that time, the white man had been severely wounded, and his shattered arm dangled loosely from his side. His face was covered with blood from a deep gash in his cheek, but the soldier continued to resist. Grummond had apparently lost his rifle or had expended its ammunition, so he no longer wielded it, but he briefly used his pistol to smash the head of an Indian. He then rode about wildly cutting and slashing with his saber. Reportedly, he cut the head off a warrior with a single slash of the weapon. While the Lieutenant’s courageous stand helped the other soldiers retreat,

---
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he had sacrificed his life, and he was killed by the blow of a warrior’s club. 103

After Lieutenant Grummond was killed, the soldiers seemed to give way and fall back farther up the ridge. They took their final position for defense between four boulders at the top of the highest point. The area was roughly square, but it was quite small and was only about six feet on each side. In a surprising move, some of the troopers let their horses go when they were retreating up the ridge, and they released the remainder of their mounts when they reached the top of the ridge. 104 This action could have sealed their fate because they no longer had the option to flee on horseback. If these men had any chance
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of survival at this point, it was to mount their horses and try to run through their enemies and try to reach the fort. Maybe a very few could have been fortunate enough to get away. As Two Moons stated, “After this [release of the horses] Fetterman couldn’t do anything else but fight, and it was soon all over.” However, releasing their horses meant that the men holding them were then able to participate in the battle, briefly bolstering the number of men desperately trying to defend their position.

The freeing of the horses did give the troopers a short respite, because some of the warriors were eager to capture the animals, and they left the battle briefly to retrieve the mounts. White Bull was among the warriors who chased the horses, but his pony was too slow to capture any of them. However, other Indian ponies were faster, and the warriors soon caught all the animals. Big Nose rode after the horses, and he captured two of them, but his own mount had become so exhausted from its exertions that the warrior could not get it to move, and the Indian was stationary at that point. He was a relatively easy target for the soldiers, and he was shot off his horse. He lay on the ground badly wounded. His friend, White Elk, came to help, while the soldiers continued to fire at them. Big Nose said simply, “Lift my head up the hill and place me where I can breath the fresh air.” He died a day or two later from his wounds.

The Indians’ efforts to capture the horses gave the soldiers a respite from the Indian fire, but the lull in fighting was short lived. The cavalry fell back to a place about four hundred yards from the location where the infantry had been annihilated. A few warriors worked out a plan to get the white men to expose themselves to fire. At times, an Indian would stand up as though he was about to charge, but when the troopers rose up to shoot him, the other warriors would fire at the soldiers. By this method, the Indians were able to kill a few troopers.
The warriors continued to advance ever closer to the white men’s position and to prepare for one final assault that would end the battle. Indians at war did not have any recognized hierarchy of leadership or chain of command. A few charismatic and influential men were called chiefs, and their example could inspire others to fight well, but many men went into battle largely fighting as individuals, doing much as they pleased in combat. The warriors often called to each other to inspire their fellow fighters and to coordinate their efforts.

The Indians surrounding the troopers’ position called to each other, “Be ready. Are you ready?” The others would reply, “We are ready.” Finally, the signal was given, and the warriors rushed to the white men from a close distance, and they soon engaged the soldiers man-to-man. Little Horse led the Cheyennes in the attack. The warriors pressed close to the soldiers, and they were soon among them.
Then hand-to-hand fighting erupted.\textsuperscript{109} The Indian, Wild Horse, reported that during this fight a trooper avoided a warrior’s vicious thrust with his knife. The soldier then grabbed his adversary by the hair, shoved his pistol between his eyes, and fired, killing the warrior.\textsuperscript{110} A few Sioux were killed in this melee, but all of the soldiers died.\textsuperscript{111}

Fire Thunder gave further details on the last action of the battle. “The soldiers were falling all the while they were fighting back up the hill, and their horses got loose. Many of our people chased the horses; [but] I was after Wasichus [white men]. When the soldiers got on top [of the hill], there were not many of them left and they had no place to hide.” The troopers’ situation soon became hopeless, but they gave a good account of themselves. “They were fighting hard. We were told to crawl up on them, and we did. When we were close, someone yelled: ‘Let us go! This is a good day to die. Think of the helpless ones at home!’ Then we all cried, ‘Hoka hey!’ (It’s a good day to die) and rushed at them.” The soldiers, “got up; and fought very hard until not one of them was alive.”\textsuperscript{112}

White Bull crept up to the soldiers with his friend, Charging-Crow. Finally Long Fox, an important leader of the Minniconjou stood up and yelled, “Hopo! (“Let’s go!”) Then, all the warriors rushed forward. In an instant, Charging-Crow was shot fatally, and the dead man fell at White Bull’s feet. This frightened the young warrior, and White Bull dropped to the ground. Soon after, the young man’s uncle, Flying Hawk, fell dead, shot through the chest. The other warriors charged the troopers and fought hand-to-hand with the soldiers. Many men were involved in the melee, which the Sioux called “Stirring Gravy.” This was the final act of the battle because all the soldiers were killed. White Bull arrived too late to participate in this final attack against the troopers, but he retrieved a cavalry rifle from the battlefield.\textsuperscript{113}
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Many of the soldiers fought well. One Sioux later said that “the soldiers fought bravely, but by huddling close together, gave the Indians a better opportunity to kill them than if they were scattered about.” The warrior added that “The soldiers’ ammunition did not give out, but they fired to the last.”114 Years later, Red Cloud talked about one trooper who killed seven warriors and wounded nine others before he was finally overwhelmed and slain.115 However, this claim appears to be exaggerated, and other troopers were probably less heroic. Red Cloud and American Horse stated that some of the soldiers were so terrified when they fell into the trap that they appeared to be paralyzed and offered little resistance before they were killed.116
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Fetterman’s Death

The sources differ on how Captain Fetterman died. American Horse claimed that he killed the officer. The warrior said he rode his horse at full speed and knocked the Captain down to the ground. The Indian then leapt from his mount and killed Fetterman with his knife, possibly by slitting his throat. Red Cloud agreed that American Horse had killed the Captain. But there are reasons to doubt this assertion. Clearly, many a courageous warrior would like to claim that he had dispatched the leader of his adversaries. American Horse likely had never seen Fetterman, and few warriors could easily identify their individual enemies in the heat of battle. The man the Indian believed he killed may have been someone else.

The statement of Samuel Horton, the post surgeon, seems to verify that Fetterman was killed by a knife. “Fetterman’s body showed his thorax [chest] to have cut crosswise with a knife, deep into the viscera [internal organs]; his throat and entire neck were cut to the cervical spine [neck bones], all around. I believe that mutilation caused his death.” But there is reason to question the accuracy of Horton’s claim that these cuts caused death. Each of the wounds he describes was sufficient to kill the victim, and it is very unlikely that American Horse would have taken the time to disembowel and hack up the victim in the heat of battle. The doctor’s description is consistent with the mutilation of the bodies of the fallen, and these wounds were likely administered after Fetterman was already dead.

Shortly after the battle, Colonel Carrington reported on the nature of the wounds suffered by Captain Fetterman and Captain Brown that would lead to another conclusion, and they may have taken

---

their own lives. After examining the bodies, Colonel Carrington reported, “Fetterman and Brown had each a revolver-shot in the left temple. As Brown always declared that he would reserve a shot for himself, as a last resort, so I am convinced that these two brave men fell, each by the other’s hand, rather than undergo the slow torture inflicted upon others.”¹¹⁹ This assertion also may present problems. Unless both men were left handed, it is unlikely that each of them shot themselves in the left temple. If they were both right handed, they could have shot the other in the left temple, but they would have had to shoot each other at the same time, which was very risky indeed. Yet there is no doubt that these men feared that they would be tortured if captured, and killing themselves would be preferable to such suffering.

Even though all the soldiers were dead by the end of the battle, one pet or mascot had survived, because a dog had been with the cavalrymen. After all the troopers had been killed, the animal ran away barking. One of the warriors yelled, “All are dead but the dog; let him
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carry the news to the fort.” Another man replied, “No, do not let even a dog get away.” The animal was soon shot full of arrows.120 Fire Thunder gave further details on the death of the dog. “They [the soldiers] had a dog with them, and he started back up the road for the soldiers’ town [fort], howling as he ran. He was the only one left. I did not shoot at him because he looked too sweet; but many did shoot, and he died full of arrows. So there was nobody left of the soldiers.”121

Indian Losses

The accounts of how many Indians were killed in the battle vary significantly, and their losses reportedly could have been just several or well over one hundred. Many of the numbers given were highly imprecise. For example, some of the Cheyenne sources indicated that only two Cheyennes were killed in the battle, but the Sioux losses were much larger. Their bodies were laid out in two long rows numbering about fifty or sixty warriors.122 A careful examination of the evidence suggests more reasonable numbers of fatalities. Indian witnesses often gave low numbers for their losses. Also, each warrior only saw a part of the battlefield, and many others might have been killed in other areas. A careful examination of the accounts by the Indians list the names of twenty-one Sioux and five Cheyennes who were killed. The name of only one Arapaho who died in the battle is known. This meant that twenty-seven Indians, for whom their names are known, died in the contest. This is a possible number, but there may have been other men who fell without their names remembered.123

Additionally, the question of the number of warriors who were wounded, and the number who later died from their wounds, are equally difficult to discern with accuracy. Mitch Boyer, the famous scout who was killed with Custer in 1876, talked with a Sioux Indian after the
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Fetterman battle. The man stated that only eight Indians were killed fighting Fetterman, and fifty were wounded, “twenty-two of whom died from their wounds.” Most likely, at least two or three dozen warriors died fighting Fetterman and at least a score or more died later from wounds. Possibly, the men with Fetterman were able to administer almost as many fatalities on their enemies as they received.

The Indians plundered the dead troopers, and they stripped the clothing off the soldiers. White Bull took an overcoat and two pairs of pants from the dead troopers. He cut the legs off the trousers, so that his father could use them like chaps to protect his legs, and White Bull threw the seat of the pants away. The warriors found paper money and silver coins in the troopers’ pockets. The Indians knew that the silver had value, but the paper money was a mystery to them. They only saved some of the bills because they thought their children at home might like to play with them. White Bull estimated that the soldiers had used only half of their ammunition in the fight, meaning that the warriors took the remaining cartridges. Likewise, the young warrior had shot twenty of his forty arrows in the fight, but many arrows were laying all over the battlefield, and he soon picked up enough of them to refill his quiver.

Ten Eyck’s Relief Column

Frances Grummond was very anxious about the safety of her husband and the other men in Fetterman’s command. After the column disappeared from sight, she found the “Silence so intense as to be torture to all who watched for any sound however slight.” Only when the sounds of battle later reached them did the people in the fort have some sense of what was happening to Fetterman’s command. Mrs. Gummond finally heard a few shots which increased in rapidity, indicating that a “desperate fight was going on in the valley behind the ridge.” This was in the exact location where the Colonel had ordered Fetterman not to
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go. “Then followed a few quick volleys, then scattering shots, and then, dead silence.” She added, “Less than a half an hour had passed, and the silence was dreadful.”

Shortly after Fetterman left the fort, Colonel Carrington realized that he had two units, the wood train and Fetterman’s command, which were engaging the enemy, but neither had a surgeon with them to care for the wounded. The garrison’s commander then ordered the doctor, C. M. Hines, to ride to the wood train and offer his services. Hines reported that Carrington told him, “If I found them [the wood train] safe [I was] to join the other command.” The doctor rode about three miles and noticed that the wood train seemed to be under no immediate danger, so he turned in an attempt to reach Fetterman’s command.

Apparently, the doctor could hear the reports of gunfire, and he knew that these men were in danger. Even though Hines had four other men with him, he saw so many Indians when he rode to Lodge Trail Ridge that he knew he could not reach the men engaged in battle. The doctor admitted, “If I had obeyed my instructions, I would have been killed.” There was no possibility of the doctor and his small party reaching Fetterman, and Hines requested that a relief force be sent out immediately to help the beleaguered command.

Colonel Carrington believed that the condition of the men in Fetterman’s command could be desperate, and he immediately sent Captain Tendor Ten Eyck with a company of forty men and two wagons with orders “to join Colonel Fetterman at all hazards.” Ten Eyck and his troopers marched out. “The men moved promptly and on the run, but within little more than half an hour from the first shot, and just as the supporting party reached the hill overlooking the scene of action, all firing ceased.” The Captain apparently saw the Indians in some kind of victory celebration, and he sent a mounted messenger back to the fort.

---
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reporting that they could see nothing of Fetterman’s command. Carrington added that Ten Eyck’s men could see “a body of Indians on the road below him [who] were challenging him to come down, while larger bodies [of warriors] were in all the valleys for several miles around.” Ten Eyck was wise not to engage the warriors in battle because he was badly outnumbered, and he also had clearly arrived too late to help Fetterman’s soldiers and change the outcome of the battle, because the troopers were probably already dead.128

Ten Eyck wrote in his diary for that day that he came within sight of the Indians about four miles from the fort. He estimated the number of warriors he saw at fifteen hundred to two thousand, and these adversaries were scattered over about one and one-half miles of road. Ten Eyck’s small command was heavily outnumbered, and he immediately sent back to the fort for reinforcements, but he still continued to advance cautiously.129

Even though the sounds of gun shots from the hills had stopped, Mrs. Grummond and others in the fort were hopeful that Captain Ten Eyck’s troopers would still find the men in Fetterman’s command alive. The fear that a disaster had befallen these soldiers was enhanced when Private Archibald Semple rode back to the fort with the first report of what had happened. He stated that the “valley was full of Indians, that several hundred were on the road below, and westward, yelling and challenging him [Ten Eyck] to come down to do battle, but that nothing could be seen of Fetterman.” Ten Eyck also requested that cannons be sent to assist him, but there were too few men available to move those heavy weapons.130

When Captain Ten Eyck and his forty troopers approached the battlefield, the Indians pulled back, perhaps thinking that the two wagons with the soldiers were pulling cannons, and the warriors wanted nothing to do with such fearsome weapons. Ten Eyck and his men con-
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continued to advance “when we discovered the dead bodies of Col. Fetterman’s [men] lying naked and terribly mutilated.” Soon after Ten Eyck received more assistance from the fort to help him retrieve the bodies of the slain troopers. An additional “three wagons, one ambulance, and forty men having joined me from the fort, I loaded them with the slain.”

The Captain “rescued from the spot where the enemy had been nearest forty-nine bodies, including those of Brevet Lieutenant-Colonel Fetterman and Captain F. H. Brown.” After loading the bodies onto the two wagons, Ten Eyck and his men fell back slowly to the fort. The Indians followed them at a safe distance.

**Terror in the Fort**

The women in the fort were not given the full details of the disaster, but they soon understood what had happened that day. As Mrs. Carrington explained, “The evening gun was fired at sunset as usual, but
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what of us women! Agonizing fear possessed me. The ladies clustered in Mrs. Wands’ cabin as night drew on, all speechless from absolute stagnation and terror.” Their worst fears were soon confirmed. “Then the crunching of wagon wheels startled us to our feet. The gates opened. Wagons were slowly driven within, bearing their dead but precious harvest from the field of blood and carrying forty-nine lifeless bodies to the hospital, with the heart-rending news almost tenderly whispered by the soldiers themselves, that ‘no more to come in,’ and that ‘probably not a man of Fetterman’s command survived.’”

Frances Grummond took some comfort in the routines of the fort which continued after the news of the disaster. She praised, “The faithful sentinels [who] went their rounds of exacting and dangerous duty, at every risk, and it was from them alone that our ears caught, half-hourly, the call of the hour, the number of the post, and the cheering words, ‘All’s well!’”

Despite these assurances, she still had many concerns, and “There was little repose, however, for any one that dreadful night.” Everyone had fears of what had happened and what might still take place. “All ears were expectant of a momentary alarm” in the case of attack, and there was “subdued discussion of whether some of the missing ones might not have fallen into the hands of the savages as prisoner.” They feared that such captives might be tortured, which was “a worse fate than death itself.” These discussions, “continued late into the night, as some of the bodies had not been discovered by the party returning from the battlefield, and yet it was somehow born in upon our minds that all were dead.”

The fear of what happened that day gripped Mrs. Grummond, and she was unable to sleep the entire night. Finally the dawn came, and the post had to be concerned over the duty of day. One of the pressing issues among the officers in the command was what to do about the bodies still remaining on the battlefield. Soon, “came a Council of

Retrieving the Dead

The men in the fort were badly shaken by the annihilation of Fetterman’s command, and some of the officers did not want to return to the battlefield the day after the slaughter to retrieve the remaining bodies of the fallen. They argued that a small party would be in danger of annihilation, because the Indians were still celebrating their victory. Furthermore, if a large contingent left the stockade, the lives of everyone left behind would be in danger, since the Indians with their large force could take advantage of the situation and overwhelm the fort. But Carrington announced his decision. “I will not let the Indians entertain the conviction that the dead cannot and will not be rescued. If we cannot rescue our dead, as the Indians always do at whatever risk, how can you send details out for any purpose, and the single fact would give them an idea of weakness here, and would only stimulate them to risk an assault.”

The call to assemble troops went out immediately, and chosen men from each of the companies formed in front of the headquarters building for orders. The women in the fort could hear much of the discussion among the officers about retrieving the dead, but they had not been asked their opinion of the dangerous mission. Finally, the women were startled by a rap on the door, and Colonel Carrington entered to tell his wife and Mrs. Grummond about his decision.

Francis Grummond was laying down and mulling over their precarious situation, while Mrs. Carrington was sitting near the window also deep in thought. The women sprang to their feet when the door opened, and the Colonel walked to his wife to tell her about his decision to retrieve the dead. Mrs. Carrington was pale, but she showed her

womanly fortitude. She placed her hands on her husband’s shoulders and replied, “Yes, it is our duty. God bless you! He will care for us. Go and rescue the dead, Henry.”

The Colonel tried to say something to Frances Grummond who no doubt had lost her husband in the battle. Turning to the bereaved women, he said, “Mrs. Grummond, I shall go in person, and will bring back to you the remains of your husband.” She answered calmly, “They are beyond all suffering now. You must not imperil other precious lives and make other woman as miserable as myself.” But Carrington believed that he must make the effort. He said a tender goodbye to his wife and went outside to mount his horse. The bugle sounded “forward march,” and the men left with wish of “God speed” of everyone in the endangered garrison.

However, before Colonel Carrington left, he took one final precaution for the women and children remaining in the fort, because he did not want them to be captured in case of an Indian attack. He went into the magazine where gunpowder and other explosives were stored. He then set timed fuses on the exploding cannon shells. Carrington also adjusted the open boxes of explosives, so that a single match could detonate everything. He left specific orders to the soldiers remaining in the fort. “If, in my absence, Indians in overwhelming numbers attack, put the women and children in the magazine with supplies of water, bread, crackers and other supplies that seem best, and, in the event of a last desperate struggle, destroy all together, rather than have any captured alive.”

Mrs. Carrington and Grummond gazed through the windows and watched the soldiers work around the magazine. It seemed odd to them how the men took boxes and barrels inside the building, but the women soon understood why the troopers were doing such work. Yet the ladies remained calm, realizing that every precaution was made by the garrison to defend them.
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Carrington advanced cautiously, and he kept a picket in the rear on a hill who could signal both the fort and his relief party in case of danger.\textsuperscript{142} The soldiers reached the battlefield late on December 22 to retrieve the remaining bodies of the fallen and to examine the physical evidence found there. This effort would give them insights into what had happened to Fetterman’s command. The Colonel described the scene, “The road, on the little ridge where the final stand took place, was strewn with arrows, arrow-heads, scalping-poles, and broken shafts of spears. The arrows that were spent harmlessly [doing no damage], from all directions, show that the command was suddenly overwhelmed, surrounded, and cut off, while in retreat.” Sadly, he had to confirm the extent of the disaster. “Not an officer or man survived! A few bodies were found on the north end of the divide, over which the road runs, just beyond Lodge Trail Ridge.”

The men from the fort found the place where Fetterman’s cavalrymen had made their last stand. Carrington further observed, “Nearly all [the dead] were heaped near four rocks, at the point nearest the fort, these rocks, enclosing a space about six feet square, having been the last refuge for defence [defense]. Here were also a few unexpended rounds of Spencer cartridge.”\textsuperscript{143} The evidence indicated that the vast majority of troopers were killed by arrows. When Doctor Samuel M. Horton, the head surgeon at the fort, examined the bodies, he stated that only six troopers died from gunshot wounds.\textsuperscript{144} If Captain Fetterman and Lieutenant Brown had shot themselves, the Indians actually killed only four men with firearms. The warriors killed the remaining seventy-five with arrows, knives, or clubs.

The location of Lieutenant Grummond’s body gave the impression that he was killed trying to slow the Indians’ attack, because he was found between the dead infantrymen and the cavalrymen. Apparently, neither of the two groups could have come to each other’s aid because they were too far apart. The party sent to bring in the remaining bodies

were impressed with the evidence on the battlefield, and the “terrible massacre bore marks of great valor [by the soldiers], and has demonstrated the force and character of the foe; but no valor could have saved them.” The troopers believed that the dead soldiers inflicted heavy casualties on the warriors before they were overwhelmed. “Pools of blood on the road and sloping sides of the narrow divide showed where the Indians bled fatally; but their bodies were carried off. I counted sixty-five such pools in the space of an acre, and three within ten feet of Lieutenant Grummond’s body. Eleven American [cavalry] horses and nine Indian ponies were on the road, or near the line of bodies; others, crippled were in the valleys.”

Carrington’s assumptions that the pools of blood on the battlefield meant heavy Indian casualties might have been little more than wishful thinking. The Colonel would have no way of knowing whose blood he found or how it got there. Certainly, some of the gore could have come from the Indians, but it is probable that the warriors would have tried to stop the bleeding or to place cloth or some kind of bandage over their wounds. More likely, the soldiers were unable to cover their lacerations as they fought desperately for their lives. Also the warriors were using arrows, which caused blood to flow freely from their victims, meaning that the soldiers were likely to bleed more than the Indians who were shot by army bullets. Carrington’s reference to wounded horses seems to be a further clue to understanding the source of the blood on the ground. The Colonel never gave a full count of the injured animals, but the number was doubtlessly large. Being much larger than men, the horses bled more freely than humans. These mounts were also incapable of covering a wound with a cloth and would have more likely bled onto the ground.

The bodies of the fallen troopers had been stripped of their clothing and had been horribly mutilated. Carrington reported: “Eyes torn out and laid on the rocks. Noses cut off. Ears cut off. Chins hewn off. Teeth chopped out. Joints of fingers cut off. Brains taken out and
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placed on rocks, with members of the body. Entrails taken out and exposed. Hands cut off. Feet cut off. Arms taken out from the socket. Private parts severed, and indecently placed on the person. Eyes, ears, mouth, and arms penetrated with spear-heads, sticks, and arrows. Ribs slashed to separation, with knives; skulls severed in every form, from chin to crown. Muscles of calves, thighs, stomach, breast, back, arms, and cheek taken out. Punctures upon every sensitive part of the body, even to the soles of the feet and palms of the hand.” After presenting these ghastly descriptions, the Colonel admitted that he had not been thorough in his account stating, “All this does not approximate the whole truth.”

Captain Ten Eyck gave a similar description of the dead. “They were all stripped stark naked, scalped, shot full of arrows and horribly mutilated otherwise, some with their skulls mashed in, throats cut of others, thighs ripped open, apparently with knives. Some with their ears cut off, some with they bowels hanging out, from being cut through the abdomen, and a few with their bodies charred from burning, and

some with their noses cut off.” 147 When the soldiers picked up the internal organs of their fallen friends, which were often scattered on the ground, the men could not be sure they had returned the appropriate entrails to their proper owners.

Colonel Carrington later stated that the Indians mutilated their dead adversaries to punish them in the afterlife. The Native Peoples believed that they could continue to abuse their enemies in the next life by destroying their bodies in this life. As the Colonel explained, “Persons, thus maimed, are supposed to have lost the power of walking, running, climbing, and using weapons, in the spirit land; so that while the pious roam the happy hunting boundaries of Paradise, with no desire ungratified, the bad Indian and red man’s foes continue to exist, with ceaseless longings, yet deprived of the power to partake of bounties within sight and grasp.” 148

Later, Carrington also asked the Sioux why they filled the bodies of their enemies with arrows. The warriors did not often use an arrow already shot at an enemy believing that the shaft missed because the Great Spirit willed it. However when an Indian failed to get the scalp from a fallen enemy, he would often shoot arrows into the corpse to show that he still had power over his adversary. Therefore, the arrows shot into the body of an enemy was much like counting “coup,” and “the hundreds of arrows found in the bodies after the Fetterman Massacre showed that the whole force of the red man was employed to silence the brave men who fought with desperation against an overwhelming force.” 149

Only one animal from Fetterman’s command was still alive when the men came to retrieve the bodies of the soldiers. Captain Brown had ridden the horse “Calico” into battle. He had purchased the little pony in 1865, but it was a full grown animal in 1866. When Colo-
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nel Carrington retrieved the bodies of the fallen troopers, he saw the horse laying at the foot of the hill. One of Carrington’s last orders when removing the bodies was for a soldier to check on the animal. If it were still alive he was to “put it out of his misery with a bullet.” The order was carried out.

Late that night, the wagons returned from the battlefield and moved slowly to the hospital carrying the bodies of the remaining dead soldiers. The scene was gut wrenching for Francis Grummond. “Then our tears mingled, for the whole garrison was under arms, as we watched, unavoidably watched, the wagons moving slowly to the hospital bearing the remaining dead.” The men in the garrison treated their fallen comrades with great respect, and they brought their best uniforms to be used to clothe the bodies as decently as possible.

Colonel Carrington made a special visit to Mrs. Grummond to assure her that he had kept his promise to retrieve the body of her dead husband. He came to her room before the mournful procession of wagons had reached the hospital, “and taking from his breast [pocket] an envelope, handed it to me, and left before it was opened. I opened it with eager but trembling hands. It contained a lock of my husband’s hair. He had redeemed his pledge!”

On the following day, December 23, began the “melancholy duty of preparing the dead [for burial] and digging graves.” This work went on all day. The following morning, December 24, the temperature was twenty-eight degrees below zero at 10:00 a.m. The ground was frozen, so the burial details dug graves with great difficulty, and “the cold was so intense that the men worked in fifteen-minute reliefs, and a guard was constantly on the alert lest Indians should interrupt their service.” The work was so slow that it took four days to bury the fallen men.
The carpenters made coffins for the dead, and the noise grated on the nerves of some of the people in the fort who were thinking about the burial of friends and family members. As Frances Grummond commented, “I knew that my husband’s coffin was being made, and the sound of hammers and the grating of saws was torture to my sensitive nerves.” The soldiers took great care to record the location of the graves properly. “The burial of the dead was accomplished, calmly, systemically, and safely, each case numbered with full data and recorded for any future re-burial, if desired by the Government or friends of the dead.”

A Desperate Messenger: Portugee Phillips

When the news of the destruction of Fetterman’s command reached Fort Phil Kearny on the evening of December 21, the soldiers and civilians at the post were very fearful of an Indian attack, believing they could be overwhelmed and annihilated as well. In their terror, many people went from underestimating the fighting ability of the Indians to overstating their resources and capabilities. Such concerns were understandable, but they were largely exaggerated.

Colonel Carrington knew he had lost many of his soldiers in the Fetterman disaster, but he still had enough manpower in the fort to present a serious obstacle to any possible Indian attack. Doctor Hines estimated that the post had little more than 400 men remaining to defend the fort from thousands of warriors. Yet according to the records of the post, more manpower was available for defense. This included eight officers, 320 enlisted men, 119 male civilian employees and at least 50 other men in the fortress for a total of more than 497 defenders.
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Carrington’s fears of being overwhelmed were largely unjustified, and the Colonel did not realize the nature of warfare among the Plains Indians. These Native Peoples rarely attacked forts, and laying sieges to fortified areas was virtually unknown. In addition, the warriors soon dispersed to hunt for game to make sure they and their families had sufficient food for the winter.

Yet the Colonel clearly believed that his command was potentially in great danger, and he wrote an immediate plea to Fort Laramie for aid, because that post had enough manpower to send assistance. Carrington’s dispatch was hastily written, and it was little more than a series of short sentences. “Do send me reinforcements forthwith. . . . I need prompt reinforcements and improved arms. Every officer of the battalion should join it today. I have every teamster on duty, and at best only 119 left at Post. I hardly need urge this matter it speaks for itself. Give me at least two companies of cavalry forthwith, well armed or four companies of infantry exclusive of what I need. . . . Promptness will save the line, but our killed show that any remissness will result in mutilation and butcher beyond precedent. . . . Promptness is the great thing. Give me officers and men. Only the new Spencer arms should be sent. The Indians are desperate and they save none.”

The message was a frantic plea, and some very brave man would have to deliver it in hostile territory, under trying weather conditions, and over a long distance. Fort Laramie was 235 miles from Fort Phil Kearny. But fortunately for the courier, he would only have to get to the nearest telegraph office, Horseshoe Station in the North Platte Valley, about 190 miles away. That station could then send a telegraph message to the commander of Fort Laramie. Carrington asked for volunteers, and John “Portugee” Phillips and Daniel Dixon stepped forward. No doubt their willingness to risk their lives was enhanced by the fact that each would be paid the handsome sum of three hundred dollars for their efforts. Both of these men were civilians, and Phillips was Portuguese having been born in the Azore Islands.

---

159 Carrington to Fort Laramie, December 21, 1866, in Indian Hostilities, 26.
Apparently, he was just spending the winter at the fort, but he was a courageous man willing to take the opportunity to earn some cash and perhaps save lives.\textsuperscript{160} For some reason, he had a special liking for Mrs. Grummond even though they had never formally met, and she had never even noticed him.

There was a sense of apprehension and gloom among the women in the fort about their desperate situation. They believed that only quick reinforcements from Fort Laramie could save them, and they wondered which brave man would attempt to carry the message requesting aid. Frances Grummond was waiting in the home of Mrs. Carrington, when “A knock at my door brought me to my feet.” Private Semple announced that a man was waiting to speak with Mrs. Grummond. “There I was met by an entire stranger, John Phillips by name, a miner and frontiersman, in the employ of the quartermaster, clad in the dress of a scout, who had something to communicate.” The man was clearly impressed by the gravity of their circumstances, and he wanted to comfort Mrs. Grummond perhaps regarding her as an unfortunate woman who had just lost her husband. With tears in his eyes, he politely shook her hand and said, “I am going to Laramie for help, with dispatches, as special messenger, if it costs me my life. I am going for your sake!” In obvious affection for her, he left her a memento, “Here is

my wolf robe. I brought it for you to keep and remember me by it if you never see me again.”

Phillips needed the best horse available to take him on his mission, and he chose a strong animal named “Dandy” a “fine thoroughbred” that was owned by Colonel Carrington. The messenger took a Spencer rifle and one hundred rounds of ammunition. He and Dixon took fodder for their horses but only some crackers for themselves. They left the evening of December 21 in a swirling snowstorm. Frances Grummond described the severe weather when the messengers left the fort. “Nature herself seemed shocked by the awful tragedy of the day, for that very night the weather became unparalleled in its severity, almost too extreme for man or beast.”

The couriers pushed their mounts and arrived at Fort Reno early on December 23. They kept going and reached Horseshoe Station about 10:00 a.m. on December 25, Christmas morning. The telegrapher, John Friend, sent the dispatches electronically by Morse code, but Phillips could not be sure about their accuracy, so he decided to carry the written messages to Fort Laramie personally. He then left Dixon at the station and carried the messages an additional forty miles to the post where he arrived at 11:00 p.m. that same evening. At that time, the temperature was twenty-five degrees below zero, and there was ten to fifteen inches of snow on the ground. Phillips was so exhausted when he arrived that he could barely speak, and his magnificent horse was so spent that the animal died the next day.

The courier handed his message to Colonel Palmer who was then attending a Christmas party with his subordinate officers. The news of the distress of the garrison at Fort Kearny ended the festive atmosphere of the celebration, and the men began to prepare a relief column. Despite the rapid preparations, Palmer did not send aid immediately. In fact, he complained two days later that a “blinding snow storm” kept the expedition from leaving.

---
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A Winter of Suffering

While Colonel Palmer delayed his departure, the people at Fort Kearny lived in dread and anxiety, and the soldiers stayed at their designated positions night and day. “Lights burned in all the quarters. Every man had his designated loop-hole, or other position.” The sergeants had the assignment to be constantly on duty in each of the buildings of the fort, so the soldiers would be ready in an instant in case of an alarm. Colonel Carrington set the example of being constantly prepared for action, and he “did not remove his clothing for sleep for more than a week and was constantly going ‘the grand rounds’ to see that every man was on the alert.”

Many in the fort lived in fear that John Phillips had failed in his attempt to spread the alarm and to bring aid from Fort Laramie, believing clearly that only these reinforcements could save their lives. The intense fear of a possible Indian attack even led Frances
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Grummond to have nightmares. “During the nights I would dream of Indians, of being captured and carried away by Red Cloud himself while frantically screaming for help.” Luckily for anyone having a bad dream, she would shake herself awake and realize she was in no real danger. In her case, she would involuntarily jump from her bed and soon take comfort in the assurance from the sentry who called out regularly, “All’s well.”

The days were indeed wearisome and long. The weather also proved to be challenging, which lowered moods. The snowstorms constantly brought cold temperatures and freezing winds. The snow drifted to such depths that men could walk over the fort’s eight-foot walls in some places, and a trench ten feet wide had to be constantly dug out when it filled up with snow. It was still necessary to shovel the snow to keep the Indians from getting into the fort easily, and the men were constantly at work to prevent this. No one left the fort except parties who went outside to bring in wood.

The preparations for defense gave many people in the fort some comfort, and almost everyone seemed to be able to control their anxiety with the exception of Dennis, Margaret Carrington’s African American servant, who became almost deranged by fear. He became so erratic that he “seemed to be actually possessed by a demon.” Dennis appeared to be trying to drive some spirit out of him or to relieve tension, and “he would strike his head with all possible force against the boards of the partition which separated the kitchen from my room, until they trembled with the shock.” He then continued his mania “by butting his head against the stove-pipe and even the stove itself, like a veritable mad-man.” Colonel Carrington finally frightened Dennis back to his senses when the Colonel entered the room and pointed the muzzle of a cocked revolver at the head of the seemingly deranged man. At that point, “Equilibrium was restored and Dennis became content to live a while longer and discharge the normal functions of his usual employment.” But many of the people in the

fort felt great fear, and they were somewhat sympathetic to Dennis and his “frantic dread of the surrounding Indians.”

The anxiety of many in the fort was greatly relieved when reinforcements finally arrived from Fort Laramie. After a series of delays, three companies advanced to Fort Reno and then to Fort Kearny arriving on January 16, 1867. This was twenty-six days after the urgent message had been sent and twenty-two days after it was received. Luckily, for the civilians and garrison at Fort Kearny, there was practically no Indian activity in the area during the entire winter, and the support of the relief column proved to be unnecessary for defense, but it was good for morale.

As 1867 began, the soldiers and civilians at Fort Phil Kearny were virtual prisoners of the post. They were also almost paralyzed by fear and nearly frozen for the lack of sufficient wood for fuel. The men had to travel nearly seven miles round trip every day to obtain the needed wood. There was insufficient housing, and many officers and men had to live in tents, which left them badly frozen in the severe winter weather. The temperature at times plunged to forty degrees below zero, and the wind chill factor made the weather feel much colder.

Additionally, they only had one blanket each to keep them warm in the night and no overcoats to help in the daytime. Their diet was very poor often consisting of a small loaf of bread and rotten bacon, and a number of men became half starved from lack of sustenance. In addition, many men began to suffer from scurvy due to a lack of Vitamin C from fruits and vegetables. That winter the garrison at nearby Fort Reno suffered terribly as well. Of the two hundred men stationed there, only sixty were actually well, and the rest suffered from scurvy. According to one observer, George Dandy, scurvy struck one-third of the command at Fort Phil Kearny and killed many. “I have heard of no parallel since my service in the army as to the suffering endured by the garrison of this post.”

The relief party that came from Fort Laramie suffered as well. Dozens of men had frostbite to the point that part of their fingers, ears,
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and feet had to be amputated. When the fodder for their mounts had been consumed, the horses and mules began to eat the manes and tails off other animals. When the reinforcements arrived at Fort Phil Kearny, they were met with hearty cheers from the garrison, but the new troopers brought few provisions with them. In fact, many men soon realized that the relief party became an additional burden to house and feed them when living quarters and supplies were already severely limited.\textsuperscript{172}

While the army suffered losses from hunger, malnutrition, and the cold during the winter of 1866 to 1867, the number of Indians remained strong. Using information based on reports from the two army scouts, Mitch Boyer and Jim Bridger, Captain Kinney made an estimate of the number of Sioux, Cheyenne, and Arapaho lodges in the area in February 1867. By his appraisal, the Sioux lodges numbered 2,010, the Cheyenne 200, and the Arapaho 60, for a total of 2,270 lodges.\textsuperscript{173} If accurate, this meant that the Indians could probably again deploy about 3,000 warriors in combat, and the Native Peoples were still willing to fight for their lands.

Shortly after the Fetterman Massacre, Colonel Carrington was relieved of command over Fort Phil Kearny. The timing of his removal from the post gave the impression that he had been relieved because of his mishandling of the garrison. This was not the case, because the decision to remove him had been made before the news of the Fetterman disaster was well-known among his military superiors. Even though Carrington was later exonerated by an army court of inquiry, his reputation was still ruined. The Colonel tried to justify his actions for the remainder of his life. His wives also helped in the effort. Margaret Carrington, his first wife, published the book \textit{Absaraka: Home of the Crows} in 1868 in which she supported her husband’s actions. After Margaret died in 1870, Carrington married Lieutenant Grummond’s widow, Francis Grummond, in 1871. Francis also published a book on her experiences at Fort Kearny, \textit{My Army Life and the Fort Phil Kearny Massacre} in 1910, which also showed her second husband in a favorable light.
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The Indian war in 1866 had been very costly for the army and white settlers. Between July 21 and December 21, 1866, the Indian warriors had killed 5 officers and 91 men of the enlisted ranks. In addition, 58 citizens had also been killed and 20 wounded. Nearly 800 oxen, cows, mules, and horses had been stolen. The Sioux and Cheyenne controlled transportation over the Bozeman Trail which had become closed to immigrants.\footnote{McDermott, \textit{Red Cloud’s War} 1: 277 and 2: 327.}

Many whites were angered by the Fetterman Massacre and many other Indian raids, and there were calls for retaliation against the Native Peoples. At a mass meeting in the one of the “little towns” in the Colorado Territory, “a fund of five thousand dollars was subscribed for the purpose of buying Indian scalps, and twenty-five dollars each was to be paid for scalps with the ears on.”\footnote{“A Premium for Scalps” in \textit{New York Times}, June 23, 1867, 4.} The call for a bounty to be placed on the Indians, even if they had done nothing wrong, essentially meant that they were not human beings subject to law, but they were viewed as vermin to be destroyed. Yet the army did not mount any large expeditions against them in 1867, and the government left the initiative to the warriors who continued the war. Indian raids first struck the area of Fort Reno, Fort Phil Kearny, and Fort C. F. Smith in May and June 1867. These attacks increased in frequency throughout the remainder of the spring and into the summer. The largest engagements took place on August 1 and August 2, 1867, when large numbers of warriors hit small army units at the Hayfield and Wagon Box respectively. While both encounters were victories for the soldiers and civilians with them, these fights showed that the Indians still had the initiative, and the army’s control over the area was tenuous at best. No doubt, the memory of the costly and humiliating Fetterman Massacre and changing circumstances led the federal government to sue for peace.

\textbf{The Indian Victory and the Capitulation of the United States}

Even though the fighting in Red Cloud’s War had notable victories for both sides, the United States government realized that it was
facing a stalemate, and it was not able to control and protect travelers on the Bozeman Trail. At the same time, the transcontinental railroad was pushing west under the authority of the Union Pacific Railroad. Even though it would not be completed until 1869, the rail line had already reached Laramie, Wyoming, by the spring of 1868 and regularly scheduled trains were using it. As the railroad advanced, the distance from the rail lines to the mining areas of Montana got shorter and shorter. Clearly once the railroad reached what is modern western Wyoming or northern Utah, the road to the mines from the rail lines would be much shorter than the Bozeman Trail from Fort Laramie. Additionally, the railroad workers had faced Indian raids in the summer of 1867 in Nebraska, and they needed military protection to continue working in 1868. The United States Army was too small to reinforce both the Bozeman Trail and protect the men building the railroads.176

Congress had also passed a bill in 1864 for the creation of the Northern Pacific Railway that would eventually go through the mining district of Montana allowing for easy access to the area. The value of the forts on the Bozeman Trail was questionable especially when the military resources were badly needed elsewhere. They were too expensive to maintain, too vulnerable to attack, and soon to be completely unnecessary, but the government was wise enough to know that the forts could be used as bargaining chips in dealing with the Indians.177

The federal government wrote a treaty and special commissioners brought it to Fort Laramie, hoping to get the prominent chiefs including Red Cloud to sign it. Before the war leader would come to the council, he demanded that the forts along the Bozeman Trail be abandoned. In essence, the chief had demanded what amounted to a complete capitulation by the government before the talks could take place. This stipulation could have been reached two years earlier, but the federal government had no intention of leaving the area before

1868. However, the United States government had changed its mind and agreed to withdraw from the forts even before Red Cloud came to negotiate.\textsuperscript{178}

On July 29, 1868, the soldiers at Fort C. F. Smith abandoned the post. Early on the next morning, Red Cloud and his warriors burned the fortress to the ground. A few days later, the army also marched away from both Fort Phil Kearny and Fort Reno, and the Indians soon burned down Fort Kearny as well. Red Cloud’s conditions for meeting with the federal commission at Fort Laramie had been met, but he was in no hurry to meet with the commissioners. Perhaps the great war chief wanted to savor his victory as long as possible, so he waited for months before he came to the conference, claiming that he could not come right away because he had to gather enough meat for the winter.\textsuperscript{179}
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On November 4, 1868, Red Cloud came to the conference accompanied by about 125 leaders of the various groups of Sioux in the area. The negotiations went on for hours as every aspect of the treaty was explained to the chiefs sometime in minor detail. The use of translators slowed the proceedings, and Red Cloud had many questions on the nature of the agreement. Finally, the great chief washed his hands with the dust on the floor signaling he was ready to sign, and he placed an X on the treaty to signify his name. Many other Indian leaders signed in a similar manner.180

The treaty soon proved to be yet another government ploy to cheat the Indians, and the United States did not long try to follow the stipulations in it. Even though the Indians had been granted almost the entire western area of what is now the state of South Dakota including the sacred lands of the Black Hills, the federal government soon reneged on the agreement and stole much of the area for the use of white settlers. These encroachments helped cause the Great Sioux War of 1876-7, which led to the defeat of George Armstrong Custer at the Battle of the Little Bighorn in 1876.

Despite the victory in Red Cloud’s War, the Indians lost everything they had gained in the long run and were forced to surrender additional lands. Significantly, the Black Hills were taken away from them in 1877. Nonetheless, this breach of faith in 1877 by the federal government cannot change the nature of the Indian triumph in 1868. Red Cloud and many warriors had won a significant victory over the United States. Nothing in history is predetermined. Everything happens because of personal and corporate decisions. Red Cloud’s War and the resulting Fetterman Massacre with the loss of so many fine young men, Native Americans and whites, need never to have happened. These tragedies were the consequence of unwise and failed United States Indian policy.

Albert Winkler
Utah Valley University

180 Olsen, Red Cloud, 76-81.
A Biographical Note on William Tell

Text by Heinrich Pantaleon

Translation and Commentary by Richard Hacken

I - TEXT from 1565

The Historical Background

William Tell was born and raised in Uri, Switzerland. Due to his remarkable intellectual and physical capabilities, he quickly gained great respect among the local people. At the same time, Emperor Henry VII of Luxembourg ruled the Holy Roman Empire with great success (1308-1313). He reaffirmed the privileges previously grant-

---

1 Heinrich Pantaleon, né Pantlin, (1522-1595) was a Swiss physician. He was also a professor of physics, philosophy, and medicine at the University of Basel. As a writer he produced histories, biographies, and one drama.

2 Richard Hacken holds a doctoral degree in German Studies from the University of California at Davis. For over 40 years he served as the European Studies Librarian at Brigham Young University’s Harold B. Lee Library. He is currently working on a book to be entitled Helvetic Heights: A Human History of the Swiss Alps.

3 This excerpt on William Tell is taken from Pantaleon’s main work, a set of related biographies in Latin entitled Prosopographiae heroum atque illustrium virorum totius Germanniae (Prosopography of Heroes and Illustrious Men of Germany). 3 volumes (Basel: Brylinger, 1565-1566), II, 310-312. For purposes of translation, the German edition of 1578, Teutscher Nation warhaffte Helden (True Heroes of the German Nation) was also consulted online—as shown in footnote 4 below.

4 The footnotes and other commentary here are paraphrased in part from those of the online Humanistica Helvetica German-language version of this work: https://humanistica-helvetica.unifr.ch/de/versions/242 - Retrieved 12/31/2023. In publishing the German translation, Pantaleon augmented it somewhat by making some additions to the text.

5 Henry VII had been born between 1274-1279, elected “German” King in 1308, and on June 29, 1312, crowned emperor. He died the next year on August 4, 1313.
ed to the inner “Orte”\(^6\) of Uri, Schwyz, and Unterwalden, and even enhanced them with various additional immunities, bestowed upon them in Constance due to their commendable conduct. This occurred in the year 1309.\(^7\) Furthermore, he granted them the concession of not having to obey any prince except the emperor and of not being summoned before any judge except those appointed over them by Emperor Henry VII.

It must be understood that at that time, Austria boasted powerful [Habsburg] princes in the neighboring regions, who repeatedly attempted to subjugate these lands and incorporate them into their own dominions. However, after Emperor Henry’s death in 1313 and a roughly year-long interregnum in the realm, the neighboring nobles began harassing the Swiss and inflicting various injustices upon them. The nobles also received secret backing from the Austrian dukes, aiming to deplete the resources of these exceedingly capable men of the “Orte” and eventually forced them to voluntarily submit to the neighboring princes as a means of seeking aid against the nobility’s audacity.

Later, however, the electors appointed two emperors: Louis IV the Bavarian\(^8\) and Frederick of Austria. This triggered widespread unrest throughout [“Germany.”]\(^9\) Consequently, the imperial governors stationed amongst the Swiss, whose possessions all lay under the influence of the Austrian dukes, sided with the party of Freder-

---

\(^6\) “Orte” (“places,” “villages” or “towns”) was the earliest official term referring to member states of the Old Swiss Confederation. The three founding “Orte” mentioned here had the specific name “Waldstätten” (“forest settlements”). The designation “Canton” did not appear until the late fifteenth century.

\(^7\) In 1309, Henry VII recognized the Imperial Freedom of Uri, Schwyz, and— for the first time—Unterwalden, countering the Habsburg claims.

\(^8\) Louis the Bavarian (1281/1282-1347) was elected “German” King by a plurality of electoral princes. His ongoing struggle against the Pope finally led in 1347 to the act of Emperor Charles IV of Luxembourg being chosen as an “Anti-King.” Louis died before he could take up the fight.

\(^9\) The term “Germany” at this time referred to German-speaking realms generally, including the Swiss German.
ick. But upon learning that a majority of electors had agreed upon Louis, the Swiss recognized the latter as the duly elected Emperor, hoping that his authority would restrain the Austrians from their violent actions, and that he would defend the loyal subjects of the realm.

The Wickedness of Gessler

At that time, Gessler was governor in Schwyz and Uri on behalf of the empire. When he noticed that residents of the forest settlements around the lake followed Louis’s authority and were less obedient to himself, Gessler thought with great arrogance to find those responsible for this situation. Therefore, he placed a hat on a pole on a public path in Altdorf and ordered that it be worshipped with great reverence, as if he himself were personally present. He also placed guards at that place to watch over those who disobeyed the commandment. When William Tell, that great-minded man, passed by, he disregarded the worthless sign. When he was admonished about this, he replied that he had always received and honored the governor with the highest reverence and would continue to do so but that it is wrong that a human being—of God—should show such reverence to a discarded hat.

When the cruel Governor Gessler found out about this, he immediately ordered William to be captured and brought to him, as he hoped that through Tell’s statements he would be able to obtain information about a wider conspiracy. But when he denied having allies in this matter, Gessler decided to tempt his conscience in another way. He called William’s children together and asked them which of his sons he loved the most. When they had told him this, the governor ordered the handsome boy to be tied to a post and an apple to be placed on his head.

10 Frederick I of Habsburg (1289-1330) was selected by four electoral princes and supported by Zurich. He placed an Imperial Ban on the forest settlements for their support of Louis the Bavarian. Duke Leopold I of Habsburg then attempted to take them over by force but was beaten at the Battle of Morgarten in 1315. Frederick I ultimately lost power after his defeat at Mühldorf in 1322 and was held captive until 1325.
William Tell with his Crossbow.

Courtesy: DepositPhotos.
He then ordered the father to stand one hundred and twenty steps away from the boy and aim a bolt at that apple. If it struck the apple, Gessler would treat him more leniently; but if he were to miss, he would face the death penalty. William, shocked by this evil monstrosity, tried to apologize, and asked that a different punishment be imposed. But he was preaching to a deaf ear. Therefore, once the crossbow had been brought to him, which he often used to hit the target with admirable skill, he prepared himself for this task. After comforting his son and imploring the assistance of God, he shot, hitting the apple, and sweeping it from his son’s head with the bolt.

Tell’s Skill with the Crossbow

But as Tell had been preparing himself for this work, he fastened a second bolt to his doublet at the back of his neck.11 When the governor asked him why, William replied that he had done it in the manner of archers, who always have two missiles ready when shooting, so that they can use the other if one is broken or damaged. But the governor insisted more forcefully on his question and promised him freedom to force the truth out of him. That is why William said bluntly that if he had accidentally killed his son with the bolt, he would have aimed the other at the governor himself who had ordered this evil monstrosity. This confession made the latter burst into anger, and he said that he had promised him life but that he would punish him with eternal imprisonment.

Then Gessler ordered Tell to be tied up, immediately put aboard a ship, and taken by well-secured custody on a voyage down the lake to Lucerne. But as they were sailing on the lake, it happened through God’s providence that a very big storm suddenly arose, and the storm winds hit the ship so that the governor and his family themselves were in great danger. His bodyguards began to encourage Gessler to free Tell from his bonds and assign him to work at the helm, since he was a strong

---

11 The early clothing adaptation designed to hold arrows or bolts, akin in purpose to what archers today would call a “quiver.”
man and very experienced in nautical arts. He could steer the ship with ease and lead it to the shore. For this reason, William was untied. He steered the ship and led it very skillfully towards the harbor. But since he knew of a huge rock in this lake not far from the shore, he quickly steered the ship towards this place. And when he got there, he suddenly grabbed his crossbow, jumped onto this rock and with his other foot pushed the ship back into the lake with great force. The place is still called “Tellsplatte” (“Tell’s Landing”) today. But then the governor is said to have shouted at him that he would punish him severely for this crime and that William and his entire family would be killed.

Therefore, after going ashore, William carefully observed the governor’s route. When the latter had also reached shore and was riding through a deep ravine to Uri, Tell was waiting for him with a drawn crossbow above Küsnacht. From that spot he pierced Gessler with a bolt as he passed by, so that the governor fell from his horse and gave up his last breath of life there.

The Swiss Form a Covenant

Afterwards, William Tell immediately went to Uri and told everyone what had happened. Then he was told to be of good cheer, and he heard various general complaints made against the tyranny of the governors and noblemen. Therefore, William counseled with some others and secretly concluded the Swiss alliance in 1314, which gradually grew and was ratified in the same year by the public consent of the three “Orte.” That is why the residents of this forest settlement generously agreed to an alliance and drove the nobles out of the entire territory, destroyed their castles from the ground up, and freed themselves and their homeland. Their descendants have lived under the aegis of Tell and against the resistance of the nobles and princes with great fame, preserving the land intact ever since.

12 The town of Küsnacht is situated in Schwyz, on the northeast shore of Lake Lucerne.
13 Note the deviation from the traditional date of 1291.
II - COMMENTARY

Heinrich Pantaleon (1522-1595) published the second of his three Latin volumes of *Prosopographiae heroum atque illustrium virorum totius Germaniae*—in which the entry on William Tell is found—in 1565. The dedication is dated April 1565, so the work itself was completed sometime before that. The first volume of the set had begun with the life of Adam, the second with that of Charlemagne, and the third with the Emperor Maximilian I. The entry on William Tell is one of approximately 1,700 biographical notes.

The first section, “The Historical Background,” sets the presentation in its historical context and introduces the story of William Tell as a struggle against tyranny of the Austrian local variety, not of the empire writ large. Thus, the author is careful not to make any remarks against the emperor, but rather praises him. The real target of his attacks here are the Dukes of Austria (the Habsburgs) and, more generally, the noble neighbors and governors who want to make themselves masters of the Swiss lands. They would exploit the current weakness of the Holy Roman Empire—after the recent death of Henry VII—to take advantage of the subsequent succession dispute.

For the second and third sections of the entry on “The Wickedness of Gessler” and “Tell’s Skill with the Crossbow,” William and his family are represented as a small subset of the many victims of Gessler’s repression and inhumanity. All the traditional elements and episodes of the story are present: the hat on one of Altdorf’s paths (presumably near
its public square); the challenge of the high-stakes apple target;\(^{20}\) the storm on the lake leading to Tell’s escape; and then the death of the Austrian governor. The personal injustice suffered by William Tell becomes the trigger, so to speak, for a collective Swiss uprising against tyranny.

In relation to the final section in his biographical entry, “The Swiss Form a Covenant”—relating the oath taken between comrades and brothers—Pantaleon dubbed William Tell “The Founder of the Swiss Covenant.” His source for this claim—on which also partially hinges the question of differentiating a “historical person” from a “legendary figure”—is the Swiss Chronicle of Johannes Stumpf.\(^{21}\)

In the spirit and motto of humanistic scholarship, “Ad Fontes” (“Go to the Sources”), Pantaleon viewed history through the lens of a supposed cultural transplantation: for him, Germania had become the successor to the Roman Empire and had brought to fruition the imitation of antiquity. Hence, Pantaleon cannot be considered a true Swiss patriot, as even the title of his work demonstrates: he had for an ideal the greater German community in mind [rather than a Swiss nation] as incarnated in the “Holy Roman Empire of the German Nation.” The Basel biographer represents the idea of a community that is defined, on the one hand, by its language and by its ability to stand out among other nations, but also, on the other hand, by its membership in a larger cultural community— that of the Latin language.

\(^{20}\) Pantaleon also wrote a separate biographical entry about the Scandinavian hero Toko, who was likewise sentenced to shoot at an apple on the head of his son because of an unpleasant encounter with the Danish King Harald. He makes the following comment there: “Obviously, the case of William Tell and the Swiss played out in almost the exact same manner [as the Danish one], as we shall later explain.” (Pantaleon, *Prosopographiae*, II, 73-74). For this legend as well as other stories that may have played an influence on the story of the Swiss hero, see H. de Boor, “Die nordischen, englischen und deutschen Darstellungen des Apfelschussmotifs” (“The Nordic, English and German Representations of the Apple Shot Motif”) in: H.G. Wirz, ed., *Das Weisse Buch von Sar nan* (Aarau: Sauerländer, 1947), Appendix 1*-53*.

\(^{21}\) Johannes Stumpf, *Gemeiner loblicher Eydgenoschaft Stetten Landen und Völckeren Chronick wirdiger Thaaten Beschreybung* [Chronicle Describing the Worthy Deeds of the Shared Admirable Confederation of Villages, Lands and Peoples] (Zurich: Froeschauer, 1548). The topic of William Tell as “legend” versus “reality” is a topic too extensive for this essay. However, see footnote 20 above for a possible indication.
Swiss American Historical Society

Leo Schelbert Prize

The Leo Schelbert Prize is awarded to the best submitted undergraduate or graduate research paper. The topic can be anything that relates to the mission of the Swiss American Historical Society, which focuses on increasing an understanding of Swiss and/or Swiss-American history.

Dr. Leo Schelbert was an historian and professor emeritus at the University of Illinois-Chicago who died in 2022 at age 93. Dr. Schelbert was born in Kaltbrunn, Canton St. Gallen in 1929. He got his PhD from Columbia University and taught at the University of Illinois from 1971-2003.

Dr. Schelbert focused on Swiss emigration history, writing several books and an encyclopedia about Switzerland. As President of the Swiss American Historical Society for many years, he was a great mentor and leader.

To submit a paper or to learn more, go to: https://www.swiss-american-historical-society.org/leo-schelbert-prize and submit by email to Prof. Robert Sherwood at rsherwoo@gmc.cc.ga.us by June 1st.
SWISS IMPACT highlights Switzerland’s positive impact across the United States. We invite you to learn more about Swiss innovation, economic relations, sustainability, our culture, and the international partnership with the United States.

Whether you are an expert on Switzerland or just know about its famous chocolate and cheese, SWISS IMPACT offers something for everyone. Here you can explore our programming, learn more about the strong relationship between Switzerland and the United States, and find out how you can participate in our many events and activities.

Website: https://swissimpactusa.com/
Viola Amherd
Becomes President
of the Swiss Confederation

The Swiss American Historical Society maintains ties with the Embassy of Switzerland and many of our members have an interest in both Swiss and American politics. 2024 begins a new presidency in Switzerland under the leadership of Viola Amherd who began her term on January 1. Born in the canton of Valais in 1962, Amherd was mayor of Brig and then represented her home canton in the Swiss National Council from 2005-18. She was then elected to the Federal Council and served as Vice President from 2023-24. Amherd is the ninth woman to serve as President of the Swiss Confederation.

Ms. Amherd was elected President by the Federal Assembly on December 13, 2023. She is a member of the Centre political party, and is also head of the Federal Department of Defence, Civil Protection and Sport (the first woman to head this department). Amherd has advocated strengthening the Swiss Armed Forces and opposes the possibility of Switzerland joining NATO. In her New Year’s speech, she called for “respect and courage” (see more at https://www.swissinfo.ch/eng/politics/new-year-s-speech--swiss-president-calls-for-respect-and-courage/49095722).

Presidents of Switzerland serve a one-year term. It is a rotating presidency between federal ministers. To learn more about the presidency and those who have served in it, this website has biographies and images of all Swiss Presidents: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_presidents_of_the_Swiss_Confederation

In the U.S., as all readers are likely well aware, we will have a presidential election on November 5, 2024. As of this publication, it seems likely to be a rematch from 2020 between the incumbent President Joseph R. Biden, Jr. and his predecessor, former President Donald J. Trump.
Swiss American Historical Society
Business Meeting Report – October 14, 2023
Swiss Center of North America, New Glarus, Wisconsin

by Thomas Quinn Marabello, Vice President, U.S. Chapter

• Meeting began just after 9:30 AM Central Time.
• Officers present: Fred Gillespie, President; Tom Marabello, Vice President; Ernie Thurston, Treasurer & Membership Secretary; Richard Hacken, Webmaster
• Welcomed by Beth Zurbuchen, President and Board Chair John Etter, Swiss Center of North America, our hosts.
• John Etter said SAHS and the Swiss Center are connected by a desire to connect Swiss culture, heritage and rich history!
• Meeting began with President Fred Gillespie – proved naysayers wrong that people wouldn’t come to a non-East Coast location for the annual meeting.
• Should SAHS raise dues? Dues were last raised in 2003 (20 years ago) from $30 to $50. That $50 would now be equivalent to $81.75.
• Do members want a Society focused on historical truths that include articles, books and color images in the Reviews?
• Fred stated he will contact the Embassy of Switzerland to ask for Swiss Clubs contact information to work on getting new members.
• Member Ed Langer said membership dues have been a bargain for years and dues should be raised.
• Officers had agreed last night to discuss dues with members and consensus was that we can wait until next year to raise dues, after publishing a book.
• Someone asked if we have a Facebook page? Tom said no but we have LinkedIn, where we post articles, announcements, events, news and items that may be of interest.
• Might we deter new members from joining if we increase dues? It’s harder to get younger people to join?
• Issue of drawing in college students, recent grads and young historians – need to make membership affordable.
• Ernie Thurston gave membership and treasurer’s reports.
• Motion to raise annual individual dues to $75 originated from the floor – all present voted in favor (Swiss Chapter will need to discuss with their members and since they use francs and not dollars). Lifetime membership dues were raised from $500 to $750. Ernie said the new dues amount would go into effect after the meeting.
• Fred asked about saving costs on mailings. There was no objection to sending meeting invitations by email, except members who don’t
want or have email. All members should check that they have re-
ceived emails from swissamericanhistoricalsociety@gmail.com (if
not, then email us and we will add you to our members contact list).

- Fred then wanted to discuss attracting new members – best way is
  through personal contact/word of mouth.
- New book project(s) – Richard Hacken told members about Helvetic
  Heights: A Human History of the Swiss Alps that he is working on
  and hopes to get completed in a year or so; also a book on Swiss
  immigration to America entitled Wild for the West by Annemarie
  Regz (we are looking at it as it needs to be translated from German
to English).
- Issue of compensating officers/subsidizing costs for annual meeting
  travel & lodging—Fred will ask the Board of Advisors to look at
  and consider this.
- Honoring Leo Schelbert – Tom Marabello suggested re-envisioning
  the Prize where a graduate student writes a proposal and then is
  funded to do research/travel & has to produce a book for SAHS to
  publish.
- Sabine Schwark recommended meetup.com for reaching younger
  people (Her meet up is Milwaukee Deutsch). There is a fee to use
  meetup.com.
• Saturday, October 5, 2024 – Fred proposed having our next annual meeting at the Quaker Meeting House in Philadelphia; this was not voted on, but there did not seem to be any objections (it would be one week earlier than this year’s meeting was). The 2025 annual meeting will be held in New York City, 2026 in Washington, DC, and 2027 in Chicago.

• Ernie suggested people in the room introduce themselves. The following did so.

  Alex Schibli & Noelsa Vigoya, Bronx, NY
  Peter & Marlis Germann, Katonah, NY
  Duane Freitag, Greendale, WI (grew up in New Glarus, WI)
  William Hustad, Monticello, WI
  Amy Jo Behling, Monroe, WI
  Dr. Pauline Boss, Minneapolis, MN (grew up in New Glarus, WI)
  Dr. Ann Sheffels, Osseo, MN (grew up in New Glarus, WI)
  Ed Langer & Sabine Schwark, Nashotah, WI
  Jocelyn Moore, Pinedale, WY
  Lois Ann & Lemar Mast, Morgantown, PA (our publishers)
  Dolf & Suzanne Herger, Newtown, PA
  Randy Gafner, Alexandria, VA
  Paula Sherman, Charleston, IL
  Jean Bartel, Dodge County Historical Society, Minnesota
  Virginia Schelbert, Evanston, IL
  Jane Mauer, Somersworth, NH
  Neil & Fran Eayrs, Car俦ville, IL
  Ruth Anderegg, New Glarus, WI
  John Etter V, Middleton, WI
  Beth Zurbuchen, Madison, WI
  Terry Goetz, Monroe, WI
  Linda Schiesser, New Glarus, WI
  Gretchen Cropp, Verona, WI
  Elijah Montgomery, UT (Richard Hacken’s grandson)
  Officers: Fred Gillespie, Hockessin, DE;
              Tom Marabello, Washington, DC;
              Erni Thurston, Asheville, NC; and
              Richard Hacken, Provo, UT

• Board of Advisors – The Officers reappointed Carl Niederer, Franz Portmann, Elsbeth Reimann, Paula Sherman and a motion was approved to add Maria Ott, who will replace Frederic Behrens. A new member to SAHS, Maria received the Leo Schelbert Prize in 2022, and is a graduate of and now archivist at Benedictine College in Atchison, Kansas.

• Meeting adjourned at 11:06 AM Central Time.
Left: Dr. Pauline Boss, a native of New Glarus, spoke about the meaning of immigration for women who settled in New Glarus beginning in 1845.

Right: Dr. Lee Palmer Wandel, professor of History at the University of Wisconsin-Madison answers a question after her lecture “Zwingli and the Reformed Eucharist.”

Chef Mike of the Chalet Landhaus gave us a cheese fondue preparation demonstration. And then we enjoyed a delicious taste!
The current Swiss United Church of Christ was built in 1900, the third church building in New Glarus. It is part of the Swiss Reformed Church that was influenced by Ulrich Zwingli. A monument to the first Swiss settlers is in the foreground.

SAHS members in front of the Hall of History at the Swiss Historical Village.
President’s Report for 2023

by Fred Gillespie

The Swiss American Historical Society adopted several changes during 2023. Our Annual General Meeting was held in New Glarus, Wisconsin, on October 14 at the Swiss Center of North America. We deviated from our normal rotation of an east coast city to accept the invitation of Beth Zurbuchen, the director of the center. At my first AGM, I asked why the SAHS, an international organization, did not hold its AGMs in a wider geographical area. The answer I received was that the attendance was too sparse if it were not held on the east coast. I am glad to report that this AGM disproved that idea. Attendance approximately doubled from last year’s in Washington. The event was extremely well organized by Beth and Thomas Marabello. The only credit I can take is that I stayed out of their way.

Highlights of the business meeting included:

• The annual dues were raised by 50% from $50.00 to $75.00. The lifetime membership fee was also raised from $500.00 to $750.00. I reported that the previous rate was established in 2003 and that then $50.00 was now worth $81.75. The motion to raise the dues came from the floor and was approved unanimously.
• I reported that the postage of my previous letter to the membership was extremely expensive—nearly $740.00. I suggested that future communication to the membership be done by email. I assured the members that this would be done strictly on a voluntarily basis and would not in any way affect the status of the membership. This was also approved.
• The 2024 AGM will be held October 5, 2024, in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, in the Quaker Meeting House on Arch Street. Philadelphia is my home town and when I issue my invitation to attend the AGM, I will include a list of worthwhile nearby attractions to see.
• The business meeting was adjourned shortly after 11:00 am.

At lunch in the Chalet Landhaus, we were given a demonstration of how to prepare a fondue. We were also entertained with live music by the Kalberweidli Boys Band.

The meeting resumed with presentations. Dr. Pauline Boss gave a summary of her chapter that appears in the 150th anniversary

In the evening, a screening of “The Reformer Zwingli” was viewed in dialect with subtitles. Those in the USA who do not appreciate our freedom of religion in our country should see this film. On Sunday morning, we had a guided tour of the historic farming community in New Glarus.

Another innovation of the Society was that we conducted quarterly Zoom meetings. We were ably assisted by Dr. Richard Hacken. This greatly facilitated the conduct of business.

It was suggested that officers be reimbursed for traveling expenses to an AGM. Since it would be an egregious conflict of interest for me or the board of officers to pass judgment on this, I polled the Board of Advisors. About half responded almost immediately. Some were adamantly opposed on a philosophical basis. Others would approve if the Society could fulfill its core obligations—printing books and the *Reviews*. I came to the conclusion that a consensus answer would be reimbursements would only be made if all the following conditions were met:

- The AGM was not conducted via Zoom.
- An officer asked for reimbursement.
- The Society could still fulfill its basic obligations.
- The president felt that the officer’s attendance was vital to the meeting.
- The cost of the reimbursement did not exceed 3% of the annual income.

The annual social event took place in Neuchâtel. I attended and can report that once again it was the high point of my visit to Switzerland. Barbara Muller’s report on this will be far better than mine. This year’s event will tentatively be in Einsiedeln during the month of September. I will send details when as they become known.

Our financial situation has improved. Not only have the dues increased, but Dr. Richard Hacken has made an extremely generous donation of $20,000.00.

We are on the cusp of printing a book. We have started a joint venture with the Aelpler Gruppe Swiss Club to publish *Wild auf dem Westen* by Annemarie Regez. The book concerns three men who left for the USA in the 1900s. In addition, Dr. Richard Hacken is currently working on a book that sounds very interesting. If it is not published this year, it will probably come out in 2025.

* * * *
The year 2023 was very good for the Society’s publications. We enjoyed many quality submissions on a variety of interesting topics relative to the areas of interest of the Society. The *SAHS Review* for February 2023 was lengthy at over 140 pages and included my article on the witch-craze in Germany and Switzerland “Judicial Murder,” which was well reviewed. I was surprised at the numerous compliments I received. It was downloaded over a thousand times within the first eight months it was available online. Richard Hacken translated an interesting article by Jose Auf der Maur about Napoleon’s role in shaping modern Switzerland. Thomas Marabello’s article on “The Origins of Democracy in Switzerland” had some keen insights in it, and C. Naseer Ahmad’s article “2022 Tell Award for Scientific Achievement and Cooperation” kept us up to date on the Swiss Embassy’s efforts to award those who advance science. Fred Steiner’s obituary for Leo Schelbert was a fitting tribute to one of the most prolific scholars on Swiss Americans and a valued member of the SAHS.

The June 2023 issue of the *Review* was impressive in a number of ways. It was also lengthy at 126 pages, and included a number of important articles. Una Suseli O’Connell’s work on Switzerland’s “Banished Children” and Tito Craige’s article on the “Outplacement of Children” in Switzerland presented some very disturbing and little-known aspects of the treatment of Swiss children. The articles by Joseph H. Smith, Thomas Quinn Marabello, Philippe Pierroz, Hans Luginbühl, and Sabina Widmer were well written and insightful. Two memorials on significant contributors to the Society were also presented by C. Nasser Ahmad and me.

Then November 2023 put a capstone on a very good year for the *SAHS Review*. Professor Keith Snedegar’s article on Violaine Junod, from a Swiss family, made her contributions better known. Thomas Marabello’s article on the one hundredth anniversary of the Treaty of Lausanne helped commemorate an important agreement made in Switzerland, while David Aragai’s work on Johannes Tobler presented...
much information on a little-known Swiss calendar maker who worked in colonial South Carolina.

I look forward to the SAHS Reviews for 2024, and I hope it will be as entertaining and informative as was 2023.

**My outreach to attract more authors for the SAHS Review**

One of my constant challenges as chief editor of the *SAHS Review* is to attract good authors to submit articles. I approach many different people, some of whom I only know casually, including any of my students who express interest in publishing. No student has yet responded. Early in 2023, I handed out fifty issues of the February 2023 issue of the *Review* to each of the history faculty at Brigham Young University and Utah Valley University. I also placed a note in each one asking them to consider publishing with the SAHS. I was rewarded for the effort when Dr. Keith Snedegar agreed to submit an article for the *Review*, which was published in November. I hope to continue the effort in 2024, and I would like to ask all the members of the Society to help me find good articles to be published in the *Review*.

**The Use of Humor in the SAHS Review**

In an attempt to bring a wider variety of articles to the *Review* and to bring an enhanced entertainment value to our publications, I published a short, humorous article in the June 2023 issue, an effort for which I have been criticized on several occasions. I was greatly surprised because the article was short, was placed far back in the *Review*, and it has gained no notice. In the six months since it was placed online, it has had zero downloads. The article has been ignored, a fact I’m sure will not change. Nonetheless, I feel compelled to justify my choice to publish it. I must state the obvious. I am a Swiss American, and everything I do intellectually and artistically fits within the parameters of the SAHS.

Humor often appears in some of the most prestigious journals. I have been laughing for years at a joke in an article that appeared in the *New York Times*. Have you heard about the new action group? D.A.M., Mothers Against Dyslexia. A number of articles in the *New York Times* are directed at the use of humor, and the newspaper has printed some
jokes that are very silly indeed. “What does a dinosaur use to pay bills? 
Tyrannosaurus checks” “What is the best day to cook? Fry-day” “What 
state has the smallest drinks? Mini-soda.” I think my humor is much 
more sophisticated than what we find in the most prestigious newspa-
per in the United States.

I believe strongly that humor is entertaining, and it also helps 
people to cope with stressful times. Many believe that humor, such as 
telling a good joke, is a moral act because it can improve a person’s 
mood and makes them feel better. I can speak personally on all these 
issues. For many years, I suffered with a severe illness that made me 
depressed and suicidal. When the depression was most severe, nothing 
was funny, but when I felt somewhat better, a little humor often made 
a great deal of difference. I am very grateful for the ability to see the 
amusing side of anything.

I am in good company here, and there is a large literature and 
many studies demonstrating how humor has many benefits to health 
and interpersonal relations. Carolyn Todd recently reported in the New 
York Times, “Ms. Bagdonas joins a chorus of experts who say cultivat-
ing levity is essential to well-being.” As Dana Sparks for the Mayo 
Clinic has stated, “A good sense of humor can’t cure all ailments, but 
data is mounting about the positive things laughter can do.” These ben-
efits stimulate many organs, relieve stress, sooth tension, improve the 
immune system, relieve pain, increase personal satisfaction, and im-
prove your mood. There is so much good that can come from humor 
that it is senseless to exclude it.

I started to use humor in my writing decades ago especially in 
my letters. I deeply regret that I did not write any letters to those de-
ployed in the Vietnam War. Friends of mine were killed in that conflict, 
and others came home to commit suicide. At that time, I was focused 
on my superficial life, when I should have done something for them. 
They just needed a kind word from anyone. Much of my letter writing 
is to atone for my failure to support the men in Vietnam, but my per-
sonal sense of failure has never gone away.

I obtained the humorous material for the article in the SAHS 
Review from three letters I had written. I began writing letters decades 
ago in an attempt to add a bit of humor to peoples’ challenging cir-
cumstances. The material is easy to find. I often joke that all I have 
to do is look at the humorous situations of my life, including all the
stupid things I say, the silly things I do, and any awkward situation in which I find myself. I have often sent the same weekly letter, each of six hundred words or more, to as many as a dozen people. I have been writing these letters to people in the service of their country, former soccer players, persons in jail or prison, missionaries, or just those who were away from home. I don’t know many of them, and there are some I have never even seen. I have now sent out over 2,000 letters (closer to 2,014 at this writing), and I have never missed a week. These people need no advice from me, and I only offer my opinions when I am asked to do so, but I attempt to use humor just to brighten up their day even if only for a few minutes. On numerous occasions, I have been praised for the effort.

I sent 155 weekly letters to a young lady in prison in California, and I can never remember seeing her. A young man, whom I wrote for over a year when he was in jail, stopped me in the mall in Orem to thank me. Young people whom I don’t know have brought their fiancées to meet me. Others have also brought friends or family members just to introduce me to them. Frequently, family members have praised me, and I have been brought gifts. One fellow on a mission field, told me that I wrote to him more than any of his family members. Missionaries have told me that they read the letters out loud to their entire district to everyone’s enjoyment. Often, I had to send letters to the mission home to be forwarded to the missionary. One returned missionary told me that the people in the mission home would open my letters and read them to everyone’s delight rather than forward them to him. People have told me that they will reread my letters weeks later just to renew a good laugh, and some have laughed at my jokes for days after reading my letters.

One sailor told me he would read the letters to his shipmates on the aircraft carrier, and the men would laugh and pound the tables in delight. I wrote to a Marine when he was deployed in Afghanistan, and when he returned, he and his wife drove the six hundred miles from the Marine Base in Twentynine Palms, California, to visit me. When I asked him why he had come so far, he said, “To see you.” I responded that he came and saw me, but he must have had something else more important in mind to travel so far. He simply responded, “I came to see you.”

Humor can be very delicate, and in my effort to be inoffensive, I only make fun of myself with the intent of making myself look fool-
ish, unlucky, or poorly informed. In giving advice to their doctors on how to use humor, the American Medical Association has written, “For physicians looking to incorporate humor into their practice, the best place to start is . . . with self-deprecating humor or sharing an experience where the humor comes at their own expense.” I hope my self-deprecating humor makes me look silly, foolish, and awkward. After all, that is exactly the point, and I also hope that some of my readers may learn to look at the humorous side of their situation if that is possible.

I know I cannot meet everyone’s expectations, but please understand that I am doing my level best as editor-in-chief of the *Review* to include informative, academic, and also entertaining articles in the *SAHS Review*.

***
Membership Report

by Ernie Thurston, Membership Secretary

To: Members of the Swiss American Historical Society
From: Ernie Thurston, Membership Secretary
Subject: Annual Membership Report

IN BRIEF: We have 201 current members, a 2% increase over the 198 reported last year at this time. Several members made extra contributions this year, which totaled $1,450.00. Three members paid the $500 to become Life Members: William Hustad, Robert Roethenmund, and Carol Ann Sledge.

CURRENT MEMBERS BY TYPE AND COUNTRY:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membership Type</th>
<th>US/Canada</th>
<th>Switzerland/Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regular ($50/yr.)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student ($25/yr.)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institution ($75/yr.)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Members</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complimentary</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>158</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MEMBERSHIP CHANGES, 10/01/2022 to 9/30/2023

Members as of 10/01/2022 198

Plus: New Members Enrolled 16

Plus: Former Members (not “Current” last year who have Rejoined 0

Less: Dropped by Request or Decease - 11

Less: Dropped for Non-Payment of Dues - 2

Current Members, 09/30/2023 201

(continued on the next page)
# Breakdown of Current Membership by Country and State

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Membership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KY</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RI</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UT</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WY</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC, CANADA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB, CANADA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ON, CANADA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWITZERLAND</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNITED KINGDOM</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* * *
Annual SAHS Financial Report
For the 2022-2023 Fiscal Year
October 1, 2022-September 30, 2023

Submitted by Ernie Thurston to the Annual Membership Meeting
October 14, 2023, in New Glarus, Wisconsin

### BALANCE SHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Opening</th>
<th>Closing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10/1/2022</td>
<td>9/30/2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101 Checking Account</td>
<td>8,426.23</td>
<td>5,900.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102 Vanguard STAR Fund, Unrestricted</td>
<td>52,549.04</td>
<td>54,965.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-A Vanguard STAR Fund, Donald G. Tritt Endowment Fund</td>
<td>10,839.78</td>
<td>12,364.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Assets</strong></td>
<td>71,815.05</td>
<td>73,231.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liabs.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201 Accounts Payable</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liabilities &amp; Equity</strong></td>
<td>71,815.05</td>
<td>73,231.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### OPERATING STATEMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Income</th>
<th>Expenses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10/1/2022</td>
<td>9/30/2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301 Annual Dues</td>
<td>4,457.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302 Donations</td>
<td>1,490.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>304 Book Sales</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>306 Dividends and Capital Appreciation</td>
<td>8,941.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>307 LIFE Memberships Paid</td>
<td>1,500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>309 Interest</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310 Contribution from SWISS Friends of the SAHS</td>
<td>2,380.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311 Annual Meeting Fees</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312 Other</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Income</strong></td>
<td>18,783.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expenses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>401 Annual Meeting</td>
<td>428.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>403 Postage/Administration</td>
<td>2,366.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>404 Publications</td>
<td>12,560.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>408 Honoraria</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>409 Other</td>
<td>2,011.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenses</strong></td>
<td>17,367.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SUMMARY OF ACCOUNTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Change in ASSETS</th>
<th>INCOME less EXPENSES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,416.16</td>
<td>1,416.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:

1 The Donald G. Tritt Endowment Fund was established on 8/2/2019, in support of the Leo Schelbert Publication Award. None has yet been spent. Since then through dividends earned and capital appreciation, it has increased in value by $2,364.58.

1 Because of the bad stock market last year, our value in Vanguard dropped significantly during the previous year. Since 9/30/2022, it has recovered substantially.
Dear Members of the SAHS USA,

I apologize for not being able to attend the AGM in New Glarus in person due to personal reasons. It was a pleasure to be able to attend via Zoom. It seems the AGM was well attended and a success.

The SAHS Swiss Chapter held their AGM in Le Locle on September 12th 2023, at the public library. The presentation on “Two Swiss from Neuchatel Who Became Famous in the USA” (both men from Le Locle) was given jointly by Yvonne Sandoz and Fabio Bestazzoni. Ms. Sandoz, who joined us last year in Basel and who has become a member, gave her presentation on one of her forefathers, Jules Sandoz, from Le Locle who immigrated to the Midwest. He developed fruit trees that thrived in the Sandhills of Nebraska. Fabio Bestazzoni of the public library gave a presentation on Oscar Tschirky of the Waldorf Hotel in New York. Both presentations were very interesting to the members of the SAHS Swiss Chapter. After the presentations, there was a lunch and a tour of the underground mills of Le Locle. We had fourteen to attend the AGM in Switzerland, and we were very pleased that Fred Gillespie, President of the SAHS, was able to join us.

As membership is a key concern and this year two members have resigned due to age and one has passed away. We have, however, had three new members join us in 2022-23. Our numbers remain at forty-one.

This March, we organized an outing with the American Women’s Club ZH at the Payerne Military Airport with thirty-one people attending.
I would like to thank Ms. Mary Brunisholz for all her work she did in coordinating this event.

I have the pleasure to inform you that plans are being made for the Exhibition of the U.S. Articles of the Confederation (historical version in German) in celebration of the 175th anniversary of the Swiss Constitution. The International Bundesbrief Society, together with Kaufmann Rüedi Attorneys at Law, the University of Lucerne, and the Obwalder Institute for Justice Research, are hosting events and an exhibition of the historic German version of the U.S. Articles of the Confederation, the first American Constitution. A copy of the original 1778 German-language version, archived at the Historical Society of Pennsylvania, will be released for this years’ national constitutional celebrations in Switzerland. The celebrations are scheduled for November 16th and open to the public.

I also would like to inform everyone that Susann Bosshard-Kälin, a member of the SAHS here in Switzerland, was presented with the Einsiedeln Culture prize on September 9th for her long time commitment to regional and interregional relevant history. Susann has written three books for the SAHS: 2010, *Westward-encounters with Swiss American Women*; 2013, *Emigrant Paths Encounters with 20th Century Swiss Americans*” and in 2018, *Einsiedeln Elsewhere—Immigrants and their Descendants in Louisville, Kentucky*. The Society would like to congratulate her on receiving this well-deserved award.

We look forward to Richard Hacken’s forthcoming book presently entitled *Helvetic Heights: The Human History of the Swiss Alps* which should be published in the near future. The SAHS Swiss Chapter has suggested another book for the SAHS to publish by a Swiss Author on contemporary immigration of three men to the West of the USA and are presently working on the possibility with the author to have it published for the members of the SAHS in the near future.

The SAHS Swiss Chapter sent this year to the U.S. $2,500.00. We have earmarked $2,000.00 for publishing and shipping costs of the *Review* and any extra money is earmarked to help pay for the cost of the AGM
in the USA. Next year we will pledge CHF 2,000 to be sent to the US and exchanged at the rate at that time.

This year’s AGM for the Swiss Chapter will be held between September 5th and September 16th of 2024. At present we are hoping to have either a presentation in Einsiedeln on the Sounderbundskrieg and the emigration of people from Einsiedeln to the USA and the building of the St. Meinrad in Indiana, the sister Abbey to Einsiedeln. A second option would be to visit the campus of the US Pepperdine University in St. Liéger. The University has purchased the Château d’Hauteville and has now finished with the renovations of this beautiful estate. At the moment we are working on finding a suitable speaker to make the decision where the AGM will be held. We hope to have finished all preparations for this by the end of January 2024 for the event to inform everyone.

Another event which we are preparing once again with the American Women’s Club ZH is a visit to the Air Force Center at Dubendorf Military Airport and on US Air Force Planes which were forced to land in Switzerland during WWII and the internees and what happened to them while they were in Switzerland. Also attending hopefully will be several employees of the U.S. Embassy here in Switzerland. We hope to organize this event for some time in late spring of 2024.

I, as Vice President of the Swiss Chapter and President of the Friends of SAHS would like to express my thanks to all the active members of the SAHS in both the USA and here in Switzerland.

~ Barbara Müller, Swiss President

* * * *
Examining articles from the Presentation on Oscar Tschirky at the September 2023 AGM in Le Locle.

Our tour of the underground mills of Col-des-Roches, Le Locle.
Swiss Chapter of the Swiss American Historical Society  
Financials, September 1, 2022 - September 6, 2023  
(in Swiss Francs)

**BALANCE SHEET**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBS Account Ending balance</td>
<td>14,632.48</td>
<td>15,505.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Assets</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>+ 0.00</td>
<td>+ 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>14,632.48</strong></td>
<td><strong>15,505.17</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UBS Account Ending Balance 14,632.48  
UBS Account Starting Balance 15,505.17  
Gain (+) / Loss (-) -872.69

**INCOME STATEMENT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>Budget 2024</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership Fees</td>
<td>2,355.00</td>
<td>2,255.00</td>
<td>2,225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Income</td>
<td>1,912.50</td>
<td>2,468.61</td>
<td>2,100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income Interest, Investments</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenues</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,267.50</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,723.61</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,325.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>Budget 2024</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expenses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Expenses/Administration</td>
<td>176.60</td>
<td>233.90</td>
<td>250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses Events / AGM</td>
<td>1,716.80</td>
<td>2,293.30</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribution to SAHS</td>
<td>2,246.79</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Expenses—Grants</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>1,859.07</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenses</strong></td>
<td><strong>5,140.19</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,386.27</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,500.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SAHS Operating Result -872.69 337.34 - 175.00

~ Kurt Morf, Treasurer

* * * *

https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/sahs_review/vol60/iss1/1
The Website of the Swiss American Historical Society puts our society workings, announcements, history, meeting schedules, and publications before the world on the Internet. In addition, it offers interactive contact as a portal for Swiss and Swiss-American questions and as a gateway for acquisition of new members. It is available online at https://swiss-american-historical-society.org for anyone with access to the World Wide Web.

Website sage statistics for 2023 continue the upward trend of viewership the site has enjoyed since its first appearance in 2018. Over the past year, the number of sessions increased by 23 percent over the session totals in 2022. In turn, 2022 usage had increased over 2021, 2021 usage over 2020, and so forth.

Over half of the users found access to the SAHS website directly rather than through a search engine. Among the search tools, Google was far and away the most prolific but was still used less often than direct access.
The site users came mostly from the United States, followed by Switzerland, the UK, Germany, and others. In fact, a full 70 percent of the usage came from website viewers in the USA. Among the users, according to the website provider’s report, 98 percent were new users, while only two percent were returning or repeat customers. That may seem strange in a way, but we must realize that the number of members in the Society itself is far fewer than the portion of the world population that might be interested in Swiss and Swiss-American history. This annual increase is highly encouraging and may indeed point to the potential for recruiting new members.

The most frequent website usage appears to have been in late winter, early spring, and early fall. We can imagine that summer was reserved more for activity in the real world than in the virtual one. (See the illustration at the top of the next page, not to be confused with a minimalist interpretation of the Swiss Alps.)

The single page most visited was the access page for the Swiss American Historical Society Review, the Society’s journal. This indicates a high interest in the journal issues and articles.

The ten most popular articles in the *SAHS Review* (based on the average number of full-text downloads per day since the paper was posted) have been:

1. “The Psychological Odyssey of 1909: Carl Gustav Jung’s Pivotal Encounter with Sigmund Freud during their Journey to America”
2. “Challenges to Swiss Democracy: Neutrality, Napoleon, and Nationalism”
3. “Carl Jung’s Historic Place in Psychology and Continuing Influence in Narrative Studies and American Popular Culture”
5. “Judicial Murder: The Witch-Craze in Germany and Switzerland”
8. “A History of the Swiss in California”
10. “Canton Ticino and the Italian Swiss Immigration to California”

We are happy to announce new access to older journal articles now as well, including most of those in the issues and volumes of the Swiss American Historical Society Newsletter (1965-1989). Some issues have not been digitized yet, especially for the years 1980-1989. However, those that are now available (1965-1979) can be found, read, and downloaded from the following URL:

https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/sahs_newsletter/all_issues.html

These digitized issues with their links will soon also be available in the appropriate pages of the website as well, but the programming is just underway on that.

We would like to thank the Harold B. Lee Library at BYU for their continued support of the Society and its publications, especially for their assistance with the digitization process. Thanks go out to Lois Ann Mast of Masthof Press who generously feeds the newest issues to us digitally for online access and international distribution.

* * * *
Public Relations Trip—July 2023

by Rob Sherwood

This report summarizes the experiences and contacts made during my trip to Minnesota and Central Wisconsin from July 11-15, 2023. I was able to meet with members of five historical societies with varying degrees of success. At each location, I shared examples of the SAHS Review and information about the Annual General Meeting for 2023. Both items were well received.

Carver County Historical Society
Waconia, Minnesota, July 11, 2023

At the Carver County Historical Society, I initially met with Wendy Bjorn, the Director of the society. She is a very capable and competent person. Within the county there was one town, Helvetia, Minnesota, that is now considered a “Ghost Town.”

From the records that were available, it appears that the “town” existed for about a 40-year period from the mid to late 1870s through the early 1900s. This is when the post office existed there. By 1882, the original route changed to simply a direct route to Helvetia from Waconia.

A Swiss immigrant named Mr. Buhler left Watertown, Minnesota, and created the settlement a few miles to the southwest, but did not want it named after him. Instead, the name Helvetia was chosen. It had a Grist Mill, Furniture Store, Saw Mill, and Roller Mill among other commercial businesses. There was also at least one church and possibly a second one. By 1881, they had a Schutzengesellschaft competing in
regional competitions. Things looked like they were going to be successful.

Two major situations inhibited the growth of the town. The first was a typhoid epidemic that wiped out a good portion of the second generation of the town. The second was the railroad that passed about four miles south of Helvetia through another town. This combination of factors, one medical, the second economic, seemed to put the town on a path towards extinction. There are Swiss in cemeteries near what was Helvetia and another prominent member of the community named DuToit of Swiss ancestry.

Ms. Bjorn asked for a two to three-page general story of Swiss immigration to be sent out in their next newsletter to the members of the society. With that, they will also include the flyer for the annual general meeting in New Glarus, Wisconsin. I also met the employee in charge of educational programs and later conversed with the employee in charge of genealogical assistance. This meeting was very productive and a potential journal article in the future might be forthcoming. I will keep in contact with them to develop this relationship.

Dodge County Historical Society
Berne, Minnesota, July 11, 2023

I had the exciting opportunity to speak with four members of the Dodge County Historical Society and members of the Zwingli United Church of Christ at a picnic they prepared for me. It was wonderful weather, in the mid 60s, and we talked outside for about three hours. This was a very productive and positive meeting. Berne as a community is essentially non-existent today, but the Church has continued to carry the Swiss tradition and heritage of the community exceptionally well.

Upon entering the church, an alpenhorn is hanging over one of the doors. From the late 1940s until the early 2010s, they hosted a festival called “Swissfest” that included many traditional Swiss cultural aspects. I think that it was included in Donald Tritt’s book about Swiss Festivals in the US. One of the people we met with, Catherine Eyers, was a fascinating woman. She recently published a 150th anniversary of the church book that was excellent. It was much more than just a history of the church, but really a history of the Swiss community. She
included many personal stories as well as research to provide a well-rounded picture of the role of the Swiss in Dodge County, Minnesota. If we are looking for a book to provide members, this might be one that I would suggest. I know there is an aversion to histories of this type at times, but this was well done. She even has a chapter on the impact the KKK had on the community of Swiss in the 1910s and 1920s. It was interesting information. She also is quite possibly the best scholar in the world on the novel Heidi and has a wonderful collection of Heidi manuscripts. I know that at least three persons are seriously considering attending the Annual General Meeting. This is a group that I will keep working with.

Marathon County Historical Society
Bern, Wisconsin, July 12, 2023

This meeting was with Ben Clark, the archivist and historian for the Marathon County Historical Society in Wausau, Wisconsin. Like most people who I met on this trip, he was extremely kind and generous with his time and information. He provided some basic background on the county.

Bern was one of the last areas of the county to be settled and as such quite a distance from the traditional economic activity of logging. As it was not near the river, farming and dairy were the most prominent aspects of economic activity. Bern seems to be another ghost town, but there are people buried in Athens, Wisconsin, about four miles from what was Bern who were born in Switzerland. We talked about how we could partner with the local university and use their students to get interested in local history and possibly produce students with an interest in Swiss American history. This could also produce entries for the Leo Schelbert Prize.

Iola Historical Society
Helvetia, Wisconsin, July 12, 2023

This was my one bust of the trip. I was never able to get in touch with the director of the local historical society. Helvetia as a town still exists, there is still a town hall there, but it is only open once a week and has a meeting once a month. The local library did not have any his-
torical information about the town. I was able to find this link (https://www.findagrave.com/memorial/122487562/johann-heinrich-leuthold) to an obituary for a Johann Heinrich Leuthold who suggested the name for the town and was a very influential citizen. He was born in Zurich. I have since been in contact with them about Helvetia. They did confirm that there was a Swiss presence in the area, but that it mostly was small, and did not have a major impact on the region.

Calumet County Historical Society
Kloten, Wisconsin, July 13, 2023

This was another good visit with very helpful people. Kloten today is a small intersection with an old Catholic Church about a half mile south. It appears that the town was named after Kloten, Switzerland, the first place that most arrive in Switzerland today as it is the location of the Zurich airport.

There is an interesting yet currently unverified story that when the post office came to town, the lady who had to walk the furthest to the old post office was able to choose the new name and she chose Kloten. It appears that there were other Swiss families in the area. The Director is going to reach out to the membership through Facebook to see if there are any family stories or direct relations to Swiss in the county.

There is a cabinet in the museum with a placard that states that a Swiss cabinet maker constructed it. Further historical research will be needed to understand the role this cabinet maker played in the community. They will also send out the information about the Annual General Meeting to their membership.

South Wood County Historical Society
Altdorf, Wisconsin, July 14, 2023

This was a great meeting with the director of the South Wood County Historical Society as well as two people who grew up in Altdorf and another gentleman who is a descendent of those who lived there. We met at the local Catholic church and had a great discussion as to who the people were, why they came, how they were related, and what life was like. One of the persons who is 86 years old, had a great
memory of going to church, attending school, and seeing the church operate as the center of the community. They were very interested in a suggestion that I had to collect the stories that still remain. Their goal is to compile the stories that can be collected about the early Swiss settlers into a book. The initial plan was to have a 15-20 page portion of the history of the settlement followed by the personal narratives.

Conclusions

I think that the trip was valuable to help these local historical societies connect with SAHS. The message that I kept hearing was that they wanted other people to know that they existed. They wanted others to know that they were trying to preserve, collect, and share the stories they had. It also seemed that there were definite possibilities for future collaborations with many of them. Dodge County Historical Society and North Wood County Historical Society seemed the most interested in writing an article about the Swiss influence in their regions. In terms of short-term results, I do not know how many people joined the SAHS or attended the SAHS annual meeting due to my visit.

~ Rob Sherwood, Professor of History
Georgia Military College
The Swiss American Historical Society (SAHS) is on

[LinkedIn logo]

https://www.linkedin.com/company/swiss-american-historical-society/

Check out our LinkedIn webpage for:

- News Stories
- Resources
- Interesting Websites
- Webinars and Podcasts
- Academic Scholarship/Prize Opportunities
- Switzerland Trip Deals
- Embassy of Switzerland/Consulate Events and Announcements
- SAHS Updates

You can also connect with members via chat and those interested in Switzerland and Swiss Americans. It is free and anyone can access the webpage through LinkedIn.

Do you have something you would like us to post on the SAHS LinkedIn webpage?
Send an email to Tom Marabello, SAHS Vice President, at:
thomas.marabello@gmail.com
CALL FOR ARTICLES FOR THE SAHS REVIEW

SAHS Review: The Swiss American Historical Society Review publishes three times each year in February, June, and November, and submissions for publication by members of the Society and other interested persons are welcome. Please send inquiries and manuscripts to:

Dr. Albert Winkler, Editor-in-chief SAHS Review
1651 N. 50 E. Orem, UT 84057
albertwinkler1949@gmail.com

INFORMATION FOR AUTHORS:

Article content: We are interested in publishing materials primarily dealing with the history of Swiss-Americans, Swiss-US relations, and the history of Switzerland.

Publication examples: Members of the Society may refer to the copies of the SAHS Review they have received as examples of how the SAHS Review looks and the kind of articles we publish. Past copies of the SAHS Review are also available online at our website.

Length of Articles: There is considerable leeway in the length of articles to be published. Each article should total about 10-100 pages (roughly 3,500-35,000 words).

Preference to members for publications: Members of the Society will be given preference in all publications. If an author is not a member of the Society, that person will be invited to join.

Original work: All work should be original to the author.

Translations: Translations of other works are also acceptable, but the material should be in the public domain or the author given permission to be published.

Copyright: SAHS will publish articles or books on a one-time basis, but the copyright is retained by the author to use as he or she wishes.

Author’s responsibility: Authors are responsible for the content of the article including word choice, grammar, and punctuation.

Personal articles: Personal articles, including reports, meetings, family histories, need to be well written, accurate, and well researched.

Academic Standards: All publications must meet the high and strict standards of any professional historical organization. All work must be original, and all sources must be properly cited.


Illustrations: A few illustrations with each publication are encouraged, but it is up to the author to obtain permission to publish all illustrations for the article/book. Wikimedia Commons is a good source since all illustrations are in the public domain.

No Remuneration: The SAHS does not pay authors for the use of their publications, and the authors will not receive any remuneration.

English-speaking audience: The readership for the SAHS Review and book publications are intended for an English-speaking audience, so all materials including quotes should be translated into English.
SAHS MEMBERSHIP APPLICATION FORM

Check out our website at:
www.swiss-american-historical-society.org
to join on the “Join Today” page.

Name: ________________________________________________________
Address: _____________________________________________________
City/State/Zip/Country: _________________________________________
Phone: _______________________________________________________
Email: _______________________________________________________

Dues for U.S. members:
__ Individual $75.00 per year
__ Institution $115.00 per year
__ Student $40.00 per year
__ Life Membership $750.00

Dues for Overseas members:
__ Individual SFR 65.00
__ Institutions SFR 100.00
__ Life Member SFR 650.00

U.S. members have two options to pay membership dues. The first is to pay using PayPal—go to: https://www.swiss-american-historical-society.org/join-today and find the PayPal links below the different membership categories. The second option is to make check payable to SAHS and mail check and form to:

Ernest Thurston, Membership Secretary
65 Town Mountain Road
Asheville, NC 28804
Email: Eswisst@gmail.com

For Overseas members, please contact:
Kurt Morf, Treasurer, Swiss Chapter, at: kurtmorf@aol.com

Membership in the Swiss American Historical Society is open to all. Each year, members receive three copies of the Swiss American Historical Society Review, a personal copy of each book newly published by the Society, and an invitation to attend the Society’s annual general meeting.