



12-31-1973

# The nominal snake genera *Mastigodryas* Amaral, 1934, and *Dryadophis* Stuart, 1939

Hobart M. Smith

*University of Colorado, Boulder*

Kenneth R. Larsen

*University of Colorado, Boulder*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/gbn>

## Recommended Citation

Smith, Hobart M. and Larsen, Kenneth R. (1973) "The nominal snake genera *Mastigodryas* Amaral, 1934, and *Dryadophis* Stuart, 1939," *Great Basin Naturalist*: Vol. 33 : No. 4 , Article 10.

Available at: <https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/gbn/vol33/iss4/10>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Western North American Naturalist Publications at BYU ScholarsArchive. It has been accepted for inclusion in Great Basin Naturalist by an authorized editor of BYU ScholarsArchive. For more information, please contact [scholarsarchive@byu.edu](mailto:scholarsarchive@byu.edu), [ellen\\_amatangelo@byu.edu](mailto:ellen_amatangelo@byu.edu).

## THE NOMINAL SNAKE GENERA *MASTIGODRYAS* AMARAL, 1934, AND *DRYADOPHIS* STUART, 1939

Hobart M. Smith<sup>1</sup> and Kenneth R. Larsen<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT.— Some recent workers who have combined the genera *Mastigodryas* Amaral, 1834, and *Dryadophis* Stuart, 1939, under the former name, have done so prematurely in view of characters by Amaral that differentiate them. Either the genera should be maintained distinct, or the younger, but widely-known name, *Dryadophis*, should be retained through exercise of the plenary powers of the ICZN, already requested.

Prompted by Romer's (1956: 577) indication of the synonymy of *Mastigodryas* Amaral, 1934, and *Dryadophis* Stuart, 1939, a proposal was made (Smith, 1963) that *Mastigodryas* be suppressed under the plenary powers of the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature in order to preserve the more familiar *Dryadophis*. The ICZN never acted upon the proposal, however, because Amaral (1964) pointed out that the monotypic *Mastigodryas* should not be regarded as a synonym of *Dryadophis*, since it has no apical scale pits and 70 subcaudals, whereas in *Dryadophis* the subcaudals number 79 or more, and paired apical scale pits are present in all species.

Nevertheless Peters and Orejas-Miranda (1970:190) lumped the two genera, citing all valid Central and South American species-group taxa of *Dryadophis* under the generic name *Mastigodryas*. No mention was made of the comments by Smith and Amaral that appeared in the *Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature*; but Romer's observation was noted and the comment added that Peters had seen the type of *M. danieli*, that he concluded it is congeneric with *Dryadophis*, and that priority should be observed.

We have not seen any specimens of *M. danieli*, but on the basis of Amaral's (1964) comments maintain that to regard it as congeneric with *Dryadophis* is premature. *Dryadophis* merits perpetuation until more conclusive evidence of synonymy with *Mastigodryas* is available. If such a conclusion is confirmed, reconsideration should be given to suppression of the nominal genus *Mastigodryas* in order to preserve the widely known name *Dryadophis*, resubmitting for ICZN action the proposal first presented in 1963.

### LITERATURE CITED

- AMARAL, A. DO. 1964. Comment on the proposal to substitute the generic name *Dryadophis* Stuart, 1939, for *Mastigodryas* Amaral, 1934. *Bull. Zool. Nomencl.* 21(1):13.
- PETERS, J. A., AND B. OREJAS-MIRANDA. 1970. Catalogue of the neotropical Squamata: Part I. Snakes. *Bull. U.S. Nat. Mus.* 297:1-346, ill.
- ROMER, A. S. 1956. *Osteology of the reptiles*. University of Chicago Press.
- SMITH, H. M. 1963. *Dryadophis* Stuart, 1939 (Reptilia, Serpentes): Proposed validation under the plenary powers. *Bull. Zool. Nomencl.* 20(3):230.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Environmental, Population, and Organismic Biology, University of Colorado, Boulder 80302.