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Mixed pine-oak woodlands of the mountainous southwestern United States and Mexico are biologically diverse communities (Eisenberg 1981) and are threatened by human-mediated habitat loss and global climate change (Peterson et al. 2002, Mittermeier et al. 2005). Much of the region’s vertebrate diversity is attributable to numerous rodent species that are distributed across the mountainous domain of the southwestern United States and Mexico (Ceballos and Oliva 2006). More than 30 rodent species are found in the region, and at least half are restricted to pine-oak forest at high elevations. Despite the exceptional level of biodiversity, few studies have examined vertebrate diversification in the region (see Sullivan et al. 2000, Leon-Paniagua et al. 2007, and McCormack et al. 2008 for exceptions). Such data are needed to understand processes that promote and maintain vertebrate diversity in the region.

More recent approaches that link statistical phylogeography with ecological modeling may offer new insight into the role of climate on the distribution of genealogical lineages (Knowles et al. 2007, Swenson 2008). The integration of phylogenetic and climate-based modeling of species distributions has been used to examine the association between speciation and shifts in environmental conditions (Peterson et al. 1999, Hugall et al., 2002, Graham et al., 2004). This approach also has utility in examining the relative role of abiotic variation in the genetic structure of montane mammals distributed across Mexico and the southwestern United States.
Montane rodent species that are distributed at elevations between 1700 m and 3000 m across the southwestern mountains and Mexico include the Mexican vole, *Microtus mexicanus*. The species’ disjunct distribution in high-elevation grasslands from the Colorado Plateau into southern Mexico (Findley and Jones 1962, Armstrong 1972, Hall 1981, Frey et al. 2002) has led some to suggest that the Mexican vole is composed of at least 2 species: the presumptive Mogollon vole (*Microtus mogollonensis*) in the United States and the Mexican vole (*M. mexicanus*) in Mexico (Frey and LaRue 1993, Frey et al. 2002). There appears to be support for this suggestion from karyotypic (Modi 1987) and morphological (Frey 1989) analyses. Despite the evidence in support of species recognition, the Mogollon vole is not yet officially recognized (e.g., Fitzgerald et al. 1994, Musser and Carleton 2005). A recent study of allozyme and cytochrome b variation also demonstrated support for specific status of the Mogollon vole, although the cytb data for populations in Mexico was limited to a single population (Frey 2009). Here, we used analyses of the cytochrome b gene of the mitochondrial genome (cytb) to examine partitioning of genetic variation within the Mexican vole. Our samples were drawn from throughout the species’ range. Given that species status has not been conferred to the presumptive *M. mogollonensis*, the taxon was treated as a subspecies of the Mexican vole in all analyses. Subsequently, the possible influence of environmental variation on diversification within and between vole lineages was assessed through analyses of abiotic indices.

**Methods**

**Climate Modeling**

A dataset of 1486 locality records for *M. mexicanus* from the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (http://data.gbif.org/datasets/resource), MANIS (www.manisnet.org), and the museum server ARCTOS (http://arctos.database.museum/) was vetted for use in model construction. Vole localities within the United States were assigned a priori as *M. mogollonensis* and those in Mexico as *M. mexicanus*. The locality records were proofed for errors or missing latitude and/or longitude data. Duplicate records and records that lacked detailed locality information were excluded. Records with a coordinate precision below 15 km as calculated in BioGeomancer (Guralnick et al. 2006) were eliminated in order to use only geographically precise records in model construction. The final input data set consisted of 300 records distributed throughout the extant range (Fig. 1).

To examine the generality of a climate-driven pattern in clade divergence, we constructed climate models for the codistributed taxa *Peromyscus truei*, piñon mouse (Hoffmeister 1951); *Sigmodon hispidus*, hispid cotton rat (Cameron and Spencer 1981); and *Neotoma mexicana*, Mexican woodrat (Smith 1997). The species are composed of divergent clades in the southwestern United States and Mexico that have been suggested to be distinct species (Modi and Lee 1984, Janecek 1990, Peppers and Bradley 2000) or highly divergent subspecies (Edwards and Bradley 2002). We also modeled the climatic niche of the closely related congeners, *Microtus longicaudus* and *Microtus californicus* (Conroy and Cook 2000a, Conroy and Neuwald 2008). As for the Mexican vole, locality records for each species were obtained from the Global Biodiversity Information Facility and were vetted for geographical precision prior to modeling (*S. hispidus*, n = 3909; *P. truei*, n = 1767; *N. mexicana*, n = 1013; *M. longicaudus*, n = 2738; *M. californicus*, n = 99).

The climatic niche of each species (or species complex) was modeled using data representative of conditions during the modern and Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) time periods. The modern climate model was parameterized using 19 bioclimatic indices incorporated as the WorldClim dataset (Hijmans et al. 2005). We also collated data for the LGM for use in hindcasting niches onto past climate landscapes. The climate layers that represented the LGM were based on Community Climate System Model (CCSM; http://pmm2.lsse.ipsl.fr; Collins et al. 2004, Kiehl and Gent 2004) and were also available as WorldClim data. This LGM model was also parameterized using the 19 bioclimatic variables, which represent summaries of means and variation in temperature and precipitation, and which likely characterize dimensions of climate relevant in determining species distributions (Hijmans et al. 2005).

We constructed climate models in Maxent v.3.3.1 (Phillips et al. 2006, Phillips and Dudik 2008), using the default convergence threshold (10^{-5}) and regularization parameter (1), but
we increased the maximum number of iterations to 2000. For each run, 75% of the localities were used to train the model and 25% of localities were selected for model testing. Once the model construction was complete, the current niche model was projected onto the LGM climate landscape. We assessed model performance using the area under the ROC curve (AUC) statistic (range 0–1.0). The AUC is a robust measure of model accuracy and has been applied successfully to evaluate several modeling approaches (Elith 2002).

To assess the relative influence of environmental conditions on diversification over time, we extracted the values of 19 abiotic parameters for both modern and Last Glacial Maximum (LGM, ca. 15 kyr) climate scenarios in a GIS. Following the extraction, we conducted multivariate analyses of climatic parameters on vole clades. Clades were assigned based on phylogeographic analyses (M. mexicanus, this study; S. hispidus, Peppers and Bradley 2000; N. mexicana, Edwards and Bradley 2002; P. truei, Janeczek 1990; M. longicaudus, Conroy and Cook 2000a; M. californicus, Conroy and Neuwald 2008). All statistical analyses were conducted in PASW Statistics 18, release version 18.0.0 (SPSS, Inc. 2009, Chicago, IL, http://www.spss.com).
Sampling

We obtained *M. mexicanus* tissue samples from the University of New Mexico’s Museum of Southwestern Biology (MSB) and skin samples from Michigan State University Museum (MSUM). Samples were selected to provide a representation of the geographic extent of the species (Fig. 1, Appendix). The samples represented 8 of the 12 subspecies of *M. mexicanus* recognized by Hall (1981), including *M. m. fulvicenter* (*n* = 3), *M. m. guadalupensis* (*n* = 6), *M. m. hualpaisensis* (*n* = 6), *M. m. mexicanus* (*n* = 3), *M. m. mogollonensis* (*n* = 15), *M. m. navaho* (*n* = 1), and *M. m. subsinus* (*n* = 4). An additional sample of *M. m. guadalupensis* (*n* = 1) was downloaded from GenBank (Conroy and Cook 2000b; NK9222). Museum skin samples were obtained from an additional population of *M. m. madrensis* from MSUM (*n* = 5). Material for the remaining 4 subspecies was not available. For convenience in subsequent analyses, samples were grouped according to the respective mountain regions from which they were collected. The resulting data set included samples from the Hualpai Mountains (HUA, northwestern Arizona), the Colorado Plateau (COP [UT]), the White Mountains (WHT, east central Arizona), the mountains of New Mexico and Utah (SKY), the Sierra Madre Occidental (SMOcc, western Mexico), the Sierra Madre Oriental (SMOR, eastern Mexico), and the Sierra Madre del Sur (SMdSR, southern Mexico; Fig. 1).

To conduct phylogeographic analyses, we sequenced the cytochrome b (*cytb*) gene, which is thought to mutate at a rate suitable for resolving nodes in closely related species that diverged more recently than 2 Ma (Galewski et al. 2006), as suggested for North American *Microtus* (Conroy et al. 2001). The observed divergence within *M. mexicanus* was examined within the broader context of diversification within *Microtus* with additional sequences (Appendix). These included a DNA sequence for *Myodes gapperi*, a recognized outgroup for *Microtus* (Conroy and Cook 1999, Jaarola et al. 2004). DNA sequences for *Microtus californicus*, the hypothesized sister taxon to *M. mexicanus* (Conroy and Cook 2000b), and 15 additional *Microtus* sequences were also obtained from GenBank. Sequences for *M. pennsylvanicus* and *M. montanus* generated during this study were also included in analyses.

Sample Preparation and Sequencing

Genomic DNA from 44 *M. mexicanus* samples was extracted using a modified salt extraction procedure (Medrano et al. 1990). The *cytb* was amplified via the polymerase chain reaction (PCR), primer pair L14724 and H15915 (Irwin et al. 1991), and internal primer pairs MVZ23–MVZ14, MVZ05–MVZ04, and MVZ11–MVZ26 (Smith and Patton 1993). Museum skin samples were soaked twice in 10% SDS solution at 42 °C for 1 hour to remove potential contaminants prior to extraction. Reaction conditions for PCR were 94 °C (30 seconds) denaturing, 50 °C (25 seconds) annealing, and 72 °C (1 minute) extension. PCR products were purified using the QiAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). PCR templates were prepared for automated sequencing using dye-labeled terminators and cycle-sequencing conditions of 96 °C (10 seconds) denaturing, 50 °C (5 seconds) annealing, and 60 °C (4 minutes) extension. PCR products were sequenced using the same primer sets and purified using a Qiagen DyeEx Spin kit. Skin samples (*M. m. madrensis*) were amplified and sequenced 3 separate times to assess sequence quality. Sequence samples were read using an ABI 3100 Automated Sequence Analyzer and manually aligned using Sequencher v.4.7 (Bromberg et al. 1995).

Phylogenetic Analyses

Phylogenetic analyses were conducted to examine the partitioning of genetic variation in *M. mexicanus* using a Bayesian approach in MrBayes v.3.1.2 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist 2001). The model of evolution that best fit the data was selected using Modeltest v.3.7 (Posada and Crandall 1998). The Bayesian analysis was conducted using the parameters of 4 chains run simultaneously; each chain ran for 6,000,000 generations and every 1000th tree was sampled. The runs converged onto the stationary distribution of likelihood scores at 38,000 generations. The first 950 trees were discarded so that only parameters estimated after stationarity were used. We also conducted a likelihood analysis using the Genetic Algorithm for Rapid Likelihood Inference (GARLI v.0.96; Zwickl 2006) in order to examine consilience across methods. The GARLI run was performed using 100 replications and the selected model parameters.

Mitochondrial diversity for Mexican vole populations was estimated through genetic parameters theta pi (\( \pi \)), theta (\( \theta \)), and the number
of segregating sites ($S$) in DnaSP v.4.90 (Rozas et al. 2003). Genetic distances between clades and between subpopulations within clades were estimated as the uncorrected percent divergence (uncorrected $p$-distance) to allow comparison with other studies of Microtus (Jaarola et al. 2004, Conroy and Neuwald 2008) and were estimated in PAUP v.4.0b10 (Swofford 2002) and MEGA v.4.0 (Tamura et al. 2007). The net divergence between Mexican vole clades was estimated by subtracting the mean within-clade distance from the average between-clade divergence (Avise and Walker 1998, Conroy and Neuwald 2008). Preliminary analyses of the mitochondrial data suggested a bias in the transition-to-transversion substitution rate ($R = 2.5$). As a result, pairwise genetic distances were also estimated in MEGA v.4.1 (Tamura et al. 2007) under the Kimura 2-parameter model, which corrects for this bias (Kimura 1980).

**Tests of Neutrality**

We assessed neutrality using Fu’s $F_s$ (Fu 1997) and Tajima’s $D$ (Tajima 1989) test statistics. Each test is sensitive to different violations of neutrality and, as such, can help determine the potential processes influencing genetic structure for species populations. Fu’s $F_s$ test of the mismatch distribution is expected to yield significantly negative values in expanding populations, because the number of segregating sites ($S$) is expected to change more rapidly with changes in population size compared to pairwise nucleotide diversity ($\pi$). Tajima’s $D$ is a more conservative test of bottlenecks than Fu’s $F_s$ (Crawford 2007). Tajima’s $D$ test compares the expected values of segregating sites, $S$, and the standardized number of mutations (as represented by the number of pairwise differences), $\pi$. At equilibrium, $S = \pi$. Changes in population size violate equilibrium. A positive value for Tajima’s $D$ indicates evidence of a genetic bottleneck, and a negative value supports population expansion.

A mismatch analysis on the haplotype distributions for Mexican vole populations in the United States and Mexico was performed using 10,000 permutations. In a population that has undergone a recent expansion or genetic bottleneck, the mismatch distribution is expected to be unimodal and to approximate a Poisson curve, as haplotypes spread relatively rapidly across the landscape. A population in mutation-drift equilibrium is predicted to exhibit a multimodal distribution because multiple haplotypes are maintained in stable populations (Harpending 1994). We conducted tests in DnaSP v.4.90 (Rozas et al. 2003) using the default of free recombination and 5000 replications.

**Divergence Estimation**

A test for rate homogeneity was conducted among $M$. mexicanus lineages using the likelihood ratio test with $s – 2$ degrees of freedom (Felsenstein 1981) to evaluate the application of a molecular clock to the mitochondrial DNA data. Phylogenetic analyses were conducted under the best-fit model $HTR + I + G$ with and without enforcing a strict molecular clock, and the likelihood scores were compared. Results demonstrated significantly different rates of substitution among branches (−$\ln L_w/o$ clock $= 4336.37$, $\ln L_w/o$ clock $= 4295.26$, $df = 42$, $P < 0.05$), and we deemed the use of a strict molecular clock inappropriate. In the absence of rate homogeneity, we estimated divergence for Mexican vole clades using a relaxed molecular clock assumption in BEAST v.1.4.6 (Drummond et al. 2006). The purpose of relaxed molecular clocks is to obtain divergence estimates while allowing differential evolutionary rates within lineages. Fossil data were used to set calibration priors on nodes in the phylogeny. The use of fossils to set external calibration points is useful because rates of evolution are allowed to vary along the entire branch (rather than concentrating the rate on the node), which tends to yield more robust estimates (Ho 2007). Notably, because the appearance of a fossil in the geologic record likely postdates the origin of its representative lineage, fossil calibration priors represent a minimum age for diversification. When fossils are used as external calibration points, model priors are constrained to be lognormal. A lognormal distribution is more appropriate for modeling the uncertainty surrounding a fossil-based node age compared to a normal distribution, which may set priors that postdate the first appearance of a group in the fossil record (Hedges and Kumar 2004, Drummond et al. 2006, Ho 2007).

To parameterize the model, external calibration priors were set using paleontological data. External calibrations to represent the divergence between Microtus and Myodes were set using a lognormally distributed prior of 3.5 Ma based on fossil evidence (Zakrzewski 1985, Catzeflis et al. 1987, Chaline and Graf 1988). A second calibration prior of 0.5 Ma was set to
RESULTS

Climate Modeling

Species distribution models and statistical analyses of environmental data demonstrated that vole lineages in the southwestern United States occupy a different climate niche compared to lineages in Mexico. The species distribution models parameterized with modern climate data predicted suitable habitat for the Mogollon vole across the southwestern United States and northern Sierra Madre Occidental and no suitable habitat for the Mexican vole north of approximately 30° latitude (Fig. 2a, b). This differed from the LGM model, which predicted more extensive suitable habitat for the Mexican vole (Fig. 3a), though much of the suitable habitat for the presumptive Mogollon vole was predicted south of the current distribution and included the Sierra Madre Occidental (Fig. 3b). The AUC for the LGM model was high (AUC_{train} = 0.988, AUC_{test} = 0.965), which suggests that the Maxent distribution is a close approximation of the species distribution for that time period.

Likewise, statistical analyses demonstrated that vole lineages in the southwestern United States occupy a climate distinct from lineages in Mexico for both modern (F_{18,403} = 948.64, P = 0.000) and LGM conditions (F_{18,403} = 943.33, P = 0.000). Samples from Durango, Mexico, were distributed in the same climate space as the rest of Mexico. A plot depicted lower mean annual precipitation and lower overall temperatures for vole locations in the United States, while the opposite was true for locations in Mexico (Fig. 4a, b). This was consistent over both time periods (Fig. 4a, b). Our analyses of 3 codistributed taxa, *M. longicaudus*, and *M. californicus* also demonstrated significant differences in the climate space occupied by clades within each species. A MANOVA of WorldClim parameters on vole clade was significant for the hispid cotton rat (F_{18,3590} = 1703.44, P = 0.000), the Mexican woodrat (F_{18,994} = 378.18, P = 0.000), the piñon mouse (F_{18,1748} = 1359.26, P = 0.000), the long-tailed vole (F_{18,41658} = 344.07, P = 0.000), and the California vole (F_{18,413} = 13.27, P = 0.000). The climate space occupied by sister clades included significant differences in mean annual temperature and/or mean annual precipitation, which were consistent over both time periods (Fig. 5, only modern climate data shown).

Phylogenetic Analyses

Phylogenetic analyses were conducted on 953 bp from the downstream portion of the
Fig. 2. Ecological niche models based on modern climate conditions: A, Mexican vole (*Microtus mexicanus*; checked boxes in Mexico [south of approximately 30° latitude]); B, presumptive Mogollon vole (*Microtus mogollonensis*; dotted circles in the United States [north of approximately 30° latitude]). Models are based on modern climate conditions.
Fig. 3. Ecological niche models based on climate conditions from the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM): A, Mexican vole (*Microtus mexicanus*; dotted circles in Mexico [south of approximately 30° latitude]); B, presumptive Mogollon vole (*Microtus mogollonensis*; dotted circles in the United States [north of approximately 30° latitude]).
cytb gene from 62 samples of Microtus, including 44 samples of M. mexicanus (Appendix). Of the DNA segment analyzed, 221 sites were variable, and 134 sites were parsimony-informative. Patterns of genetic variation conducted in MODELTEST v.3.7 (Posada and Crandall 1998) demonstrated a level of complexity best modeled by the HKY + I + G model of evolution with 6 free parameters; base frequencies were estimated as A, 30.3%; C, 28.2%; G, 13.4%; and T, 28.1%. The transition/transversion ratio was estimated at 2.63, and values for the proportion of invariable sites (I) and the gamma shape parameter (G) were estimated as 0.53 and 0.66, respectively. Base frequencies observed in this study for cytb are similar to those reported in other studies of Microtus (Conroy and Cook 1999), and no significant deviations were found ($\chi^2 = 61.35$, df = 180, $P = 1.00$).

Phylogeographic analyses of the cytb gene recovered the “Pennsylvanicus clade” (Conroy and Cook 2000b), the “Asian clade” (Conroy and Cook 2000b), and the “Mesoamerican endemic clade” (Conroy et al. 2001) identified in previous analyses. There is also additional topological support for Microtus californicus as sister to M. mexicanus (Conroy and Cook 2000b); thus, M. californicus was used as an outgroup for M. mexicanus in subsequent analyses.

Support for genetically distinct Mexican vole lineages in the southwestern United States and Mexico was examined through analyses of cytb variation. The Bayesian phylogram demonstrated a 100% posterior probability for a west–east split, with samples from the southwestern United States and northern Sierra Madre Occidental as 2 distinct subclades within the western clade, and the remaining samples from Mexico in the eastern clade. The topological positioning of the Sierra Madre Occidental samples with samples from the southwestern United States, which was congruent across all phylogenetic analyses, is a departure from the “north–south” (i.e., United States–Mexico) split suggested from previous analyses (e.g., Frey 2009). The phylogram also depicted moderate support for a subclade that consisted of samples from the White and Hualpai Mountains of east central and northwestern Arizona. All subclades sampled from Mexico demonstrated longer branch lengths indicative of genetic divergence (Fig. 6).

Analysis of Molecular Variation

The highest levels of cytb molecular diversity were indicated for Oaxaca ($\pi = 0.028 \pm 0.012$) and Durango ($0.043 \pm 0.007$), Mexico (Table 1). Further analyses of molecular diversity of the west–east clade division indicated higher diversity for the eastern clade across
most parameters ($\pi = 0.031 \pm 0.005$) compared to the western clade (Table 1, $\pi = 0.021 \pm 0.001$). The average evolutionary divergence between Mexican vole lineages in the southwestern United States and Mexico estimated using the uncorrected p-distance averaged 8.23% (range 6.18%–10.3%). Net divergence between western and eastern clades was 7.93%, based on average divergence within each clade of $0.27 \pm 0.003$ and $0.33 \pm 0.004$, respectively.

Fig. 5. Analyses demonstrated climatic separation between clades for codistributed taxa and Microtus congeners. Plots of mean annual precipitation to mean annual temperature for northern (circles) and southern (squares) clades: A, Sigmodon hispidus; B, Peromyscus truei, and C, Microtus californicus; D, also shown is separation between clades of Microtus longicaudus (Conroy and Cook 2000a) as southern Rockies clade (filled squares), northwest and island clades (circles), and central clade (asterisks).
The average distance is less than the genetic divergence between *M. mexicanus* and its sister taxon, *M. californicus* (11.6%), but it is greater than that between clades of *M. californicus* (4.46%), for which separate species status was recommended (Conroy and Neuwald 2008). Our

Fig. 6. A Bayesian phylogram derived from the cytochrome b gene. The analysis consisted of 44 *Microtus mexicanus* samples. The tree was rooted with the outgroup *Myodes gapperi*, a sister taxon to *Microtus* (Conroy and Cook 2000b). Additional support for the topology is represented by 100 bootstrap replicates of a maximum likelihood topology. The model of evolution selected for the Bayesian and maximum likelihood analyses was the HKY + I + G with 6 free parameters. The eastern clade comprises samples from the Colorado Plateau (COP [AZ]), the mountains of New Mexico (SKY [NM]) and the northern Sierra Madre at Durango, Mexico (SMOcc [DUR]). The western clade comprises samples from the northern extent of the Sierra Madre Oriental at Coahuila (SMOr [COA]), its southern terminus at Veracruz (SMOr [VZ]), and the Sierra Madre del Sur in Oaxaca (SMdelSur [OAX]). Support for major nodes is indicated as a Bayesian posterior probability to the left of each slash, and bootstrap values to the right.
analyses demonstrate similar genetic distances for sister taxa, such as *M. miurus*—*M. abbreviatus* (1.4%) and *M. longicaudus*—*M. oregoni* (13.0%) as reported in other studies (Conroy and Neuwald 2008). Therefore, the net divergence observed between clades of the Mexican vole approach the level of divergence reported for other *Microtus* species. The average genetic distance between samples from Durango and the southwestern United States (5.4%) was less than the genetic distance between Durango samples and the remaining populations in Mexico (8.8%). The average genetic distance between Mexican vole clades and *M. californicus* was slightly greater for the western clade (0.12) than the eastern clade (0.11). Genetic divergence between the western and eastern clades of the Mexican vole estimated using the Kimura 2-parameter distance was somewhat higher (average 9.1% ± 0.004, range 7.0%–11.6%), and the western clade demonstrated greater genetic distance to the sister taxon *M. californicus* (range 12.3%–15.0%) compared to the eastern clade (range 10.6%–14.2%).

Vole clades in Mexico demonstrated greater genetic diversity compared to those in the southwestern United States. The highest levels of cyt *b* molecular diversity were indicated for Veracruz (*π* = 0.034 ± 0.001) and Durango (0.049 ± 0.029), Mexico (Table 1). Demographic expansion was supported for samples from New Mexico (*r* = 0.26, *F* < −1.69, *P* = 0.000) and the Sierra Madre Oriental at Coahuila, Mexico (*r* = 0.22, *F* < −2.18, *P* = 0.020), although the latter result is tentative due to a small sample size.

### Table 1. Summary statistics for cytochrome *b* clades of the Mexican vole. Parameters include the number of polymorphic sites (*S*), nucleotide diversity (*π*), theta (*θ*), and the number of unique haplotypes (*h*). Population abbreviations include the following: Colorado Plateau (COP), composed of *Microtus mexicanus hualpaiensis* (HUA) and *M. m. mogollonensis* (WHT), eastern New Mexico (*M. m. guadalupensis* [SKY(NM)]), western New Mexico (*M. m. guadalupensis* [SKY(NM)]), Utah (*M. m. navajo* [UT]), Sierra Madre Occidental in Durango, Mexico (*M. m. madrensis* [SMOcc, DUR]), Sierra Madre Oriental in Coahuila, Mexico (*M. m. subsinus* [SMOr, COA]), Sierra Madre Oriental in Veracruz, Mexico (*M. m. nusticus* [SMOr, VZ]), and Sierra Madre del Sur in Oaxaca, Mexico (*M. m. fulviventris* [SMdelSur, OAX]). Sample sizes (*N*) are also provided.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clade</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>π</th>
<th>Θ</th>
<th>h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WEST</td>
<td>COP (HUA+WHT)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.024 ± 0.013</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SKY+UT</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.003 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SMOcc (DUR)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.042 ± 0.007</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.021 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAST</td>
<td>SMOc (COA)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.005 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SMO (VZ)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.009 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SMdelSur (OAX)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.025 ± 0.008</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.031 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clade Divergence Estimation

We rejected the assumption of rate homogeneity among all branches and estimated clade divergence under a relaxed molecular clock assumption. Divergence models that used only fossil calibration priors performed significantly worse compared to models that incorporated both fossil and molecular calibration priors. When only the fossil priors were used (3.5 Ma for *Myodes–Microtus* and 0.5 Ma for the divergence of *Microtus* in North America), ESS values were low (<20) and yielded a relatively poor marginal likelihood (−lnL = 8898.82). When a molecular estimate was used in place of the 0.5 Ma divergence of *Microtus* radiation in North America, significant improvement was noted in the marginal likelihood (−lnL = 8757.08) and ESS (Table 2). The large improvement in the marginal likelihood between the models (Model A, Model B, see Table 2) indicates that Model B, which incorporated both fossil and molecular calibration priors, did a better job of explaining the observed data (Drummond and Rambaut 2007). The vole populations of southern Mexico at Oaxaca, Mexico, within the Sierra Madre del Sur demonstrated the earliest divergence from the middle Pleistocene (ca. 1.01 Ma, range 0.80–1.3), followed by the population in the Sierra Madre Occidental at Durango, Mexico (ca. 0.91 Ma, range 0.53–1.23). Northern vole populations in the United States demonstrated the most recent divergence, during the late Pleistocene to early Holocene (ca. 0.18 Ma, range 0.04–0.35). In general, these estimates are earlier than the 0.38–0.16 Ma...
divergence of populations in Mexico suggested from morphological work (Frey 1989) but fit within the time frame of diversification suggested for closely related Microtus, such as M. longicaudus (0.92; Conroy and Cook 2000a) or Mesoamerican Microtus (middle Pleistocene; Conroy et al. 2001).

**DISCUSSION**

Our analyses of molecular variation indicate that M. mexicanus is comprised of a western and an eastern clade that demonstrate levels of genetic divergence within the range of other Microtus species. The average divergence between the 2 highly supported clades recovered in this study is 8.23% uncorrected p, similar to recognized species of Microtus such as M. arvalis–M. rossiaenergidionalis (6.5%, Conroy and Cook 2000b), M. middendorffi–M. arvalis (9.1%, Conroy and Cook 2000b) or M. niturus–M. abbreviatu (1.5%, Conroy and Cook 2000b; 0.54%, Conroy and Neuwald 2008). Additionally, the average divergence between clades of the Mexican vole is greater than that reported for the northern and southern clades of the California vole (4.46% uncorrected p), for which separate species designation was recommended (Conroy and Neuwald 2008). Interestingly, the genetic distance between the subclades of the western clade (5.4% uncorrected p) also approached or exceeded distances between recognized or proposed Microtus species. This result suggests that the current taxonomy of the Mexican vole, even with the inclusion of M. mogollonensis, may underrepresent the actual number of Microtus species in Mexico. Additional molecular work, particularly in the nuclear genome, is needed to help clarify these issues and provide additional insights into the colonization and diversification dynamics of this species group.

Our genetic results add additional support for the recognition of the Mogollon vole, M. mogollonensis, which has been the subject of some debate (Fitzgerald et al. 1994, Musser and Carleton 2005). Taxonomic revision has been recommended from studies of morphological, karyotypical, and molecular evidence (Lee and Endler 1977, Judd 1980, Wilhelm 1982, Modi 1987, Frey 1989, 2009). The topology uncovered in this study is a departure from previous studies that have suggested a “north–south” division within the Mexican vole, with populations in the United States being a species distinct from populations in Mexico. In part, the north–south dichotomy was based on differences in the diploid chromosome number, morphology, and allozyme variation between the 2 regions (Modi 1987, Frey 1989, 2009).

Patterns of genetic variation within the Mexican vole, and the extensive spatial scale over which these variations are distributed, strongly implicate the role of climate. Climatic fluctuations during the Pleistocene may have influenced the patterns of gene flow by altering the connectivity of suitable habitat. The topology of the western clade is instructive. The genetic similarity between the Durango and the southwestern United States subclades

---

**Table 2. Estimates of divergence for 6 clades of the Mexican vole. Divergence was estimated using a lognormal uncorrelated molecular clock and 10,000,000 iterations for 2 models. The first model (A) included priors derived from fossil data, 3.5 ± 0.5 Ma divergence as an external calibration point for the split between Myodes and Microtus (Chaline and Graf 1988), and a 0.5 ± 0.2 Ma divergence for Microtus in North America (Hoffmann and Koenpl 1985). The second model (B) incorporated the 3.5 Ma ± 0.5 Myodes–Microtus divergence and a prior of 1.3 ± 0.5 Ma for radiation of Microtus in North America based on molecular estimates (Conroy and Cook 2000b). The mean divergence (TMRCA), effective sample size (ESS), and range of divergence estimate (Range) are reported. Clade abbreviations are as in Table 1.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clade</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>T_{TMRCA} (Ma)</th>
<th>ESS</th>
<th>Range (Ma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COP (HUA + WHT)</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>0.003–0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>2495.18</td>
<td>0.04–0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKY (NM)</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>0.002–0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>709.05</td>
<td>0.15–0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMOcc (DUR)</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>5.865</td>
<td>0.01–0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>360.64</td>
<td>0.53–1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMOc (COA)</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>6.79</td>
<td>0.007–0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>2910.78</td>
<td>0.07–0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMOc (VZ)</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>6.521</td>
<td>0.002–0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>1436.09</td>
<td>0.15–0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMdSR (OAX)</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>0.006–0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1093.42</td>
<td>0.80–1.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
indicates historical gene flow along the Sierra Madre Occidental. Dispersal of individuals between the 2 regions was likely possible through pinyon-juniper woodlands that covered the Chihuahuan and Sonoran deserts into the middle Pleistocene (Metcalfe 2006). At the same time, the longer branch lengths, higher diversity and earlier divergence of the Durango subclade (Fig. 6; Tables 1, 2) suggest a cessation of gene flow with populations to the north during the middle Pleistocene. Despite the existence of pine-oak forests at lower elevations (Morafka 1977, Toledo 1982, van Devender 1987, Castoe et al. 2007), areas of suitable vole habitat may have been increasingly fragmented beginning in the middle to late Pleistocene. The niche models seem to indicate that only a slim southern (i.e., Mexico) extension of suitable habitat is predicted for the presumptive *M. mogollonensis* in the model parameterized with modern climate conditions (Fig. 2b). Patterns of genetic variation in the eastern clade appear to suggest the same south-to-north fragmentation of vole habitat, with the more southern populations characterized by higher diversity, longer branch lengths, and earlier divergence (Fig. 6; Tables 1, 2). Vole populations sampled from Mexico were generally older than populations from the United States. Despite the topological position of Durango as a subclade to the samples from the southwestern United States, all vole populations sampled from Mexico demonstrated longer branch lengths and higher molecular diversity compared to populations in the United States (Fig. 6, Table 1). Assuming large size and isolation, the number of intrapopulation mutations may be a function of the time elapsed since lineages diverged: older populations should harbor greater molecular diversity (Hoffman and Blouin 2004). A multimodal haplotype distribution, indicative of long-term diversification in situ, also characterized most subclades in Mexico. The relaxed molecular clock dating method placed the earliest divergence for the subclades at Durango and Oaxaca, which also exhibited the highest number of polymorphic sites (Tables 1, 2). An older origin for populations in Mexico has also been suggested by studies of morphology and chromosomal variation (Judd 1980, Wilhelm 1982, Frey 1989).

Analyses of climate parameters demonstrated additional support for the role of Pleistocene climate variability on diversification. The ecological niche model parameterized with modern climate conditions predicted a distinct climate space for *M. mexicanus* and the presumptive *M. mogollonensis* (Fig. 2a, 2b). The Last Glacial Maximum model (LGM) indicated a lack of suitable habitat in the southwestern United States for the presumptive Mogollon vole (Fig. 3b). This would appear to indicate that colonization into the Southwest occurred following the LGM. This result is congruent with molecular analyses, which demonstrated shallow branch lengths, reduced molecular diversity, and demographic expansion in the southwestern subclade (Fig. 6, Table 1). Comparison of the niche models for *M. mexicanus* demonstrates a significant reduction in the distribution of suitable habitat for modern compared to LGM (Fig. 2a, 3a), which suggested isolation of vole populations. Generally, this was also suggested by the molecular analyses, which depict longer branch lengths, demographic stasis, and earlier divergence for subclades of the Mexican vole (Fig. 6; Tables 1, 2). Statistical analyses of climate parameters also demonstrated significantly different climatic spaces between the clades (Figs. 4a, 4b). In concert with differences in the climate space occupied by each lineage, isolated vole populations may have been subjected to differential selection pressures that acted, along with differences in metrics such as population size, to exacerbate genetic differentiation.

It is worth noting that other studies of codistributed rodent taxa demonstrate topological and climatic separation similar to *M. mexicanus* (Janecek 1990, Peppers and Bradley 2000, Edwards and Bradley 2002). In a study of allozyme variation in *Sigmodon hispidus* (Janecek 1990), the author suggested that the northern and southern clades represent separate species. Similar recommendations were made from a study of *S. hispidus*, in which the population in northern Mexico, including a sample from Durango, was sister to a subclade from the United States, distinguished by relatively high genetic distances (11.44%–11.86%, Peppers and Bradley 2000). The genetic distances between *Peromyscus truei* (southern Mexico) and *P. gratiss* (southwestern United States) were sufficient for species recognition (10.63%, Durish et al. 2004). Similarly, a phylogeographic study of *Neotoma excavata* demonstrated a subclade from Durango as sister to a subclade from the
southwestern United States separated by moderate genetic distances (about 4.0%, Tamura–Nei model). On the basis of genetic distances between the northern and southern clades in Mexico (8.82%–10.8%), the authors recommended a separate specific designation for lineages in northern and southern Mexico (Edwards and Bradley 2002).

Our analyses of climatic variation for codistributed taxa and Microtus congeners also mirrored the climatic separation between clades for the Mexican vole. Statistical analyses of taxa that occupy a similar distribution to the Mexican vole (hispid cotton rat, Mexican woodrat, and the piñon mouse) depicted significant climatic separation between clades (Fig. 5). This was also true for the California vole (M. californicus). In the latter case, significant climatic separation between a northern and southern clade was demonstrated previously, which, together with genetic distances, led the authors to recommend specific designation for each clade (Conroy and Neuwald 2008).

The similarities between these analyses seem to suggest a predictable relationship between climatic separation and species status, but this was not always the case. For example, significant climatic separation was demonstrated between clades of the long-tailed vole, although no taxonomic revisions are recommended (Conroy and Cook 2000a). This study revealed a deep climate separation between the southern Rockies and the northwest/island clades, and further phylogenetic study may support the climate results. Likewise, the genetic distance between southwestern United States and northern Mexico clades of the Mexican woodrat (4.0%) was not sufficient to revise species status, although our analyses demonstrated significant climatic separation (data not shown). This result insinuates the contribution of other factors—such as the time since lineage divergence, genetic drift, and population size—on genetic diversity. While differences in climate alone are not likely to foster speciation, this study and the results of similar studies (Graham et al. 2004) suggest that the degree of clade separation along climatic axes may be an indirect proxy for incipient speciation. The role of climate variation on diversification is suspected when allopatric sister lineages reliably and consistently segregate along climate axes (Graham et al. 2004, Barnosky 2005), and this appeared to be the case here.

This study of the Mexican vole provides additional insight into the origins of biodiversity in the mountains of the southwestern United States and Mexico. While previous studies have provided important evidence for diversification within the Mexican vole (Frey 1989, 2009), this study represents a departure in 2 ways. First, this study analyzed a larger dataset from a wider geographical range of the species, which allowed for a more complete analysis of molecular variation. The ecological analyses highlighted the disparate climatic niches occupied by western and eastern vole lineages and suggested the role of climate variability in the diversification of the species. In the light of potential threats from human-mediated habitat and climate change, research that explores a mechanistic explanation for the origin and maintenance of the region’s biodiversity is of fundamental and timely importance. A cadre of abiotic influences is proposed to explain the region’s biodiversity, such as habitat heterogeneity, elevation and Pleistocene refugia (Fa and Morales 1993). While a few intriguing studies of rodent taxa suggest the action of vicariant processes to diversity in the region (Lamb et al. 1997, Sullivan et al. 2000), a surprising paucity of studies have examined taxa distributed across the spatial extent of the domain. These analyses, which link climate variability beginning in the middle Pleistocene to the phylogeographic structure in M. mexicanus, suggested a plausible scenario for the disjunct distribution of the species. This approach could easily be applied to studies of codistributed taxa such as Peromyscus melanotis, P. maniculatus, and Liomys irroratus (Dowler and Genoways 1978, Alvarez-Castenada 2005, Dragoo et al. 2006) to add additional support for the influence of climate-induced vicariance in this exceptional region.
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APPENDIX. Museum catalog numbers and localities for 44 *Microtus* specimens from the Museum of Southwestern Biology (NK) and Michigan State University Museum (MSUM) used in the analysis of cytochrome *b* gene sequence variation. A *Myodes* sequence and the sequences from 18 additional *Microtus* species from GenBank (AF numbers) were used to test the monophyly of *Microtus mexicanus*.

**Microtus mexicanus**

MEXICO: COAHUILA: 10 mi. E San Antonio de las Alamandas (NK9541, NK9542, NK9543, NK9544).
MEXICO: DURANGO: 15 mi. SSW Tepehuanes, pine-oak sacaton (MSUM10540, MSUM10542, MSUM10547, MSUM16367, MSUM16368).
MEXICO: OAXACA: 15.9 mi. N (by road) Guelato De Juarez (NK9688, NK9690, NK9695).
MEXICO: VERACRUZ: 1.5 mi. S Altotonga (NK 9706, NK9707, NK9708).

**Microtus mogollonensis** (presumptive)

USA: ARIZONA: Apache Co.—(NK20108, originally identified as *M*. *montanus*). Yavapai Co.—Prescott National Forest, Bradshaw Mountains, Turkey Creek, (NK20053); Bradshaw Mountains, Godwin (NK20940, NK20941, NK29042); Santa Maria Mountains, Pine Creek (NK20929, NK20930).
USA: NEW MEXICO: Bernalillo Co.—Residence of Bill Degenhardt, Juan Thomas (NK28499); 5 mi. S, 2 mi. E of Cedro, Catron Co.—Prescott National Forest, Bradshaw Mountains, Turkey Creek, (NK20053); Bradshaw Mountains, Godwin (NK20940, NK20941, NK29042); Santa Maria Mountains, Pine Creek (NK20929, NK20930).
USA: BERNALILLO CO. Residence of Bill Degenhardt, Juan Thomas (NK28499); 5 mi. S, 2 mi. E of Cedro. Catron Co.—Prescott National Forest, Bradshaw Mountains, Turkey Creek, (NK20053); Bradshaw Mountains, Godwin (NK20940, NK20941, NK29042); Santa Maria Mountains, Pine Creek (NK20929, NK20930).
USA: UNION CO.—Sierra Grande, 3 mi. S, 3 mi. W Grants (NK9219, NK9222 [GenBank AF163906]).
USA: YAVAPAI CO.—Prescott National Forest, Bradshaw Mountains, Turkey Creek, (NK20053); Bradshaw Mountains, Godwin (NK20940, NK20941, NK29042); Santa Maria Mountains, Pine Creek (NK20929, NK20930).
USA: NEW MEXICO: Bernalillo Co.—Residence of Bill Degenhardt, Juan Thomas (NK28499); 5 mi. S, 2 mi. E of Cedro, Catron Co.—Prescott National Forest, Bradshaw Mountains, Turkey Creek, (NK20053); Bradshaw Mountains, Godwin (NK20940, NK20941, NK29042); Santa Maria Mountains, Pine Creek (NK20929, NK20930).
USA: UNION CO.—Sierra Grande, 3 mi. S, 3 mi. W Grants (NK9219, NK9222 [GenBank AF163906]).
USA: YAVAPAI CO.—Prescott National Forest, Bradshaw Mountains, Turkey Creek, (NK20053); Bradshaw Mountains, Godwin (NK20940, NK20941, NK29042); Santa Maria Mountains, Pine Creek (NK20929, NK20930).
USA: NEW MEXICO: Bernalillo Co.—Residence of Bill Degenhardt, Juan Thomas (NK28499); 5 mi. S, 2 mi. E of Cedro, Catron Co.—Prescott National Forest, Bradshaw Mountains, Turkey Creek, (NK20053); Bradshaw Mountains, Godwin (NK20940, NK20941, NK29042); Santa Maria Mountains, Pine Creek (NK20929, NK20930).
USA: UNION CO.—Sierra Grande, 3 mi. S, 3 mi. W Grants (NK9219, NK9222 [GenBank AF163906]).
USA: YAVAPAI CO.—Prescott National Forest, Bradshaw Mountains, Turkey Creek, (NK20053); Bradshaw Mountains, Godwin (NK20940, NK20941, NK29042); Santa Maria Mountains, Pine Creek (NK20929, NK20930).
USA: NEW MEXICO: Bernalillo Co.—Residence of Bill Degenhardt, Juan Thomas (NK28499); 5 mi. S, 2 mi. E of Cedro, Catron Co.—Prescott National Forest, Bradshaw Mountains, Turkey Creek, (NK20053); Bradshaw Mountains, Godwin (NK20940, NK20941, NK29042); Santa Maria Mountains, Pine Creek (NK20929, NK20930).
USA: UNION CO.—Sierra Grande, 3 mi. S, 3 mi. W Grants (NK9219, NK9222 [GenBank AF163906]).
USA: YAVAPAI CO.—Prescott National Forest, Bradshaw Mountains, Turkey Creek, (NK20053); Bradshaw Mountains, Godwin (NK20940, NK20941, NK29042); Santa Maria Mountains, Pine Creek (NK20929, NK20930).
USA: NEW MEXICO: Bernalillo Co.—Residence of Bill Degenhardt, Juan Thomas (NK28499); 5 mi. S, 2 mi. E of Cedro, Catron Co.—Prescott National Forest, Bradshaw Mountains, Turkey Creek, (NK20053); Bradshaw Mountains, Godwin (NK20940, NK20941, NK29042); Santa Maria Mountains, Pine Creek (NK20929, NK20930).
USA: UNION CO.—Sierra Grande, 3 mi. S, 3 mi. W Grants (NK9219, NK9222 [GenBank AF163906]).
USA: YAVAPAI CO.—Prescott National Forest, Bradshaw Mountains, Turkey Creek, (NK20053); Bradshaw Mountains, Godwin (NK20940, NK20941, NK29042); Santa Maria Mountains, Pine Creek (NK20929, NK20930).