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Optical emission study of nonthermal plasma confirms reaction mechanisms involving neutral rather than charged species
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Charge transfer reactions are commonly used to explain NOx conversion in nonthermal plasma. An analysis of optical emission spectra induced by pulsed corona discharge in NOx-containing argon suggests that, in fact, the contribution of charge transfer reactions to NOx conversion in nonthermal plasma is negligible. During electrical discharge in such gas mixtures, NO(B), an electronic excited state of NO formed due to the dissociative recombination reactions of NOx+ and N2O+ and the optical emission of NO(B) could be a proof that cations are responsible for NOx conversion. However, the optical emission of NO(B) is not observed, leading to the conclusion that cations are not involved to any measurable degree. Therefore, charge transfer reactions cannot play a significant role in nonthermal plasma largely because the cations are neutralized with electrons before any charge transfer reactions can occur and concentrations of radicals are far higher than those of cations, which inhibits charged particle reactions. Instead, neutral active species, such as atoms, molecular fragments, and excited molecules, are the major active species contributing to nonthermal plasma reactions. © 2007 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2434002]

I. INTRODUCTION

Short-duration high-voltage pulses in a wire-cylinder reactor produce nonhomogeneous electric fields that induce nonthermal plasma used for conversion of nitrogen oxides (NOx). When the wire electrode is positively charged, the induced plasma channels are positive streamers that propagate from the wire anode to the cylinder cathode; the discharge pulse itself is then called a positive corona discharge. The pulse duration of the corona discharge is less than 100 ns,1–3 and is defined as the pulse-on period. The energetic electrons in the streamer excite gas molecules and produce three kinds of chemically active species, metastable excited states, radicals, and cations, through the following reactions:

\[ e + AB \rightarrow AB^* + e, \]  
\[ e + AB \rightarrow A + B + e, \]  
\[ e + AB \rightarrow AB^* + 2e, \]

where the asterisk denotes an excited molecule. These active species contribute to the conversion of nitrogen oxides in between discharge pulses (the pulse-off periods).

The ions produced from (3) mainly react with molecules through charge transfer reactions, for example,

\[ AB^* + CD \rightarrow AB + CD^*. \]  
\[ CD^* + e \rightarrow C + D. \]

The cations CD* formed from charge transfer reactions can be dissociated through dissociative recombination reactions with electrons

Extensive experimental and theoretical work4–6 has shown that the rate constant of dissociative recombination reaction (5) is at least on the order of \( 10^{17} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \), which is more than three orders of magnitude higher than the rate constants of radical reactions and reactions of neutral species in electronic excited states, that is, about \( 10^{14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \), based on the kinetic limit of these active species at room temperature. Therefore, NOx may be converted through two reaction pathways: (I) charge transfer reaction (4) and subsequent dissociative recombination (5) involving positive ions and (II) reaction with neutral active species, including atoms, molecular fragments, and excited molecules, which are produced from direct electron collision reactions (1) and (2).

The contribution of ions to NOx conversion has been debated in the literature. For example, Chang7 compared the rate constants of charge transfer reactions and radical reactions and found that the probabilities of charge transfer reactions are a few orders of magnitude larger than radical reactions. He concluded that charge transfer reactions (path I) play an important role in the NOx decomposition processes. In contrast, on the basis of abundant experimental and theoretical experience, Kogelschatz8 proposed that charged particle reactions are negligible in nonthermal plasmas at atmospheric pressure and that neutral active species, such as atoms, molecular fragments, and excited molecules, are the major active species contributing to nonthermal plasma reactions (path II). van Veldhuizen et al.9 analyzed the relative

\[ AB^* + CD \rightarrow AB + CD^*. \]  
\[ CD^* + e \rightarrow C + D. \]
importance of ions and radicals for NO conversion in a pulsed corona reactor and concluded that the ion contribution to NO conversion (path I) is approximately 10%–20% of the radical contribution (path II). However, their theoretical calculation of NO conversion based on this conclusion was 10–100 times lower than the experimentally observed values. Recently, Hu et al.\textsuperscript{10} proposed that cations \(N_2^+\) and atomic N radicals are responsible for \(NO_x\) (NO, NO\(_2\), and N\(_2\)O) conversion in nonthermal N\(_2\) plasma. However, this reaction mechanism was unable to account for byproduct concentrations as a function of power input, e.g., N\(_2\)O in reactant mixtures of NO\(_2\) and N\(_2\). Later, Zhao et al.\textsuperscript{11,12} found that only two active species, N\(_2\)(\(^{1}\Sigma_u^+)\), which is the first electronic excited state of molecular N\(_2\), and N radicals, are responsible for NO conversion in nonthermal N\(_2\) plasma and that \(N_2^+\) is not involved. Using a reaction mechanism that includes only \(N_2\)(\(^{1}\Sigma_u^+)\) and N radicals, while excluding cations such as \(N_2^+\), Zhao et al.\textsuperscript{11,12} predicted all experimental data in the paper of Hu et al.,\textsuperscript{10} which further confirms that \(N_2^+\) does not contribute to NO conversion. A similar reaction mechanism involving only the neutral active species can also predict the effect of CO, O\(_2\), and CO\(_2\) on NO\(_x\) conversion in nonthermal nitrogen plasmas.\textsuperscript{11,13–15} More recently, however, Hu et al.\textsuperscript{1} proposed that Ar\(^{+}\) is the only active species responsible for NO\(_x\) conversion in low (ppm) concentrations of NO\(_x\) in Ar, but was unable to demonstrate that such a reaction mechanism can predict the effect of CO on NO\(_x\) conversion in nonthermal Ar plasma.

Although the reaction mechanism involving ions could not explain the experimental results, no reports with direct experimental evidence exclude the contribution of ions. The products of dissociative recombination reaction (5) depend on the reactant ions. For atomic ions such as Ar\(^{+}\), electron-ion reaction produces both ground state argon atoms (\(\sim 65\%\)) and metastable atomic excited states (\(\sim 35\%\)).\textsuperscript{16} For diatomic ions, the main products of electron-ion reactions are dissociated ions and atomic excited states.\textsuperscript{6} For example, NO\(^{+}\) is dissociated by electrons into ground state atomic O and N and excited state N(\(^{2}\)D);\textsuperscript{17,18} N\(_2^+\) is dissociated into ground state N and excited states N(\(^{2}\)D) and N(\(^{2}\)P);\textsuperscript{19,20} and O\(_2^+\) is dissociated into ground state O and excited states O(\(^{1}\)D) and O(\(^{1}\)S).\textsuperscript{21,22} For polyatomic ions, the main products of electron-ion reaction are dissociated atoms, polyatomic radicals, molecules, and their excited states.\textsuperscript{4} Others\textsuperscript{23,24} found that NO\(_2^+\) and N\(_2\)O\(^+\) can be dissociated into the excited states of NO such as NO(\(B\)) and NO(\(A\)) by dissociative recombination reactions with electrons. Therefore, almost all cations can be dissociated into atomic or molecular excited states, which can emit photons through radiative emission to their respective ground state, if these excited states have short enough natural radiative lifetimes. For example, the optical emissions of N(\(^{2}\)D), N(\(^{2}\)P), O(\(^{1}\)D), and O(\(^{1}\)S) are not observed at atmospheric pressure because their natural radiative lifetimes are longer than 0.7 s.\textsuperscript{25} However, the optical emission of NO(\(B\)) and NO(\(A\)) can be observed because their natural radiative lifetimes are short enough (\(\lesssim 2\) \(\mu\)s).\textsuperscript{26,27} These facts led us to explore the importance of charge transfer reactions in nonthermal plasma using optical emission measurements. The optical emission of NO(\(B\)) and NO(\(A\)) resulting from dissociative recombinations of NO\(_2^+\) and N\(_2\)O\(^+\) should be observable if charge transfer reactions are important for NO\(_x\) conversion in nonthermal plasma (path I).

The goal of this work is to evaluate the importance of ionic reactions (mainly charge transfer reactions) in nonthermal plasma with argon as a background gas by optical emission measurements. Although most NO\(_x\) containing gases are combustion exhaust gases that contain predominantly nitrogen, oxygen, water, and CO\(_2\),\textsuperscript{9,28} argon is chosen as a background gas for two reasons. First, argon has a very low dielectric strength (0.18) that is just higher than that of helium (0.15), which has the lowest dielectric strength of any gas.\textsuperscript{29} This implies that argon is one of the most easily ionized species in nonthermal plasma. Although the first ionization energy of argon (15.76 eV) is larger than most diatomic and polyatomic molecules, including N\(_2\) (15.58 eV), O\(_2\) (12.06 eV), NO (9.25 eV), CO\(_2\) (13.77 eV), NO\(_2\) (9.79 eV), and N\(_2\)O (12.89 eV),\textsuperscript{30} the rotational and vibrational degrees of freedom offered by diatomic and polyatomic molecules effectively deactivate energetic electrons within one mean free path length, resulting in greater energy dissipation compared to monoatomic gases.\textsuperscript{31} Therefore, in the same electric field, electrons can build up more energy in argon than in diatomic and polyatomic gases because the electrons do not lose as much energy during elastic collisions with neutral argon. The higher energy electrons that form in argon plasma then ionize argon to a greater degree compared to other diatomic and polyatomic molecules under similar reaction conditions. If ionic reactions in argon nonthermal plasmas are shown to be unimportant, the ionic concentrations in other gases are expected to be even lower and result in similarly negligible ionic reactions. Therefore, argon should provide general results. The second reason for selecting argon is the simplicity of the analysis. The active species formed from electron collision reactions with argon are relatively simple because no molecular dissociation reaction (2) occurs. Since molecular argon species, such as Ar\(_2^+\), are not formed from direct electron collision reactions,\textsuperscript{32} the only possible active species are Ar\(^{+}\) and the excited atomic states of argon.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Figure 1 shows the experimental setup. A similar pulsed corona discharge reactor (PCDR) has been described in detail previously.\textsuperscript{2,3,11–15,33} In brief overview, the PCDR consists of a high-voltage power supply, control unit, and pulser/reactor assembly. The high-voltage supply controls the pulsed power delivered to the reactor. The pulser/reactor assembly contains the pulsed power generator and the pulsed corona discharge reaction chambers. These two subassemblies are connected by a high-voltage cable for charging the capacitors in the pulsed power system and by high-pressure gas lines for controlling the voltage delivered to the reactor. Electrical and switch gas supplies are connected to the control unit; the reactor gas supply and exhaust lines are connected directly to the reactor. The reactor, consisting of two parallel reaction tubes, is fitted with UV-grade quartz win-
windows for diagnostics and plasma observation. The stainless steel wire has a diameter of 0.58 mm and each tube is 93 cm long and 2.3 cm in diameter. The design permits variation and measurement of the applied voltage and its frequency, reactor current, and voltage, and discharge power and energy. The energy delivered to the reactor per pulse can be calculated from $\frac{1}{2}CV_c^2$, where $C$ is the pulse forming capacitance (800 pF) and $V_c$ is the constant charge voltage before discharge. The power consumed, $W = Js^{-1}$, is calculated as the product of the input energy per pulse and the pulse frequency.

Plasma-induced optical emissions are observed through the quartz window located 49 cm from the reactor inlet and are imaged onto the entrance slit of a 0.3 m focal length monochromator (SpectraPro-300i model). This monochromator includes a triple grating turret for 1, 2, or 3 gratings, an imaging optical system, 32 bit microprocessor controlled scanning, and micrometer controlled entrance slit. An intensified charge coupled device (ICCD) camera with a detection range of 220 to 1000 nm is mounted on the spectrometer to detect luminescence. The exposure time, entrance-slit width of the spectrometer 10 μm for these experiments, and camera gain were carefully manipulated to obtain the data.

The experimental test matrix is shown in Table I. The four reactant gas mixtures shown in Table I, including ultra-high purity (UHP) 99.999% Ar, 290 ppm N₂O in UHP Ar, 566 ppm NO in UHP Ar, and 408 ppm N₂O in UHP N₂, are used as obtained from the manufacturer (US Airgas). The test gas mixture is introduced into the PCDR at ambient temperature, around 300 K, and at a flow rate of $1.98 \times 10^{-3}$ m³ s⁻¹ (at the reactor inlet conditions). The PCDR pressure is maintained at 141 kPa by control valves on the outlet gas lines. Feed and reactor effluent samples are captured in small stainless steel cylinders and analyzed using a Spectrum 2000 Perkin Elmer Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer with a narrow band mercury cadmium telluride (MCT) detector. All experimental data are reproducible within a ±10% error limit including the FTIR and flow measurement uncertainties.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### A. Optical emission

Excited active molecules (e.g., $AB^+$) can return to lower excited energy levels or ground states through two processes:

1. natural radiation of light, which sometimes produces optical emission (fluorescence) as excited electrons return to lower energy levels,

$$AB^+ \rightarrow AB + h\nu, \quad R_0 = k_0 C_{AB^+}.$$  

2. quenching by interaction with other atoms or molecules,

$$AB^+ + CD \rightarrow \text{products}, \quad R_q = k_q C_{AB^+} C_{CD}.$$  

(6) and (7) are parallel reactions. In these chemical equations, $k_0$ and $k_q$ are the rate constants of natural radiation and quenching, respectively, $C$ is the mole concentration of the respective species, and $R$ is the reaction rate. The rate constant of natural radiation is inversely proportional to the natural lifetime of the excited molecules. If there are $n$ kinds of molecules in the gas mixture which can quench $AB^+$, the selectivity of natural radiation, $S_0$, can be defined as.
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where Ci is the concentration of species i.

From our previous experimental observations,11 fluores-
cence is easily detected if S0 is larger than 0.02% and if there
are sufficient quantities of the excited species. The minimum
detectable concentration of a species by fluorescence may be
estimated from the detection limit of the instrument, which
Can be calculated using the SBR-RSDB approach.34

DL = 0.03RSDBC0
/SBR, (9)

where DL is the detection limit of the instrument, RSDB is
the relative standard deviation of the background signal, C0
is the analyte concentration, and SBR is the signal to back-
ground ratio. Previous experiments35 in the same reactor un-
der similar reaction conditions showed that the NO(A) intens-
ity is 7200 counts in 150 ppm NO in N2, while the intensity
of the background and the relative standard deviation are 120
and 20 counts, respectively. Thus, SBR is 60 and RSDB is 20
for this condition. The corresponding concentration of
NO(A) calculated from a reliable reaction model14 is 3.5
×10−6 ppm (1.42×10−16 mol cm−3). Substituting these val-
ues into Eq. (9), results in an estimated fluorescence detec-
tion limit of about 3.5×10−8 ppm. Therefore, if optical
emission of an excited species is not detected and S0 for that
species is larger than 0.02%, the concentration of that species
is expected to be less than ~3.5×10−8 ppm (1.42
×10−18 mol cm−3).

B. Optical emission of UHP argon

Argon is an inert atomic gas with the ground state elec-
tron configuration 1s22s22p63s23p6. When highly energetic
electrons collide with argon, one electron in the 3p orbital
can be excited to a higher energy level orbital. Figure 2
shows an energy level diagram with seven groups of excited
states of argon,36 which correspond to one 3p electron ex-
cited into 4s, 4p, 3d, 5s, 5p, 4d, and 6s orbitals, as labeled in
the figure. Selectivity rules based on electron spin and reso-
nance dictate allowed transitions and energy levels. For ex-
ample, a 3p electron may be excited to any of 4 excited
states within each 4s, 5s, and 6s orbital, 10 excited states
within each 4p and 5p orbital, and 12 excited states in each
3d and 4d orbital.36,37 The excited states in Fig. 2 are labeled
with the Paschen notation,38 which corresponds to the more
common term symbol notation (e.g., the four 3p54s states,
in order of increasing energy, are 1S5, 1S4, 1S3, and 1S2 in the
Paschen notation, which are equivalent to the term symbols
3P2, 3P1, 3P0, and 1P1).

Figure 3(a) shows the optical emission of UHP argon
measured between 220 and 1000 nm at a pulse frequency of
200 Hz. Similar optical emission spectra are observed at
other pulse frequencies. No significant fluorescence is ob-
served from 220 to 680 nm and from 880 to 1000 nm.
Strong fluorescence is observed in the wavelength range
from 680 to 880 nm, as shown in Fig. 3(b). The excited states
of Ar in the PCDR were deduced by comparison of the ob-
served optical emission spectra with the standard optical
spectra of argon.37

1. Optical emission from the 5p level

The excited states of Ar with an electron in the 5p level
radiatively decay by three pathways,36,37,39

Ar(5p) → Ar(4s) + hν(394–471 nm)
→ Ar(5s) + hν(1.9 – 6.0 μm)
→ Ar(3d) + hν(1.4 – 8.0 μm).

The transitions from 5p to 3d and 5s mainly produce infrared
radiation, as shown in Fig. 2, which falls outside the detect-
tion range of our apparatus. The transitions from 5p to 4s
produce blue light, as shown in Fig. 2, which is within our
detection range. Investigations by Inoue et al.36 showed that
about 20% of the Ar(5p) radiative decay process is associ-
ated with the Ar(5p→4s) blue transition. Table II shows the
radiation emission data for the Ar(5p→4s) transition and
quenching rate constants of excited states of Ar(5p) levels.
Argon is the only quench atom in these experiments. The
mole concentration of argon is 5.64×10−5 mol cm−3 at
141 kPa and 300 K. Using this argon concentration and pub-
lished rate constants36 for radiative emission and quenching
by argon, the selectivities of natural radiation from the
Ar(5p) level are calculated from Eq. (8). As shown in Table
II, S0 for all Ar(5p→4s) transitions are larger than 0.02%.
Therefore, if electron collision reactions with argon produce
appreciable Ar(5p) excited states (> ~ 3.5×10−8 ppm), all
blue lines corresponding to the Ar(5p→4s) transition from
394–471 nm should be observed. However, no blue lines in
this wavelength range are observed, as shown in Fig. 3,
which indicates that the Ar(5p) excited states are negligible
in our nonthermal plasma reactor.

2. Optical emission from 3d and 5s levels

Nearly all argon 3d and 5s excited states relax into
Ar(4p) excited states.37 Due to the close energy level spacing
between Ar(3d, 5s) and Ar(4p), the wavelengths of most of the numerous emission lines between these levels are larger than 1000 nm (Ref. 37) and fall outside the detection range of our apparatus. However, four lines with wavelengths of 887.5 and 934.1 nm [Ar(3d→4p) transitions] and 919.5 and 929.2 nm [Ar(5s→4p) transitions] could be detected, if present. The natural radiation lifetimes of each Ar(3d) and Ar(5s) states are about 50 ns.38,40 There are no reports on the quenching rate constants of the Ar(3d) and Ar(5s) states by argon. However, the energy level of the Ar(3d) and Ar(5s) states are between the Ar(5p) and Ar(4p), as shown in Fig. 2. The quenching rate constants of the Ar(3d) and Ar(5s) states by argon should be on order of the quenching rate constants of the Ar(5p) and Ar(4p) levels, which are \( \sim 10^{13} - 10^{14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \), as shown in Tables II and III.36,38,41 For the upper limit of \( 10^{14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \) as the quenching rate constant for Ar(3d) and Ar(5s) states, which is approximately the kinetic limit of the quenching reaction at room temperature, \( S_0 \) from Eq. (8) for the Ar(3d) and Ar(5s) states is about 0.35%, which is much larger than the limit of 0.02%. Therefore, if electron collision reactions with argon produce appreciable Ar(3d) and Ar(5s) excited states, these four lines should be detected between 880 and 940 nm. Again, these lines are not observed, as shown in Fig. 3. Therefore, no appreciable quantities of Ar(3d) or Ar(5s) excited states appear to be formed.

3. Optical emission from 4p levels

Deactivation of Ar(4p) to the ground state is improbable and does not appreciably occur.38 Therefore, the Ar(4p) states can only relax into Ar(4s) states by radiative emission, which mainly produce light at red wavelengths, as shown in Fig. 2. Using the known argon concentration and published rate constants38,41 of radiative emission and quenching of Ar(4p) by argon as shown in Table III, \( S_0 \) can be calculated from Eq. (8). \( S_0 \) for all the Ar(4p→4s) transition are 1.3%–5.2% (Table III), which is roughly two orders of magnitude larger than 0.02%. As shown in Fig. 3(b) and Table III, the principal optical emission peaks of all the Ar(4p) excited states except Ar(2p) are detected, which indicates that the Ar(4p) excited states are formed in our nonthermal plasma reactor in appreciable amounts.

The principal products of Ar(4p) quenched by argon are Ar(4s) level excited states.38,42 Tachibana43 showed that 25–40% of the total excitation of the Ar(4p) levels cascades to each of the 1s, 1s, 1s, and 1s levels, while the contribution to the 1s level only amounts to about 7% because the number of allowed transitions to this level is small.

4. Optical emission from 4s levels

The four excited states of the Ar(4s) level can be quenched by argon through both two-body and three-body quenching reactions.44,45 Table IV shows radiative emission data for the Ar(4s→ground state) transition and quenching rate constants of Ar(4s) levels. Two excited states, Ar(1s) and Ar(1s), have a natural radiation lifetime of less than 10 ns. For these states, \( S_0 \) calculated from Eq. (8) are higher than 90%. However, both of them emit light at \( \sim 100 \text{ nm} \), beyond the minimum detection limit of 220 nm for our detector. The other two excited states, Ar(1s) and Ar(1s), are predominantly quenched by argon because of long natural radiation lifetimes (38–45 s).46-49 Although the Ar(4s) levels are undetectable with our apparatus, we suspect excited Ar(4s) atoms are produced in large quantities from direct electron collision reactions with argon because the excitation rate coefficient of the Ar(4s) levels are about twice as large as the Ar(4p) levels when the electric field to gas density (E/N) ratio is \( 5 \times 10^{-17} - 5 \times 10^{-15} \text{ V cm}^{-2} \), which is a typical operation range of nonthermal plasma reactors.50 Therefore, in addition to the Ar(4s) formed from the Ar(4p) decay,
Ar(4s), as the lowest energy excited states of argon, are expected to be formed directly by electron collision reactions even more easily than the Ar(4p) states that are clearly detected.

Therefore, we conclude that only the Ar(4p) and Ar(4s) levels are formed in nonthermal argon plasma. The higher energy excited states of argon are not formed in appreciable amounts. The Ar(4p) and Ar(4s) excited states can be produced from two sources:

1. electron collision reaction [see (1)],

\[ e + Ar \rightarrow Ar(4p,4s) + e, \]  

(10)

2. ionization reactions and subsequent collision-radiative recombination reactions

\[ e + Ar \rightarrow Ar^+ + 2e, \]  

(11)

\[ Ar^+ + Ar + Ar \rightarrow Ar_2^+ + Ar, \]  

\[ k = 1.09 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^6 \text{ mol}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \]  

(Ref. 51),

\[ e + Ar^+ \rightarrow Ar(4p,4s), \]  

\[ k = 1.81 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \]  

(Ref. 52),

(13)

\[ e + Ar_2^+ \rightarrow Ar(4p,4s) + Ar, \]  

\[ k = 5.48 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \]  

(Ref. 53).

(14)

For example, Skrzypkowski et al.\textsuperscript{16} investigated the electron recombination reaction of Ar\textsuperscript{+} and detected intense near-infrared Ar lines between 700 and 850 nm originating from Ar(4p→4s) transitions. However, Bultel et al.\textsuperscript{32} proposed that the products of the electron recombination reaction of Ar\textsuperscript{+} are mainly Ar(4s) states with negligible amounts of Ar(4p). Shiu and Biondi\textsuperscript{54} and Kuo and Keto\textsuperscript{55} observed appreciable optical emission of Ar(4p) from dissociative recombination reaction of Ar\textsubscript{2}+. Therefore, using only optical emission measurements with UHP argon, no conclusions can be drawn on whether the Ar(4p) and Ar(4s) levels shown in Fig. 3 are from direct electron collision reaction (10) or ionic recombination reactions (11)–(14).

TABLE II. Radiative emission data for Ar(5p→4s) transitions and quenching of Ar(5p) levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Upper level</th>
<th>Lower level</th>
<th>Transition branch ratio (%)\textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>Wavelength (nm)\textsuperscript{c}</th>
<th>Natural lifetime (ns)\textsuperscript{d}</th>
<th>( k_q ) by Ar (cm\textsuperscript{3} mol\textsuperscript{-1} s\textsuperscript{-1})\textsuperscript{e}</th>
<th>( S_0 ) (%)</th>
<th>Obs.\textsuperscript{c}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3s\textsubscript{1}</td>
<td>1s\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>425.9</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3p\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>1s\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>433.4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.63 \times 10^{14}</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1s\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>418.2</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1s\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>404.4</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1s\textsubscript{5}</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>394.8</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3p\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>1s\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>433.5</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>2.53 \times 10^{14}</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1s\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>404.6</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1s\textsubscript{5}</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>394.9</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3p\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>1s\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>434.5</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>3.37 \times 10^{14}</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1s\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>419.1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1s\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>405.5</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3p\textsubscript{5}</td>
<td>1s\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>451.1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.63 \times 10^{14}</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1s\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>419.8</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3p\textsubscript{6}</td>
<td>1s\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>426.6</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>2.05 \times 10^{14}</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1s\textsubscript{5}</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>415.9</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3p\textsubscript{7}</td>
<td>1s\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>459.6</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>2.23 \times 10^{14}</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1s\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>427.2</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1s\textsubscript{5}</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>416.4</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3p\textsubscript{8}</td>
<td>1s\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>462.8</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>2.29 \times 10^{14}</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1s\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>430.0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1s\textsubscript{5}</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>419.1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3p\textsubscript{9}</td>
<td>1s\textsubscript{5}</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>420.1</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>7.22 \times 10^{13}</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3p\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>1s\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>470.2</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>3.01 \times 10^{14}</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1s\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>452.2</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1s\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>436.4</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1s\textsubscript{5}</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>425.1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Reference 36.

\textsuperscript{b}Reference 37.

\textsuperscript{c}Line observability. N means line not observed.
TABLE III. Radiative emission data for Ar (4p → 4s) transitions and quenching of Ar (4p) levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Upper level</th>
<th>Lower level</th>
<th>Transition branch ratio (%)</th>
<th>Wavelength (nm)</th>
<th>Natural lifetime (ns)</th>
<th>$k_q$ by Ar (cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$S_0$ (%)</th>
<th>Obs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2p_1$</td>
<td>$1s_2$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>750.4</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>$2.41 \times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1s_4$</td>
<td>−0</td>
<td>667.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2p_2$</td>
<td>$1s_2$</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>826.5</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>$1.14 \times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1s_3$</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>772.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1s_4$</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>727.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1s_5$</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>696.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2p_3$</td>
<td>$1s_2$</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>840.8</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>$1.87 \times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1s_4$</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>738.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1s_5$</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>706.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2p_4$</td>
<td>$1s_2$</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>852.1</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>$2.11 \times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1s_3$</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>794.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1s_4$</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>747.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1s_5$</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>714.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2p_5$</td>
<td>$1s_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>857.8</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>$2.83 \times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1s_4$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>751.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2p_6$</td>
<td>$1s_2$</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>922.5</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>$2.17 \times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1s_4$</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>800.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1s_5$</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>763.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2p_7$</td>
<td>$1s_2$</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>935.4</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>$4.64 \times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1s_3$</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>866.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1s_4$</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>810.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1s_5$</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>772.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2p_8$</td>
<td>$1s_2$</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>978.5</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>$1.93 \times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1s_4$</td>
<td>65.7</td>
<td>842.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1s_5$</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>801.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2p_9$</td>
<td>$1s_5$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>811.5</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>$1.93 \times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2p_{10}$</td>
<td>$1s_2$</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1148.8</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>$1.20 \times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1s_3$</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1047.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1s_4$</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>965.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1s_5$</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>912.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Reference 38.
$^b$Reference 37.
$^c$Reference 39.
$^d$References 38 and 41.
$^e$Line observability. N means line not observed. Y means line observed.

TABLE IV. Radiative emission data for Ar (4s → Ar ground state) transitions and quenching of Ar (4s) levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ar (4s) level</th>
<th>$1s_3$ ($^3P_2$)</th>
<th>$1s_4$ ($^3P_1$)</th>
<th>$1s_5$ ($^3P_0$)</th>
<th>$1s_2$ ($^1P_1$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural radiation lifetimea</td>
<td>38 s</td>
<td>9.5 ns</td>
<td>44.9 s</td>
<td>2.5 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorescence wavelength (nm)b</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>106.67</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>104.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-body $k_q$ by Ar (cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$1.26 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$2.41 \times 10^{10}$</td>
<td>$3.19 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$3.07 \times 10^{10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-body $k_q$ by Ar (cm$^3$ mol$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$2.17 \times 10^{15}$</td>
<td>$2.54 \times 10^{15}$</td>
<td>$3.01 \times 10^{15}$</td>
<td>$9.42 \times 10^{14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_0$ (%)</td>
<td>−0</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>−0</td>
<td>98.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Reference 46–49.
$^b$Reference 83.
$^c$References 44 and 45.
Recent investigations\textsuperscript{23,24} on the dissociative recombination of NO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+} and N\textsubscript{2}O\textsuperscript{+} with electrons showed that NO/H\textsubscript{2}O and H\textsubscript{2}O are formed and detected in the optical emission spectra. Therefore, if Ar\textsuperscript{+} or Ar\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+} are the principal active species contributing to NO\textsubscript{x} conversion through path I in nonthermal argon plasma, NO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+} and N\textsubscript{2}O\textsuperscript{+} should be produced from charge transfer reactions of Ar\textsuperscript{+} or Ar\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+} with NO\textsubscript{2} or N\textsubscript{2}O, with concomitant optical emission from the NO/H\textsubscript{2}O and H\textsubscript{2}O produced from dissociative recombination reactions of NO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+} or N\textsubscript{2}O\textsuperscript{+}. This hypothesis leads to the examination of NO\textsubscript{2} and N\textsubscript{2}O decomposition in nonthermal argon plasma.

C. Optical emission of N\textsubscript{2}O in Ar

Figure 4(a) shows the optical emission spectra from 290 ppm N\textsubscript{2}O in Ar at a pulse frequency of 200 Hz with a power input of 31.0 W. The observed optical emissions arise from excited states of three species: NO [NO(A), N\textsubscript{2} [N\textsubscript{2}(C) and N\textsubscript{2}(B)], and argon [Ar(4p)]. The excited states of Ar(4p) are also detected, as expected when argon is the balance gas (Fig. 3).

For N\textsubscript{2}O conversion in Ar plasma, the principal species in the reactor are N\textsubscript{2}, O\textsubscript{2}, unconverted N\textsubscript{2}O, and Ar. Other by-products should be less than 5 ppm, as determined from FTIR measurements. The concentration of N\textsubscript{2}O at the reactor outlet quantified by FTIR is 60 ppm. From a previously published lumped kinetic model\textsuperscript{12} and reaction mechanism\textsuperscript{33}, the calculated N\textsubscript{2}O concentration in the reactor at the window used for optical emission detection is 125 ppm. From mass balance, the concentrations of N\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{2} at the window are 165 and 82.5 ppm. Therefore, the mole concentrations of Ar, N\textsubscript{2}O, N\textsubscript{2}, and O\textsubscript{2} at the window at 300 K and 141 kPa are 5.64 \times 10^{-5}, 7.05 \times 10^{-9}, 9.31 \times 10^{-9}, 4.65 \times 10^{-9} mol cm\textsuperscript{-3}, respectively. If, as many investigators have reported\textsuperscript{1,7,9,10,35}, positive ions are the major active species contributing to plasma reactions, the following reactions should occur.

\begin{equation}
\text{Ar}^+ + \text{Ar} + \text{Ar} \rightarrow \text{Ar}_2^+ + \text{Ar},
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
k = 1.09 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^6 \text{ mol}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad \text{(Ref. 51)},
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\text{Ar}^+ + \text{N}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{O}^+ + \text{Ar},
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
k = 1.75 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad \text{(Ref. 56)},
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\text{Ar}^+ + \text{N}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{O}^+ + \text{Ar},
\end{equation}
\[ \text{Ar}^+ + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{N}_2^+ + \text{Ar}, \]
\[ k = 6.62 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad (\text{Ref. 56}), \]
\[ \text{Ar}^+ + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{O}_2^+ + \text{Ar}, \]
\[ k = 2.77 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad (\text{Ref. 56}). \]

Using these reactant concentrations and the rate constants for parallel reactions (12) and (15)–(17), the Ar selectivities of (12) and (15)–(17) are estimated to be 99.6%, 0.4%, 0%, and 0%, respectively, which implies that Ar\(^+\) is predominantly converted into Ar\(_2\)^+ without significant reactions with N\(_2\)O, N\(_2\), or O\(_2\). However, Ar\(_2\)^+ can further react with N\(_2\)O, N\(_2\), and O\(_2\) in the following charge transfer reactions:

\[ \text{Ar}_2^+ + \text{N}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{O}^+ + 2\text{Ar}, \]
\[ k = 4.94 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad (\text{Ref. 57}), \]
\[ \text{Ar}_2^+ + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{N}_2^+ + 2\text{Ar}, \]
\[ k = 1.32 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad (\text{Ref. 58}), \]
\[ \text{Ar}_2^+ + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{O}_2^+ + 2\text{Ar}, \]
\[ k = 4.45 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad (\text{Ref. 56}). \]

The calculated selectivities of (18)–(20) are 70.8%, 25.0%, and 4.2%, respectively. Therefore, most (70.8%) of Ar\(_2\)^+ (derived from Ar\(^+\)) should contribute to the N\(_2\)O\(^+\) formation through reaction (18). Johnsen et al.\(^{23,24}\) found that the dissociative recombination reactions of N\(_2\)O\(^+\) produce the strongest fluorescence from NO(B), the weaker fluorescence from NO(A), and the weakest fluorescence from N\(_2\)(C). Therefore, if Ar\(_2\)^+ (derived from Ar\(^+\)) is responsible for N\(_2\)O conversion, N\(_2\)O\(^+\) should be generated and optical emission from NO(B), NO(A), and N\(_2\)(C) should be detected.

Figures 4(b) and 4(c) show the amplified optical emission detected at 220–290 and 290–360 nm, respectively. By comparing with the standard molecular spectra\(^{25,26}\) of NO(B), NO(A), and N\(_2\)(C), strong fluorescences from NO(A) and N\(_2\)(C) are observed. Three vibrational states of NO(A), with \(v = 0, 1, 2\), are observed. The optical emission intensity increases with decreasing vibrational state, which implies that NO(A) with \(v = 0\) is predominantly formed in the PCDR. The strongest optical emission lines for NO(B), if present, should therefore appear at wavelengths of 304.3, 320.7, 338.6, and 358.4 nm, as reported by many investigators.\(^{27,29-61}\) However, Fig. 4(c) shows no optical emission at these wavelengths. One reason that NO(B) might not be observed is due to quenching by Ar, N\(_2\)O, N\(_2\), and O\(_2\). However, this possibility can be discounted by the consideration of the following quenching and radiative emission reactions:

\[ \text{NO}(B) + \text{Ar} \rightarrow \text{products}, \]
\[ k = 1.15 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad (\text{Ref. 62}), \]
\[ \text{NO}(B) + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{products}, \]
\[ k = 8.25 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad (\text{Ref. 63}), \]
\[ \text{NO}(B) + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{products}, \]
\[ k = 2.89 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad (\text{Ref. 64}), \]
\[ \text{NO}(B) + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{products}, \]
\[ k = 1.11 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad (\text{Ref. 64}), \]
\[ \text{NO}(B) \rightarrow \text{NO} + h\nu, \]
\[ k = 5.00 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1} \quad (\text{Ref. 27}). \]

Using the previously calculated reactant concentrations with the rate constants in parallel reactions (21)–(25), \(S_p\) of NO(B) is estimated from Eq. (8) to be 7%, which is sufficient for optical emission detection, if present. Similarly, NO(A) is deactivated by the following processes:

\[ \text{NO}(A) + \text{Ar} \rightarrow \text{products}, \]
\[ k = 2.36 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad (\text{Ref. 65}), \]
\[ \text{NO}(A) + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{products}, \]
\[ k = 2.84 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad (\text{Ref. 14}), \]
\[ \text{NO}(A) + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{products}, \]
\[ k = 4.70 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad (\text{Ref. 14}), \]
\[ \text{NO}(A) + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{products}, \]
\[ k = 9.09 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad (\text{Ref. 14}), \]
\[ \text{NO}(A) \rightarrow \text{NO} + h\nu, \]
\[ k = 4.59 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1} \quad (\text{Ref. 14}). \]

The selectivity of the natural radiation of NO(A) is estimated from Eq. (8) to be 22%, which is about three times \(S_p\) for NO(B). Therefore, if N\(_2\)O\(^+\) is formed from Ar\(_2\)^+ (and indirectly from Ar\(^+\)), which results in NO(A) formation and detection, NO(B) should also be formed and detected. Undetectable NO(B) suggests that Ar\(^+\) and Ar\(_2\)^+ do not contribute to N\(_2\)O conversion. However, the observed optical emissions from NO(A) and N\(_2\)(C) must still be explained.

As discussed previously, the major excited states of argon formed in nonthermal Ar plasmas are Ar(4p) and Ar(4s). The reaction rate constant of Ar(4p) with N\(_2\)O has not been reported, but it is estimated in this work to be on the order of \(10^{14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}\). Due to the short radiation lifetimes (20–40 ns) and large quenching rate constants (on the order of \(10^{13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}\)) of Ar(4p) excited states by Ar (Table III), the Ar(4p) excited states are not expected to react appreciably with N\(_2\)O, N\(_2\), and O\(_2\) at the high prevailing concentration of argon. More than 95% of Ar(4p) excited...
states are quenched to Ar\textsubscript{4s}/H\textsubscript{20849}\textsuperscript{4} levels, as shown in Table III. Therefore, only Ar\textsubscript{4s}/H\textsubscript{20849}\textsuperscript{4} excited states appear to contribute to N\textsubscript{2}O conversion in argon nonthermal plasma. Both Ar\textsubscript{1s}/H\textsubscript{20849}\textsuperscript{1} and Ar\textsubscript{1s}/H\textsubscript{20849}\textsuperscript{1} are not expected to contribute to any reactions with N\textsubscript{2}O, N\textsubscript{2}, and O\textsubscript{2} because of their very short radiation lifetimes (<10 ns, as shown in Table IV). Therefore, only the two long-life excited states of argon, Ar\textsubscript{5s}/H\textsubscript{20849}\textsuperscript{5} and Ar\textsubscript{5s}/H\textsubscript{20849}\textsuperscript{5}, appear to contribute to reactions with N\textsubscript{2}O, N\textsubscript{2}, and O\textsubscript{2}, with the result that the following well-established reactions contribute to the observed optical emission shown in Fig. 4:

\[
\text{Ar}(1s_5, 1s_3) + \text{N}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Ar} + \text{N}_2(B) + \text{O},
\]

\[ k = 2.65 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \quad \text{(Ref. 66)}, \quad (31) \]

\[
\text{Ar}(1s_5, 1s_3) + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{Ar} + \text{N}_2(C),
\]

\[ k = 2.17 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \quad \text{(Ref. 67)}, \quad (32) \]

\[
\text{N}_2(C) \rightarrow \text{N}_2(B) + h\nu,
\]

\[ k = 2.73 \times 10^{7} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \quad \text{(Ref. 68)}, \quad (33) \]

\[
\text{N}_2(B) \rightarrow \text{N}_2(A) + h\nu,
\]

\[ k = 2.00 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \quad \text{(Ref. 68)}, \quad (34) \]

\[
\text{N}_2(A) + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{NO} + \text{N},
\]

\[ k = 1.69 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \quad \text{(Ref. 69)}, \quad (35) \]

\[
\text{N}_2(A) + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{NO}(A) + \text{N}_2,
\]

\[ k = 3.85 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \quad \text{(Ref. 70)}, \quad (36) \]

D. Optical emission of NO in Ar

Similar experimental conditions and optical emission measurements were conducted for NO in Ar. Figure 5(a) shows the optical emission spectra from 566 ppm NO in Ar at a pulse frequency of 200 Hz with a power input of 31.0 W. The observed optical emissions belong to the same species identified in Fig. 4: NO [NO(A)], N\textsubscript{2} [N\textsubscript{2}(C) and N\textsubscript{2}(B)], and argon [Ar(4p)].

For NO conversion in Ar plasma, the species in the reactor are N\textsubscript{2}, O\textsubscript{2}, NO\textsubscript{2}, and unconverted NO and Ar. Other products are negligible, as determined from previous FTIR measurements.\textsuperscript{1} The concentrations of NO and NO\textsubscript{2} at the
reactor outlet measured by FTIR are 336 and 67 ppm, respectively. From a previously published lumped kinetic model and reaction mechanism, the calculated concentrations of NO and NO2 at the window used for optical emission detection are 425 and 53 ppm, respectively. Therefore, the concentrations of Ar, NO, NO2, N2, and O2 at the window are 44 and 17 ppm, respectively. Therefore, the results in Fig. 6 again show no optical emissions from NO at 300 K and 44 and 17 ppm, respectively. However, the results in Figs. 5 and 6 illustrate the contribution of N2 in N2O conversion in nonthermal plasma through the following reactions: 

\[ \text{Ar}, \text{if Ar}^+ \text{ or Ar}_2^+ \]

Nonthermal plasma is a weakly ionized gas in which ions are present. The insignificance of charge transfer reactions in nonthermal plasma and the key role of radicals and excited molecules with long lifetimes in the observed reactions can be explained on the basis of nonthermal reactions.

The accepted mechanism of nonthermal plasma discharge is the streamer theory, proposed by Leob and Meek in 1940. A filamentlike streamer is a narrow-channel current that emits light and rapidly bridges and short-circuits overvolted discharge gaps in gases near atmospheric pressure. From an electrostatic point of view, a streamer may be thought of as an elongated conductive needle from anode to cathode which transports potential from the anode into discharge gap.

Figure 7 illustrates the formation and development of a streamer. When the high voltage is applied to a wire-cylinder reactor, an external nonhomogeneous electric field between anode and cathode is established. The field strength can be calculated from

\[ E_e(r) = \frac{U}{r \ln R_1/R_2}, \]  

where \( E_e \) is the external electric field, \( r \) is the distance from the wire center to the point of concern, \( U \) is the voltage applied between wire and tube, and \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) are the radius of the tube and the radius of the wire, respectively. From Eq. (40), the field near the wire is much stronger than that away from the wire. For our reactor, \( U \) is about 20 kV. The electric field at the surface of the wire is about 187 kV cm\(^{-1}\), which is high enough to ionize the gas. Once the gas molecules are ionized, the electrons expelled from the molecules are accelerated quickly in the strong external field from a position close to the wire to the anode. Due to the displacement of electrons with respect to positive ions, a polarization space charge field \( E_s \) that can be as high as 100 kV cm\(^{-1}\) arises. Thus, the net electric field is weakened in the direction of the anode by the reverse space charge field that opposes the external electric field, and hence is strengthened in

\[ e + N_2 \rightarrow N_2^+ + 2e, \]  

\[ N_2^+ + N_2O \rightarrow N_2O^+ + N_2, \quad k = 3.01 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}, \]  

\[ e + N_2O^+ \rightarrow \text{products}. \]  

If this mechanism is correct, optical emission of NO(B) from dissociative recombination of N2O+ in (39) should be observed. However, the results in Fig. 6 again show no optical emissions from NO(B), which discounts the contribution of N2O+ to NO conversion in nonthermal nitrogen plasma. Those observations and results can be explained by examining the mechanism of streamer formation and propagation in corona discharges.

\[ + \text{anode} \quad E_e \quad + \text{cathode} \]

FIG. 7. Schematic diagram showing formation and development of streamer.
the direction of the cathode. This leads to further ionization of gas near the space charge in the direction of the cathode. This way, the streamer propagates from the anode to the cathode, while electron drift is in the reverse direction. The streamer tip is called the streamer head, while the streamer tail is called the streamer channel (electron drift region), as shown in Fig. 7.

The streamer dynamics have been extensively studied both theoretically and experimentally. The basic parameters of streamer dynamics are summarized in Table V. The following conclusions can be drawn from these parameters:

1. The degree of ionization in the streamer is low. The electron concentration in the streamer head is \(10^{14} - 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}\), corresponding to \(\sim 15 \text{ ppm at } 300 \text{ K and 141 kPa at the upper limit.}\)

2. The major electron collision reactions occur in the streamer head. The high electric field in the streamer head accelerates the electrons from the ionized gas. The mean electron energy in the streamer head is \(\sim 10 \text{ eV}, which is high enough to initiate molecular dissociation and excitation, but not high enough to initiate ionization processes. The electron energy in the streamer channel is too low to contribute significantly to the excitation processes.\)

3. The electron drift velocity in the streamer head is the streamer propagation velocity. The streamer velocity of \(\sim 10^8 \text{ cm s}^{-1}\) across a 1–2 cm gap implies that the electrical discharge should be complete in 10–20 ns, which is consistent with our experimental data.

4. The streamer diameter is small, and hence the total volume fraction of the streamers within the reactor volume is low.

Because of the low degree of ionization, the probability of direct electron-ion collision reactions is small compared to the probability of direct electron-molecule collision reactions in the streamer head. The direct electron-molecule collision reactions in the streamer head produce the radicals and excited states. The excited molecules with short lifetimes emit light when they transfer lower energy excited states, which is observed as fluorescence of the filamentlike streamer. The electron drift velocity \(\sim 10^8 \text{ cm s}^{-1}\) in the streamer head is around three orders of magnitude higher than the thermal velocity (about \(3.5 \times 10^4 \text{ cm s}^{-1}\)) of the molecules, radicals, excited states, and positive ions. Due to the high streamer head velocity, essentially no reactions among molecules, radicals, excited states, and ions can occur in the streamer head. In other words, the streamer head passes and the streamer channel is formed before any significant reactions among molecules, radicals, excited states, and ions occur. Instead, the reactions among molecules, radicals, excited states, ions, and electrons occur in the streamer channel, where the electron velocity has been considerably reduced due to the energy transfer processes in the electron collision reactions. For example, all probable ion reactions in the streamer channel for 290 ppm N\(_2\)O in argon are listed below.

\[
Ar^+ + Ar + Ar \rightarrow Ar_2^+ + Ar,
\]

\[
k = 1.09 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^6 \text{ mol}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad \text{(Ref. 51)},
\]

\[
Ar^+ + N_2O \rightarrow N_2O^+ + Ar,
\]

\[
k = 1.75 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad \text{(Ref. 56)},
\]

\[
e + Ar^+ \rightarrow \text{products},
\]

\[
k = 1.81 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad \text{(Ref. 52)},
\]

\[
e + Ar_2^+ \rightarrow \text{products},
\]

\[
k = 5.48 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad \text{(Ref. 53)},
\]

\[
Ar_2^+ + N_2O \rightarrow N_2O^+ + 2Ar,
\]

\[
k = 4.94 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad \text{(Ref. 57)}.\]

Using the calculated reactant concentrations and the rate constants listed above, the selectivities of Ar\(^+\) consumption for reactions \((12), (15)\), and \((13)\) are estimated to be 69.4%, 0.6%, and 30.0%, respectively. The selectivities of Ar\(_2^+\) consumption for reactions \((14)\) and \((18)\) are estimated to be 98.3% and 1.7%, respectively. Therefore, the net selectivity of the Ar\(^+\) contribution to charge transfer reaction with N\(_2\)O is only about 1.8%. Even at NO\(_x\) concentrations as high as 1000 ppm, only around 5.8% of Ar\(^+\) and Ar\(_2^+\) contributes to...
charge transfer reactions with N₂O. In brief, the positive ions significantly contribute only to the recombination reactions with electrons to form the radicals and the excited states before any charge transfer reactions occur.

By contrast, since the drift velocity of electrons in the streamer head is very high, the electrons experience many collisions with gas molecules and produce much more radicals and excited states than ions. This has been demonstrated by Eliasson and Kogelschatz. They found that the concentrations of radicals and excited states is about two orders of magnitude higher than that of ions. These studies confirm that the major active species contributing to the NOx conversion in nonthermal plasma are radicals and the excited states of atoms or molecules, and they support the conclusion of the present work that the contribution of ions to plasma reactions through charge transfer reactions is negligible.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

On the basis of pulsed electrical discharge-induced optical emission spectra, the major active species produced from pulsed electrical discharge in argon are found to be the Ar(4s) excited states. By contrast, Ar⁺ and N₂⁺ and their charge transfer reactions are found not to contribute to NOx conversion in nonthermal argon plasma and nitrogen plasma, respectively, as determined from a detailed analysis of optical emission spectra induced by pulsed electrical discharge in argon, 290 ppm N₂O in argon, 566 ppm NO in argon, and 408 ppm N₂O in nitrogen. If positive ions are responsible for NOx conversion in such mixtures, the optical emission of NO(B) should be observed due to the dissociative recombination reactions of NO₂⁺ and N₂O⁺. However, the optical emission of NO(B) is not observed. In general, charge transfer reactions are insignificant because (1) the cations formed from direct electron collision reactions are predominantly neutralized with electrons before any charge transfer reactions can occur and (2) concentrations of radicals in the streamer are far higher than that of cations, which results in negligible charged particle reactions. This means that neutral active species, such as atoms, molecular fragments, and excited molecules, are largely responsible for NOx conversion in nonthermal plasma.
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