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**Research Questions**

Does attachment influence hope?

- There are over two million children living in orphanage care worldwide.
- Research consistently shows that living in institutions negatively affects children’s ability to create secure attachments.
- Increased hope is related to decreased feelings of depression, anxiety, and loneliness and increased academic achievement, adaptive coping styles, and even improved athletic performance.

How does hope differ between institutionalized and reunified children?

- Many countries have adopted policies which favor family care over institutional care for children.
- Child neglect, most often a feature of many orphanages, has resulted in “significant cognitive delays, increased risk of psychological disorders, and stunted physical growth” in comparison to children in home settings.
- A child’s level of hope may be influenced by the setting in which they grow up, be it orphanage or with their family.

**Data & Methods**

- Sample: 152 institutionalized children (IC) from 13 orphanages and 30 reunified children (RC) aged 8-18
- Social attachment was measured with 14 hypothetical situations asking about attachment figures.
- Hope was measured with the six-item Children’s Hope Scale (CHS)

**Results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VARIABLES</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attachment</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.234*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.112)</td>
<td>(0.108)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>-1.847</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.126)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.261</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.199)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siblings in Orphanage</td>
<td>-1.303</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.722)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>14.386***</td>
<td>11.807***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.238)</td>
<td>(2.657)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Observations: 131
R-squared: 0.018
Adjusted R-squared: 0.010

Robust standard errors in parentheses
*** p<0.001, * p<0.05

Dependent variable: CHS score (6-36)

**Limitations**

- Small sample size, especially in the reunified group.
- Data for reunified group still being collected and analyzed.
- Inability to make cross-cultural applications.

**Conclusion**

- Higher levels of hope are related to increased number of attachment figures in an individuals life.
- Living in an institution is related to significantly lower levels of hope than living in a family setting.
- More research is needed to explore factors contributing to hope differences between institutional and family settings.