



May 2020

### Conflict Experienced by LDS Working Mothers

Sarah L. Maxfield

Brigham Young University, sarah.lynn.maxfield@gmail.com

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/marriottstudentreview>



Part of the [Business Administration, Management, and Operations Commons](#), [Gender and Sexuality Commons](#), [Mormon Studies Commons](#), [Sociology of Religion Commons](#), and the [Women's Studies Commons](#)

Marriott Student Review is a student journal created and published as a project for the Writing for Business Communications course at Brigham Young University (BYU). The views expressed in Marriott Student Review are not necessarily endorsed by BYU or The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints.

#### Recommended Citation

Maxfield, Sarah L. (2020) "Conflict Experienced by LDS Working Mothers," *Marriott Student Review*. Vol. 3 : Iss. 4 , Article 20.

Available at: <https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/marriottstudentreview/vol3/iss4/20>

This Blog is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at BYU ScholarsArchive. It has been accepted for inclusion in Marriott Student Review by an authorized editor of BYU ScholarsArchive. For more information, please contact [scholarsarchive@byu.edu](mailto:scholarsarchive@byu.edu), [ellen\\_amatangelo@byu.edu](mailto:ellen_amatangelo@byu.edu).

## **Conflict Experienced by Latter-Day Saint Working Mothers** **By Sarah Maxfield**

### *Introduction*

The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, with over 16 million members worldwide, promotes many conservative and family-focused policies. These policies stem from the belief that all humans are literally the children of God and that families can be joined together for eternity. Such a perspective makes the way families interact with each other in this life very important. An official proclamation issued by the church states, “By divine design, fathers are to . . . provide the necessities of life” and “Mothers are primarily responsible for the nurture of their children.”<sup>1</sup> However, some Latter-day Saint women either cannot—or choose to not—adopt this role structure: 25% of Latter-day Saint women work full time and 23% work part time, with many of these women also having children within the home.<sup>2</sup> Because of the increase of mothers in the workforce, it is vital to understand how this responsibility affects these women, and what conflicts they experience as a result.

### *The Research*

Much of the research on the impact working has on mothers focuses on the following:

- The effects of cultural schemas of work and motherhood <sup>3</sup>
- The causes and consequences of inter-role conflict for employed mothers <sup>4</sup>
- The cultural dissonance between mainstream and Latter-day Saint culture regarding employed mothers.<sup>5</sup>

Different questionnaires, personal interviews, interpretative phenomenological analyses, and other research methods have shown that women’s multiple roles and mothers’ employment outside of the home are a positive combination unless they’re perceived as a conflict. <sup>6 7 8</sup>

Engaging in multiple roles has positive effects on women’s subjective well-being, and employed mothers enjoy higher self-esteem, strengthened identity, and increased access to support networks. <sup>9 10</sup> They tend to experience a social outlet at work, gain enhanced abilities to positively impact their children’s social and intellectual skills, and have increased financial flexibility.<sup>11</sup> However, the findings aren’t quite as positive when it comes to Latter-day Saint working mothers because of the perceived religious conflict.

A mother’s choice to work has been defined as an interplay between financial, religious, and political (policy-related) motivations. <sup>12 13</sup> When two or more of these factors conflict, negative effects are common. In fact, surveys have shown that, on average, “as religious devotion increases, gender role attitudes become more traditional.”<sup>14</sup> For Latter-day Saint women, this decision can be especially complicated and filled with conflict because the religion emphasizes their responsibilities in the home rather than in a professional career. Also because of this religious expectation, Latter-day Saint women tend to marry and have children at a younger age than the national average.<sup>15</sup>

Although religion can bring positive effects to individuals, it can get complicated with individual circumstances. When Latter-day Saint women go against the “norm” of family life by choosing to work while having children in the house, the conflict they experience from religious and self-imposed expectations can have negative effects.

#### *Cultural Dissonance*

American Latter-day Saint women must often balance religious and personal aspirations, which can sometimes conflict. This results in cultural dissonance, which is the combination of cognitive dissonance and cultural consonance. Cognitive dissonance is the stress of holding multiple incongruent beliefs or actions (i.e. acting in a way that is against your beliefs), whereas cultural consonance is the adherence to cultural models.<sup>16</sup> Cultural dissonance occurs when someone tries to adhere, like cultural consonance, to two main cultures that compete or clash and, like cognitive dissonance, it is the “psychological tension that results from incongruent social realities.”<sup>17</sup> With adherence to conflicting cultural models, higher perceived stress and worsening subjective well-being is common, but adherence to one model or the other results in lower perceived stress in people.<sup>18</sup> In the case of Latter-day Saint working women, adhering to either the cultural model of being a stay-at-home mom or leaving behind their religion would result in lower stress.

#### *Work-to-Family Conflict*

One study suggests that the number of hours worked per week and the husband’s support was most important in defining conflict (known as work-to-family conflict, or WFC). They found that a mother who adhered to traditional gender role attitudes and who had a high workload (over 32 hours a week) experienced higher WFC, whereas those who worked less than 32 hours a week experienced little to none.<sup>19</sup> This approach would enable working Latter-day Saint mothers with traditional gender role attitudes to find the amount of work that helps them reap the positive benefits of working, including increased financial growth and self-growth, with the least amount of WFC as possible. In the United States, working part-time is something many long for. According to a Pew research survey, almost half of the mothers in their sample said it is best for children when mothers work part time, instead of working full time or staying home.<sup>20</sup>

Unfortunately, WFC was also found to increase if the husband had more traditional gender role attitudes, regardless of the workload of the mother. This means that even in the cases where the mother was working very little, conflict would still occur. The implications of this on Latter-day Saint families is huge; it means that the women who plan on working after having children should weigh their potential husband’s gender role attitudes heavily in deciding whether they will be compatible.<sup>21</sup>

#### *Inter-role Conflict*

Working mothers often report experiencing inter-role conflict between their career and parenting goals.<sup>22</sup> This occurs both from not meeting internal standards due to their attempts to meet the perceived demands of another role, and from their domains creating incompatible pressures that go beyond their internal standards.<sup>23 24</sup> This has been shown to have negative effects on women's well-being. With a religious intersection, women may experience more inter-role conflict because of the tension between working, taking care of a home, and religious responsibilities and expectations. Latter-day Saint women often have high standards that can lead to perfectionism and toxic religiosity, regardless of motherhood status.<sup>25</sup> When incorporating work, children, and religion, Latter-day Saint women could avoid potential negative impacts of religion by letting go of what doesn't matter (i.e. outward appearances) and focus on what is essential.

Research has shown that in the absence of inter-role conflict, which takes the multiple roles of women and pits them against each other, the multiple roles of working women can have positive psychological effects. Working women score lower on Postgraduate Institute (PGI) Health Questionnaires than homemakers, which suggests that working women on average have higher psychological well-being than homemakers.<sup>26</sup> In a similar fashion, another study showed that employed mothers can have two sources of gratification, helping them to obtain better psychological well-being.<sup>27</sup> Without conflict, religion actually adds many positive aspects to the mix; it helps individuals find community and higher purpose, lowers the effects of depression or anxiety, and increases overall mental and physical health.<sup>28</sup>

### *Sanctification*

Regarding the differing conflicts within any religious working mother's life, one practice has been proven by several studies to be effective for reducing conflict, controlling feelings of depression, stress, anxiety, and anger, and bringing about higher satisfaction with work and positive affect.<sup>29</sup> This practice is called sanctification, the process of viewing a practice as holy and divine. Specifically regarding work, sanctification is viewing one's career as a calling derived from God.<sup>30</sup> In a study examining sanctification and attitudes toward full-time employment among Latter-day Saint professional women, a pattern was seen where the women would soothe themselves by sanctifying or aligning their work with their religious beliefs. This helped them to better cope with any conflict that sprang up.<sup>31</sup> By helping Latter-day Saint women to better "sanctify" their careers, even by incorporating parts of their religious experience with it, one can help to manage these conflicts.<sup>32</sup>

### *Conclusion*

In summary, Latter-day Saint women's experience with conflict includes both inter-role (the clashing of personal and societal expectations in multiple roles), work-to-family conflict (conflict found within the home because of differing gender role behavior), and cultural

dissonance (when the two prevailing cultures conflict with the other, which in this case would be conservative religion and liberal feminism). One way to help women overcome these conflicts is through sanctification. Considering these potential conflicts, there is an overwhelming need for more research in order to find better conflict strategies for the increasing number of mothers in the workforce.

---

## Notes

<sup>1</sup> “The Family: A Proclamation to the World,” *The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints*, read by Gordon B. Hinckley September 23, 1995, retrieved October 11, 2019,

<https://www.churchofjesuschrist.org/study/manual/the-family-a-proclamation-to-the-world/the-family-a-proclamation-to-the-world?lang=eng>.

<sup>2</sup> Jana Riess, “How Many Mormon Women Work Outside the Home?” Religion News Service, published July 19, 2013, retrieved October 11, 2019, <https://religionnews.com/2013/07/19/how-many-mormon-women-work-outside-the-home/>.

<sup>3</sup> Reid J. Leamaster and Mangala Subramaniam, “Career and/or Motherhood? Gender and the LDS Church,” *Sociological Perspectives* 59, no. 4 (2016): 776–97.

<sup>4</sup> Rosalind Chait Barnett, “Women and Multiple Roles: Myths and Reality,” *Harvard Review of Psychiatry* 12, no. 3 (2004): 158–64; Jeanne Spurlock, “Multiple Roles of Women and Role Strains,” *Health Care for Women International* 16, no. 6 (1995): 501–8; Sudhinta Sinha, “Multiple Roles of Working Women and Psychological Well-Being,” *Industrial Psychiatry Journal* 26, no. 2 (2017): 171; Rebekka S. Steiner, Franciska Krings, and Bettina S. Wiese, “Remember the Children, Honey! Spouses Gender-Role Attitudes and Working Mothers Work-to-Family Conflict,” *Applied Psychology* 68, no. 2 (2019): 250–75; Shelley Coverman, “Role Overload, Role Conflict, and Stress: Addressing Consequences of Multiple Role Demands,” *Social Forces* 67, no. 4 (1989): 965–82; Neal Krause and H. Frances Geyer-Pestello, “Depressive Symptoms among Women Employed Outside the Home,” *American Journal of Community Psychology* 13, no. 1 (1985): 49–64; Kathy M. Laster, “An Examination of the Relationships Between Select Psychological Dimensions and Work-to-Family and Family-to-Work Role Conflict in Men and Women,” (PhD diss., University of Oklahoma, 2002), retrieved from PsycEXTRA Database; Shelley A. Haddock and Karen Rattenborg, “Benefits and Challenges of Dual-Earning: Perspectives of Successful Couples,” *The American Journal of Family Therapy* 31, no. 5 (2003): 325–44; Meridith Phanco, Tamara L. Anderson, M. Elizabeth Lewis Hall, and Michele M. Willingham, “Mothers in Christian Academia: Exploring Internal Role Conflict and Faith,” presented August 2003 at American Psychological Association conference, Toronto, Canada, retrieved from PsycEXTRA Database.

<sup>5</sup> H. J. François Dengah, Elizabeth Bingham Thomas, Erica Hawvermale, and Essa Temple, “‘Find That Balance:’ The Impact of Cultural Consonance and Dissonance on Mental Health among Utah and Mormon Women,” *Medical Anthropology Quarterly* 33, no. 3 (2019): 439–58; Jeffrey G. Snodgrass, H. J. François Dengah, and Michael G. Lacy, “‘I Swear to God, I Only Want People Here Who Are Losers!’ Cultural Dissonance and the (Problematic) Allure Of Azeroth,” *Medical Anthropology Quarterly* 28, no. 4 (2014): 480–501.

<sup>6</sup> Barnett, “Women and Multiple Roles,” 158–64; Peggy A. Thoits, “Multiple Identities and Psychological Well-Being: A Reformulation and Test of the Social Isolation Hypothesis,” *American Sociological Review* 48, no. 2 (1983): 174.

- <sup>7</sup> Jennifer Reid and Melissa Hardy, “Multiple Roles and Well-Being Among Midlife Women: Testing Role Strain and Role Enhancement Theories,” *The Journals of Gerontology Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences* 54B, no. 6 (November 1999): S330, <https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/54B.6.S329>; Haddock and Rattenborg, “Benefits and Challenges of Dual-Earning,” 325–44.
- <sup>8</sup> Spurlock, “Multiple Roles of Women,” 501–8; Sinha, “Roles of Working Women,” 171; Steiner, Krings, and Wiese, “Spouses Gender-Role Attitudes,” 250–75; Coverman, “Role Overload,” 965–82; Krause and Geyer-Pestello, “Depressive Symptoms,” 49–64; Laster, “Work-to-Family Role Conflict.”; Phanco, “Mothers in Christian Academia.”
- <sup>9</sup> Barnett, “Women and Multiple Roles,” 158–64.
- <sup>10</sup> Reid and Hardy, “Testing Role Strain,” S330.
- <sup>11</sup> Haddock and Rattenborg, “Benefits and Challenges of Dual-Earning,” 325–44.
- <sup>12</sup> Sally K. Gallagher and Christian Smith, “Symbolic Traditionalism And Pragmatic Egalitarianism,” *Gender & Society* 13, no. 2 (1999): 211–33; Haddock and Rattenborg, “Benefits and Challenges of Dual-Earning,” 325–44.
- <sup>13</sup> Morgan, “Impact of Religion on Gender-Role Attitudes,” 301–310; M. Elizabeth Lewis Hall, Kerris L. M. Oates, Tamara L. Anderson, and Michele M. Willingham, “Calling and Conflict: The Sanctification of Work in Working Mothers,” *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality* 4, no. 1 (2012): 71–83; K. J. Morgan, “Working Mothers and the Welfare State: Religion and the Politics of Work-Family Policies in Western Europe and the United States,” *Choice Reviews Online* 44, no. 7 (2007); Leamaster and Subramaniam, “Gender and the LDS Church,” 776–97; Dengah, Thomas, Hawvermale, and Temple, “‘Find that Balance’,” 439–58.
- <sup>14</sup> Mary Y. Morgan, “The Impact of Religion on Gender-Role Attitudes,” *Psychology of Women Quarterly* 11, no. 3 (1987): 301–10.
- <sup>15</sup> Riess, “How Many Mormon Women Work.”
- <sup>16</sup> Leon Festinger, “Cognitive Dissonance,” *Scientific American* 207, no. 4 (1962): 93–107, <https://doi.org/10.1038/scientificamerican1062-93>.
- <sup>17</sup> Dengah, Thomas, Hawvermale, and Temple, “‘Find that Balance’,” 439–58.
- <sup>18</sup> Dengah, Thomas, Hawvermale, and Temple, “‘Find that Balance’,” 439–58.
- <sup>19</sup> Laster, “Work-to-Family Role Conflict.”
- <sup>20</sup> “Modern Parenthood,” Social & Demographic Trends, Pew Research Center, published March 14, 2013, retrieved October 11, 2019, <https://www.pewsocialtrends.org/2013/03/14/modern-parenthood-roles-of-moms-and-dads-converge-as-they-balance-work-and-family/>.
- <sup>21</sup> Steiner, Krings, and Wiese, “Spouses Gender-Role Attitudes,” 250–75.
- <sup>22</sup> Phanco, “Mothers in Christian Academia.”; Lynn J. Polasky and Carole K. Holahan, “Maternal Self-Discrepancies, Interrole Conflict, and Negative Affect among Married Professional Women with Children,” *Journal of Family Psychology* 12, no. 3 (1998): 388–401.
- <sup>23</sup> Ylva Elvin-Nowak, “The Meaning of Guilt: A Phenomenological Description of Employed Mothers Experiences of Guilt,” *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology* 40, no. 1 (1999): 73–83; Polasky and Holahan, “Maternal Self-Discrepancies, Interrole Conflict,” 388–401.
- <sup>24</sup> Jeffrey H. Greenhaus and Nicholas J. Beutell, “Sources of Conflict between Work and Family Roles,” *The Academy of Management Review* 10, no. 1 (1985): 76.
- <sup>25</sup> G. E. Kawika Allen and Kenneth T. Wang, “Examining Religious Commitment, Perfectionism, Scrupulosity, and Well-Being among LDS Individuals,” *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality* 6, no. 3 (2014): 257–64.
- <sup>26</sup> Sinha, “Roles of Working Women,” 171.

---

<sup>27</sup> Walter R. Gove and Jeannette F. Tudor, “Adult Sex Roles and Mental Illness,” *American Journal of Sociology* 78, no. 4 (1973): 812–35.

<sup>28</sup> Phanco, “Mothers in Christian Academia.”

<sup>29</sup> Greenhaus and Beutell, “Sources of Conflict,” 76; Phanco, “Mothers in Christian Academia.”

<sup>30</sup> James C. Davidson and David P. Caddell, “Religion and the Meaning of Work,” *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion* 33, no. 2 (1994): 135, Hall, Oats, Anderson, Willingham, “Calling and Conflict,” 71–83.

<sup>31</sup> Greenfield, Lytle, and Hays, “Living the Divine Divide,” 1–14.

<sup>32</sup> Hall, Oats, Anderson, Willingham, “Calling and Conflict,” 71–83.