



Review of Books on the Book of Mormon 1989–2011

Volume 5 | Number 1

Article 26

1993

Editors' Introduction

William J. Hamblin

Daniel C. Peterson

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/msr>

BYU ScholarsArchive Citation

Hamblin, William J. and Peterson, Daniel C. (1993) "Editors' Introduction," *Review of Books on the Book of Mormon 1989–2011*: Vol. 5 : No. 1 , Article 26.

Available at: <https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/msr/vol5/iss1/26>

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the All Journals at BYU ScholarsArchive. It has been accepted for inclusion in Review of Books on the Book of Mormon 1989–2011 by an authorized editor of BYU ScholarsArchive. For more information, please contact scholarsarchive@byu.edu, ellen_amatangelo@byu.edu.



NEAL A. MAXWELL INSTITUTE
FOR RELIGIOUS SCHOLARSHIP

BRIGHAM YOUNG UNIVERSITY • PROVO, UTAH

Title Editors' Introduction

Author(s) William J. Hamblin and Daniel C. Peterson

Reference *Review of Books on the Book of Mormon* 5/1 (1993): v.

ISSN 1050-7930 (print), 2168-3719 (online)

Abstract Introduction to the current issue.

Editors' Introduction

William J. Hamblin and Daniel C. Peterson

This year, for the first time, two different individuals share responsibility for the editing of the *Review*. While Daniel C. Peterson directed an intensive Arabic program at Brigham Young University's Jerusalem Center for Near Eastern Studies during the first half of 1993, William J. Hamblin of the F.A.R.M.S. board of directors and Brigham Young University's Department of History assumed editorial duties. Many others have also contributed time and ideas to the editing of this volume. We wish particularly to thank Brent Hall, Stephen D. Ricks, Matthew Roper, Melvin J. Thorne, John W. Welch, and, as always, the omniscient Shirley S. Ricks.

Continuing past tradition, the editors have felt that their responsibility was to edit for style and grammar, but largely not for content. No attempt has been made to harmonize viewpoints or to enforce agreement. There are, in fact, parts of some reviews in the present volume with which one or the other, or both, of the editors disagree. Furthermore, the opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the Foundation for Ancient Research and Mormon Studies, The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, or the employers of the respective *Review* authors.