



7-31-1995

Cities and Lands in the Book of Mormon

John A. Tvedtnes

Foundation for Ancient Research and Mormon Studies

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/jbms>

BYU ScholarsArchive Citation

Tvedtnes, John A. (1995) "Cities and Lands in the Book of Mormon," *Journal of Book of Mormon Studies*: Vol. 4 : No. 2 , Article 9.
Available at: <https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/jbms/vol4/iss2/9>

This Notes and Communications is brought to you for free and open access by the All Journals at BYU ScholarsArchive. It has been accepted for inclusion in *Journal of Book of Mormon Studies* by an authorized editor of BYU ScholarsArchive. For more information, please contact scholarsarchive@byu.edu, ellen_amatangelo@byu.edu.



NEAL A. MAXWELL INSTITUTE
FOR RELIGIOUS SCHOLARSHIP

BRIGHAM YOUNG UNIVERSITY • PROVO, UTAH

Title Notes and Communications: Cities and Lands in the Book of Mormon

Author(s) John A. Tvedtnes

Reference *Journal of Book of Mormon Studies* 4/2 (1995): 147-50.

ISSN 1065-9366 (print), 2168-3158 (online)

Abstract The practice of naming lands by a chief city of the land correlates well with authentic Old World practices.

NOTES AND COMMUNICATIONS

Cities and Lands in the Book of Mormon

John A. Tvedtnes

Throughout the Book of Mormon, the terms *city* and *land* seem to be interchangeable. There is a city of Nephi and a land of Nephi, a city of Zarahemla and a land of Zarahemla, and so forth. Evidently, each city controlled a certain territory or land that was designated from the name of the city. This is especially clear in Alma 50:14, where we read of the construction of a new site: "They called the name of the city, or the land, Nephiah."

The pattern followed by the Nephites (and by the Lamanites when they became sedentary) was evidently brought from the Old World. In ancient Israel, the cities were places of refuge for farmers in surrounding villages. In time of war, the peasants could flee to the protection of the city walls, where arms were stored for defense.¹ This is precisely what we find described in Mosiah 9:14–16.

In the law of Moses, cities assigned to the Levites were required to have pastures extending 2,000 cubits (roughly 3,000 feet) outside the walls (Numbers 35:5).² Because of this, the rabbis took the word *place* in Exodus 16:29 to mean a walled city, and

¹ In the Old Testament, we read of "fenced cities [and] country villages" (1 Samuel 6:18), of "unwalled villages" (Ezekiel 38:11), and of "villages which have no wall" (Leviticus 25:31). The term *fenced* is employed in the King James Bible to refer to "walled" cities.

² The King James Bible renders it "suburbs," though the Hebrew word refers to land held in common by all the residents of a city and perhaps originally referred to pasture land.

restricted inhabitants to a maximum 2,000 cubits' walk on the Sabbath, giving us the "Sabbath day's journey" of Acts 1:12.³

Archaeological excavations in the fields surrounding the ancient site of Gezer disclosed six stones from the second century B.C. on which the words *border of Gezer* were inscribed in Hebrew and Greek. Clearly, biblical cities, like those of the Book of Mormon, controlled nearby land. Hence, we read of "the king of Ai, and his people, and his city, and his land" (Joshua 8:1) and of the city of Hebron, its suburbs (perhaps "pasturage"), fields, and villages (1 Chronicles 6:55-56).

It should therefore not be surprising to find that cities are sometimes called by the term *land* in the Bible. Tappuah is called a *land* in Joshua 17:8, but a *city* in Joshua 16:8. Jeremiah prophesied that Jerusalem would become "a land not inhabited" (Jeremiah 6:8; cf. 15:5-7).

The Mesha or Moabite stela of the ninth century B.C. provides contemporary archaeological evidence for the interchange of *city* and *land*. The text, reporting the rebellion of Mesha, king of Moab, against Israel, lists a number of "lands" which are known from the Bible to be cities. Internal evidence also implies that they are cities, since Mesha noted that he had "built" these lands.⁴

The reason that lands were named after their principal cities was that some cities controlled other nearby sites. In the account of the assignment of lands to the tribes under Joshua, we frequently read of "cities with their villages."⁵ In some cases, a known city is named and is said to have other cities, towns, or villages under its dominion. Thus, we read of "Heshbon and all her

³ Exodus 16:29 reads, "Abide ye every man in his place, let no man go out of his place on the seventh day." The "Sabbath limit" of 2,000 cubits is defined in Mishnah, *Erubin* 4:3; 5:7, and *Sotah* 5:3 (which refers to Numbers 35:4-5). Other references to the "Sabbath limit" are found in Mishnah, *Shabbath* 23:3-4; *Erubin* 3:4; 4:2-3, 11; 5:4-5; 7:11; 8:1; 10:2; *Betzah* 4:2; *Rosh ha-Shanah* 4:8; *Ketuboth* 2:10; *Nedarim* 7:5; *Makkoth* 2:7.

⁴ For an English translation of the text, see James B. Pritchard, *The Ancient Near East in Texts Relating to the Old Testament* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1969), 320-21.

⁵ Joshua 13:23, 28; 15:32, 36, 41, 44, 46-47, 51, 54, 57, 59-60, 62; 16:9; 18:24, 28; 19:6-8, 15-16, 22, 30-31, 38-39, 48; 21:12. Sometimes the word *daughters* was used in the Hebrew text to mean *villages*, in the sense of satellites (Exodus 21:25, 32; 2 Chronicles 28:18; Nehemiah 11:25, 27, 30-31).

cities" (Joshua 13:17), "Ekron, with her towns and her villages" (Joshua 15:45), "Megiddo and her towns" (Joshua 17:11), and "Ashdod, with her towns and her villages" (Joshua 15:47).

Clay tablets written in the fourteenth century B.C. and found at el-Amarna in Egypt use the term *land* for Canaanite sites known to have been ancient cities. For example, one text speaks of the "town of Rubutu,"⁶ while another mentions the "land of Rubutu."⁷ The first of these also speaks of the "land of Shechem" and "the land of the town of Gath-carmel" (both ancient cities) and says of Jerusalem, "this land belongs to the king." A third text mentions the lands of Gezer, Ashkelon, and Jerusalem.⁸

Lehi and Nephi seem to have known the designation of Jerusalem as both a city and the land it governed.⁹ The phrase *land of Jerusalem* is found in 1 Nephi 3:9–10; 7:2. We read that Lehi dwelt "at Jerusalem in all his days" (1 Nephi 1:4), but he evidently did not live in the city of that name. After coming to Jerusalem, where Laman visited Laban in his house (1 Nephi 3:11, 23), Lehi's sons, thinking to purchase the brass plates from Laban, "went down to the land of [their] inheritance" (1 Nephi 3:22) to gather up their wealth. They then "went up again" to Jerusalem (1 Nephi 3:23) and offered their wealth in exchange for the plates. Laban chased them away and, after a time, they returned to "the walls of Jerusalem" (1 Nephi 4:4), and Nephi "crept into the city and went forth towards the house of Laban" (1 Nephi 4:5). From this, it appears that Lehi dwelt in the "land" of Jerusalem, but not in the city itself, as did Laban.

Alma 7:10 contains a prophecy that Christ would be born in "Jerusalem which is the land of our forefathers" (Alma 7:10). Critics of the Book of Mormon typically use this as evidence of error in the text and conclude that it invalidates the book as an

⁶ El Amarna 289, in Pritchard, *The Ancient Near East in Texts*, 489; Taanach tablet 1, in *ibid.*, 490.

⁷ El Amarna 290, in *ibid.*, 489.

⁸ El Amarna 287, in *ibid.*, 488.

⁹ Hugh Nibley discussed this subject in *Lehi in the Desert, The World of the Jaredites, There Were Jaredites* (Salt Lake City: Deseret Book and FARMS, 1988), 6–7, and in *An Approach to the Book of Mormon*, 3rd ed. (Salt Lake City: Deseret Book and FARMS, 1988), 101–2.

authentic ancient document. They attribute the error to Joseph Smith, whom they believe to be the author of the Book of Mormon. This presupposes, however, that Joseph Smith was ignorant of the fact that Jesus was born in Bethlehem, not Jerusalem, which is hardly likely. It is much easier to believe that the denomination of Jerusalem as a "land" was deliberate. In view of the evidence we have seen thus far, this was perfectly in keeping with ancient Near Eastern tradition. Even were it not so, there would be nothing wrong with Alma, author of the passage, using Nephite geographical terminology to denote the place of Jesus' birth. To the Nephites, whose society revolved around cities controlling larger lands, it would have been perfectly logical to place Bethlehem in the land of Jerusalem.

But there is evidence that, even in the Old World, Bethlehem was considered to be part of the "land of Jerusalem." One of the Amarna texts speaks of "a town in the land of Jerusalem" named *Bît-NINIB*. Some scholars give the name as *Bît-Lahmi*, which is the Canaanite equivalent of the Hebrew name rendered Beth-lehem in English Bibles.¹⁰

We conclude that Lehi's descendants in the New World followed authentic Old World custom in denominating each land by the principal city in the land.¹¹ This kind of detail lends evidence to the authenticity and antiquity of the Book of Mormon text.

¹⁰ El Amarna 290, in Pritchard, *The Ancient Near East in Texts*, 489, where the name is rendered Bit-Lahmi.

¹¹ For examples and discussion of the word pair *city//land*, see Kevin L. Barney, "Poetic Diction and Parallel Word Pairs in the Book of Mormon," in this issue, pages 37-38.