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A STUDY OF THE GENUS SCAPHIOPOS(1)

The Spade-foot Toads

VASCO M. TANNER
Professor of Zoology and Entomology
Brigham Young University

INTRODUCTION

It is a little more than a hundred years since Holbrook, 1836, erected the genus Scaphiopus describing solitarius, a species found along the Atlantic Coast, as the type of the genus. This species, however, was described the year previously, 1835, by Harlan as Rana holbrookii; thus holbrookii becomes the accepted name of the genotype. Many species and sub-species have been named since this time, the great majority of them, however, have been considered as synonyms. In this study I have recognized the following species: holbrookii, hurterii, couchii, bombifrons, hammondii, and intermontanus. A variety of holbrookii, described by Garman as albus, from Key West, Florida, may be a valid form; but since I have had only a specimen or two for study, I have disregarded any discussion of it.

The study of the Scaphiopodidae made by Professor E. D. Cope (1889) has been followed in the main, since it has been most valuable in dealing with the anatomy of the species. In this study Cope proposed that the Spadefoot Toads of the western United States should be placed in a genus Spea, which he characterized as follows: "cranial derm free from cranium; the latter generally with a frontoparietal fontanelle; vomerine teeth present; toes webbed; cuneiform process large." The following two species he assigned to the genus hammondii Baird found in the western United States and multiplicata Cope found in the Valley of Mexico. Cope also divided the species hammondii into three sub-species: those in North Dakota and Oklahoma, westward into the Rocky Mountains, he called S. h. bombifrons; those from Walla Walla, Washington, south through Idaho, Nevada and Utah, he separated off as S. h. intermontana; and those along the Pacific Coast, from Washington to Lower California and eastward into Texas and Arizona, he considered as S. h. hammondii.

---

(1) Contribution No. 75.
In a number of recent studies, the Spadefoot Toads found within the range of "North Dakota and British Columbia to Oklahoma, Texas and Mexico, west to the Pacific Coast States" have been considered as a single species *Scaphiopus hammondii* Baird. In 1934, Hobart Smith proposed the re-establishment of Cope's *bombifrons* as a valid species, giving as its range western Kansas, Harding County; South Dakota; western Oklahoma; northeastern New Mexico, and Bannock County, Idaho. Since Smith proposed the establishment of *bombifrons* as a species, I have been engaged in a study of specimens taken in the intermountain region, especially in Utah. In making this study I have found it impossible to work out the relationships and distributions of the species under discussion without specimens from various parts of United States. Through the kindness of a number of museums and workers, I have been able to study over five hundred specimens.

In studying these specimens, an examination was made of the cranial structures, cutting spades, appendages and body measurements, color and texture of the skin, and distribution. Tadpoles of several species were studied, but since so little material was available, only a brief reference to this study is included here. Much remains to be done in carefully studying the larval stages of the several species.

From my study of the immature forms, I am convinced that a great deal can be learned concerning the range and relationship of the various species through an investigation of this kind.

I found Drs. Wright's, Storer's, and Smith's papers of value in studying the mouth structures of the immature stages.
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THE GENUS SCAPHIOPUS

There seems to be little justification for Cope's separating the western hammondii group of species from the eastern holbrookii complex for the founding of the genus *Spca*. It is true that the hammondii specimens have "derm distinct from cranium, which is usually only ossified superficially in the superciliary bars," but while this difference, as well as others, exists between the two proposed genera, *Scaphiopus* and *Spca*, there are also many similarities which, in my opinion, hold the species under discussion in one genus. I am, therefore, reluctant to accept Professor Cope's proposal of establishing a genus for the western species, but I do believe that it is of advantage in discussing the genus *Scaphiopus*, to divide it into two subgenera, *Scaphiopus* and *Spca*. Geographically the sub-genera are fairly distinct, having evolved, it would seem, from a common center of origin near northern Mexico, the sub-genus *Scaphiopus* having radiated into the eastern United States, while the sub-genus *Spca* is found in the western United States. These two sub-genera may be separated as follows:

A. Parotoid distinct to indistinct. Head length 18.5 mm to 22.5 mm, width between nasal and orbit greater than 5 mm, width between orbits 5-7.4 mm, frontoparietal interorbital space wide, not modified, and with the skin rather tightly attached to the cranium, spade-like process cycle shaped, long 3.9-5.7 mm. Species found in eastern and southern states into Texas. Pl. I. Figs. 1-6; Pl. II. Fig. 3; Pl. III .................... Sub-genus *Scaphiopus*

AA. Parotoid absent. Head length 15.6 mm to 16.5 mm, width between nasal and orbit less than 4 mm, width between the orbits 4 to 4.8 mm, frontoparietal interorbital space narrow, nasal modified by the presence of protuberances or fontanelle or valley without fonta-
nelle. Skin somewhat glandular and loosely attached to the cranium, spade-like process cuneiform, short, 2.9 to 3.5 mm. Species found in the northwestern states into Texas. Pl. I, Figs. 7-12; Pl. II, Fig. 1-2; Pl. III...

.................................................Sub-genus Spea

THE SUB-GENUS SCAPHIOPUS

In the sub-genus Scaphiopus the frontoparietal and nasal bones are broad without fossae; the head length and distance between the nasals and orbits is greater than in the sub-genus Spea. The color and skin texture is different, being brown to greenish with small uniform tubercles on the back and sides, while the Spea group has a blackish color on the back and whitish on the sides and venter with irregular placed and variably sized warts on the head, back, and dorsal portions of the legs. Species of this sub-genus are found in the eastern and southeastern states into Mexico.

The three species assigned to the sub-genus Scaphiopus are holbrookii, hurterii, and couchii. These species may be separated as follows:

A. Pectoral glands present; parotoid and tympanum distinct.

b. Head length 18.5 mm, width of head 21.5 mm, body length 50.8 mm, between the nasal and orbit 5.2 mm, color brownish to olive green ..................................holbrookii

bb. Head length 22.3 mm, width of head 25.2 mm, body length 66 mm, between nasal and orbit 5.9 mm, frontoparietal area just back of the eyes elevated, glandular, and with roughened minute round warts. Color yellowish green to grey ............hurterii

AA. No pectoral glands present; parotoid and tympanum indistinct.

c. Head length 19.7 mm, width of head 23.3 mm, body length 56.3 mm, between nasal and orbits 5.2 mm, frontoparietal area not elevated or rugose. Skin tuberculate on back, color greenish ..................couchii
DISCUSSION OF THE SPECIES OF SUB-GENUS SCAPHIOPUS

(1) Scaphiopus holbrooki (Harlan). Solitary Spadefoot

Pl. I, Figs. 1-2; Pl. 111


**Type locality:** South Carolina.

**Measurements:** The following are measurements in millimeters of five specimens of *Scaphiopus holbrooki* obtained by loan from the U. S. Natural Museum. An average of the measurements of the several specimens reported is included. No general description of the species is given since it is believed that the measurements, keys and illustrations are sufficient for the separation and limitation of the species under discussion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Ave.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total length of body</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>50.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of head</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of head</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between nasal openings</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between nasal and orbit</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of orbit</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between orbits</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forearm</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femur</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibia</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole foot</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutting spade</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number 1, specimen number 3710, collected at Cambridge, Mass.; number 2 and 3, specimen number 71026 and 71025, collected at Gainesville, Fla. by G. S. Miller; number 4, specimen number 31025, collected at Bay St. Louis, Mo. by A. Allison; number 5, specimen number 1673, collected at Pensacola, Fla.

**Distribution of specimens studied:** Cambridge, Mass.; Bay St. Louis; Miss. (A. Allison); Delair, N. J. (W. P. Seal); Gainesville, Fla.; Houston and Brownsville, Texas.
Remarks: This distinctive species is widely distributed throughout the eastern United States, but is scarcely met with, because of its secretive nocturnal habits. They breed usually in temporary pools and puddles from March to September. The tadpole stages last about 30 days, when the small toads leave the puddles, if they are not already practically dried up, and begin life on the land by hiding during the day in the soil of times far removed from any permanent water.

(2) Scaphiopus hurterii Strecker. Hurter's Spadefoot

Pl. I, Figs. 3-4; Pl. II, Fig. 3; Pl. III


Type locality: Waco, Texas (3½ miles east).

Measurements: The following are measurements in millimeters of nine specimens of Scaphiopus hurterii obtained by loans from Dr. E. H. Taylor of Kansas State University and Mr. L. M. Klauber of San Diego, California.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>Ave.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total length of body...</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>71.0</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>71.0</td>
<td>66.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of head.........</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of head..........</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between nasal openings</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between nasal and orbit</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of orbits........</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between orbits.........</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forearm.................</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand....................</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femur...................</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibia...................</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole foot...............</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>36.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutting spade............</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number 1, specimen number A125, collected at Benton, Atascosa Co., Texas, June 5, 1932 by Taylor and Smith; numbers 2-9, specimen numbers 30430, 30432, 30429, 30431, 30434, 30435, 30433, collected seven miles southeast of Lytle, Texas.
Distribution of specimens studied: Benton, Atascosa, Co., Texas, Taylor and Smith; Lytle, Texas. (From L. M. Klauber Collection, San Diego, California.)

Remarks: I am including Strecker's description of hurtcrii in order that it may be compared with the measurements of the specimens reported here. While the average size, especially of the whole foot, femur, forearm, width of head and body length is greater than holbrookii, contrary to Strecker's description, I believe this may be due to the fact that I have had a greater number of specimens for use in this study from a different locality to that of Mr. Strecker. I am in agreement with Dr. Hobart Smith that hurtcrii is a distinct form. The elevated rugose post interorbital area seems to be a most distinctive character.

Strecker's description of hurtcrii follows: "Size medium. Length of head and body, 67 mm. Head short, length about equal to width. (In holbrookii the head at angle of jaws is much wider than long.) Snout heavy and blunt, not extending beyond the mouth. Parotoids nearly round, higher and even more conspicuous than in the eastern species. Tympanum distinct but rather smaller than in holbrookii. (In type hardly more than half the diameter of the parotoid.) Crown distinctly rugose. No black granules in space between and in front of the eyes. Upper surfaces with small, closely set tubercles very uniform in size and distribution. Many tubercles on sides, buttocks and posterior portion of the abdomen. Many pustules on upper surface of tibia. Glands on thorax present, conspicuous. Enlargements resembling glands on inferior surface of femur (present in both specimens). Spade-like process of foot narrowly margined with black. Palmar tubercles rather small. Fingers slender. Tibia about equal to that of S. holbrookii but femur and foot much shorter.

Color above, pale greenish, with a pale yellowish line from each orbit: these converge again on the coccyx. Upper surface of head and area between the light lines, dark plumbeous. Parotoids olive. Sides of head and under surfaces yellowish-white.

The Refugio specimen is slightly smaller. (Length 63 mm) Coloration in life darker. Greenish above, light lines inconspicuous. In form and other important characteristics resembling the type."
(3) *Scaphiopus couchii* Baird. Couch's Spadefoot

Pl. I, Figs. 5-6; Pl. III


**Type locality:** Rio Nasas, Coahuila, and Matamoros, Tamaulipas, Mexico.

**Measurements:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9 Ave.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total length of body</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>58.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of head</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of head</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between nasal openings</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between nasal and orbit</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of orbits</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between orbits</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forearm</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femur</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibia</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole foot</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutting spade</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers 1-3, specimen numbers 47132, 47134, 47130, collected at San Pedro, Lower California, Mexico, July, 1919 by Joseph Slevin; number 4, specimen number 13159, collected at Waco, McLennon Co., Texas; numbers 5-7, specimen numbers 35228, 35231, 35230, collected at Fairbanks, Cochise Co., Texas; number 8, specimen number 17771, collected at San Antonio, Bexar Co., Texas; number 9, specimen number 29348, collected 2 miles north of the San Xavier Mission, Arizona.

**Distribution of specimens studied:** San Pedro, L. Calif., Mex. (Jos. Slevin); Waco, Texas, (Strecker); San Antonio, Texas; Colonia Dublan, Chihuahua Mex., (D. E. Beck); Fairbanks, Ariz., (Jos. Slevin).

**Remarks:** *Couchii* is confined to the southwestern states, Lower California, into Mexico. It is next to *hurterii*, the largest Spadefoot in our fauna. The frontoparietal bones are well formed extending well into the nasal area. In color *hurterii* and *couchii* are similar.
Couchii does not have the elevated post interorbital area found in hurtcrii.

THE SUBGENUS SPEA

Throughout the Intermountain States to the Pacific Coast and south into Texas and Mexico is a division of toads closely related in external and skull characters. These are here considered under the subgenus Spea. The general facies of this subgenus is such that it is easily separated from the eastern Scaphiopus group. The head is much shorter; the width between the nasal opening and the orbit is noticeably less; also the interorbital width is less, being modified as in bombifrons and intermontanus. Pl. I, Figs. 9-12. Other distinctive characteristics are the presence of a fontanelle or a modified one, with the frontoparietal as well as the temporal bones greatly modified; also a cuneiform spade-like process, in contrast to the cycle-shaped spade found in subgenus Scaphiopus.

While the distribution of these two subgenera is poorly known at present, the only overlapping of the two appears to be in Texas.

Three species are included in the subgenus Spea; these may be separated as follows:

A. Presence of an interorbital boss.

b. Head width narrow—18.5 mm.

c. Body smooth with few individual tubercles or warts. Cutting spade narrow and long; hand, femur, tibia, and whole foot short; color grayish above; whitish on venter. In preservative the specimens are an olive green...............
bombifrons

AA. No interorbital boss present. (In some specimens of intermontanus there is a glandular interorbital elevation which resembles the true boss found in bombifrons. This may be removed and the true nature of the skull revealed. Pl. I, Figs. 9-12 show this difference.

bb. Head width wider 20.9-22.5 mm.

c. Body rugose or with many individual prominences or warts. Color mottled
whitish and black above; venter whitish; in preservative the back becomes blackish with some white areas. At times the back is streaked with whitish lines. Venter white.

d. No frontoparietal fontanelle; interorbital space with prominent frontoparietal bones forming ridges as in Pl. 1, Figs. 9-10 or in some specimens the interorbital space is filled with a glandular prominence resembling the bombifrons species; head width 22.5 mm, whole foot 31.2, confined in the main to the Great Basin area ......................... intermontanus

dd. A frontoparietal fontanelle present; interorbital space smooth; size intermediate between bombifrons and intermontanus; head width 20.9; whole foot 28.8; found on the Pacific Coast south into Arizona and Texas.......hammondii

(4) Scaphiopus bombifrons (Cope). Central Plains Spadefoot Toad

Pl. 1, Figs. 11-12; Pl. III


Type locality: Fort Union on Missouri River, Lat. 48 degrees N.

Measurements: The following measurements were made possible through loans from Dr. E. H. Taylor of the Kansas University and Mr. Joseph Slevin of the California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco.
July 25, 1939

**Genus Scaphiopus**

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 Ave.  
Total length of body... 48.0 45.5 45.0 50.0 51.5 50.0 50.0 53.0 47.0 48.9  
Length of head........ 15.0 15.5 14.5 16.0 16.5 16.0 15.0 16.5 15.0 15.6  
Width of head......... 17.0 17.0 16.0 20.0 20.0 19.5 20.0 20.0 19.5 18.8  
Between nasal openings 5.0 4.0 4.0 4.5 4.5 4.5 4.5 5.0 4.5 4.5  
Between nasal and orbit 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.5 3.0 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.2  
Width of orbits........ 6.0 6.0 5.8 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0  
Between orbits ......... 5.0 4.5 4.2 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.3 4.2 4.8  
Forearm .............. 11.0 11.0 10.0 13.0 13.0 11.5 13.0 12.0 11.9  
Hand .................. 10.0 8.5 8.5 10.0 10.5 10.0 10.0 11.0 10.0 9.8  
Femur .................. 22.0 21.0 20.5 23.0 23.2 24.0 23.5 23.0 24.0 22.7  
Tibia ................. 17.5 16.0 16.0 18.5 19.0 19.0 18.5 20.0 18.0 18.0  
Whole foot ............ 16.0 23.0 23.0 28.0 27.5 28.0 27.5 28.5 27.0 25.4  
Cutting spade ........ 3.0 2.5 2.5 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 2.9  

Numbers 1-3, specimen numbers 33118, 33117, 33119, collected at Goodnight, Texas, June, 1910 by Strecker; numbers 4-5, specimen numbers A100, A101, collected at 2 miles north of Lexington, Okla., June 3, 1932 by Taylor and Smith; Nos. 6-9, specimen numbers A131, 1632, 1633, 1634, collected 6 miles north of Elkhart, Morton Co., Kan., August 15, 1926 by E. H. Taylor and T. White.

**Remarks:** All specimens of *bombifrons* have an interorbital boss which, upon the dissection of the head, is composed in the main of a bony structure in contrast to the glandular structure found in some specimens of *intermontanus*. Just what relationship exists between these two species is not clear.

Reference to Plate III shows the distribution of the specimens actually studied at the time of this writing. Just how the species of *Spea* are distributed in Colorado, Wyoming, and Montana is not known because of lack of specimens from these states. Spadefoot toads taken in the intermountain states are not common in collections.

(5) **Scaphiopus intermontanus** (Cope). Great Basin Spadefoot Toad

Pl. I, Figs. 9-10; Pl. II, Fig. 2; Pl. III


**Type Locality:** Salt Lake City, Utah.

**Measurements:** The specimens reported here are all from the southeastern part of Utah, while specimens collected by Dr. H. C.
Yarrow at Provo, Utah; Capt. C. Bendire at Fort Walla Walla, Washington, and V. Bailey and J. O. Snyder at Pyramid Lake, Nevada have been studied; due to lack of space their measurements are not reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9 Ave.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total length of body...</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>53.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of head.........</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of head.........</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between nasal openings</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between nasal and orbit</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width or orbits.........</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between orbits.........</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forearm ................</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand ...................</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femur ...................</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibia ...................</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole foot .............</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutting spade ..........</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number 1, specimen number 50, collected at Garfield County, Utah, June 29, 1938 by Vasco M. Tanner and D. E. Beck; number 2 and 3, specimens numbers 55 and 799, collected at Willow Spring Tank, Kane County, Utah, June, 1936 by Vasco M. Tanner and James Bee; number 4, specimen number 545, collected at mouth of Brush Creek, Uintah County, Utah, July, 1937 by James Bee; number 5, specimen number 46, collected 10 miles south of Gandy, Utah, June, 1928 by Vasco M. Tanner and W. P. Cottam; number 6, specimen number 1980, collected at Orderville, Kane County, Utah, August 4, 1938 by La Voy Esplin; number 7, specimen number 781, collected at Zion National Park, Utah, July, 1925 by Vasco M. Tanner; number 8, specimen number 1977, collected at Steep Creek Lakes, Boulder Mts., Garfield County, Utah, 6500 ft. elevation, June 29, 1938 by W. W. Tanner; number 9, specimen number 8686, collected at Price, Carbon County, Utah, June, 1937 by Ross Hardy.


Remarks: The evolution of the subgenus *Spca* seems to be from *hammondii* through *bombifrons* to *intermontanus*. In these species there is a progressive development of the osseous parts of the cranium with a closure of the frontoparietal fontanelle in practically all specimens of *intermontanus*. *Intermontanus* is a large fairly rugose species capable of breeding under desert conditions in the brackish waters of the Great Basin and High Plateaus. This species is common in the southeastern part of Utah. More than a hundred specimens have been collected around Price and Helper, Carbon County and the Escalante Desert in Garfield County. Breeding specimens were taken in June along the Price and Escalante Rivers. They also leave these streams and are found from twenty to fifty miles out in the deserts, congregated around intermittent spring seeps. Great numbers in copula were observed at a small playa in Steep Creek, Boulder Mountain, Garfield County, on June 29, 1938 by D. E. Beck, W. W. Tanner, Geo. Cannon and James Bee. The playa developed after a rain storm which occurred on the night of June 27. No specimens were observed until after the storm, when they seem to come into this temporary pond literally by the hundreds. Breeding commenced at once, the males holding on to the females even after they were collected and placed in cages at camp. On June 20, 1936 tadpoles of various sizes were taken at Willow Spring Tank 50 miles south of Escalante. In a few
specimens the hind legs had started to emerge. Tadpoles were collected in the Price City Reservoir, number 3, on June 16, 1939, by the writer. Many of these were developing their hind legs at this date.

A description of the larvae of intermontanus: An examination of tadpoles from the above mentioned localities shows a labial disk surrounded by a continuous row of papillae, except for a slight interruption at the upper margin where there is a row of teeth on the disk about 6 mm long. In some specimens there is evidence of two rows in some parts of the disk. The labial teeth are in 2-4 rows; the top row is continuous extending to the corners of the mouth; the second row extends from the corners of the mouth along the first row to about one-fourth its length; the third and fourth rows are short; the fifth and sixth rows are continuous across the lower portion of the mouth. The fifth row is three times as long as one of the parts of the fourth row. The upper mandible has a median point with lateral edges serrate; lower mandible is about the same width as the upper one, with a median notch, but without the projection on the sides, the sides serrate and smoothly rounded off and extending to the angles of the mouth. The mouth structures differ from drawings by Drs. Smith, Storer and Wright. The tadpoles here reported more closely resemble hammondii than bombifrons judged by the drawing of the above mentioned workers. The mouth is 4.2 mm across, the interorbital space is 3.5 mm, the distance from the mouth to the nasals is 3.5 mm; while it is 1.7 mm from the nasals to the orbits. The body length of tadpoles, with the hind legs showing, is 23 mm; tail length 28 mm. In the water the larvae have a coppery color; while in alcohol preservative they are a bluish black color.

Intermontanus has a greater internarial distance than either bombifrons or hammondii; the average for 84 specimens is 4.6 mm. The femur and whole foot are both larger in intermontanus. I have been unable to use the tympanum and corneous tips of the toes in this study. These characters are variable and in some specimens the corneous tip of the toes is not present.

(6) Scaphiopus hammondii Baird. Hammond's Spadefoot Toad

Pl. I, Figs. 7 and 8; Pl. II, Fig. 1; Pl. III

Scaphiopus hammondii Baird, Rept. Expl. Surv., IV, Reptil., 1859, Pl. fig. 2.
Type locality: Fort Reading, California.

Measurements: The following nine specimens are chosen for report from a rather large collection loaned by Mr. L. M. Klauber of San Diego and Mr. Jos. Slevin of the California Academy of Sciences.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>Ave.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total length of body</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>55.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Length of head</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Width of head</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Between nasal openings</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Between nasal and orbit</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Width of orbits</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Between orbits</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Forearm</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hand</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Femur</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tibia</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Whole foot</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cutting spade</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number 1 and 2, specimens number 10235 and 10238, collected at Cochise County, Arizona, July, 1928; number 3, specimen number 10296, collected at Brewster County, Texas, July 22, 1930; number 4, specimen number 62922, collected 5 miles north of Bonsell, California, May 24, 1927; number 5, specimen number 7131, collected at San Jacinto, Riverside County, California by L. M. Klauber; number 6 and 7, specimens number 23359 and 240, collected at San Diego, California by L. M. Klauber; number 8, specimen number 23461, collected at Ojos Negros, Lower California by L. M. Klauber; number 9, specimen number 27145, collected at Punta Bunda, Lower California by L. M. Klauber.


Remarks: Specimens of hammondii have shorter, narrower heads, with less internarial space, shorter forearm, femur, and whole foot.
than \textit{intermontanus}. The interorbital space is smooth, not possessing ridges or a boss as in \textit{intermontanus} and \textit{bombifrons}. The fontanelle is well developed. Specimens are not as warty as \textit{intermontanus}.

**SUMMARY**

An examination was made of the cranial structures, cutting spades, measurements of the appendages and body, color and texture of the skin and distribution of specimens of \textit{Scaphiopus} from various parts of the United States and northern Mexico. This study supports the conclusion that the genus \textit{Scaphiopus} may be divided, to advantage, into the subgenera \textit{Scaphiopus} and \textit{Spea}; also that the following: \textit{holbrookii}, \textit{hurterii}, \textit{couchii}, \textit{bombifrons}, \textit{intermontanus}, and \textit{hammondii} should be recognized as valid species.

The cranial structure, body size and markings, and larval characteristics seem to support the proposal, made here, that we separate the Utah and northern Great Basin Spadefoot Toads from the Pacific Coast and Central Plains species, establishing \textit{intermontanus} Cope as a species.

A distributional study of \textit{Scaphiopus} in the states west of the Mississippi River should add much to our knowledge of the range of the subgenus \textit{Spea} here discussed.

\textit{Hurterii} also seems to be a valid species.

Tadpoles of the various species should be collected and studied.
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EXPLANATION OF PLATES

PLATE I
Figures 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, and 11 are drawings showing the dorsal skull structures of the six species of Spadefoot Toads discussed in this study.

Figures 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 are drawings of the cutting spades of the six species of Spadefoot Toads under discussion.

PLATE II
Figures 1, 2, and 3 show the distinctive head and interorbital characteristics of *S. hammondii*, *S. intermontanus*, and *S. hurterii*.

PLATE III
The distributional map shows the areas in the United States where the six species of *Scaphiopus*, as here discussed, are found. The map has been made from a study of the specimens available during the progress of this report. Records from the literature have not been used.
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