

Brigham Young University BYU ScholarsArchive

Theses and Dissertations

2021-06-10

# Zeros of a Family of Complex Harmonic Polynomials

Samantha Sandberg Brigham Young University

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/etd

Part of the Physical Sciences and Mathematics Commons

#### **BYU ScholarsArchive Citation**

Sandberg, Samantha, "Zeros of a Family of Complex Harmonic Polynomials" (2021). *Theses and Dissertations*. 9554. https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/etd/9554

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by BYU ScholarsArchive. It has been accepted for inclusion in Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of BYU ScholarsArchive. For more information, please contact ellen\_amatangelo@byu.edu.

Zeros of a Family of Complex Harmonic Polynomials

Samantha Sandberg

# A thesis submitted to the faculty of Brigham Young University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

Jennifer Brooks, Chair David Cardon Michael Dorff

Department of Mathematics Brigham Young University

Copyright © 2021 Samantha Sandberg All Rights Reserved

#### ABSTRACT

#### Zeros of a Family of Complex Harmonic Polynomials

Samantha Sandberg Department of Mathematics, BYU Master of Science

In this thesis we study complex harmonic functions of the form  $f = h + \bar{g}$  where h, g are analytic, nonconstant functions of one variable. The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra does not apply to such functions, so we ask how many zeros a complex harmonic function can have and where those zeros are located. This thesis focuses on the complex harmonic family of polynomials  $p_c(z) = z + \frac{c}{2}z^2 + \frac{c}{n-1}\bar{z}^{n-1} + \frac{1}{n}\bar{z}^n$ . We first establish properties of the critical curve, which separates orientation preserving and reversing regions. These properties are then used to show the sum of the orders of the zeros of  $p_c$  is -n. In turn, we use this to show  $p_c$  has n+2 zeros when 0 < c < 1,  $n \ge 5$  and n+4 zeros when  $c \ge 4$ ,  $n \ge 6$ . The total number of zeros of  $p_c$  changes when zeros interact with the critical curve, so we investigate where zeros occur on the critical curve to understand how the number of zeros of  $p_c$  changes for  $1 \le c \le 4$ .

Keywords: complex analysis, harmonic polynomials

# Acknowledgements

It is difficult to fit an infinite amount of gratitude into a finite number of words. Thanks to Dr. Jennifer Brooks for reading every draft and for encouraging me every step of the way. Thanks to Jen's research group and their brilliant minds. Thanks to all the faculty at BYU who believed in me. Thanks to my family—particularly my mother—for always pushing me to do more.

# Contents

Contents List of Figures			iv v
<b>2</b>	Background		
	2.1	Analytic and Complex Harmonic Functions	6
	2.2	Order of a Zero	10
	2.3	The Argument Principle for Complex Harmonic Functions	12
3	Critical Curve		
	3.1	Properties of the Critical Curve	15
	3.2	Annuli for the Critical Curve	20
4	Zeros		29
	4.1	Rouché Theorem Arguments	30
	4.2	Zeros on the Unit Circle	43
5	5 Directions for Future Research		51
Bi	Bibliography		

# LIST OF FIGURES

1.1	Zeros of an analytic and a complex harmonic polynomial	
1.2	The zeros and critical curve of the eighth degree polynomial $p_c(z)$ when $c =$	
	0.8, $c = 1.1$ , $c = 1.4$ , and $c = 1.7$ .	3
1.3	The eighth degree polynomial $p_c(z)$ when $c = 2.3$ , $c = 2.45$ , and $c = 2.6$	4
3.1	The critical curve of the eighth degree polynomial $p_c(z)$ when $c = 1.35$ , $c = 1.4$ ,	
	and $c = 1.45$	17
4.1	The zeros of the eighth degree polynomial $p_{0.4}(z)$	32
4.2	The zeros of the eighth degree polynomial $p_{0.4}(z)$ with 9 of the zeros inside	
	the annulus defined by all z such that $1 <  z  < 1.5.$	35
4.3	The zeros of the eighth degree polynomial $p_4(z)$	38
4.4	The zeros of the eighth degree polynomial $p_4(z)$ with 9 of the zeros inside the	
	annulus defined by all z such that $1 <  z  < 1.5$	41

#### CHAPTER 1. MOTIVATION

The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra states that every polynomial  $f(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$  of degree n has precisely n zeros in  $\mathbb{C}$ , counted with multiplicity. As a simple illustration, consider  $f_1(z) = z + z^3$ . As a degree 3 polynomial, it has 3 zeros; because  $f_1(z) = z + z^3 = z(z+i)(z-i)$ ,  $z = 0, \pm i$  are the three zeros. These zeros are depicted in Figure 1.1.

The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra applies to polynomials in z, that is, to analytic polynomials of a single complex variable. What happens with polynomials in z and  $\bar{z}$ ? As an example, consider  $f_2(z) = z + \bar{z}^3$ . To find the zeros of  $f_2$ , let z = x + iy. Then

$$f_2(x+iy) = (x+iy) + (x-iy)^3 = x + x^3 - 3xy^2 + i\left(y + y^3 - 3xy^2\right).$$
(1.1)

Setting the real and imaginary parts equal to zero, we find

$$x(1 + x^2 - 3y^2) = 0$$
 and  $y(1 + y^2 - 3x^2) = 0.$  (1.2)

From (1.2), x = 0 or  $x^2 = 3y^2 - 1$ . If x = 0, then y = 0 or  $y^2 = -1$ . Because y is a real number, y = 0. If  $x^2 = 3y^2 - 1$ , then substituting into the second equation of (1.2) gives

$$0 = y + y^3 - 3x^2y = -4y(2y^2 - 1), (1.3)$$

so  $y = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  or y = 0. Notice that y = 0 leads to  $x^2 = -1$  which is not possible because x is real. Thus  $x^2 = 3y^2 - 1$  implies  $x = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ , and there are five zeros of  $f_2(z)$ :  $0, \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \pm i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ . They are shown in Figure 1.1.

This example shows how the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra does not extend directly to polynomials of the form  $f = h + \bar{g}$  where h, g are nonzero polynomials in z; such polynomials are called *complex-valued harmonic polynomials* and are the subject of this thesis. We ask

Question: What can be said about the zeros of complex harmonic polynomials?



Figure 1.1: Zeros of an analytic and a complex harmonic polynomial.

In response to the above question, mathematicians began investigating a bound on the total number of zeros. Sheil-Small [8] conjectured that for complex harmonic polynomials  $f = h + \bar{g}$  where deg(h) = n, deg(g) = m, and  $m \leq n$ , the maximum number of zeros of f is  $n^2$ . Peretz and Schmid [7] and Wilmshurst [10] independently proved this conjecture. Wilmshurst also constructed a polynomial with  $n^2$  zeros to show this bound is sharp, and Bshouty et al. [2] constructed another example illustrating that the bound is sharp. Wilmshurst then conjectured that in the particular case where  $1 \leq m \leq n-1$ , f has at most m(m-1) + 3n - 2 zeros; however, Lee et al. [6] constructed counterexamples that show the conjecture does not hold in general.

Other mathematicians considered particular families of polynomials. Khavinson and Swiatek [5] looked at complex harmonic polynomials of the form  $f(z) = h(z) - \bar{z}$ . They showed that for  $n = \deg(h) > 1$ , the number of zeros is bounded by 3n - 2. Brilleslyper et al. [1] investigated the family of complex harmonic trinomials  $p_c(z) = z^n + c\bar{z}^k - 1$  where  $1 \le k \le n - 1, n \ge 3, c \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , and  $\gcd(n, k) = 1$ . They discovered that as c increases, the number of zeros increases from n to n + 2k.

In this thesis we investigate the complex harmonic family  $p_c(z) = z + \frac{c}{2}z^2 + \frac{c}{n-1}\overline{z}^{n-1} + \frac{1}{n}\overline{z}^n$ for c > 0 and integers  $n \ge 3$ . In Figure 1.2, we graph the zeros and *critical curve* for n = 8at several c values. The critical curve separates sense-preserving and sense-reversing regions;



Figure 1.2: The zeros and critical curve of the eighth degree polynomial  $p_c(z)$  when c = 0.8, c = 1.1, c = 1.4, and c = 1.7.

for a detailed discussion of these ideas see Chapter 2. In the case of  $p_{0.8}$ , the region inside the circle is sense-preserving and the region outside is sense-reversing. In the other graphs, the regions inside the shapes are sense-preserving except where they overlap; then they are sense-reversing. As shown in Figure 1.2, the unit circle is always part of the critical curve of  $p_c$ ; we prove this in Chapter 3.

# **Proposition 3.3.** The unit circle |z| = 1 is always part of the critical curve of $p_c(z)$ .

For analytic functions, there is a notion of order of a zero which can be defined as the minimum degree of a term in the Taylor expansion of the function about that point. For complex harmonic functions, there is a similar notion, but now the order of a zero can be positive or negative depending on whether the zero lies in a sense-preserving or sense-reversing region. The order is undefined if the zero lies on the critical curve. We will show in Chapter 4 that all the zeros of  $p_c$  are simple. Thus the sum of the order of the zeros in



Figure 1.3: The eighth degree polynomial  $p_c(z)$  when c = 2.3, c = 2.45, and c = 2.6.

Figure 1.3 is -8; in Chapter 4 we prove that for  $p_c$  the sum of the orders of the zeros is -n. In general, it is the sum of the orders of the zeros that is preserved in complex harmonic polynomials, not the total number of zeros; this gives a generalization of the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra that includes complex harmonic polynomials.

While the sum of the orders of the zeros is preserved, the total number of zeros may change. In Figure 1.3, we see that  $p_{2,3}$  has ten zeros: one at 0, one on the negative real axis, and one near each of the numbers  $8^{1/7}e^{i\frac{\pi+2\pi k}{9}}$  where k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8. (Note: k = 4is not included in this list.) In the case of  $p_{2,45}$ , there are eleven zeros: approximately the same ten as  $p_{2,3}$  as well as an additional one at z = -1 on the critical curve. The complex harmonic polynomial  $p_{2,6}$  has twelve zeros. Again, ten of the zeros are similar in location to those of  $p_{2,3}$ , but there are two new zeros on the negative real axis to the left and right of the critical curve. This illustrates how the number of zeros changes as c changes and as the zeros interact with the critical curve. In Chapter 4, we prove the following two theorems:

**Theorem 4.3.** For  $n \ge 5$  and 0 < c < 1, the complex harmonic polynomial  $p_c(z)$  has n + 2 distinct zeros.

**Theorem 4.4.** For  $n \ge 6$  and  $c \ge 4$ ,  $p_c(z)$  has n + 4 distinct zeros.

We now investigate what happens for values of c between 1 and 4. The number of zeros can change when a zero interacts with the critical curve and as mentioned previously the unit circle is always part of the critical curve; hence, we investigate when zeros of  $p_c$  occur on the unit circle. In Chapter 4, we prove

**Theorem 4.11.** For even  $n \ge 8$ , the complex harmonic function  $p_c(z)$  has no zeros on the unit circle except possibly at the point -1.

The outline for the remainder of this thesis is as follows:

In Chapter 2 we introduce general results for complex harmonic functions of the form  $f = h + \bar{g}$  where h, g are analytic. We will discuss properties of complex harmonic functions including orientation, orders of zeros, and a harmonic analog of Rouché's Theorem.

We use these results to analyze  $p_c$  in the following chapters. In Chapter 3, we analyze the critical curve for  $p_c$ . We show that the unit circle is always part of the critical curve; this is illustrated in Figure 1.3. For 0 < c < 1, we show that the unit circle is the entire critical curve. For sufficiently large c, we show that the parts of the critical curve sans the unit circle are bounded away from any zeros of  $p_c$ .

In Chapter 4, we use Rouché's Theorem to establish that the sum of the orders of zeros of  $p_c$  is -n. We then prove Theorems 4.3 and 4.4. We even go a step further and use Rouché's Theorem to localize the zeros of  $p_c$  in annuli or sectors of annuli.

The above theorems treat the cases for sufficiently small and sufficiently large values of c; it remains to determine what happens for intermediate values of c. As illustrated in Figure 1.3, the number of zeros changes when zeros interact with the critical curve. We begin by analyzing when zeros occur on the unit circle. In Chapter 4, we prove Theorem 4.11.

#### Chapter 2. Background

Here we review the relevant complex analysis. The results in this chapter are developed from Duren [3] with details added but no original results.

## 2.1 ANALYTIC AND COMPLEX HARMONIC FUNCTIONS

Let u(x, y) and v(x, y) be real-valued functions. A complex-valued function f = u + iv is analytic at the point  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  if the limit

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(z_0 + h) - f(z_0)}{h},$$

exists. We call this the derivative of f at  $z_0$  and label it  $f'(z_0)$ . A function f is analytic on  $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  if f is analytic at every point in D. An analytic function f satisfies the Cauchy-Riemann equations:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \qquad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}.$$

Moreover, a function f satisfying the Cauchy-Riemann equations and having continuous first partial derivatives is analytic. In addition to the usual partial derivatives in x, y, we have the differential operators  $\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)$ . It is common practice to use a subscript notation where  $f_z = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$  and  $f_{\bar{z}} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}$ . These operations relate to the Cauchy-Riemann equations:

**Lemma 2.1.** The Cauchy-Riemann equations are equivalent to  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let f = u + iv be a complex function. Then the definition of  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) (u + iv) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) + i \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus 
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0$$
 if and only if  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$  and  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$ .

The focus of this thesis is complex harmonic polynomials, so we begin developing results for complex functions that are harmonic. Recall that a real-valued function  $\phi(x, y)$  is *harmonic* if it is  $C^2$  and satisfies Laplace's equation  $\phi_{xx} + \phi_{yy} = 0$ . A complex-valued harmonic function f has the form f = u + iv for real harmonic functions u, v. Complex-valued harmonic functions have the following useful properties:

**Lemma 2.2.** If f is harmonic with continuous second partial derivatives then  $f_z$  is analytic.

*Proof.* Let f be harmonic, so  $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} = 0$ . By definition  $f_z = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)$ . Applying the differential operator  $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$  yields

$$f_{z\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} - i \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} + i \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} \right).$$

Because partial derivatives commute, we have

$$f_{z\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} \right).$$

Therefore  $f_{z\bar{z}} = 0$  because f is harmonic. Then by Lemma 2.1 we know  $f_z$  is analytic.  $\Box$ 

Using the above lemma, we now show every complex harmonic function can be written in the form  $f = h + \bar{g}$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** Complex-valued harmonic functions defined on a simply-connected domain can be written in the form  $f = h + \bar{g}$  for analytic functions h and g. This representation is unique up to an additive constant.

*Proof.* Let f be harmonic, so  $f_z$  is analytic by Lemma 2.2. Let  $h' = f_z$  and  $g = \overline{f} - \overline{h}$ . Then

$$g_{\bar{z}} = \frac{d}{d\bar{z}} \left( \bar{f} - \bar{h} \right) = \bar{f}_{\bar{z}} - \bar{h}_{\bar{z}} = \bar{f}_{z} - \bar{h}_{z} = \bar{f}_{z} - \bar{f}_{z} = 0$$

Therefore  $g_{\bar{z}} = 0$ . Then g is analytic because g has continuous first partial derivatives and satisfies Lemma 2.1. Re-arranging  $g_{\bar{z}} = \bar{f}_z - \bar{h}_z$  gives

$$f_z = h_z + \bar{g_z}$$

Using the fact that analytic functions have analytic antiderivatives,

$$f = h + \bar{g} + c.$$

Thus,  $f = h + \bar{g} + c$  for some constant c. Because constants are the only analytic and anti-analytic function, h and g are therefore only determined up to a constant.

For a moment we return to considering analytic functions. Recall that the Jacobian of a function f = u + iv viewed as a mapping from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is

$$J_f(z) = \begin{vmatrix} u_x & v_x \\ u_y & v_y \end{vmatrix} = u_x v_y - u_y v_x.$$

For f analytic, this simplifies to

$$J_f(x) = (u_x)^2 + (v_x)^2 = |f'(z)|^2$$

Notice that this quantity is always non-negative. When  $J_f(z)$  is positive, f is univalent and hence conformal, meaning f is orientation preserving (or sense-preserving) and f preserves angles between curves. Similarly,  $\bar{f}$  will be an anti-analytic function satisfying  $J_{\bar{f}}(z) \leq 0$ that is orientation reversing (or sense-reversing) when  $J_{\bar{f}}(z) < 0$ . Because complex harmonic polynomials are the sum of an analytic and an anti-analytic function, some portions of the complex plane will be sense-preserving and some will be sense-reversing. We give the details of these ideas below.

We claim that for  $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ ,  $J_f(z)$  can be written as  $|f_z|^2 - |f_{\bar{z}}|^2$ . First, we compute  $|f_z|^2$ 

and  $|f_{\bar{z}}|^2$ :

$$|f_{z}|^{2} = \left| \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) (u + iv) \right|^{2} = \frac{1}{4} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right|^{2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{4} \left( \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^{2} + 2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^{2} - 2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right)^{2} + \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right)^{2} \right),$$

and

$$|f_{\bar{z}}|^{2} = \left| \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) (u + iv) \right|^{2} = \frac{1}{4} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right|^{2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{4} \left( \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^{2} - 2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^{2} + 2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right)^{2} + \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right)^{2} \right).$$

Taking their difference yields

$$\left|f_{z}\right|^{2} - \left|f_{\bar{z}}\right|^{2} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$$

Therefore,  $J_f(z) = |f_z|^2 - |f_{\bar{z}}|^2$  for any  $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ . As before, we are interested in knowing when  $J_f(z)$  is positive or negative. To this end, we write  $J_f(z) = |f_z|^2 - |f_{\bar{z}}|^2 = (|f_z| - |f_{\bar{z}}|) (|f_z| + |f_{\bar{z}}|)$ . Because  $|f_z| + |f_{\bar{z}}| \ge 0$ , the sign of  $J_f(z)$  is determined by  $|f_z| - |f_{\bar{z}}|$ ; i.e.,  $J_f(z) > 0$  if and only if  $|f_z| > |f_{\bar{z}}|$ , which occurs if and only if  $|f_{\bar{z}}| / |f_z| < 1$ . Similarly,  $J_f(z) < 0$  if and only if  $|f_{\bar{z}}| / |f_z| > 1$ . We use this to define a function  $\omega(z) = \overline{f_{\bar{z}}}/f_z$  and call it the complex dilatation.

**Definition 2.4.** The *complex dilatation* of a complex function f is  $\omega(z) = \overline{f_{\bar{z}}}/f_z$ .

We have thus proved the following proposition:

**Proposition 2.5.** A complex function f is sense-preserving when  $|\omega(z)| < 1$  and sensereversing when  $|\omega(z)| > 1$ .

We call the curve separating the sense-preserving and sense-reversing regions of a function f the critical curve, and it is the set of all points in the complex plane such that  $|\omega(z)| = 1$ .

**Definition 2.6.** The *critical curve* of a complex function f is the set of all points  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $|\omega(z)| = 1$ .

Because complex harmonic functions have the form  $f = h + \bar{g}$ , we can re-write the function  $\omega(z)$  for complex harmonic functions as follows:

$$\omega(z) = \frac{\overline{f_{\bar{z}}}}{f_z} = \frac{\overline{\frac{d}{d\bar{z}}(h+\bar{g})}}{\frac{d}{dz}(h+\bar{g})} = \frac{\overline{g_{\bar{z}}(z)}}{h_z(z)} = \frac{g'(z)}{h'(z)}$$

## 2.2 Order of a Zero

We also need to understand the definition for the order of a zero of a complex harmonic function. Recall that for an analytic function F a point  $z_0$  is called a zero of order m if its first m-1 derivatives vanish at  $z_0$  but  $F^{(m)}(z_0) \neq 0$ ; equivalently, the Taylor series for Faround  $z_0$  takes the form  $F(z) = \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_k (z-z_0)^k$  where  $a_m \neq 0$ . Now consider a complex harmonic function in the form  $f = h + \bar{g}$  where h, g are analytic. Suppose f has a zero at some  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ . As we did above, write h and g as Taylor series centered at  $z_0$ :

$$h(z) = a_0 + \sum_{j=r}^{\infty} a_j (z - z_0)^j, \quad g(z) = b_0 + \sum_{j=s}^{\infty} b_j (z - z_0)^j$$

where r > 0, s > 0,  $a_r \neq 0$ , and  $b_s \neq 0$ . Because  $f(z_0) = 0$ ,  $b_0 = -\bar{a}_0$ . Then we consider the order of  $z_0$  to be r if  $z_0$  is in a sense-preserving region or -s if  $z_0$  is in a sense-reversing region; i.e., the notion of order for a zero of a complex harmonic function is analogous to the definition of order for a zero of an analytic function but now we include the added information about the region in which it lies. We comment that zeros in a sense-preserving or sense-reversing region are called *nonsingular zeros*. Zeros that lie on the critical curve are called *singular zeros*, and their order is not defined.

More rigorously, we consider cases to determine whether the zero  $z_0$  is in a sensepreserving or sense-reversing region. We then know the order of the zero from the Taylor series. Let  $z_0$  be a zero of the complex harmonic function  $f = h + \bar{g}$ . Case 1: Suppose s > r. Then

$$\omega(z_0) = \lim_{z \to z_0} \frac{g'(z)}{h'(z)} 
= \lim_{z \to z_0} \frac{\sum_{j=s}^{\infty} jb_j(z-z_0)^{j-1}}{\sum_{j=r}^{\infty} ja_j(z-z_0)^{j-1}} 
= \lim_{z \to z_0} \frac{\sum_{j=s}^{\infty} jb_j(z-z_0)^{j-r}}{\sum_{j=r}^{\infty} ja_j(z-z_0)^{j-r}} 
= 0$$

Thus  $z_0$  is always in a sense-preserving region when s > r and  $z_0$  has order r. Case 2: Suppose s < r. Then

$$\omega(z_0) = \lim_{z \to z_0} \frac{g'(z)}{h'(z)}$$
  
= 
$$\lim_{z \to z_0} \frac{\sum_{j=s}^{\infty} j b_j (z - z_0)^{j-1}}{\sum_{j=r}^{\infty} j a_j (z - z_0)^{j-1}}$$
  
= 
$$\lim_{z \to z_0} \frac{\sum_{j=s}^{\infty} j b_j (z - z_0)^{j-s}}{\sum_{j=r}^{\infty} j a_j (z - z_0)^{j-s}}$$
  
= 
$$\infty$$

Therefore,  $z_0$  is always in a sense-reversing region when s < r and  $z_0$  has order -s. Case 3: Lastly, suppose s = r. Then

$$\omega(z_0) = \lim_{z \to z_0} \frac{g'(z)}{h'(z)} 
= \lim_{z \to z_0} \frac{\sum_{j=s}^{\infty} b_j j (z - z_0)^{j-1}}{\sum_{j=s}^{\infty} a_j j (z - z_0)^{j-1}} 
= \lim_{z \to z_0} \frac{\sum_{j=s}^{\infty} b_j j (z - z_0)^{j-s}}{\sum_{j=s}^{\infty} a_j j (z - z_0)^{j-s}} 
= \frac{b_s}{a_s}$$

Then  $z_0$  is in a sense-preserving region if  $|b_s| < |a_s|$ ; in this case,  $z_0$  has order s. If  $|b_s| > |a_s|$ 

then  $z_0$  is a zero of order -s in a sense-reversing region.

## 2.3 The Argument Principle for Complex Harmonic Functions

Lastly, we consider the Argument Principle for analytic functions and its analog for complex harmonic functions. First recall the Argument Principle for analytic functions. Let f be an analytic function defined on a domain D bounded by a Jordan curve C oriented in the positive direction. Suppose that f is analytic in D, continuous in  $\overline{D}$ , and  $f(z) \neq 0$  on C. The *index* or *winding number* of the curve f(C) about the origin is the total change in argument of f(z) as z goes once around C divided by  $2\pi$ . We write it as  $I = (1/2\pi)\Delta_C \arg f(z)$ . Let N be the total number of zeros of f in D counted according to multiplicity. The Argument Principle states that N = I, and the proof of it utilizes the observation that f'/f has a simple pole with residue n when f has a zero of order n; written symbolically,

$$N = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \, dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \Delta_C \log f(z) = I.$$

We develop an analogous Argument Principle for complex harmonic functions, but first we need the following lemma:

#### Lemma 2.7. Nonsingular zeros of harmonic functions are isolated.

*Proof.* Let f be a harmonic polynomial and let  $z_0$  be a nonsingular zero. Without loss of generality, suppose that  $z_0$  is in a sense-preserving region. Then as before, we write  $f = h + \bar{g}$  where

$$h(z) = a_0 + \sum_{j=r}^{\infty} a_j (z - z_0)^j, \quad g(z) = b_0 + \sum_{j=s}^{\infty} b_j (z - z_0)^j$$

are the Taylor series of h, g centered at  $z_0$ . Because  $f(z_0) = 0$ , we have  $b_0 = -\bar{a}_0$ . Since  $z_0$  is in a sense-preserving region, we know r < s. Let  $b_j = 0$  for  $r \leq j < s$ , so

$$h(z) = a_0 + \sum_{j=r}^{\infty} a_j (z - z_0)^j, \quad g(z) = b_0 + \sum_{j=r}^{\infty} b_j (z - z_0)^j.$$

$$f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)} = a_r(z - z_0)^r \left(1 + \psi(z)\right),$$

where

$$\psi(z) = (\bar{b}_r/a_r)(\bar{z} - \bar{z}_0)^r (z - z_0)^{-r} + O(z - z_0).$$

Because  $|\bar{b}_r/a_r| < 1$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|\psi(z)| < 1$  for all z satisfying  $0 < |z - z_0| < \delta$ . Therefore,  $f(z) \neq 0$  near  $z_0$ .

A similar argument applies for zeros in sense-reversing regions, so we conclude that nonsingular zeros are isolated.  $\hfill \Box$ 

We now prove the analog of the Argument Principle for harmonic functions. This result, and its proof, are due to Duren et al. [4].

**Theorem 2.8.** (Argument Principle for Harmonic Functions) Let f be a harmonic function in a Jordan domain D with boundary C. Suppose f is continuous in  $\overline{D}$  and  $f(z) \neq 0$  on C. Suppose f has no singular zeros, and let N be the sum of the orders of the zeros of f in D. Then  $\Delta_C \arg f(z) = 2\pi N$ .

Proof. First, suppose f has no zeros in D; consequently, N = 0. We then need to show  $\Delta_C \arg f(z) = 0$ . Let  $\phi$  be a homeomorphism from the closed unit square S onto  $D \cup C$  where  $\phi: \partial S \to C$  is a homeomorphism. Then  $F = f \circ \phi$  is a continuous map of S into the complex plane with no zeros. We wish to show  $\Delta_{\partial S} \arg F(z) = 0$ . To this end, subdivide S into finitely many squares  $S_j$ . Choose them to be sufficiently small such that the argument of F(z) varies by at most  $\pi/2$ . Consequently,  $\Delta_{\partial S_j} \arg F(z) = 0$  and

$$\Delta_{\partial S} \arg F(z) = \sum_{j} \Delta_{\partial S_{j}} \arg F(z) = 0.$$

Because  $\phi$  is a homeomorphism of the boundary, we also get  $\Delta_C f(z) = 0$ .

Now suppose that f does have zeros in D. By Lemma 2.7, the zeros are isolated. Because the zeros are isolated and f does not vanish on C, there can only be a finite number of distinct zeros in D; call them  $z_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$ . At each zero  $z_j$ , take a circle  $\gamma_j$  of radius  $\delta$  centered at  $z_j$ . Because there are a finite number of zeros, take  $\delta$  small enough so that the  $\gamma_j$  all lie in D and do not intersect. Because there are finitely many  $\gamma_j$ , we can take a curve  $\lambda_j$  connecting  $\gamma_j$  to C such that each  $\lambda_j$  does not intersect any other  $\lambda_k$  or  $\gamma_\ell$ . We now consider the closed contour  $\Gamma$  formed by traveling along C in the positive direction and making detours along each  $\lambda_j$  to  $\gamma_j$  back along  $\lambda_j$ . Notice that  $\Gamma$  contains no zeros of f, so  $\Delta_{\Gamma}f(z) = 0$  by the above paragraph. We also have the contributions of the  $\lambda_j$  cancelling out because we traverse them in both directions. We are then left with

$$\Delta_C \arg f(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \Delta_{\gamma_j} \arg f(z),$$

where the circles  $\gamma_j$  are now traversed in the positive direction. We now only need to consider what happens at each  $z_j$ .

Suppose that f has a zero of order  $n_j > 0$  at  $z_j$ . Then by Lemma 2.7 we know  $f(z) = a_{n_j}(z-z_j)^{n_j} (1+\psi(z))$  where  $a_{n_j} \neq 0$  and  $|\psi(z)| < 1$  on a sufficiently small circle  $\gamma_j$  defined by  $|z-z_j| = \delta$ . This gives us

$$\Delta_{\gamma_i} \arg f(z) = n_j \Delta_{\gamma_i} \arg(z - z_j) + \Delta_{\gamma_i} \arg(1 + \psi(z)) = 2\pi n_j.$$

Similarly,  $\Delta_{\gamma_j} f(z) = 2\pi n_j$  for a zero of order  $n_j < 0$ . Therefore,

$$\Delta_C \arg f(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \Delta_{\gamma_j} \arg f(z) = 2\pi \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} n_j = 2\pi N,$$

where N is the sum of the orders of the zeros of f in D.

As in the analytic case, we get a version of Rouché's Theorem for harmonic functions as a corollary:

**Corollary 2.9.** (Rouché's Theorem for Complex Harmonic Functions) Let p and p + q be harmonic functions in D, continuous in  $\overline{D}$ , with no singular zeros in  $\overline{D}$ . If |q(z)| < |p(z)|

on C, then the sum of the orders of zeros of p and the sum of the orders of zeros of p + qare the same in D.

We comment that the above results are proved in generality, and in the following chapters we apply it to complex harmonic functions.

# CHAPTER 3. CRITICAL CURVE

It is well known that analytic functions are conformal when they have non-zero derivative which means in particular they are sense (or orientation) preserving. Because complex harmonic polynomials are the sum of an analytic function and the conjugate of an analytic function, they have regions of the plane in which they are sense-preserving and regions in which they are sense-reversing. The critical curve is the curve separating these regions. In this chapter, we explore the critical curve for the polynomial  $p_c(z) = z + \frac{c}{2}z^2 + \frac{c}{n-1}\bar{z}^{n-1} + \frac{1}{n}\bar{z}^n$  for c > 0.

#### 3.1 PROPERTIES OF THE CRITICAL CURVE

Using the notation of Chapter 2,  $p_c = h + \bar{g}$  where  $h(z) = z + \frac{c}{2}z^2$  and  $g(z) = \frac{c}{n-1}z^{n-1} + \frac{1}{n}z^n$ . The polynomial  $p_c$  is constructed so that the dilatation function is the product of Möbius transformations. In particular, the complex dilatation function for  $p_c$  is

$$\omega(z) = \frac{g'(z)}{h'(z)} = z^{n-2} \frac{z+c}{cz+1}.$$
(3.1)

There are advantages to this construction, and we begin by analyzing properties of the Möbius transformation  $\psi(z) = \frac{z+c}{cz+1}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $\psi(z) = \frac{z+c}{cz+1}$  for  $c \neq \pm 1$ . Then  $|\psi(z)| = 1$  if and only if |z| = 1.

*Proof.* This is a standard fact about Möbius transformations, and we include its proof for completeness.

Suppose  $|\psi(z)| = 1$ . Then

$$1 = \left|\frac{z+c}{cz+1}\right|^2 = \frac{(z+c)(\bar{z}+c)}{(cz+1)(c\bar{z}+1)}.$$

This equation is equivalent to

$$c^{2}|z|^{2} + cz + c\bar{z} + 1 = |z|^{2} + cz + c\bar{z} + c^{2}.$$

Simplifying yields

$$(c^2 - 1)|z|^2 = c^2 - 1.$$

Because  $c \neq \pm 1$ , this is equivalent to |z| = 1. Thus  $|\psi(z)| = 1$  implies |z| = 1. Assuming |z| = 1, the above set of equivalent equalities similarly gives  $|\psi(z)| = 1$ ; therefore,  $|\psi(z)| = 1$  if and only if |z| = 1.

**Lemma 3.2.** When 0 < c < 1, the function  $\psi(z) = \frac{z+c}{cz+1}$  is an automorphism of the unit disc with inverse  $\psi^{-1}(z) = \frac{z-c}{-cz+1}$ .

*Proof.* This is a standard fact about Möbius transformations, and we include its proof for completeness.

First, notice that  $\psi$  is holomorphic in the unit disc because 0 < c < 1 gives 1 < 1/c.

By Lemma 3.1, we know  $|\psi(z)| = 1$  if and only if |z| = 1. Therefore, if |z| = 1 we have  $|\psi(z)| = 1$  which means  $|\psi(z)| < 1$  for |z| < 1 by the Maximum Modulus Principle. Thus  $\psi$  maps the unit disc into the unit disc. Because  $\psi^{-1}$  is the same form as  $\psi$ , the above argument also gives that  $\psi^{-1}$  maps the unit disc into the unit disc.

Now observe that  $\psi^{-1}$  is in fact the inverse to  $\psi$ :

$$\psi(\psi^{-1}(z)) = \frac{\frac{z-c}{-cz+1} + c}{c\frac{z-c}{-cz+1} + 1} = \frac{z-c-c^2z+c}{cz-c^2-cz+1} = \frac{(1-c^2)z}{1-c^2} = z.$$

A similar computation gives that  $\psi^{-1}(\psi(z)) = z$  for all z. Therefore,  $\psi$  and  $\psi^{-1}$  are inverses and  $\psi$  is an automorphism of the unit disc.



Figure 3.1: The critical curve of the eighth degree polynomial  $p_c(z)$  when c = 1.35, c = 1.4, and c = 1.45.

We can now utilize the properties of  $\psi$  to prove properties of our critical curve. Recall from Chapter 2 that the critical curve is the set of all points  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $|\omega(z)| = 1$ ; we call this collection of points  $\Omega$ . The set  $\Omega$  changes as c changes, as illustrated in Figure 3.1. Moreover, for sufficiently large values of c (i.e.,  $c > \frac{n-1}{n-3}$ ), the critical curve splits into three distinct curves: the unit circle, a curve outside the unit circle, and a curve inside the unit circle. For these large values of c, we call the curve outside the unit circle  $\Omega_1$  and the curve inside the unit circle  $\Omega_2$ . These figures suggest, however, that the unit circle is part of the critical curve  $\Omega$  for any value of c.

## **Proposition 3.3.** The unit circle |z| = 1 is always part of the critical curve of $p_c$ .

*Proof.* If |z| = 1, then  $|z|^{n-2} = 1$  and  $|\psi(z)| = 1$  by Lemma 3.1. Consequently,  $|\omega(z)| = |z|^{n-2} |\psi(z)| = 1$  when |z| = 1. Thus the unit circle |z| = 1 is always part of  $p_c$ 's critical curve.

While the above proof is sufficient, it will be convenient to have an equation describing the critical curve  $\Omega$ , so we also provide an algebraic proof of Proposition 3.3.

*Proof.* Let  $|\omega(z)| = 1$ . Then

$$1 = \left| z^{n-2} \right| \frac{|z+c|}{|1+cz|}.$$

Squaring both sides of the equation yields

$$1 = \left|z^{n-2}\right|^2 \frac{\left|z+c\right|^2}{\left|1+cz\right|^2} = \left|z\right|^{2n-4} \frac{(z+c)(\overline{z+c})}{(1+cz)(\overline{1+cz})}$$

Multiplying and simplifying then gives

$$1 = |z|^{2n-4} \frac{z\bar{z} + c(z+\bar{z}) + c^2}{1 + c(z+\bar{z}) + c^2 z\bar{z}}$$

Letting  $z = re^{i\theta}$  for some  $r \ge 0$  and  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ , the above equation becomes

$$1 = r^{2n-4} \frac{r^2 + 2cr\cos\theta + c^2}{1 + 2cr\cos\theta + c^2r^2}$$

A simple calculation then yields

$$0 = r^{2n-2} + 2cr^{2n-3}\cos\theta + c^2r^{2n-4} - c^2r^2 - 2cr\cos\theta - 1.$$
(3.2)

Rearranging and factoring out  $r^2 - 1$  leaves us with

$$0 = (r^{2} - 1) \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} r^{2k} + 2cr\cos\theta \sum_{k=0}^{n-3} r^{2k} + c^{2}r^{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-4} r^{2k} \right].$$
 (3.3)

Thus the above equation is satisfied when r = 1, i.e., when z is on the unit circle.

While the unit circle is always part of the critical curve, for sufficiently small c the critical curve consists only of the unit circle.

**Proposition 3.4.** For 0 < c < 1, the critical curve of  $p_c$  consists only of the unit circle.

Again, we will provide two proofs. The first proof utilizes the properties of the Möbius function  $\psi$ . The second is an algebraic proof utilizing the equation from the algebraic proof of Proposition 3.3.

Proof. Let 0 < c < 1. If |z| < 1, then  $|z|^{n-2} < 1$  and  $|\psi|(z) < 1$  by the proof of Lemma 3.1, so  $|\omega(z)| = |z|^{n-2} |\psi(z)| < 1$  when |z| < 1. If |z| = 1,  $|z|^{n-2} = 1$  and  $|\psi(z)| = 1$ ; consequently,  $|\omega(z)| = 1$  when |z| = 1. If |z| > 1, then  $|z|^{n-2} > 1$  and  $|\psi(z)| > 1$  because  $\psi$  is an automorphism of the unit disc when 0 < c < 1 by Lemma 3.2. Then for |z| > 1,  $|\omega(z)| > 1$ . Therefore,  $|\omega(z)| = 1$  if and only if |z| = 1.

We now give the algebraic proof.

*Proof.* Let 0 < c < 1. Recall Equation 3.3 which gives a formulation of the critical curve:

$$0 = (r^2 - 1) \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} r^{2k} + 2cr\cos\theta \sum_{k=0}^{n-3} r^{2k} + c^2 r^2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-4} r^{2k} \right],$$

so either  $r^2 - 1 = 0$  or  $\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} r^{2k} + 2cr \cos \theta \sum_{k=0}^{n-3} r^{2k} + c^2 r^2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-4} r^{2k} = 0$ . The former equation is satisfied by  $r = \pm 1$ ; we show that the latter equation cannot be satisfied when 0 < c < 1.

First, notice that the following are equivalent:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} r^{2k} + 2cr\cos\theta \sum_{k=0}^{n-3} r^{2k} + c^2 r^2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-4} r^{2k}$$
  
=  $\sum_{k=0}^{n-4} r^{2k} + r^{2n-6} + r^{2n-4} + 2cr\cos\theta \sum_{k=0}^{n-4} r^{2k} + 2cr\cos\theta r^{2n-6} + c^2 r^2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-4} r^{2k}$   
=  $(1 + 2cr\cos\theta + c^2 r^2) \sum_{k=0}^{n-4} r^{2k} + r^{2n-6} + r^{2n-4} + 2c\cos\theta r^{2n-5}$   
=  $(1 + 2cr\cos\theta + c^2 r^2) \sum_{k=0}^{n-4} r^{2k} + (1 + r^2 + 2cr\cos\theta) r^{2n-6}$ 

By assumption 0 < c < 1, so  $0 < c^2 < 1$ . Then

$$(1 + 2cr\cos\theta + c^2r^2)\sum_{k=0}^{n-4} r^{2k} + (1 + r^2 + 2cr\cos\theta)r^{2n-6}$$
  
>  $(1 + 2cr\cos\theta + c^2r^2)\sum_{k=0}^{n-4} r^{2k} + (1 + c^2r^2 + 2cr\cos\theta)r^{2n-6}$ 

Factoring out  $c^2r^2 + 2cr\cos\theta + 1$  yields

$$(c^{2}r^{2} + 2cr\cos\theta + 1)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-3} r^{2k}\right) \ge (c^{2}r^{2} - 2cr + 1)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-3} r^{2k}\right)$$
$$= (cr - 1)^{2}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-3} r^{2k}\right)$$
$$\ge 0.$$

Thus when 0 < c < 1

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} r^{2k} + 2cr\cos\theta \sum_{k=0}^{n-3} r^{2k} + c^2 r^2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-4} r^{2k} > 0.$$

Consequently, Equation 3.3 is only satisfied when  $r^2 - 1 = 0$ ; therefore, the critical curve of  $p_c$  consists only of the unit circle when 0 < c < 1.

## 3.2 ANNULI FOR THE CRITICAL CURVE

The results that follow in this section are due to South and Woodall [9].

For sufficiently small c, the critical curve  $\Omega$  is the unit circle and determining sensepreserving and sense-reversing regions is simple. For sufficiently large c, the critical curve  $\Omega$  is composed of the unit circle,  $\Omega_1$ , and  $\Omega_2$ , so determining sense-preserving and sensereversing regions will naturally become more difficult. However, recall that we only use the orientation of a region to determine the order of a zero. If we can show that there are no zeros contained in  $\Omega_1$  or  $\Omega_2$ , then we only need to consider the location of a zero relative to the unit circle in order to determine its order. The rest of this section provides the details of such an argument.

Recall that the set of points satisfying Equation 3.2,

$$0 = r^{2n-2} + 2cr^{2n-3}\cos\theta + c^2r^{2n-4} - c^2r^2 - 2cr\cos\theta - 1,$$

is the critical curve. Consider the function

$$G(re^{i\theta}) = c^2 r^2 (r^{2n-6} - 1) + 2cr\cos(\theta)(r^{2n-4} - 1) + r^{2n-2} - 1.$$
(3.4)

The critical curve is the set of points satisfying  $G(re^{i\theta}) = 0$ , the sense-preserving region(s) are the sets of points satisfying  $G(re^{i\theta}) < 0$ , and the sense-reversing region(s) are the sets of points satisfying  $G(re^{i\theta}) > 0$ . We show that  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  are contained inside the respective annuli

$$A_1 = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 2 \le |z| \le c \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right) \right\} \text{ and } A_2 = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 < |z| \le \frac{3}{2c} \right\},$$

by showing that G is strictly positive (respectively negative) along the inner and outer circles bounding the annuli  $A_1$  (respectively  $A_2$ ) and by showing that G attains a negative (respectively positive) value inside the annuli. Because G is a continuous function equaling zero if and only if  $re^{i\theta}$  is part of the critical curve, this shows  $\Omega_1 \subseteq A_1$  and  $\Omega_2 \subseteq A_2$ .

To show G is strictly positive or negative along the inner and outer circles of our annuli, we create the following equivalencies for r < 1 and r > 1:

Case 1: Fix r < 1. Then  $r^k - 1 < 0$  for any positive integer k; consequently, G has a maximum value when  $\theta = \pi$ . Then to prove G < 0 on a given circle of radius r < 1, it suffices to show

$$G(re^{i\pi}) = c^2 r^{2n-4} - c^2 r^2 - 2cr^{2n-3} + 2cr + r^{2n-2} - 1$$
$$= (cr^{n-2} - r^{n-1})^2 - (cr - 1)^2$$
$$< 0.$$

This is equivalent to

$$\left| cr^{n-2} - r^{n-1} \right| < \left| cr - 1 \right|. \tag{3.5}$$

Case 2: A similar result holds for r > 1: For fixed r > 1,  $r^k - 1 > 0$ ; consequently, the

minimum occurs at  $\theta = \pi$ . To prove G > 0 on a given circle of radius r > 1, it suffices to show

$$G(re^{i\pi}) = (cr^{n-2} - r^{n-1})^2 - (cr - 1)^2 > 0.$$

Equivalently,

$$|cr-1| < |cr^{n-2} - r^{n-1}|.$$
(3.6)

**Lemma 3.5.** For  $n \ge 4$  and  $c \ge 4$ , the curve  $\Omega_1$  is contained in  $A_1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $n \ge 4$  and  $c \ge 4$ . First, notice that -c is contained in  $\Omega_1$  because

$$G(ce^{i\pi}) = -(c^2 - 1)^2 < 0.$$

Therefore, -c is in a sense-preserving region outside the unit circle; hence, -c is inside  $\Omega_1$ . Because  $2 < c < c\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)$ , we also have  $-c \in A_1$ . It remains to show G is strictly positive on the inner and outer circles bounding  $A_1$ .

Let r = 2. Because 2 > 1, we know G > 0 on the circle of radius 2 if and only if Equation 3.6 is satisfied for r = 2; i.e.,

$$|2c-1| < |2^{n-2}c - 2^{n-1}|$$
$$2c-1 < 2^{n-2}c - 2^{n-1}.$$

Solving for c, the above is equivalent to  $c > \frac{2^{n-1}-1}{2^{n-2}-2}$ . Because  $c \ge 4$  by assumption and

$$\frac{2^{n-1}-1}{2^{n-2}-2} \le \frac{2^{n-1}}{2^{n-2}-2^{n-3}} = 4 \le c,$$

Equation 3.6 is satisfied. Therefore, G > 0 on the circle of radius 2.

We now show G > 0 on the circle of radius  $c\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)$ . By assumption,  $c \ge 4$ . Because we

also assume  $n \ge 4$ ,

$$n^{\frac{1}{n-3}}\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right) \le n^{\frac{1}{n-3}} \le 4^{\frac{1}{n-3}} \le 4.$$

Therefore  $c > n^{\frac{1}{n-3}} \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)$ . We now work backwards to show Equation 3.6 is satisfied. First, notice  $c > n^{\frac{1}{n-3}} \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)$  is equivalent to the following:

$$n^{\frac{1}{n-3}} \frac{n}{n+1} < c$$

$$n\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^{n-3} < c^{n-3}$$

$$c^2\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right) < c^{n-1}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^{n-2}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

Because  $c^2\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right) - 1 < c^2\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)$ , we have  $c^2\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right) - 1 < c^{n-1}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^{n-2}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$ . This gives the following equivalent statements:

$$\begin{aligned} c^{2}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right) &-1 < c^{n-1}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^{n-2}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\ c^{2}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right) &-1 < c^{n-1}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^{n-2}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}-1\right) \\ c^{2}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right) &-1 < c^{n-1}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^{n-1} - c^{n-1}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^{n-2} \\ c^{2}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right) &-1 \end{vmatrix} < \left|c^{n-1}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^{n-2} - c^{n-1}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^{n-1} \\ &|cr-1| < |cr^{n-2} - r^{n-1}|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Equation 3.6 is satisfied by  $r = c\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)$  and all  $c > n^{\frac{1}{n-3}} \frac{n}{n+1}$ ; hence, it is satisfied by  $c \ge 4$ . Therefore,  $G\left(c^{\frac{n+1}{n}}e^{i\theta}\right) > 0$  for all  $\theta$ .

Because -c is contained in  $\Omega_1$  and G is strictly positive on the circles of radius 2 and radius  $c\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)$ , we know  $\Omega_1$  is contained inside the annulus  $A_1$ .

We now show there are no zeros of  $p_c$  inside  $A_1$ . This then allows us to conclude that there are no zeros of  $p_c$  inside  $\Omega_1$ . **Lemma 3.6.** Let  $n \ge 6$  and  $c \ge 4$ . Then there are no zeros of  $p_c$  inside  $A_1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $n \ge 6$  and  $c \ge 4$ . We wish to show  $p_c(z) \ne 0$  for any  $z \in A_1$ . This claim will follow if we can show

$$\left| p_{c}(z) \right| \geq \left| \frac{c}{n-1} \bar{z}^{n-1} \right| - \left| z + \frac{c}{2} z^{2} + \frac{1}{n} \bar{z}^{n} \right| \geq \frac{c}{n-1} |z|^{n-1} - |z| - \frac{c}{2} |z|^{2} - \frac{1}{n} |z|^{n} > 0$$

for all  $z \in A_1$ . Thus we will show  $p(x) = \frac{c}{n-1}x^{n-1} - x - \frac{c}{2}x^2 - \frac{1}{n}x^n$  is positive on the interval  $\left[2, c\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)\right]$ . Notice that dividing by x does not impact the sign for positive x, so without loss of generality consider  $q(x) = \frac{c}{n-1}x^{n-2} - 1 - \frac{c}{2}x - \frac{1}{n}x^{n-1}$ . By Descartes' Rule of Signs,  $q(x) = -\frac{1}{n}x^{n-1} + \frac{c}{n-1}x^{n-2} - \frac{c}{2}x - 1$  has at most two positive real roots. We use the Intermediate Value Theorem to show the zeros of q happen in the intervals (0, 2) and  $\left(c\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right), \infty\right)$ . We first show q(2) > 0 and  $q\left(c\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)\right) > 0$ . Observe the following:

$$q(2) = -\frac{1}{n}2^{n-1} + \frac{c}{n-1}2^{n-2} - \frac{c}{2}2 - 1 = 2^{n-1}\left(\frac{c}{2(n-1)} - \frac{1}{n}\right) - c - 1$$
$$> \frac{2^{n-1}}{n}\left(\frac{c}{2} - 1\right) - c - 1 \ge \frac{16}{3}\left(\frac{c}{2} - 1\right) - c - 1 = \frac{5}{3}c - \frac{19}{3}.$$

Because  $c \ge 4$ ,  $q(2) > \frac{5}{3}c - \frac{19}{3} \ge \frac{1}{3} > 0$ . We now show  $q\left(c\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)\right) > 0$ . Evaluating q at  $c\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)$  yields

$$q\left(c\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)\right) = \frac{1}{n-1}c^{n-1}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^{n-2} - \frac{1}{n}c^{n-1}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2}c^{2}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right) - 1$$
$$= c^{n-1}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^{n-2}\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}(n-1)}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)c^{2} - 1$$
$$= c^{2}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)\left(c^{n-3}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^{n-3}\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}(n-1)}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\right) - 1$$
$$> c^{2}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)\left(4^{n-3}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^{n-3}\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}(n-1)}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\right) - 1$$
$$= c^{2}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)\left(\lambda(n) - \frac{1}{2}\right) - 1,$$
(3.7)

where  $\lambda(n) = 4^{n-3} \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^{n-3} \left(\frac{1}{n^2(n-1)}\right)$ ; we will show  $\lambda(n+1) > \lambda(n)$ . Equivalently, we show  $\lambda(n+1)/\lambda(n) > 1$ :

$$\frac{\lambda(n+1)}{\lambda(n)} = \frac{2 \cdot 4^{n-2} \left(\frac{n+2}{n+1}\right)^{n-2} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{2n}}}{2 \cdot 4^{n-3} \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^{n-3} \frac{1}{n^{2}(n-1)}}$$
$$= 4 \left(\frac{n+2}{n+1}\right)^{n-2} \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^{n-3} \frac{n(n-1)}{(n+1)^{2}}$$
$$= 4 \left(\frac{n^{2}+2n}{n^{2}+2n+1}\right)^{n-3} \frac{(n+2)n(n-1)}{(n+1)^{3}}$$
$$= 4 \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^{2}+2n+1}\right)^{n-3} \frac{n^{3}+n^{2}-2n}{(n+1)^{3}}$$
$$\ge 4 \left(1 - \frac{1}{2n}\right)^{n} \frac{n^{3}+n^{2}-2n}{(n+1)^{3}}.$$

Let  $A(n) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2n}\right)^n$  and  $B(n) = \frac{n^3 + n^2 - 2n}{(n+1)^3}$ . We will show that A and B are both increasing functions by taking their derivatives. First,

$$A'(n) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2n}\right)^n \left(\ln\left(1 - \frac{1}{2n}\right) + \frac{1}{2n-1}\right).$$

Then A(n) will be increasing whenever  $\ln\left(1-\frac{1}{2n}\right)+\frac{1}{2n-1}>0$ . Notice  $1+x < e^x$  for all  $x \neq 0$ . Then  $1+\frac{1}{2n-1} \leq e^{\frac{1}{2n-1}}$ , so  $\frac{2n}{2n-1} \leq e^{\frac{1}{2n-1}}$ . Taking the natural log of both sides,  $\ln\left(\frac{2n}{2n-1}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2n-1}$  which simplifies to  $-\ln\left(1-\frac{1}{2n}\right) < \frac{1}{2n-1}$ , and we conclude that A(n) is increasing. For B(n),

$$B'(n) = 2\frac{n^2 + 3n - 1}{(n+1)^4}$$

which is clearly positive for  $n \ge 1$ . Therefore B(n) is increasing for  $n \ge 1$ . Because A and B are both increasing,

$$\frac{\lambda(n+1)}{\lambda(n)} \ge 4\left(1 - \frac{1}{2n}\right)^n \frac{n^3 + n^2 - 2n}{(n+1)^3} \ge 1.66 > 1.$$

Therefore  $\lambda(n+1) > \lambda(n)$  for  $n \ge 6$ ; consequently,  $\lambda(n) \ge \lambda(6)$  for all n. Calculating  $\lambda(6)$ 

yields

$$\lambda(6) = 4^3 \left(\frac{7}{6}\right)^3 \left(\frac{1}{180}\right) \ge 0.564.$$

We now show  $q\left(c\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)\right) > 0$ . Equation 3.7 becomes

$$q\left(c\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)\right) > c^2\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)\left(\lambda(n) - \frac{1}{2}\right) - 1 \ge c^2\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)\left(0.564 - \frac{1}{2}\right) - 1$$
$$> 4^2\left(0.064\right) - 1 = 0.024 > 0.$$

We now return to our IVT argument. Observe that q(0) = -1 and q(2) > 0; therefore, q has a zero in the interval (0, 2). Similarly  $q\left(c\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)\right) > 0$  and  $\lim_{x\to\infty} q(x) = -\infty$ ; therefore, q has a zero in the interval  $\left(c\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right),\infty\right)$ . Because all the positive zeros of q are accounted for, we know q(x) > 0 in the interval  $\left(2, c\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)\right)$ ; consequently, p(x) > 0 for all such x and  $p_c$  cannot have a zero in the annulus  $A_1$ .

Our desired result then follows as a corollary.

**Corollary 3.7.** Let  $n \ge 6$  and  $c \ge 4$ . There are no zeros of  $p_c$  inside  $\Omega_1$ .

*Proof.* There are no zeros of  $p_c$  in  $A_1$  by Lemma 3.6 and  $\Omega_1$  is contained in  $A_1$  by Lemma 3.6; therefore, there are no zeros of  $p_c$  inside  $\Omega_1$ .

We now make a similar argument to show  $\Omega_2 \subseteq A_2$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** For  $n \ge 4$  and  $c \ge 2\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-3}}$ , the curve  $\Omega_2$  is contained inside  $A_2$ . *Proof.* Let  $n \ge 4$  and  $c \ge 2\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-3}}$ . First, we show -1/c is in  $\Omega_2$ . Notice that

$$G\left(\frac{1}{c}e^{i\pi}\right) = \frac{1}{c^{2n-2}}(c^2-1)^2 > 0,$$

so -1/c is in a sense-reversing region inside the unit circle; therefore, -1/c is inside  $\Omega_1$ . Because 0 < 1/c < 3/(2c),  $-1/c \in A_2$ . It remains to show no part of the critical curve lies on the boundary of  $A_2$ ; i.e., G is strictly negative on the outer circle of  $A_2$ . We now work backwards to show Equation 3.5 is satisfied on the circle of radius  $\frac{3}{2c}$ . By assumption,  $c \ge 2\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-3}}$ . This is equivalent to

$$2\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{n-2} \le c^{n-3}$$
$$\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{n-2} \frac{c}{c^{n-2}} \le \frac{1}{2}$$
$$\left(\frac{3}{2c}\right)^{n-2} c \le \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then  $\left(\frac{3}{2c}\right)^{n-2} \left(c - \frac{3}{2c}\right) < \left(\frac{3}{2c}\right)^{n-2} c \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , which gives us the following set of equivalences:

$$\left(\frac{3}{2c}\right)^{n-2} \left(c - \frac{3}{2c}\right) < \frac{1}{2} \\ \left(\frac{3}{2c}\right)^{n-2} \left|c - \frac{3}{2c}\right| < \frac{1}{2} \\ \left|c\left(\frac{3}{2c}\right)^{n-2} - \left(\frac{3}{2c}\right)^{n-1}\right| < \left|c\frac{3}{2c} - 1\right| \\ \left|cr^{n-2} - r^{n-1}\right| < |cr - 1|.$$

where  $r = \frac{3}{2c}$ . Therefore, Equation 3.5 is satisfied and G < 0 on the circle of radius  $r = \frac{3}{2c}$ . Additionally, note that C(0) = -1 < 0. We then conclude that  $\Omega_{c}$  is contained inside

Additionally, note that G(0) = -1 < 0. We then conclude that  $\Omega_2$  is contained inside the punctured disc  $A_2$ .

Similar to  $\Omega_1$  and  $A_1$ , we have  $\Omega_2 \subseteq A_2$  and we now show there are no zeros of  $p_c$  inside the punctured disc  $A_2$ . We then conclude there are no zeros of  $p_c$  inside  $\Omega_2$ .

**Lemma 3.9.** Let  $n \ge 6$  and  $c \ge 4$ . Then there are no zeros of  $p_c$  inside  $A_2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $n \ge 6$  and  $c \ge 4$ . We want to show  $p_c(z) \ne 0$  for any  $z \in A_2$ . This claim will follow if we can show

$$\left| p_{c}(z) \right| \geq |z| - \left| \frac{c}{2} z^{2} + \frac{c}{n-1} \bar{z}^{n-1} + \frac{1}{n} \bar{z}^{n} \right| \geq |z| - \frac{c}{2} |z|^{2} - \frac{c}{n-1} |z|^{n-1} - \frac{1}{n} |z|^{n} > 0.$$

for all  $z \in A_2$ . This simplifies to showing  $p(x) = x - \frac{c}{2}x^2 - \frac{c}{n-1}x^{n-1} - \frac{1}{n}x^n$  is positive for all x satisfying  $0 < x < \frac{3}{2c}$ . Notice that dividing by x does not impact the sign for such x values, so without loss of generality consider  $q(x) = 1 - \frac{c}{2}x - \frac{c}{n-1}x^{n-2} - \frac{1}{n}x^{n-1}$ . Notice that

$$q(x) \ge 1 - \frac{c}{2} \left(\frac{3}{2c}\right) - \frac{c}{n-1} \left(\frac{3}{2c}\right)^{n-2} - \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{3}{2c}\right)^{n-1} = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{c}{n-1} \left(\frac{3}{2c}\right)^{n-2} - \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{3}{2c}\right)^{n-1}$$
(3.8)

$$= \frac{1}{4} - \frac{3^{n-2}}{(n-1)2^{n-2} \cdot c^{n-2}} - \frac{3^{n-1}}{n2^{n-1} \cdot c^{n-1}}.$$
(3.9)

Because  $\frac{3}{2c} < 1$ , Equation 3.8 illustrates that for fixed c the latter terms are decreasing as n increases. Thus the expression  $\frac{1}{4} - \frac{c}{n-1} \left(\frac{3}{2c}\right)^{n-2} - \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{3}{2c}\right)^{n-1}$  increases as n increases. Equation 3.9 shows how for fixed n the latter terms are decreasing as c increases; consequently, the expression  $\frac{1}{4} - \frac{c}{n-1} \left(\frac{3}{2c}\right)^{n-2} - \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{3}{2c}\right)^{n-1}$  is increasing as c increases. Because the above expression is increasing in n, c and  $n \ge 6, c \ge 4$ , we know it attains a minimum when n = 6 and c = 4. This yields

$$q(x) \ge \frac{1}{4} - \frac{c}{n-1} \left(\frac{3}{2c}\right)^{n-2} - \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{3}{2c}\right)^{n-1}$$
$$\ge \frac{1}{4} - \frac{4}{6-1} \left(\frac{3}{2\cdot 4}\right)^{6-2} - \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{3}{2\cdot 4}\right)^{6-1}$$
$$\ge 0.23$$
$$> 0.$$

Therefore q(x) > 0 for all  $0 < x \leq \frac{3}{2c}$ ; hence p(x) > 0 for all  $0 < x \leq \frac{3}{2c}$ . We conclude  $p_c(z) \neq 0$  for any  $z \in A_2$ .

Again, our desired result follows as a corollary.

**Corollary 3.10.** Let  $c \ge 4$  and  $n \ge 6$ . Then there are no zeros of  $p_c$  inside  $\Omega_2$ .

*Proof.* There are no zeros of  $p_c$  in  $A_2$  by Lemma 3.9 and  $\Omega_2$  is contained in  $A_2$  by Lemma 3.8; therefore, there are no zeros of  $p_c$  inside  $\Omega_2$ .

We now combine Corollaries 3.7 and 3.10 into one theorem.

**Theorem 3.11.** For  $n \ge 6$  and  $c \ge 4$ ,  $p_c$  has no zeros inside  $\Omega_1$  or  $\Omega_2$ .

This theorem allows us to state that for sufficiently large c, the critical curve is composed of the unit circle,  $\Omega_1$ , and  $\Omega_2$ , but we only need to consider the unit circle when determining the order of a zero. We will use this theorem extensively in Chapter 4.

## Chapter 4. Zeros

With Rouché's Theorem for Complex Harmonic Functions from Chapter 2 and the results about the critical curve of  $p_c$  from Chapter 3, we are now able to prove results about the total number of zeros of  $p_c$  and their locations. We first prove that the nonsingular zeros of  $p_c$ are simple. We then go into Section 4.1 to prove results for sufficiently small and sufficiently large values of c. In Section 4.2, we begin investigating what happens for intermediate values of c.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  be a nonsingular zero of  $p_c$ . Then  $z_0$  has order 1 if it is in a sense-preserving region and order -1 if it is in a sense-reversing region.

Proof. Let  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  be a nonsingular zero of  $p_c$ . First, suppose that  $z_0$  is in a sense-preserving region, so  $|\omega(z_0)| < 1$  and the order of  $z_0$  can be determined by considering the order of vanishing of h at  $z_0$ . Notice that  $h'(z_0) = 1 + cz_0$ . Therefore,  $h'(z_0) \neq 0$  whenever  $z_0 \neq -1/c$ and  $z_0$  is a simple zero. If  $z_0 = -1/c$ ,  $|\omega(-1/c)| = \infty > 1$ , a contradiction. Therefore every nonsingular zero of  $p_c$  in a sense-preserving region has order 1.

Now let  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  be a nonsingular zero of  $p_c$  in a sense-reversing region, so  $|\omega(z_0)| > 1$ . Then the order of  $z_0$  is determined by considering the order of vanishing of g at  $z_0$ . We have  $g'(z_0) = z_0^{n-2}(c+z_0)$ . Therefore  $z_0$  has order -1 unless  $z_0 = 0$  or -c. If  $z_0 = 0$ , then  $|\omega(0)| = 0 < 1$ , a contradiction. Similarly if  $z_0 = -c$  then  $|\omega(-c)| = 0 < 1$ , a contradiction. Therefore every zero in a sense-reversing region has order -1.

#### 4.1 ROUCHÉ THEOREM ARGUMENTS

We begin with a standard result to find the sum of the orders of the zeros of  $p_c$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** For  $n \ge 3$  and any  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ , the sum of the orders of the nonsingular zeros of  $p_c(z) = z + \frac{c}{2}z^2 + \frac{c}{n-1}\bar{z}^{n-1} + \frac{1}{n}\bar{z}^n$  is -n.

*Proof.* Let R be sufficiently large so that  $R + \frac{|c|}{2}R^2 + \frac{|c|}{n-1}R^{n-1} < \frac{1}{n}R^n$ , and let  $f(z) = \frac{1}{n}\bar{z}^n$ . Then on the circle |z| = R,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| p_{c}(z) - f(z) \right| &= \left| z + \frac{c}{2} z^{2} + \frac{c}{n-1} \bar{z}^{n-1} \right| \leq |z| + \frac{|c|}{2} |z|^{2} + \frac{|c|}{n-1} |\bar{z}|^{n-1} \\ &= R + \frac{|c|}{2} R^{2} + \frac{|c|}{n-1} R^{n-1} < \frac{1}{n} R^{n} = \left| f(z) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Because  $|p_c - f| < |f|$  where |z| = R, Rouché's Theorem for Complex Harmonic Functions gives that  $p_c(z)$  and f(z) have the same sum of orders of zeros. Notice that f has one zero at z = 0 of order -n; hence, the orders of the zeros of  $p_c$  sum to -n.

Now that we know the sum of the orders of zeros of  $p_c$  is -n, we can prove how many distinct zeros  $p_c$  must have for certain values of c. First, we consider sufficiently small values of c.

**Theorem 4.3.** For  $n \ge 5$  and 0 < c < 1, the complex harmonic polynomial  $p_c(z)$  has n + 2 distinct zeros.

*Proof.* Let f(z) = z. We apply Rouché's Theorem for Complex Harmonic Functions to  $p_c - f$ on the unit circle |z| = 1:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| p_c(z) - f(z) \right| &= \left| \frac{c}{2} z^2 + \frac{c}{n-1} \bar{z}^{n-1} + \frac{1}{n} \bar{z}^n \right| \le \frac{c}{2} + \frac{c}{n-1} + \frac{1}{n} \\ &< \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n-1} + \frac{1}{n} \le \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} < 1 = \left| f(z) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $|p_c(z) - f(z)| < |f(z)|$  on |z| = 1. Because f(z) = z has one zero at z = 0 of order 1 in the unit circle, the sum of the orders of zeros of  $p_c$  in the unit circle is 1.

Recall that for 0 < c < 1 the critical curve of  $p_c$  consists only of the unit circle and  $|\omega(z)| < 1$  if and only if |z| < 1 by Proposition 3.4. Therefore the zeros of  $p_c$  in the unit circle must have positive order, so  $p_c$  has one zero of order 1 inside the unit circle. Since all our zeros are simple, there must be n + 1 distinct zeros in the sense-reversing region by Proposition 4.2. Therefore,  $p_c(z)$  has n + 2 distinct zeros when 0 < c < 1.

Now, we consider sufficiently large values of c.

**Theorem 4.4.** For  $n \ge 6$  and  $c \ge 4$ ,  $p_c(z)$  has n + 4 distinct zeros.

*Proof.* Let  $f(z) = \frac{c}{2}z^2$ . We apply Rouché's Theorem for Complex Harmonic Functions to  $p_c - f$  on the unit circle |z| = 1:

$$|p_c(z) - f(z)| = \left| z + \frac{c}{n-1} \bar{z}^{n-1} + \frac{1}{n} \bar{z}^n \right| \le 1 + \frac{c}{n-1} + \frac{1}{n} \\ \le 1 + \frac{c}{5} + \frac{1}{6} = \frac{7}{6} + \frac{c}{5} < \frac{c}{2} = |f(z)|.$$

Thus  $|p_c(z) - f(z)| < |f(z)|$  on |z| = 1. Because f only has one zero of order 2 in |z| = 1, we know by Rouché's Theorem for Complex Harmonic Functions that the sum of the orders of zeros of  $p_c$  inside |z| = 1 is 2. Because  $p_c$  is sense-preserving inside the unit circle and  $\Omega_2$ is bounded away from any zeros inside the unit circle by Theorem 3.11, all the zeros of  $p_c$ inside the unit circle must have positive order. Therefore,  $p_c$  has two simple zeros of positive order inside the unit circle. Because there are no zeros in  $\Omega_1$  by Theorem 3.11, there must be n + 2 zeros in the sense-reversing region by Proposition 4.2. Because all these zeros are simple,  $p_c$  has n + 4 distinct zeros when  $c \ge 4$ .

4.1.1 Location of Zeros for Small Values of c. By Theorem 4.3,  $p_c$  has n+2 distinct zeros for 0 < c < 1. As shown in Figure 4.1, n + 1 of those zeros are arranged in a circle about the origin. It then makes sense to use Rouché's Theorem for Complex Harmonic Functions to pin down the locations of these zeros to annuli and to sectors of annuli. Because Rouché's Theorem compares functions, we first construct a candidate function by taking



Figure 4.1: The zeros of the eighth degree polynomial  $p_{0.4}(z)$ .

 $f_0(z) = \lim_{c \to 0} p_c(z)$ . We locate the zeros and sense-preserving and sense-reversing regions of  $f_0$ . Then we compare  $p_c$  to  $f_0$  in order to determine where the zeros of  $p_c$  are for sufficiently small values of c.

**Lemma 4.5.** Let  $f_0(z) = \lim_{c \to 0} p_c(z) = z + \frac{1}{n} \bar{z}^n$  for any  $n \ge 2$ . Then  $f_0(z)$  has n + 2 zeros: z = 0 and n + 1 of the form  $z = n^{\frac{1}{n-1}} e^{i\frac{\pi+2\pi k}{n+1}}$  for  $0 \le k \le n$ .

Proof. The dilatation function of  $f_0$  is  $\omega_{f_0}(z) = z^{n-1}$ . Then the critical curve is  $|\omega_{f_0}(z)| = 1$ , which trivially simplifies to the unit circle |z| = 1, and the sense-preserving region of  $f_0$  is the set of all z such that |z| < 1 and the sense-reversing region is the set of all z such that |z| > 1. By Proposition 4.2, the sum of the orders of the zeros of  $f_0$  is -n.

We explicitly calculate the zeros of  $f_0$ . Clearly,  $f_0(0) = 0$ . Moreover, the dilatation curve of  $f_0$  is  $\omega_{f_0}(z) = z^{n-1}$ , so  $\omega_{f_0}(0) = 0 < 1$  which means 0 is in the sense-preserving region of  $f_0$ . Because  $\frac{d}{dz}(z) = 1$ , 0 is a zero of order 1.

Now let  $z = re^{it}$  such that f(z) = 0 and  $z \neq 0$ . Then  $f_0(z) = 0$  becomes  $re^{it} + \frac{1}{n}r^n e^{-int} = 0$ . This simplifies to  $e^{i(n+1)t} = -\frac{1}{n}r^{n-1}$ . Because  $\left|e^{i(n+1)t}\right| = 1$ ,  $\left|-\frac{1}{n}r^{n-1}\right| = 1$ , so  $\frac{1}{n}r^{n-1} = 1$  which means  $r = n^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$ . Then  $e^{i(n+1)t} = -1$ , so  $t = \frac{\pi+2\pi k}{n+1}$  for integers k satisfying  $0 \leq k \leq n$ . Then  $f_0(z_k) = 0$  where  $z_k = n^{\frac{1}{n-1}}e^{i\frac{\pi+2\pi k}{n+1}}$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n$ . Notice that each  $z_k$  is in a sense-reversing region. Moreover,  $\frac{d}{dz}\left(\frac{1}{n}z^n\right) = z^{n-1}$  but  $z_k^{n-1} \neq 0$ . Therefore, each  $z_k$  is a zero of  $f_0$  of order -1.

Thus  $f_0$  has n+1 zeros.

Now that we have our candidate function  $f_0$ , we can compare  $f_0$  and  $p_c$  using Rouché's Theorem for Complex Harmonic Functions. Let  $\arg_0(z)$  be the branch of  $\arg(z)$  taking values in  $[0, 2\pi)$ .

**Proposition 4.6.** Let  $n \ge 5$ . For  $r_1, r_2$  chosen such that  $0 < r_1 < n^{\frac{1}{n-1}} < r_2$  there exists a  $0 < c_0 < 1$  such that for all  $0 < c \le c_0$  there are n + 1 zeros of  $p_c$  in the annulus  $A_0 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : r_1 < |z| < r_2\}$ . Moreover, for these same  $r_1, r_2$ , there exists a  $c_S > 0$  such that for all  $0 < c \le c_S$  each sector  $S_k = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : r_1 < |z| < r_2, \frac{\pi(1+4k)}{2(n-1)} < \arg_0(z) < \frac{\pi(3+4k)}{2(n+1)}\}$ contains one zero of  $p_c$ .

Proof. Let  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $0 < r_1 < n^{\frac{1}{n-1}} < r_2$ . Let |z| = r be arbitrary. Then  $|f_0(z)| = |z + \frac{1}{n} \overline{z}^n| \ge |r - \frac{1}{n} r^n|$ . We will use Rouché's Theorem for Complex Harmonic Functions to compare  $p_c - f_0$  to  $f_0$  on  $C_{r_1} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = r_1\}, C_{r_2} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = r_2\}$ , and line segments connecting these two circles.

Case 1: Let  $r = r_1 < n^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$ . Then  $r_1 - \frac{1}{n}r_1^n > 0$ , so  $(r_1 - \frac{1}{n}r_1^n)/(\frac{1}{2}r_1^2 + \frac{1}{n-1}r_1^{n-1}) > 0$ . There exists a  $0 < c_1 < 1$  such that  $(r_1 - \frac{1}{n}r_1^n)/(\frac{1}{2}r_1^2 + \frac{1}{n-1}r_1^{n-1}) > c_1 > 0$ ; thus,  $c_1(\frac{1}{2}r_1^2 + \frac{1}{n-1}r_1^{n-1}) < r_1 - \frac{1}{n}r_1^n$ . Then on the circle of radius  $r_1$  and all  $0 < c \le c_1$ ,

$$\left| p_{c}(z) - f_{0}(z) \right| = \left| \frac{c}{2} z^{2} + \frac{c}{n-1} \bar{z}^{n-1} \right| \leq \frac{c_{1}}{2} r_{1}^{2} + \frac{c_{1}}{n-1} r_{1}^{n-1}$$
$$= c_{1} \left( \frac{1}{2} r_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{n-1} r_{1}^{n-1} \right) < r_{1} - \frac{1}{n} r_{1}^{n} \leq \left| f_{0}(z) \right|.$$

Case 2: Let  $r = r_2 > n^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$ . Then  $\frac{1}{n}r_2^n - r_2 > 0$ , so  $(\frac{1}{n}r_2^n - r_2)/(\frac{1}{2}r_2^2 + \frac{1}{n-1}r_2^{n-1}) > 0$ . There exists a  $0 < c_2 < 1$  such that  $(\frac{1}{n}r_2^n - r_2)/(\frac{1}{2}r_2^2 + \frac{1}{n-1}r_2^{n-1}) > c_2 > 0$ ; thus  $c_2(\frac{1}{2}r_2^2 + \frac{1}{n-1}r_2^{n-1}) < \frac{1}{n}r_2^n - r_2$ . Then when  $|z| = r_2$  and  $0 < c \le c_2$ ,

$$\left| p_{c}(z) - f_{0}(z) \right| = \left| \frac{c}{2} z^{2} + \frac{c}{n-1} \bar{z}^{n-1} \right| \leq \frac{c_{2}}{2} r_{2}^{2} + \frac{c_{2}}{n-1} r_{2}^{n-1}$$
$$= c_{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} r_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{n-1} r_{2}^{n-1} \right) < \frac{1}{n} r_{2}^{n} - r_{2} \leq \left| f_{0}(z) \right|.$$

Case 3: Let  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  be positive real numbers such that  $0 < r_1 < n^{\frac{1}{n-1}} < r_2$ . Consider

the pair of line segments  $\ell_{k,1} = \{z = re^{i\theta} \mid 0 < r_1 \leq r \leq r_2, \theta = \frac{\pi}{2(n+1)}(1+4k)\}$  and  $\ell_{k,2} = \{z = re^{i\theta} \mid 0 < r_1 \leq r \leq r_2, \theta = \frac{\pi}{2(n+1)}(3+4k)\}$  for some integer k. For z on  $\ell_{k,1}$  and  $\ell_{k,2}$ ,

$$\left|f_{0}(z)\right|^{2} = \left|z + \frac{1}{n}\bar{z}^{n}\right|^{2} = (z + \frac{1}{n}\bar{z}^{n})(\bar{z} + \frac{1}{n}z^{n}) = z\bar{z} + \frac{1}{n}(z^{n+1} + \bar{z}^{n+1}) + \frac{1}{n^{2}}z^{n}\bar{z}^{n}$$
$$= r^{2} + \frac{2r^{n+1}}{n}\cos\left(\pi \pm \frac{\pi}{2} + 2\pi k\right) + \frac{1}{n^{2}}r^{2n} = r^{2} + \frac{1}{n^{2}}r^{2n}.$$

Then for  $0 < c_3 < \sqrt{\frac{r^2 + \frac{1}{n^2} r^{2n}}{\frac{1}{4}r^4 + \frac{1}{(n-1)^2}r^{2n-2}}}$ , along the pair of line segments  $\ell_{k,1}$  and  $\ell_{k,2}$  and all  $0 < c \le c_3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| p_{c}(z) - f_{0}(z) \right|^{2} &= \left| \frac{c}{2} z^{2} + \frac{c}{n-1} \bar{z}^{n-1} \right|^{2} = \left( \frac{c}{2} z^{2} + \frac{c}{n-1} \bar{z}^{n-1} \right) \left( \frac{c}{2} \bar{z}^{2} + \frac{c}{n-1} z^{n-1} \right) \\ &= \frac{c^{2}}{4} z^{2} \bar{z}^{2} + \frac{c^{2}}{2(n-1)} (z^{n+1} + \bar{z}^{n+1}) + \left( \frac{c}{n-1} \right)^{2} z^{n-1} \bar{z}^{n-1} \\ &= \frac{c^{2}}{4} r^{4} + \frac{c^{2}}{n-1} r^{n+1} \cos(\pi \pm \frac{\pi}{2} + 2\pi k) + \left( \frac{c}{n-1} \right)^{2} r^{2n-2} \\ &= \frac{c^{2}}{4} r^{4} + \left( \frac{c}{n-1} \right)^{2} r^{2n-2} = c^{2} \left( \frac{1}{4} r^{4} + \left( \frac{1}{n-1} \right)^{2} r^{2n-2} \right) \\ &< r^{2} + \frac{1}{n^{2}} r^{2n} = \left| f_{0}(z) \right|^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $|p_c(z) - f_0(z)| < |f_0(z)|.$ 

Applying Rouché's Theorem for Complex Harmonic Functions to Case 1, the sum of the orders of the zeros of  $f_0$  and the sum of the orders of the zeros of  $p_c$  are the same inside the circle  $|z| = r_1$ . By Lemma 4.5,  $f_0$  has one zero of order 1 inside any circle of radius  $r_1 < n^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$ . Therefore, the sum of the orders of the zeros of  $p_c$  is 1 inside the circle of radius  $r_1$  for all c satisfying  $0 < c \le c_1$ .

Now recall that when 0 < c < 1,  $p_c$  is sense-preserving if and only if |z| < 1. Also recall that all the zeros of  $p_c$  have order 1 or -1. First, suppose  $r_1 \leq 1$ . Because the sum of the orders of zeros of  $p_c$  is 1 in a sense-preserving region,  $p_c$  has one zero of order 1 inside  $C_{r_1}$ . Second, suppose  $r_1 > 1$ . The sum of the orders of the zeros of  $p_c$  in  $C_{r_1}$  is still 1 and  $p_c$  still



Figure 4.2: The zeros of the eighth degree polynomial  $p_{0.4}(z)$  with 9 of the zeros inside the annulus defined by all z such that 1 < |z| < 1.5.

has one zero of order 1 inside the unit circle by Theorem 4.3. Moreover, any zeros outside the unit circle have order -1 which would cause the sum of the orders of the zeros of  $p_c$ inside  $C_{r_1}$  to be less than 1, a contradiction. Therefore,  $p_c$  has one zero of order 1 inside the circle of radius  $r_1$  for any  $r_1 < n^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$  and  $0 < c \leq c_1$ .

Applying Rouché's Theorem for Complex Harmonic Functions to Case 2, the sum of the orders of the zeros of  $f_0$  and the sum of the orders of the zeros of  $p_c$  are the same inside the circle of radius  $r_2$ . By Lemma 4.5,  $f_0$  has one zero of order 1 and n + 1 zeros of order -1 inside  $C_{r_2}$ ; thus, the sum of the orders of the zeros of  $f_0$ , and consequently  $p_c$ , is -n. By the above work,  $p_c$  has one zero of order 1 inside  $C_{r_1}$ . Extending the radius of this circle extends it into a sense-reversing region; consequently,  $p_c$  has n + 1 zeros of order -1 in the annulus  $A_0 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : r_1 < |z| < r_2\}$  for all c such that  $0 < c \le c_0$  where  $c_0 = \min\{c_1, c_2\}$ .

Let c be a value such that  $0 < c \le c_S$  where  $c_S = \min\{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$ . Then Cases 1, 2, and 3 give the sum of the orders of the zeros of  $f_0$  and the sum of the orders of the zeros of  $p_c$ are equal in each sector  $S_k = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : r_1 < |z| < r_2, \frac{\pi(1+4k)}{2(n-1)} < \arg_0(z) < \frac{\pi(3+4k)}{2(n+1)}\}$ . By Lemma 4.5  $f_0$  has one zero of order -1 inside each  $S_k$ , so the sum of the orders of the zeros of  $p_c$  in each  $S_k$  is -1. Because there are no zeros of positive order in  $A_0$ , each  $S_k$  contains one zero of  $p_c$  of order -1.

The above proposition states that there is a range of c values such that n + 1 zeros of

 $p_c$  are located in an annulus or a zero of  $p_c$  is located in a sector of an annulus; Figure 4.2 illustrates this for the n = 8 case. It is natural to ask what those ranges of c values are. As expected, the closer  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are to  $n^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$ , the smaller c must be in order to guarantee the zeros are inside the annulus. Due to the decreasing nature of  $n^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$ , we do not provide a range of c values for Cases 1 and 2 of Proposition 4.6 (though we comment that for  $n \ge 9$ ,  $r_1 = 1$  and  $r_2 = 3/2$  allow for all values 0 < c < 1/2). However, the range of values for Case 3 can be considered quite nicely:

**Lemma 4.7.** For any r > 0 and any  $n \ge 4$ ,

$$\frac{r^2 + \frac{1}{n^2}r^{2n}}{\frac{1}{4}r^4 + \left(\frac{1}{n-1}\right)^2r^{2n-2}} > \frac{9}{32}.$$

*Proof.* First, notice that

$$\frac{r^2 + \frac{1}{n^2}r^{2n}}{\frac{1}{4}r^4 + \left(\frac{1}{n-1}\right)^2r^{2n-2}} = \frac{4r^2\frac{1}{n^2}(n^2 + r^{2n-2})}{r^4\frac{1}{(n-1)^2}((n-1)^2 + 4r^{2n-6})} = \frac{4}{r^2}\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2\frac{n^2 + r^{2n-2}}{(n-1)^2 + 4r^{2n-6}}$$

From here we consider two cases.

Case 1: Suppose that  $(n-1)^2 \leq 4r^{2n-6}$ ; hence,  $r \geq \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-3}}$ , so r > 1 for all  $n \geq 4$ . Then

$$\frac{4}{r^2} \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2 \frac{n^2 + r^{2n-2}}{(n-1)^2 + 4r^{2n-6}} \ge \frac{4}{r^2} \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2 \frac{n^2 + r^{2n-2}}{8r^{2n-6}}$$
$$= \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2 \frac{n^2}{2r^{2n-4}} + \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2 \frac{r^2}{2}$$
$$> \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2 \frac{r^2}{2}$$
$$> \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^2 \frac{1}{2}$$
$$= \frac{9}{32}.$$

Case 2: Suppose that  $(n-1)^2 \ge 4r^{2n-6}$ ; equivalently,  $r \le \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-3}}$ . Then

$$\frac{4}{r^2} \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2 \frac{n^2 + r^{2n-2}}{(n-1)^2 + 4r^{2n-6}} \ge \frac{4}{r^2} \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2 \frac{n^2 + r^{2n-2}}{2(n-1)^2}$$
$$= \frac{2}{r^2} \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2 \frac{n^2}{(n-1)^2} + \frac{2}{r^2} \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2 \frac{r^{2n-2}}{(n-1)^2}$$
$$\ge \frac{2}{r^2}$$
$$\ge \frac{2}{\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{n-3}}}$$

Now notice that  $\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{n-3}} \leq \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^2$  if and only if  $\frac{n-1}{2} \leq \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{n-3}$ . This latter inequality is an equality at n = 4, and clearly  $\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{n-3}$  increases at a faster rate than  $\frac{n-1}{2}$ . Therefore, the latter inequality holds and we also get  $\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{n-3}} \leq \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^2$ . Applying this to the above set of inequalities yields

$$\frac{4}{r^2} \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2 \frac{n^2 + r^{2n-2}}{(n-1)^2 + 4r^{2n-6}} \ge \frac{2}{\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{n-3}}} \ge \frac{2}{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^2} = \frac{8}{9}.$$

Thus for any r > 0 and  $n \ge 4$ ,

$$\frac{r^2 + \frac{1}{n^2} r^{2n}}{\frac{1}{4}r^4 + \left(\frac{1}{n-1}\right)^2 r^{2n-2}} > \min\left\{\frac{9}{32}, \frac{8}{9}\right\} = \frac{9}{32}.$$

Therefore, Case 3 of Proposition 4.6 is always satisfied by  $0 < c \leq \frac{3}{4\sqrt{2}} \approx 0.53033$ .

4.1.2 Locations of Zeros for Large Values of c. This section closely follows Section 4.1.1 except we now consider sufficiently large values of c. In particular, recall that  $p_c$  has n + 4 distinct zeros for  $c \ge 4$ ,  $n \ge 6$  by Theorem 4.4. As shown in Figure 4.1, n + 1of those zeros are arranged in a circle about the origin, so we can use Rouché's Theorem for Complex Harmonic Functions to localize these zeros to annuli and sectors of annuli. Rouché's Theorem compares functions, so we first construct a candidate function by taking  $f_{\infty}(z) = \lim_{c \to \infty} p_c(z)/c$ . Then we locate the zeros and sense-preserving and sense-reversing



Figure 4.3: The zeros of the eighth degree polynomial  $p_4(z)$ .

regions of  $f_{\infty}$ . Lastly, we compare  $p_c/c$  to  $f_{\infty}$  to determine where the zeros of  $p_c/c$  are located for sufficiently large values of c.

**Lemma 4.8.** Let  $f_{\infty}(z) = \lim_{c \to \infty} p_c(z)/c = \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{1}{n-1}\bar{z}^{n-1}$  for n > 3. Then  $f_{\infty}(z)$  has n+3 zeros: n+1 of the form  $z = \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-3}} e^{i\frac{\pi+2\pi k}{n+1}}$  for  $0 \le k \le n$  and a zero at z = 0 of order 2.

*Proof.* The dilatation of  $f_{\infty}$  is  $\omega_{f_{\infty}}(z) = z^{n-3}$ . Then the critical curve is the set of points such that  $|\omega_{f_{\infty}}(z)| = 1$ , which trivially simplifies to the unit circle |z| = 1. Then the sense-preserving region of  $f_{\infty}$  is the set of all z such that |z| < 1 and the sense-reversing region is the set of all z such that |z| > 1.

We now explicitly calculate the zeros not at the origin: Let  $z = re^{it}$ . Then  $f_{\infty}(z) = 0$  gives  $\frac{1}{2}r^2e^{i2t} + \frac{1}{n-1}r^{n-1}e^{-i(n-1)t} = 0$  which simplifies to  $e^{i(n+1)t} = -\frac{2}{n-1}r^{n-3}$ . Because  $\left|e^{i(n+1)t}\right| = 1$ ,  $\left|-\frac{2}{n-1}r^{n-3}\right| = 1$ . Thus  $\frac{2}{n-1}r^{n-3} = 1$  which means  $r = \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-3}}$ . Then  $e^{i(n+1)t} = -1$ , so  $t = \frac{\pi+2\pi k}{n+1}$  for integers k satisfying  $0 \le k \le n$ . Therefore,  $f_{\infty}(z_k) = 0$  where  $z_k = \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-3}}e^{i\frac{\pi+2\pi k}{n+1}}$  for  $0 \le k \le n$ . Notice that all  $z_k$  are in sense-reversing regions and  $\frac{d}{dz}\left(\frac{1}{n-1}z^{n-1}\right) = z^{n-2}$  but  $z_k^{n-2} \ne 0$ . Therefore, each  $z_k$  is a zero of order -1 and there are n+1 nonzero zeros.

We will now consider z = 0. Because  $\frac{d}{dz}(z^2) = 2z$ ,  $\frac{d}{dz}(2z) = 2$ , we know that 0 is a zero of order 2.

Therefore,  $f_{\infty}$  has n+3 zeros.

We now have our candidate function  $f_{\infty}$ , and we compare  $f_{\infty}$  and  $p_c/c$  using Rouché's Theorem for Complex Harmonic Functions to locate the zeros of  $p_c$ .

**Proposition 4.9.** Let  $n \ge 6$ . For  $r_1, r_2$  chosen such that  $0 < r_1 < \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-3}} < r_2$  there exists a  $c_{\infty} \ge 4$  such that for all  $c \ge c_{\infty}$  there are n+1 zeros of  $p_c$  in the annulus  $A_{\infty} =$ 

 $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : r_1 < |z| < r_2\}$ . Moreover, for these same  $r_1, r_2$ , there exists a  $c_s \ge 4$  such that for all  $c \ge c_s$  each sector  $S_k = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid r_1 < |z| < r_2, \frac{\pi(1+4k)}{2(n-1)} < \arg_0(z) \frac{\pi(3+4k)}{2(n+1)}\}$  contains one zero of  $p_c$ .

Proof. Let  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $0 < r_1 < \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-3}} < r_2$ . Let |z| = r be arbitrary. Then  $|f_{\infty}(z)| = \left|\frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{1}{n-1}\overline{z}^{n-1}\right| \ge \left|\frac{1}{2}r^2 - \frac{1}{n-1}r^{n-1}\right|$ . We will use Rouché's Theorem for Complex Harmonic Functions to compare  $p_c - f_{\infty}$  to  $f_{\infty}$  on  $C_{r_1} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = r_1\}, C_{r_2} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = r_2\}$ , and line segments connecting these two circles. For clarity, we write these as three separate cases.

Case 1: Let  $r = r_1 < \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-3}}$ . Then  $r_1^2 - \frac{2}{n-1}r_1^{n-1} > 0$ , so  $\frac{1}{2}r_1^2 - \frac{1}{n-1}r_1^{n-1} > 0$ , and we choose  $c_1 > (r_1 + \frac{1}{n}r_1^n)/(\frac{1}{2}r_1^2 - \frac{1}{n-1}r_1^{n-1}) > 0$ . Thus,  $\frac{1}{c_1}(r_1 + \frac{1}{n}r_1^n) < \frac{1}{2}r_1^2 - \frac{1}{n-1}r_1^{n-1}$ . Then for all  $c \ge c_1$  on  $|z| = r_1$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left| p_{c}(z)/c - f_{\infty}(z) \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{c}z + \frac{1}{cn} \bar{z}^{n} \right| \leq \frac{1}{c_{1}} \left( r_{1} + \frac{1}{n} r_{1}^{n} \right) \\ &< \frac{1}{2} r_{1}^{2} - \frac{1}{n-1} r_{1}^{n-1} \leq \left| f_{\infty}(z) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: Let  $r = r_2 > \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-3}}$ . Then  $\frac{2}{n-1}r_2^{n-1} - r_2^2 > 0$ , so  $\frac{1}{n-1}r_2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2}r_2^2 > 0$ . We choose  $c_2 > (r_2 + \frac{1}{n}r_2^n)/(\frac{1}{n-1}r_2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2}r_2^2) > 0$ ; consequently,  $\frac{1}{c_2}(r_2 + \frac{1}{n}r_2^n) < \frac{1}{n-1}r_2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2}r_2^2$ . Then for all  $c \ge c_2$  on the circle  $|z| = r_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| p_{c}(z)/c - f_{\infty}(z) \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{c}z + \frac{1}{cn} \bar{z}^{n} \right| \leq \frac{1}{c_{2}} r_{2} + \frac{1}{c_{2}n} r_{2}^{n} = \frac{1}{c_{2}} \left( r_{2} + \frac{1}{n} r_{2}^{n} \right) \\ &< \frac{1}{n-1} r_{2}^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} r_{2}^{2} \leq \left| f_{\infty}(z) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Case 3: Let  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  be positive real numbers such that  $0 < r_1 < \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-3}} < r_2$ . Consider the pair of line segments  $\ell_{k,1} = \{z = re^{i\theta} \mid 0 < r_1 \le r \le r_2, \theta = \frac{\pi}{2(n+1)}(1+4k)\}$ and  $\ell_{k,2} = \{z = re^{i\theta} \mid 0 < r_1 \le r \le r_2, \theta = \frac{\pi}{2(n+1)}(3+4k)\}$  for some integer k. For z on  $\ell_{k,1}$  or  $\ell_{k,2}$ ,

$$\begin{split} \left| f_{\infty}(z) \right|^{2} &= \left| \frac{1}{2} z^{2} + \frac{1}{n-1} \bar{z}^{n-1} \right|^{2} = \left( \frac{1}{2} z^{2} + \frac{1}{n-1} \bar{z}^{n-1} \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} \bar{z}^{2} + \frac{1}{n-1} z^{n-1} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} z^{2} \bar{z}^{2} + \frac{1}{2(n-1)} (z^{n+1} + \bar{z}^{n+1}) + \left( \frac{1}{n-1} \right)^{2} z^{n-1} \bar{z}^{n-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} r^{4} + \frac{r^{n+1}}{n-1} \cos(\pi \pm \frac{\pi}{2} + 2\pi k) + \left( \frac{1}{n-1} \right)^{2} r^{2n-2} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} r^{4} + \left( \frac{1}{n-1} \right)^{2} r^{2n-2}. \end{split}$$

Then for  $c_3 > \sqrt{\frac{r^2 + \frac{1}{n^2}r^{2n}}{\frac{1}{4}r^4 + \left(\frac{1}{n-1}\right)^2r^{2n-2}}}$  along the pair of line segments  $\ell_{k,1}$  and  $\ell_{k,2}$  and all  $c \ge c_3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| p_{c}(z)/c - f_{\infty}(z) \right|^{2} &= \left| \frac{1}{c} z + \frac{1}{cn} \bar{z}^{n} \right|^{2} = \frac{1}{c^{2}} z \bar{z} + \frac{1}{c^{2}n} z^{n+1} + \frac{1}{c^{2}n} \bar{z}^{n+1} + \frac{1}{c^{2}n^{2}} z^{n} \bar{z}^{n} \\ &= \frac{1}{c^{2}} r^{2} + \frac{1}{c^{2}n} r^{n+1} 2 \cos \left( \pi \pm \frac{\pi}{2} + 2\pi k \right) + \frac{1}{c^{2}n^{2}} r^{2n} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{c_{3}^{2}} (r^{2} + \frac{1}{n^{2}} r^{2n}) < \frac{1}{4} r^{4} + \left( \frac{1}{n-1} \right)^{2} r^{2n-2} = \left| f_{\infty}(z) \right|^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $|p_c(z)/c - f_{\infty}(z)| < |f_{\infty}(z)|.$ 

Applying Rouché's Theorem for Complex Harmonic Functions to Case 1, the sum of the orders of the zeros of  $f_{\infty}$  and the sum of the orders of the zeros of  $p_c$  are the same inside the circle of radius  $r_1$ . By Lemma 4.8,  $f_{\infty}$  has one zero of order 2 inside any circle of radius  $r_1 < \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-3}}$ . Therefore, the sum of the orders of the zeros of  $p_c/c$  is 2 inside the circle of radius  $r_1$  for all c satisfying  $c \ge c_1$ .

Now recall Theorem 3.11: for  $c \ge 4$  and  $n \ge 6$ , the portions of the critical curve distinct from the unit circle (i.e.,  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$ ) do not contain any zeros of  $p_c$ ; consequently, they do not contain any zeros of  $p_c/c$ . Then a zero of  $p_c/c$  is in a sense-preserving region if and only |z| < 1. Recall also that every zero of  $p_c$ , and consequently  $p_c/c$ , has order 1 or -1.

Now suppose  $r_1 \leq 1$ . Because the sum of the orders of zeros of  $p_c/c$  is 2 in a sensepreserving region,  $p_c/c$  has two zeros of order 1 inside  $C_{r_1}$ . Now suppose  $r_1 > 1$ . The sum of



Figure 4.4: The zeros of the eighth degree polynomial  $p_4(z)$  with 9 of the zeros inside the annulus defined by all z such that 1 < |z| < 1.5.

the orders of zeros of  $p_c/c$  in  $C_{r_1}$  is still 2, and  $p_c/c$  still has two zeros of order 1 inside the unit circle. Moreover, any zeros outside the unit circle have order -1 which would cause the sum of the orders of the zeros of  $p_c/c$  inside  $C_{r_1}$  to be less than 2, a contradiction. Therefore,  $p_c/c$  has two zeros of order 1 inside the circle of radius  $r_1$  for any  $r_1 < \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-3}}$  and  $c \ge c_1$ .

Applying Rouché's Theorem for Complex Harmonic Functions to Case 2, the sum of the orders of the zeros of  $f_{\infty}$  and the sum of the orders of the zeros of  $p_c$  are the same inside the circle of Radius  $r_2$ . By Lemma 4.8,  $f_{\infty}$  has one zero of order 2 and n + 1 zeros of order -1 inside  $C_{r_2}$ . Hence, the sum of the orders of the zeros of  $f_{\infty}$  is -n + 1; consequently the sum of the orders of the zeros of  $p_c/c$  is also -n + 1. By the above work,  $p_c/c$  has two zeros of order 1 inside  $C_{r_1}$ . Extending the radius of this circle extends it into a sense-reversing region; consequently,  $p_c$  has n + 1 zeros of order -1 in the annulus  $A_{\infty} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : r_1 < |z| < r_2\}$  for all c such that  $c \ge c_{\infty}$  where  $c_{\infty} = \max\{c_1, c_2\}$ .

Now let c be a value such that  $c \ge c_S$  where  $c_S = \max\{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$ . Then Cases 1, 2, and 3 give the sum of the orders of the zeros of  $f_{\infty}$  and the sum of the orders of  $p_c/c$  are equal in each sector  $S_k = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid r_1 < |z| < r_2, \frac{\pi(1+4k)}{2(n-1)} < \arg_0(z)\frac{\pi(3+4k)}{2(n+1)}\}$ . By Lemma 4.8,  $f_{\infty}$  has one zero of order -1 in each  $S_k$ ; hence, the sum of the orders of the zeros of  $p_c/c$  in each  $S_k$ is -1. Because there are no zeros of positive order in  $A_{\infty}$ , each  $S_k$  contains one zero of  $p_c/c$ . Because  $p_c/c$  and  $p_c$  have the same zeros, our desired result(s) hold.

Proposition 4.9 states that for given  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$ , there exists a range of c values such that n+1zeros of  $p_c$  are located in an annulus and a zero of  $p_c$  is located in a sector of an annulus; Figure 4.4 illustrates this for the n = 8 case. We ask what range of c values give these results. As before, the closer  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are to  $\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-3}}$ , the larger c must become in order to guarantee the zeros stay inside the annulus. Due to the decreasing nature of  $\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-3}}$ , we do not provide a range of c values for Cases 1 and 2 of Proposition 4.9 (though we comment that for  $n \ge 8$ ,  $r_1 = 1$  and  $r_2 = 3/2$  allow for all  $c \ge 4$ ). However, the range of valid c values for Case 3 is simple to determine:

**Lemma 4.10.** For  $\frac{1}{2} \le r \le 2$  and  $n \ge 4$ ,

$$\frac{r^2 + \frac{1}{n^2}r^{2n}}{\frac{1}{4}r^4 + \left(\frac{1}{n-1}\right)^2r^{2n-2}} < 20.$$

*Proof.* First, recall that

$$\frac{r^2 + \frac{1}{n^2}r^{2n}}{\frac{1}{4}r^4 + \left(\frac{1}{n-1}\right)^2r^{2n-2}} = \frac{4}{r^2}\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2\frac{n^2 + r^{2n-2}}{(n-1)^2 + 4r^{2n-6}}.$$

Then

$$\frac{4}{r^2} \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2 \frac{n^2 + r^{2n-2}}{(n-1)^2 + 4r^{2n-6}} = \frac{4}{r^2} \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2 \left[\frac{n^2}{(n-1)^2 + 4r^{2n-6}} + \frac{r^{2n-2}}{(n-1)^2 + 4r^{2n-6}}\right]$$
$$< \frac{4}{r^2} \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2 \left[\frac{n^2}{(n-1)^2} + \frac{r^{2n-2}}{4r^{2n-6}}\right]$$
$$= \frac{4}{r^2} + \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2 r^2$$
$$< \frac{4}{r^2} + r^2$$
$$\leq 20.$$

Therefore, Case 3 of Proposition 4.9 is always satisfied by  $c \ge 2\sqrt{5} \approx 4.472136$ .

#### 4.2 ZEROS ON THE UNIT CIRCLE

Extensive numerical experimentation leads us to conjecture that the only zeros on the critical curve are real. Because the critical curve always contains the unit circle, we first show:

**Theorem 4.11.** For even  $n \ge 8$ , the complex harmonic function  $p_c(z)$  has no zeros on the unit circle except possibly at the point -1.

To prove this, we need several lemmas. First, we will show that the real part of any such zero must lie between -1 and  $-\frac{\sqrt{(n-1)(n-3)}}{n-2}$ . Equivalently, the angle  $\theta$  of our zero must lie on or between  $\pi - \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n-2}\right)$  and  $\pi + \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n-2}\right)$ . Second, we will show that the only valid angle in that interval is  $\pi$ .

Lemma 4.12. If  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $p_c(z) = 0$ ,  $|\omega(z)| = 1$ , and |z| = 1 then  $-1 \leq \operatorname{Re}(z) \leq -\frac{\sqrt{(n-1)(n-3)}}{n-2}$ .

*Proof.* Let z be such that  $|\omega(z)| = 1$  and  $p_c(z) = 0$ . Then  $\left| z^{n-2} \frac{c+z}{1+cz} \right| = 1$ , so  $\left| z^{n-2} \right| \cdot |c+z| = |1+cz|$ . Then for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|\alpha| = 1$ ,  $\overline{z}^{n-2}(c+z) = \alpha(1+cz)$ ; thus,  $\overline{z}^{n-2} = \alpha \frac{1+cz}{c+z}$ . Substituting into  $p_c(z) = 0$  gives

$$0 = p_c(z)$$
  
=  $z + \frac{c}{2}z^2 + \frac{c}{n-1}\bar{z}^{n-1} + \frac{1}{n}\bar{z}^n$   
=  $z + \frac{c}{2}z^2 + \alpha \frac{c}{n-1} \cdot \frac{1+cz}{c+z}\bar{z} + \alpha \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{1+cz}{c+z}\bar{z}^2.$ 

Thus,

$$-z - \frac{c}{2}z^{2} = \alpha \frac{1 + cz}{c + z} \left(\frac{c}{n - 1}\bar{z} + \frac{1}{n}\bar{z}^{2}\right).$$

Taking the squared modulus of both sides yields

$$\left(z+\frac{c}{2}z^2\right)\left(\bar{z}+\frac{c}{2}\bar{z}^2\right) = \frac{1+cz}{c+z}\cdot\frac{1+c\bar{z}}{c+\bar{z}}\left(\frac{c}{n-1}\bar{z}+\frac{1}{n}\bar{z}^2\right)\left(\frac{c}{n-1}z+\frac{1}{n}z^2\right).$$

which simplifies to

$$\left( z\bar{z} + \frac{c}{2}(z\bar{z}^2 + z^2\bar{z}) + \frac{c^2}{4}z^2\bar{z}^2 \right) (c^2 + c(z + \bar{z}) + z\bar{z})$$
  
=  $(1 + c(z + \bar{z}) + c^2z\bar{z}) \left( \frac{c^2}{(n-1)^2}z\bar{z} + \frac{c}{n(n-1)}(z\bar{z}^2 + z^2\bar{z}) + \frac{1}{n^2}z^2\bar{z}^2 \right).$ 

If we let  $z = re^{i\theta}$ , then

$$\left(r^{2} + cr^{3}\cos(\theta) + \frac{c^{2}}{4}r^{4}\right)\left(c^{2} + 2cr\cos(\theta) + r^{2}\right)$$
$$= \left(1 + 2cr\cos(\theta) + c^{2}r^{2}\right)\left(\frac{c^{2}}{(n-1)^{2}}r^{2} + 2\frac{c}{n(n-1)}r^{3}\cos(\theta) + \frac{1}{n^{2}}r^{4}\right).$$

Then in the case where r = 1,

$$\left(1 + c\cos(\theta) + \frac{c^2}{4}\right) (c^2 + 2c\cos(\theta) + 1)$$
  
=  $(1 + 2c\cos(\theta) + c^2) \left(\frac{c^2}{(n-1)^2} + 2\frac{c}{n(n-1)}\cos(\theta) + \frac{1}{n^2}\right).$ 

If  $c^2 + 2c\cos(\theta) + 1 = 0$ , then  $\cos(\theta) = -\frac{c^2+1}{2c}$ . By the Arithmetic-Geometric Mean Inequality,  $2c \le c^2 + 1$ ; hence,  $\frac{c^2+1}{2c} \ge 1$  with equality if and only if c = 1. Hence,  $\cos(\theta) = -\frac{c^2+1}{2c} \le -1$  with equality if and only if c = 1, and hence  $\theta = \pi$ . Assuming  $c \ne 1$  and  $\theta \ne \pi$ , we can divide both sides by  $c^2 + 2c\cos(\theta) + 1$ . This results in

$$1 + c\cos(\theta) + \frac{c^2}{4} = \frac{c^2}{(n-1)^2} + \frac{2c}{n(n-1)}\cos(\theta) + \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

Solving the above for  $\cos(\theta)$  yields

$$\cos(\theta) = -\left(\frac{1}{c} \cdot \frac{1 - \frac{1}{n^2}}{1 - \frac{2}{n(n-1)}} + c \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{(n-1)^2}}{1 - \frac{2}{n(n-1)}}\right),$$

which simplifies to

$$\cos(\theta) = -\left(\frac{1}{c} \cdot \frac{(n-1)^2}{n(n-2)} + c \cdot \frac{n(n-3)}{4(n-1)(n-2)}\right).$$

The AGM inequality then gives

$$\cos(\theta) \le -\frac{\sqrt{(n-1)(n-3)}}{n-2},$$

with equality if and only if  $c = \pm \frac{2(n-1)}{n} \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n-3}}$ . Since we are only concerned about c > 0, we have  $c = \frac{2(n-1)}{n} \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n-3}}$ . Thus we see that the real part of any zero on the unit circle lies between -1 and  $-\frac{\sqrt{(n-1)(n-3)}}{n-2}$ .

Now that we have a restriction on the real part of any zero on the unit circle, when we view z in polar coordinates  $e^{i\theta}$ , we have a restriction on the value of  $\theta$ . This gives us the following corollary:

Corollary 4.13. Let  $z = e^{i\theta}$  be a zero of  $p_c$ . Then  $\pi - \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n-2}\right) \le \theta \le \pi + \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n-2}\right)$ for all n > 2.

*Proof.* To find the interval of possible  $\theta$ 's that give zeros on the unit circle, we can solve the equation  $\cos^2(\theta) + \sin^2(\theta) = 1$  with  $\cos(\theta) = -\frac{\sqrt{(n-1)(n-3)}}{n-2}$ . This gives us that

$$\theta = \pi \pm \sin^{-1} \left( \sqrt{1 - \frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{(n-2)^2}} \right) = \pi \pm \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{n-2} \right).$$
(4.1)

Thus  $\theta = \pi \pm \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n-2}\right)$ ; consequently, the only places where a zero of  $p_c$  could happen on the unit circle are for values of  $\theta$  satisfying  $\pi - \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n-2}\right) \le \theta \le \pi + \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n-2}\right)$ .

To handle the case where  $\pi - \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n-2}\right) \leq \theta \leq \pi + \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n-2}\right)$ , we will set the real and imaginary parts of  $p_c(z)$  equal to zero and let  $z = e^{i\theta}$ . We obtain an equation that must be satisfied for any zero on the unit circle. This equation will be derived in Lemma 4.14. We will then show that the only  $\theta$  that satisfies the equation is  $\theta = \pi$ . **Lemma 4.14.** Let  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and let  $0 \le \theta < 2\pi$  such that  $z = e^{i\theta}$ . If  $p_c(z) = 0$ , then

$$\frac{1}{2n}\sin((n+2)\theta) + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n(n-1)}\right)\sin(\theta) - \frac{1}{n-1}\sin(n\theta) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $p_c(z) = 0$ . Then the real and imaginary parts of  $p_c(z)$  also equal zero. Observe,

$$\operatorname{Re}(p_n(z)) = r\cos(\theta) + \frac{c}{2}r^2\cos(2\theta) + \frac{c}{n-1}r^{n-1}\cos((n-1)\theta) + \frac{1}{n}r^n\cos(n\theta),$$

and

$$Im(p_n(z)) = r\sin(\theta) + \frac{c}{2}r^2\sin(2\theta) - \frac{c}{n-1}r^{n-1}\sin((n-1)\theta) - \frac{1}{n}r^n\sin(n\theta).$$

Solving these equations for c yields

$$c = \frac{\frac{1}{n}r^n \sin(n\theta) - r\sin(\theta)}{\frac{1}{2}r^2 \sin(2\theta) - \frac{1}{n-1}r^{n-1}\sin((n-1)\theta)} = \frac{-\frac{1}{n}r^n \cos(n\theta) - r\cos(\theta)}{\frac{1}{2}r^2 \cos(2\theta) + \frac{1}{n-1}r^{n-1}\cos((n-1)\theta)}.$$

Eliminating the denominators gives

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}r^2\cos(2\theta) + \frac{1}{n-1}r^{n-1}\cos((n-1)\theta)\right) \left(\frac{1}{n}r^n\sin(n\theta) - r\sin(\theta)\right)$$
$$= \left(-\frac{1}{n}r^n\cos(n\theta) - r\cos(\theta)\right) \left(\frac{1}{2}r^2\sin(2\theta) - \frac{1}{n-1}r^{n-1}\sin((n-1)\theta)\right).$$

Simplifying the LHS gives

$$\begin{split} &\left(\frac{1}{2}r^2\cos(2\theta) + \frac{1}{n-1}r^{n-1}\cos((n-1)\theta)\right) \left(\frac{1}{n}r^n\sin(n\theta) - r\sin(\theta)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2n}r^{n+2}\cos(2\theta)\sin(n\theta) - \frac{1}{2}r^3\cos(2\theta)\sin(\theta) + \frac{1}{n(n-1)}r^{2n-1}\cos((n-1)\theta)\sin(n\theta) \\ &- \frac{1}{n-1}r^n\cos((n-1)\theta)\sin(\theta). \end{split}$$

Similarly, for the RHS we have

$$\begin{split} & \left(-\frac{1}{n}r^n\cos(n\theta) - r\cos(\theta)\right) \left(\frac{1}{2}r^2\sin(2\theta) - \frac{1}{n-1}r^{n-1}\sin((n-1)\theta)\right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}r^3\cos(\theta)\sin(2\theta) + \frac{1}{n-1}r^n\cos(\theta)\sin((n-1)\theta) - \frac{1}{2n}r^{n+2}\cos(n\theta)\sin(2\theta) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n(n-1)}r^{2n-1}\cos(n\theta)\sin((n-1)\theta). \end{split}$$

Subtracting the RHS, we are left with

$$\frac{1}{2n}r^{n+2}\left(\cos(2\theta)\sin(n\theta) + \cos(n\theta)\sin(2\theta)\right) + \frac{1}{2}r^3\left(-\cos(2\theta)\sin(\theta) + \cos(\theta)\sin(2\theta)\right) + \frac{1}{n(n-1)}r^{2n-1}\left(\cos((n-1)\theta)\sin(n\theta) - \cos(n\theta)\sin((n-1)\theta)\right) - \frac{1}{n-1}r^n\left(\cos((n-1)\theta)\sin(\theta) + \cos(n\theta)\sin((n-1)\theta)\right) = 0,$$

which simplifies to

$$s_{n,r}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2n}r^{n+2}\sin((n+2)\theta) + \left(\frac{1}{2}r^3 + \frac{1}{n(n-1)}r^{2n-1}\right)\sin(\theta) - \frac{1}{n-1}r^n\sin(n\theta) = 0.$$

Then on the unit circle r = 1,

$$s_{n,1}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2n}\sin((n+2)\theta) + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n(n-1)}\right)\sin(\theta) - \frac{1}{n-1}\sin(n\theta) = 0,$$

must be satisfied.

Thus to prove Theorem 4.11, it suffices to show that  $s_{n,1}(\theta)$  is strictly decreasing on  $\left(\pi - \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n-2}\right), \pi + \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n-2}\right)\right)$  and hence is only 0 at  $\pi$ . To prove this, we need the following lemma:

**Lemma 4.15.** Let  $0 < a \le 8\pi$ . If  $x \ge 8$ , then  $I(x) = \frac{x}{a} \sin\left(\frac{a}{x}\right)$  is increasing.

*Proof.* Let  $I(x) = \frac{x}{a} \sin\left(\frac{a}{x}\right) = \int_0^1 \cos\left(\frac{a}{x}t\right) dt$  where a > 0, so  $I'(x) = \frac{a}{x^2} \int_0^1 t \sin\left(\frac{a}{x}t\right) dt$ . Because  $\sin\left(\frac{a}{x}t\right)$  has period  $\frac{2\pi x}{a}$ ,  $\sin\left(\frac{a}{x}t\right)$  is positive on  $\left(0, \frac{\pi x}{a}\right)$ . If  $\frac{\pi x}{a} \ge 1$ , then  $\sin\left(\frac{a}{x}t\right)$  will

be positive on (0, 1). Notice that  $\frac{\pi x}{a} \ge \frac{8\pi}{a}$  holds because  $x \ge 8$ . Then assume  $\frac{8\pi}{a} \ge 1$ , so  $8\pi \ge a$ . Then for all  $0 < a < 8\pi$  we have  $\frac{\pi x}{a} \ge \frac{8\pi}{a} \ge 1$ . Therefore,  $\sin\left(\frac{a}{x}t\right)$  will be positive on (0, 1) for all  $x \ge 8$ ,  $0 < a \le 8\pi$ . Since t is also positive on (0, 1), we have that  $\int_0^1 t \sin\left(\frac{x}{a}t\right) dt$  will be positive. As  $\frac{a}{x^2} > 0$  for  $x \ge 8$ , we have that I'(x) > 0 for  $x \ge 8$ .

Utilizing the above lemma, we now prove that  $s_{n,1}(\theta)$  has only  $t = \pi$  as a zero on the interval  $\left[\pi - \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n-2}\right), \pi + \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n-2}\right)\right]$ .

**Proposition 4.16.** The function  $s_{n,1}(\theta)$  has only one zero,  $\theta = \pi$ , on the interval  $\left[\pi - \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n-2}\right), \pi + \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n-2}\right)\right]$  for even  $n \ge 8$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S = \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n-2}\right)$ . We will shift  $s_{n,1}(\theta)$  by  $\pi$  so that we can consider the interval [-S, S]. Since *n* is even,

$$s_{n,1}(\theta - \pi) = \frac{1}{2n} \sin((n+2)(\theta - \pi)) + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n(n-1)}\right) \sin(\theta - \pi) - \frac{1}{n-1} \sin(n(\theta - \pi))$$
$$= \frac{1}{2n} \sin\left((n+2)\theta\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n(n-1)}\right) \sin(\theta) - \frac{1}{n-1} \sin(n\theta).$$

Thus,

$$s'_{n,1}(\theta - \pi) = \frac{n+2}{2n} \cos\left((n+2)\theta\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n(n-1)}\right) \cos(\theta) - \frac{n}{n-1} \cos(n\theta).$$

Notice that  $s_{n,1}(\theta - \pi)$  is odd because  $\sin(\theta)$  is odd, so we only need to consider the interval  $[0, \mathcal{S}]$ . We will show that the derivative  $s'_{n,1}(\theta - \pi)$  is strictly negative on  $[0, \mathcal{S}]$  by finding an upper bound for each summand.

The first summand is simple:

$$\frac{n+2}{2n}\cos\left((n+2)\theta\right) \le \frac{n+2}{2n} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n} \le \frac{5}{8}.$$

For the second summand, notice that  $-\cos(\theta)$  is increasing on  $(0,\pi)$  and  $S \leq \frac{\pi}{2} < \pi$ ;

consequently, the maximum value of  $-\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n(n-1)}\right)\cos(\theta)$  is

$$-\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n(n-1)}\right)\cos(\mathcal{S}) = -\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n(n-1)}\right)\frac{\sqrt{(n-3)(n-1)}}{n-2}$$

Because  $\left(\frac{\sqrt{(x-3)(x-1)}}{x-2}\right)' = \frac{1}{(x-2)^2\sqrt{x^2-4x+3}} > 0$  for  $x \ge 8$ , for  $n \ge 8$  we have

$$-\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n(n-1)}\right)\frac{\sqrt{(n-3)(n-1)}}{n-2} \le -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\sqrt{(n-3)(n-1)}}{n-2} \le -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\sqrt{35}}{6} = -\frac{\sqrt{35}}{12}.$$

The last summand will take some work. First, notice that  $-\frac{n}{n-1}\cos(n\theta)$  increases on  $(0, \pi/n)$ . If we can show that  $S \leq \frac{\pi}{n}$ , then we know that  $-\frac{n}{n-1}\cos(n\theta) \leq -\frac{n}{n-1}\cos(nS)$  on [0, S]. This statement is equivalent to each of the following:

$$\sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n-2}\right) \le \frac{\pi}{n}$$
$$\frac{1}{n-2} \le \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right)$$
$$1 \le (n-2)\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right).$$

Equivalently, we want to prove

$$1 \le \pi \cdot \frac{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right)}{\frac{\pi}{n}} \cdot \frac{n-2}{n}$$

Because  $0 < \pi \le 8\pi$ ,  $\frac{\sin(\frac{\pi}{n})}{\frac{\pi}{n}}$  is increasing by Lemma 4.15. We also have that  $\frac{n-2}{n} = 1 - \frac{2}{n}$  is increasing. Then for  $n \ge 8$ ,

$$\pi \cdot \frac{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right)}{\frac{\pi}{n}} \cdot \frac{n-2}{n} \ge \pi \cdot \frac{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right)}{\frac{\pi}{8}} \cdot \frac{8-2}{8} \ge 2.2961 > 1,$$

and our inequality holds. Therefore  $S \leq \frac{\pi}{n}$ .

As desired we have that  $-\frac{n}{n-1}\cos(n\theta) \leq -\frac{n}{n-1}\cos(n\mathcal{S})$  for  $\theta \in [0, \mathcal{S}]$ , and we now need

to find an upper bound for  $-\frac{n}{n-1}\cos(n\mathcal{S})$ . We start by finding a bound for  $(n\mathcal{S})$ : For  $x \ge 8$ , we claim that  $x\sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{x-2}\right) \le 1.34$ . This statement is equivalent to

$$\sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{x-2}\right) \le \frac{1.34}{x}$$
$$\frac{1}{x-2} \le \sin\left(\frac{1.34}{x}\right)$$
$$1 \le (x-2)\sin\left(\frac{1.34}{x}\right).$$

Similar to the above, we equivalently want to prove

$$1 \le 1.34 \cdot \frac{\sin\left(\frac{1.34}{x}\right)}{\frac{1.34}{x}} \cdot \frac{x-2}{x}.$$

Because  $0 < 1.34 \le 8\pi$ ,  $\frac{\sin\left(\frac{1.34}{x}\right)}{\frac{1.34}{x}}$  is increasing by Lemma 4.15. Also,  $\frac{x-2}{x} = 1 - \frac{2}{x}$  is increasing, so for  $x \ge 8$ ,

$$1 < 1.000307 \dots \le 1.34 \cdot \frac{\sin\left(\frac{1.34}{8}\right)}{\frac{1.34}{8}} \cdot \frac{6}{8} \le 1.34 \cdot \frac{\sin\left(\frac{1.34}{x}\right)}{\frac{1.34}{x}} \cdot \frac{x-2}{x}.$$

Thus,  $0 \le n \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n-2}\right) \le 1.34$  for  $n \ge 8$ . Then we have  $\cos\left(n \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n-2}\right)\right) \ge \cos(1.34)$ ; hence,  $-\cos\left(n \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n-2}\right)\right) \le -\cos(1.34)$  so  $-\frac{n}{n-1}\cos\left(n \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n-2}\right)\right) \le -\frac{n}{n-1}\cos(1.34)$ . Because  $\frac{x}{x-1}$  decreases to 1,

$$-\frac{n}{n-1}\cos\left(n\sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n-2}\right)\right) \le -\frac{n}{n-1}\cos(1.34) \le -\cos(1.34),$$

for  $n \geq 8$ .

Combining the results for each of the summands yields

$$s'_{n,1}(\theta - \pi) \le \frac{5}{8} - \frac{\sqrt{35}}{12} - \cos(1.34) = -0.09675945 \dots < 0.$$

Therefore,  $s_{n,1}(\theta - \pi)$  is strictly decreasing on  $[0, \mathcal{S}]$ . Because  $s_{n,1}(\theta - \pi)$  is odd, we also know that  $s_{n,1}(\theta - \pi)$  is strictly decreasing on  $[-\mathcal{S}, 0]$ . Moreover, since  $s_{n,1}(0) = 0$  we see that  $\pi$  is the only root of  $s_{n,1}(\theta - \pi)$  in  $[-\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}]$ .

We now prove Theorem 4.11:

Proof. (Theorem 4.11.) Let  $n \ge 8$  be even. Let z be a zero of  $p_c(z)$  on the unit circle; hence,  $z = e^{i\theta}$  for  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ . By Corollary 4.13,  $\theta$  must lie between  $\pi - \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n-2}\right)$  and  $\pi + \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n-2}\right)$ . By Proposition 4.16, the only  $\theta$  in  $\left[\pi - \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n-2}\right), \pi + \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n-2}\right)\right]$ that satisfies  $p(e^{i\theta}) = 0$  is  $\theta = \pi$ . Therefore, the only zero of  $p_c(z)$  on the unit circle is  $z = e^{i\pi} = -1$ .

## CHAPTER 5. DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

- (1) In this thesis, we showed there are no zeros of  $p_c$  on the unit circle except at z = -1. What can be said about the other portions of the critical curve?
- (2) What can be proved about the total number of zeros of the family  $p_c$ ?
- (3) What can be proved about the number and location of zeros of other families of harmonic polynomials?

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- M. Brilleslyper, J. Brooks, M. Dorff, R. Howell, and L. Schaubroeck. Zeros of a oneparameter family of harmonic trinomials. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 7:82–90, 2020.
- [2] D. Bshouty, W. Hengartner, and T. Suez. The exact bound of the number of zeros of harmonic polynomials. J. d'Analyse Math, 67:207–218, 1995.
- [3] P. Duren. *Harmonic mappings in the plane*, volume 156. Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [4] P. Duren, W. Hengartner, and R. Laugesen. The argument principle for harmonic functions. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 103(5):411–415, 1996.
- [5] D. Khavinson and G. Swiatek. On the number of zeros of certain harmonic polynomials. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 131(2):409–414, 2003.
- [6] S.-Y. Lee, A. Lerario, and E. Lundberg. Remarks on Wilmshurst's theorem. Indiana University Mathematics Journal, 64:1153–1167, 2015.
- [7] R. Peretz and J. Schmid. Proceedings of the Ashkelon Workshop on Complex Function Theory. pages 203–208, 1996.
- [8] T. Sheil-Small. in Tagesbericht, Mathematisches Forsch. Inst. Oberwolfach, Funktionentheorie, 16-22.2.1992, 1992.
- [9] D. South and A. Woodall. Annuli for the critical curve. Unpublished, 2020.
- [10] A. Wilmshurst. The valence of harmonic polynomials. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 126(7):2077–2081, 1998.