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Since the appearance of the Book of Mormon in 1830, its proper names have been discussed in diverse articles and books. Most of the statements proffer etymologies, while a few suggest the significance of various names. Because of the uneven quality of these statements this paper proposes an apposite methodology. First, though, a few words need to be said about the relevance of name studies to our understanding of the Book of Mormon.

Relevance

With the exception of a few modern proper names coined for their composite sounds, all names have meanings in their language of origin. People are often not aware of these meanings because the name has a private interpretation, or the name has been borrowed into a language in which the original meaning is no longer evident, or the name is very old and the meaning has not been transmitted. For example, the English personal name Wayne is an old form of the more modern English word wain, meaning a "wagon" or "cart," hence the surname Wainwright, "builder/repairer of." However, to our contemporary ears Wayne no longer has a meaning; it is simply a personal name.

With training and experience, it is often possible to define the language of origin, the meaning, and, when applicable, the grammatical form of a name. Names like Karen, Tony, and Sasha (also written Sacha from the French spelling) have been borrowed into English from Danish, Italian, and Russian respectively. The latter is particularly instructive because it represents a rather complicated transference of names. Sasha is a Russian diminutive (nickname) for Aleksandr (English Alexander), which in turn was borrowed from Greek alexandros, "defending men." To most speakers of English, Sasha conveys neither the diminutive nature of the Russian nor any trace of the Russian form it was derived from, let alone the Greek origin and meaning of the name.

Names can preserve phonemes and lexemes of the language of origin. Thus, English Alexander retains a semblance of the original phonemes plus the initial and final lexemes alex and andr, but not the case ending -os of the Greek. In English the name "Wayne" was frozen in a state of the English language when y represented the sound later spelled i. When we realize that the phonemes represented by y, i, and g can under certain conditions represent each other at different stages and in various dialects of written English, it is easy to see that wayne = wain = wagon.

Even when the source language has been lost to memory, i.e., has become a nonspoken language, names often retain in their adopted language many of the sounds and therefore phonemes of the original, despite several transmigrations involving intermediate languages. For example, the English name Esther can be traced ultimately to the Babylonian name for the goddess of love and war, Istar. However, the English form of the name is derived undoubtedly from the English Bible translations that go back to the Greek form in the Septuagint or to the Hebrew, Esther, both of which ultimately derive from the Babylonian Istar.

For the above two reasons, the onomasticon of the Book of Mormon can preserve lexemes of the languages used to compose the book. Through a careful study of these names we can draw conclusions about their possible language origin and meaning. In this respect, the proper names in the Book of Mormon form a unique and useful
tool for the study of the languages of the peoples of that book and make possible new insights for understanding
the cultures of the Book of Mormon.

Such conclusions are valuable for two reasons. First, names can be employed to convey content. Giving a name in
antiquity usually involved more than supplying a label. Names had meanings, and though not all names necessarily
were consciously based on meaning, some were. For instance, Isaiah gave his two sons long and, for most
English speakers, unpronounceable names. These names were not given for any intrinsic quality of the two
children but as a testimony to Isaiah’s contemporaries. The names contained a message, and understanding that
message gives insight into the literary work of a great prophet.

Second, names can supply information about the milieu of the author or redactor. Names in the ancient world
were subject to literary treatment or mistreatment. For example, one of Saul’s sons must have been less than
appreciated by his contemporaries. This is reflected not only in the biblical information concerning him (he was
murdered), but also in the treatment of his name. His proper name, Eshbaal, which means “man of the lord,” is
preserved in the late account found in 1 Chronicles 8:33 and 1 Chronicles 9:39. However, in the earlier, more
contemporary account found in 2 Samuel 2-4, his name was changed by the compilers of the book to Ishbosheth,
meaning “man of shame.” Changing a respectable word to a disreputable word is called a dysphemism, the opposite
of a euphemism. This play on the name of Saul’s son probably expresses an opinion of the author of 2 Samuel about
that person. The redactor or author of 1 Chronicles used the original name, perhaps out of respect for the person
since Saul’s son was removed temporally and personally from the time of the composition of 1 Chronicles, or
perhaps because any name containing Baal in those days was by itself sufficient shame.

A careful scrutiny of names can also lead to information about the times in which a work was composed. The
relatively unknown play on words between the names of a famous Babylonian king, Nebuchadnezzar, in Kings (e.g.,
2 Kings 24:1) and Nebuchadrezzar in Jeremiah (e.g., Jeremiah 37:1), could only have been made by someone
familiar with the times these passages portray. The latter can be translated from Babylonian as “Nabu, protect the
crown prince,” while the former means “Nabu, protect the mule.” Only someone writing from an anti-Babylonian
perspective would have used the dysphemism. The correct form of the name would have been used by pro-
Babylonian, neutral, or politically removed writers. This corroborates the general anti-Babylonian tenor of Kings
and the pro-Babylonian stance of Jeremiah. However, unlike Ishbosheth mentioned above, this dysphemism cannot
be Hebrew in origin, but must have been borrowed from a current Mesopotamian wordplay on the Babylonian
king’s name.

If a Semitic Vorlage is posited for the Book of Mormon, then the Semitic propensity to play with names should be
evident in it, and it is. For instance, in the book of Alma the people of Ammon are given a land called Jershon. The
etymology of this toponym can be traced to a Hebrew root meaning “to inherit.” Alma 27:22 states that “this
land Jershon [that is, inheritance] is the land which we will give unto our brethren for an inheritance.” This is an
excellent example of wordplay in the Book of Mormon and also makes a statement about the Nephite action of
giving the land to the converted Lamanites.

An exacting study of the names can also reveal otherwise unknown influences on Nephite society. One of the
better known apostates of the Book of Mormon carried a Jaredite name, Korihor. Likewise, one of the most
infamous apostate movements in the Book of Mormon also carried a Jaredite name, order of Nehors, named after
the Nephite, Nehor. This name, however, is a Jaredite toponym, appearing as a proper name in the book of
Ether. These two examples suggest that some Nephite apostate movements might have been inspired by Jaredite history, either through the twenty-four gold plates found by Limhi’s people and translated by Mosiah or through contact with survivors of the Jaredite culture.

**Methodology**

Requisite to any study of the Book of Mormon onomasticon is primary and accurate control of philologic possibilities. In the example *Jershon* given above, a recently discontinued manual of the Church, quoting a Book of Mormon commentary, states that the name means “land of the exiled, or of the strangers.” This false etymology is probably based on the assumption that the root in Hebrew for the Nephite *Jershon* is to be derived from the Hebrew word for stranger, *gr*. This root begins with a *gimel* (/g/), which normally is transliterated in the King James Bible with a *g* and not a *j*. As discussed below, the *j* in the Hebrew names of the King James Bible usually represents the Hebrew *yod* (/y/). Therefore, if it can be assumed that the normal transliteration techniques employed in the King James Version apply to the Book of Mormon, the meaning “exile” or “stranger” for the word *Jershon* is not possible. In addition, “exile” or “stranger” is unlikely because the sound /s/ in *Jershon* would remain unexplained.

Inseparable from a control of the primary languages is a knowledge of which languages apply to the Book of Mormon onomasticon and to what extent they apply. When considering possible language *Vorlagen* for the Book of Mormon, Hebrew of the biblical period is the first choice. Nearly equal in consideration to Hebrew is Egyptian, followed by the other Semitic languages in use at or before the time of Lehi, including Akkadian, Aramaic, Ugaritic, Phoenician, Moabite, and Ammonite. Semitic languages first attested after the time of Lehi, such as Classical Arabic, the later Aramaic dialects, and Ethiopic dialects, are not as relevant as the earlier languages, but may be used with extreme caution. Other non-Semitic languages with which the Hebrews could have had contact before Lehi’s departure, such as Hittite, Greek, Hurrian, and Sumerian, should be a last resort.

Even with these precautions, problems cannot be avoided. A name can have several etymologies based not only on several roots in one language, but it may also be traceable to more than one language. For example, one author has seen in the word *Alma* an Arabic name, while in Hebrew there are at least six theoretical roots: ‘lm, ‘lm, glm, lm’, lm, lmc, lmg, though not all of these are necessarily attached to an etymon in West Semitic.

The use of an edition of the received text that also renders all the possible English variants of the names is absolutely necessary for any study of the proper names of the Book of Mormon. For instance, any etymology of the toponym *Cumorah* must be based on an acceptable reading of the received text. The present editions of the Book of Mormon are unanimous in reading *Cumorah*. However, this place name is spelled three different ways in the Printer’s Manuscript. Thus, Mormon 6 contains the spelling *Camorah* and *Cumorah* in verse 2, while verse 5 has *Comorah*. In the 1830 edition *Camorah* is standard throughout the Book of Mormon. *Cumorah* appears in all subsequent editions.

In addition, some variations of the spellings of names have possibly slipped into the present editions seemingly without justification, e.g., *shiblum*. In Alma 11:15-19 *shiblum* is juxtaposed with *shiblon*. In the Printer’s Manuscript the *b* is not there, i.e., *shilum*. The *b* could have been inserted inadvertently because of the *b* in *shiblon*.

Second only to the need for a critical edition is the need to posit a theoretical model for the possible transliteration into English of the names as they might have been on the *Vorlage*. Thus, does a *j* in a name in the Book of Mormon represent the phoneme /j/, /y/, /g/, or /h/? The *j* in the transliterated Hebrew names in the King James Bible usually
stands for a /y/, the Hebrew letter yod. It is notable, however, that the King James renderings are not consistent. The initial Hebrew phonemes of Jeremiah, Isaiah and Job are /y/, /y/, and /h/ respectively. Extrapolating from this example, we might expect relative but not absolute consistency in the transliterations of the Book of Mormon onomasticon.

A further complication involves the commingling of Jaredite and Nephite names. Unless and until it can be determined from which cultural background the Jaredites departed,25 it will be impossible to do anything but guess about etymologies for Jaredite names. It also appears that Jaredite names surface rather early in the Nephite record26 and should not be considered together with Lehite and Mulekite language names when etymologies are proposed.

Conclusion

An understanding of the proper names in the language of the Vorlage of the Book of Mormon can reveal, via literary nuance, aspects of Nephite/Lamanite culture that remain unrecognized by the reader who is limited to modern languages. However, such results are valid only to the extent that the conclusions are based on sound methodology. This study has proposed an apposite methodology, i.e., control of the posited primary languages, discretion in determining the primary languages, thorough and rigorous examination of all the philological possibilities in the various target languages, and the use of a critical edition that indicates all variations in the various manuscripts and editions.

Needless to say, as the title of this paper indicates, this is only an incipient attempt at defining the relevance of and establishing a methodology for a study of the proper names of the Book of Mormon. Much work still begs attention.

This paper would not be complete without a caveat. Extreme caution both in the tools used and the ways in which they are used must always be the standard. Less is better and conservatism is a virtue. Yet the study of the onomasticon of the Book of Mormon is a must if we are to understand the world of the Nephites and Jaredites. I hope this introductory statement on relevance and methodology will lead to even more significant progress in the study of the proper names of the Book of Mormon.

Notes

1. In making a list of proposed etymologies of Book of Mormon names I have logged over 300 suggestions made over a period of more than 140 years and in more than thirty publications.

2. For example, LaDell, Shalynn, Sonda, etc.


7. The OED entries on pp. 3667-68 list the earliest readings for wayn (first entry on p. 1250) while the spelling wain usually appears several centuries later.

8. See the previous footnote. Though “wagon” was adopted into English around the sixteenth century from Dutch and/or German, see the Early Modern English wagan and Old English wæn, wægn (OED, “wagon,” p. 3666).

9. That is, (1) when enough is known about a name that it can be traced to its language of origin, and (2) the names can preserve original phonemes and lexemes of the language of origin even through the name originated in a language no longer spoken.

10. Normally the onomasticon (a list of proper names) of the Book of Mormon would not include simple substantives. However, all transliterations of Book of Mormon substantives should be included in any study of the proper names even if there is a question whether or not the substantive is a proper name. For example, rameumptom (Alma 31:21) and irreantum (1 Nephi 17:5) fall into this category.

11. For instance, see Rivkah Harris, “The naditu Woman,” in Studies Presented to A. Leo Oppenheim (Chicago: Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago, 1964), 127, who states, in speaking of the names given to naditu priestesses in the Old Babylonian period, “Then as now there were vogues in names.”

12. The names, Maher-shalal-hash-baz, “Hurry the spoil, hasten the plunder” (Isaiah 8:3; for the translation, see F. Brown, S. R. Driver, and C. A. Briggs, A Hebrew and English Lexicon of the Old Testament [Oxford: Clarendon, 1968], 555), and Shear-jashub, “a remnant shall return” (Isaiah 7:3; for the translation, see ibid., 984), refer to the impending captivity and the subsequent return of a remnant from that captivity. See Isaiah 8:18.

13. In the earlier biblical texts Baal (from the Hebrew root *bcl*) still carries its original meaning, “lord” or “master.” In later biblical texts Baal came to be the proper name of a Canaanite god whose name is otherwise not known.


15. The play on words works best in Akkadian, not at all in Hebrew, and only partially in Aramaic. For this reason it is likely that this dysphemism originated in the cuneiform world and not in Palestine.

16. The root in Hebrew is *yrs. See further in the text for another proposed etymology, albeit undoubtedly false.

17. This was first drawn to my attention by John W. Welch of the BYU Law School faculty.

18. The Jaredite form is with a c, Corihor. The use of a c in the Jaredite name and a k in Nephite poses no phonological problems.


20. See Ether 7:4 and 9. It is not attested as a Jaredite personal name.

21. For the most likely etymology of the name Jereshon see the example given above. With the etymology proposed there, the only unaccounted-for element in the name Jereshon is the ending -on, which is probably related to the
substantive suffix -an used in Ugaritic (see 8.58 in C. H. Gordon, Ugaritic Textbook, Analecta Orientalia 38 [Rome: Pontifical Biblical Institute, 1965]), in Hebrew (compare its probable use in the eponym Zebulon [the original pronunciation is preserved in the gentilic in Numbers 26:27 and Judges 12:11]), and in Akkadian (see Wolfram von Soden, Grundriss der akkadischen Grammatik [Rome: Pontifical Biblical Institute, 1969], Analecta Orientalia 33/47, 56r, where it appears to be used only in a narrow sense).


24. Cumorah appears in Mormon 6:2, 6:4(2x), 6:6(2x) and 8:2; Camorah in 6:2; and Comorah in 6:5 and 6:11; cf. Book of Mormon Critical Text, 3:1086-88.


26. The Small Plates in the form we now have, 1 Nephi through the Words of Mormon, do not contain any obviously Jaredite names. Beginning at least with the book of Alma, Jaredite names begin to appear among the Nephite personal names, e.g., Korihor (= Jaredite Corihor in Ether 13:17) in Alma 30. See also Coriantumr in Helaman 1:15-32 and Ether 12-15.