Great Basin Naturalist



Volume 30 | Number 1

Article 4

3-30-1970

A fossil turtle from the Green River formation in Utah

Kenneth Larsen Brigham Young University

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/gbn

Recommended Citation

 $\label{eq:largen} Larsen, Kenneth (1970) "A fossil turtle from the Green River formation in Utah," \textit{Great Basin Naturalist: Vol. 30 : No. 1, Article 4. Available at: https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/gbn/vol30/iss1/4 }$

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Western North American Naturalist Publications at BYU ScholarsArchive. It has been accepted for inclusion in Great Basin Naturalist by an authorized editor of BYU ScholarsArchive. For more information, please contact scholarsarchive@byu.edu, ellen_amatangelo@byu.edu.

A FOSSIL TURTLE FROM THE GREEN RIVER FORMATION IN UTAH

Kenneth Larsen¹

In November, 1967, I found a fossil turtle in the talus of a 100 ft cliff whose base is 800 ft above the bottom of the Green River Formation. The cliff is 10.7 mi east of Thistle Junction on U.S. highway 50, Utah County, Utah. The fossil consists of the greater part of the carapace and plastron. The carapace is 240 mm long and 188 mm wide (fig. 1-A). The plastron is 200 mm long and 84 mm wide at the center of the bridge (fig. 1-B). This specimen is now in the Brigham Young University Earth Sciences Museum (No. BYU-R250).

The turtle belongs to the family Emydidae and keys to *Echma*temys ocyrrhoe following the key of Hay (1908: 287, 298). He listed records for this genus from the Wasatch Formation of New Mexico, and the Bridger and Uintah Formations of Wyoming. however, it most closely resembles the illustrations of *E. stevensoniana* Leidy, 1870.

In order to determine the correct placement of the fossil, its measurements were compared with those of the closely related species E. lativertebralis, E. aegle, E. cyane, E. ocyrrhoe, E. shaughnessiana, and E. stevensoniana. The length and width ratios of the neural plates and vertebral scutes were computed to determine significant differences (Table 1). The degree of relationship between any two forms was taken as the average of the degrees of relationship for each measurement. This relationship ("R") was calculated with

the formula $R = 1 - \frac{|a - b|}{|a + b|}$ where "a" and "b" are the same mea-

surement in the two forms. The average "R"s between the different forms (Table 2) relate the new fossil more closely with *E. stevensoniana* (R=.9582) than with other species. Inasmuch as other pairs are more similar—*E. ocyrrhoe* and *E. aegle*=.9611, and *E. shaughnessiana* and *E. stevensoniana*=.9624 it is reasonable to assume that the recent find is a new species. On this basis and relative to the data in Table 1 some of Hay's species may be synonyms. I suggest three groupings: (1) *E. lativertebralis;* (2) *E. aegle, E. cyane*, and *E. ocyrrhoe*; (3) *E. shaughnessiana*, *E. stevensoniana*, and the recently discovered fossil from Utah.

The similarities between the Utah fossil turtle and *E. stevensoniana* support the hypothesis that the base of the Green River in central Utah correlates with the Bridger formation of Wyoming. but the Green River of Wyoming is actually older. However, one spe-

¹Graduate student in Zoology Department, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah.

Table 1. Length to	width ra	atios of	neural p	lates and v	ertebral	scutes (of several	species of	Echmater	ıys.
Currier		Neu	ral plate	Se				Verte	ebral scut	Se
samado	61	3	4	5	9	7	8	2	3	4
E. lativertebralis	.78	.88	.72	.68	.49	.35	.59	.89	76.	.75
E. ocyrrhoe	1.04	1.15	1.12	1.32	.75	69.	.73	.94	1.15	1.14
E. stevensoniana	1.28	1.43	1.43	1.07	.85	.79	.87	1.14	1.28	.91
E. aegle	1.11	1.21	1.11	1.11	69.	*		.89	1.03	
E. shaughnessiana	1.12	1.46	1.33	1.10	.75	1.39	.71	1.03	1.22	1.15
E. cyane	1.18	1.25	1.12	.97	.73	:	:	1.33	1.22	•
Echmatemys sp. nov.?	1.28	1.33	1.25	1.20	.97	.79	.87	1.18	1.16	1.35
Table 2. Average	relation	aship (F	{) betwe	en species	accordin	g to th	e formula	R = 1 -	<u>a - b</u> <u>a + b</u>	
E. stevensoniana	E. s.9582	p. nov.?								
T about maintaine	10101	ð	E. steve	ensoniana						
E. suugunessuu	1716.	5	170	F sha	nohnessi	but				
E. cyane	.9343	6	344	.9438	0					
E. aegle	.9162)6: 	092	.9436	E..9382	cyane				
E. ocyrrhoe	.9247)6.	968	.9413	.9319		E. aegle 9611	ŗ	-	
E. lativertebralis	.7783	.7	764	.8058	.8167		8592	ь. осу .8379	rrhoe	

14

KENNETH LARSEN

The Great Basin Naturalist Vol. XXX, No. 1



Figure 1: A. Carapace: B. Plastron

cimen is not sufficient and more work with the vertebrate fauna of the Green River Formation in central Utah is necessary before conclusive correlations can be made.

LITERATURE CITED

COPE, E. D. 1875. Report upon the extinct vertebrata in New Mexico by parties of the expedition of 1874. In Wheeler, Geo. M., Geographical surveys west of the one hundredth meridian 4 (2). Government printing office, Washington, D.C. 1877. 599 pps., 83 plts.

-----, 1883. The vertebrata of the tertiary formations of the west. In Hayden, F. V., Report of the U.S. Geological Surveys of the territories 3 (1). Government Printing office, Washington, D.C. 1883. 1009 pps., 75 plts.

HAY, OLIVER PERRY, 1908. The fossil turtles of North America. Carnegie institution of Washington, Washington, D.C. 568 pp., 113 plts.

LEIDY, 1870. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci., Phila. 1870: 5.