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The Mountain Plover (Charadrius montanus) is a migratory upland shorebird that has experienced population declines throughout its range over the last 4 decades (Knopf and Wunder 2006). This medium-sized (~100 g), drab-colored shorebird breeds primarily in shortgrass prairie habitats throughout the western Great Plains states, with more than 50% of the North American population breeding in the prairies of eastern Colorado (Kuenning and Kingery 1998, Knopf and Wunder 2006). Mountain Plover nesting habitat is generally characterized by flat areas with sparse vegetation and bare ground, including grazed native grasslands and agricultural lands (Graul 1975, Olson and Edge 1985, Knopf and Miller 1994, Dreitz and Knopf 2007).

The Mountain Plover arrives on its breeding grounds in eastern Colorado in early March and stays until late July or early August (Knopf and Wunder 2006). Shortly after arrival, males begin establishing territories and displaying for breeding females at multiple nest-scrape locations. Egg-laying typically begins by mid- to late April and extends into mid-June (Graul 1975, Knopf and Wunder 2006). The Mountain Plover exhibits a unique mating system in which the female lays two 3-egg clutches in rapid succession; the first clutch is laid in a nest to be incubated by the male, and the second is tended solely by the female (Graul 1973, 1976). Incubation periods normally range from 28 to 31 days, with uniparental care continuing during brood-rearing and chicks fledging 30–36 days post-hatch (Graul 1975, Miller and Knopf 1993). In eastern Colorado, the length of the nesting season is highly variable and weather dependent, but nesting generally lasts into late July, with eggs observed hatching as late as 21 July in some years (V.J. Dreitz unpublished data). If the eggs or chicks from the initial nesting attempt are lost early in the breeding season, it is possible for a plover to successfully hatch chicks from a replacement nest (Graul 1975, Knopf and Wunder 2006, Skrade and Dinsmore 2010).

We monitored Mountain Plover nests and chicks from April through July on private lands covering approximately 2100 km² in Lincoln County, Colorado. After 11 years of research on Mountain Plover reproductive biology in eastern Colorado (i.e., 2001–2012), we have observed a unique renesting event in a nest cup previously used by another plover. To our knowledge, this is the first documented occurrence of use of the same nest cup by 2
different Mountain Plovers in the same breeding season.

On 21 April 2011, we located a Mountain Plover nest in the laying stage on grassland occupied by black-tailed prairie dogs (*Cynomys ludovicianus*). Using egg flotation (Westerskov 1950), we monitored stage of incubation until hatching. We also placed a small weather data logger (Thermochron iButton, Embedded Data Systems, Lawrenceburg, KY) at the bottom of the nest, following field protocols similar to Hartman and Oring (2006). We later captured, banded (Band #1232-11228, hereafter Plover #28), and attached a radio-transmitter to the tending adult. We continued monitoring the nest until 21 May, when 2 chicks hatched (the third egg had disappeared midway through incubation). As part of a long-term study, we located the 2 chicks every 24–48 h and determined their survival status; neither chick survived to fledge (chicks died before reaching 30 days old).

Approximately 17 days later, on 7 June, 3 eggs were found in the exact location (based on location coordinates) as Plover #28’s nest; however, the nest was being incubated by a different adult (Band #1232-11146, hereafter Plover #46). A nail that had been used to anchor the iButton to the bottom of Plover #28’s nest (Hartman and Oring 2006) was still present in the nest when it was found occupied by Plover #46, providing further evidence of the nest reuse. Not only were the eggs of Plover #46 in a previously used cup, but they also constituted a “replacement” nest, initiated less than 18 days after the loss of Plover #46’s first clutch. We should note, however, that without knowing the sex of the 2 individuals, we are unable to rule out the possibility that Plover #46 was mated to Plover #28.

Plover #46 had begun incubating its first clutch early in the breeding season in similar habitat (prairie dog colony) approximately 430 m from the site of the replacement nest. We located Plover #46’s first nest on 21 April and continued monitoring it until 19 May, when one chick hatched. The following day, we located the chick dead in a burrow 48 m from the nest. When found on 7 June, Plover #46’s second nest (i.e., replacement nest) was at early-incubation stage, with approximately 2.5–3 weeks left until hatching. On 21 June, Plover #46’s second nest was deemed failed due to abandonment, based on eggs found cold, dirty, not progressing in incubation stage, and displaced from the nest cup. When we dissected the eggs from the failed nest, we found no evidence of embryonic development, indicating that either the eggs were infertile or the nest was abandoned prior to the onset of incubation.

Many species of shorebirds lay replacement clutches following the loss of their nest or brood from predation or other causes. These species include members of the family Charadriidae, such as the Kentish Plover (*Charadrius alexandrinus*; Amat et al. 1999a), Semipalmated Plover (*Charadrius semipalmatus*; Flynn et al. 1999), and Malaysian Plover (*Charadrius peronii*; Yasseé and Dearden 2008). Renesting attempts have also been reported for the Mountain Plover from studies conducted in other parts of its breeding grounds, specifically in Weld County, Colorado (Graul 1975, Knopf and Rupert 1996, Knopf and Wunder 2006), and Phillips County, Montana (Skrade and Dinsmore 2010). Few studies have reported on the timing or relative locations of initial and replacement nest sites within a single breeding season, but Skrade and Dinsmore (2010) found that 60%–70% of Mountain Plover renesting attempts over a 14-year period (*n* = 48) occurred on the same prairie dog colony as the initial nest.

Due to the Mountain Plover’s unique mating system and ability to renest following nest failures, each plover pair has the potential for up to 4 nest attempts in a single breeding season, thus spreading out risk and increasing the possibility of nest success (Knopf and Wunder 2006). Though renesting is a viable option for some plovers that lose their eggs or broods early in the season, it may not be feasible for all individuals due to timing or energetic constraints. There is an energetic cost to reproduction; thus, renesting birds may have fewer resources to allocate to predator defense/avoidance, foraging, and maintenance of health and body condition prior to migration (Schmidt and Whelan 2010). In addition to the energy required to lay and incubate eggs, there are energetic costs associated with nest site selection and construction. Though nest-building costs are admittedly much lower for open-nesting shorebirds as compared to species that construct or excavate more elaborate nests, the use of a preconstructed nest cup may still have represented some time or
energy savings for Plover #46, as suggested for several other species (Amat et al. 1999b, Cavitt et al. 1999, Horn et al. 2007, Richmond et al. 2007). Though we can only speculate about the potential fitness benefits of nesting in a preconstructed, pre-used nest cup, the documentation of this behavior in the Mountain Plover is an interesting finding in the context of this declining species’ potential reproductive strategies.
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